

AMBROSIASER'S COMMENTARY ON THE
PAULINE EPISTLES: ROMANS

SBL Press

WRITINGS FROM THE GRECO-ROMAN WORLD

General Editors

John T. Fitzgerald

Editorial Board

Christopher A. Baron

Andrew Cain

Margaret M. Mitchell

Teresa Morgan

Ilaria L. E. Ramelli

Clare K. Rothschild

Karin Schlapbach

James C. VanderKam

L. Michael White

Number 41

Volume Editor

Andrew Cain

SBL Press



AMBROSIASER'S COMMENTARY ON THE
PAULINE EPISTLES: ROMANS

Translated with Notes by Theodore S. de Bruyn,
with an Introduction by Theodore S. de Bruyn,
Stephen A. Cooper, and David G. Hunter

SBL Press



SBL PRESS

Atlanta

Copyright © 2017 by SBL Press

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by means of any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission should be addressed in writing to the Rights and Permissions Office, SBL Press, 825 Houston Mill Road, Atlanta, GA 30329 USA.

Cataloging-in-Publication Data is on file with the Library of Congress

SBL Press

Printed on acid-free paper.



CONTENTS

Acknowledgments.....	vii
Abbreviations.....	ix

Part 1: Introduction

1. The Author, Date, and Provenance (<i>David G. Hunter</i>)	xxiii
2. The Transmission and Editions of the Commentary (<i>Theodore S. de Bruyn</i>).....	xxxix
3. Ambrosiaster's Biblical Text (<i>Theodore S. de Bruyn</i>)	lvii
4. Ambrosiaster's Exegesis of the Pauline Epistles (<i>Stephen A. Cooper</i>).....	lxi
5. Ambrosiaster's Theology (<i>Stephen A. Cooper</i>).....	lxxvii
6. Polemical Aspects of the Commentary (<i>Theodore S. de Bruyn</i> , <i>Stephen A. Cooper</i> , and <i>David G. Hunter</i>).....	xcvii
7. Ambrosiaster and Christian Life at Rome (<i>David G. Hunter</i>)	cxv
8. A Note on the Translation.....	cxxix

Part 2: Ambrosiaster's Commentary on Romans

Theodore S. de Bruyn

Synopsis	3
Romans 1	7
Romans 2	37
Romans 3	55
Romans 4	75
Romans 5	89
Romans 6	111
Romans 7	123
Romans 8	143
Romans 9	171

Romans 10.....	193
Romans 11.....	203
Romans 12.....	219
Romans 13.....	233
Romans 14.....	241
Romans 15.....	253
Romans 16.....	265
Bibliography.....	275
Index of Biblical Citations.....	295
Index of Ancient Works.....	304
Index of Manuscripts.....	313
Index of Modern Authors.....	315
Index of Subjects.....	316

SBL Press

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to John T. Fitzgerald, general editor of the series *Writings from the Greco-Roman World*, not only for engaging us to prepare a translation of Ambrosiaster's Commentary on the Pauline Epistles some time back but also for seeing this volume into print now. We also thank Ronald Hock, general editor of the series when the manuscript was submitted, for moving this volume closer to publication. We are especially grateful to Andrew Cain for agreeing to be the general editor of this volume and for his meticulous review of the manuscript. Daniel Persigehl assisted in the preparation of the bibliography. We are each indebted to our institutions for support over the many years of this project. Theodore S. de Bruyn wishes to thank the Faculty of Arts of the University of Ottawa for a half-year academic leave in 2008 and a yearlong academic leave in 2013–2014, portions of which were spent on the translation and the introductory essays. Stephen A. Cooper expresses his gratitude to Franklin and Marshall College for sabbatical leaves during the academic years 2007–2008 and 2014–2015, portions of which were spent on the translation and the introductory essays. David G. Hunter wishes to acknowledge the American Academy of Religion for a Collaborative Research Grant (2003) and the National Endowment for the Humanities for a Summer Stipend (2003) that facilitated some of the initial work on this project.

Theodore de Bruyn
Stephen Cooper
David Hunter

SBL Press

Abbreviations

Ambrosiaster's Works

In 1 Cor.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Corinthios primam
In 1 Thess.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Thessalonicenses primam
In 1 Tim.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Timotheum primam
In 2 Cor.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Corinthios secundam
In 2 Thess.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Thessalonicenses secundam
In 2 Tim.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Timotheum secundam
In Col.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Colossenses
In Eph.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Ephesios
In Gal.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Galatas
In Phil.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Philippenses
In Philem.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Philemonem
In Rom.	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Romanos
In Titus	Commentarius in Epistulam ad Titum
<i>Quaest.</i>	<i>Quaestiones veteris et novi testamenti</i>

Additional Ancient Sources

<i>Ab. urbe cond.</i>	Livy, <i>Ab urbe condita</i>
Acts Pet.	Acts of Peter
<i>An.</i>	Tertullian, <i>De anima</i>
Ap. John	Apocryphon of John
<i>Ar.</i>	Marius Victorinus, <i>Adversus Arium</i>
Bar	Baruch

<i>Basil. reg.</i>	Rufinus, <i>Regula Basilii</i>
<i>C. du. ep. Pelag.</i>	Augustine, <i>Contra duas epistulas Pelagianorum ad Bonifatium</i>
<i>Cat.</i>	Cicero, <i>In Catalinam</i>
<i>Cod. Justin.</i>	Codex justinianus
<i>Comm. Os.</i>	Jerome, <i>Commentariorum in Osee libri III</i>
<i>Comm. Rom.</i>	Origen-Rufinus, <i>Commentarii in Romanos</i>
<i>Comm. Tit.</i>	Jerome, <i>Commentariorum in Epistulam ad Titum liber</i>
<i>Conf.</i>	Augustine, <i>Confessionum libri XIII</i>
<i>Did. Spir.</i>	Jerome, <i>Liber Didymi de Spiritu Sancto</i>
<i>Div. quaest. Simpl.</i>	Augustine, <i>De diversis quaestionibus ad Simplicianum</i>
<i>Enarrat. Ps.</i>	Ambrose, <i>Enarrationes in XII Psalmos Davidicos</i> ; Augustine, <i>Enarrationes in Psalmos</i>
<i>Ep.</i>	Augustine, <i>Epistulae</i> ; Cyprian, <i>Epistulae</i> ; Innocent I, <i>Epistulae</i> ; Jerome, <i>Epistulae</i> ; Leo I, <i>Epistulae</i> ; Siricius, <i>Epistulae</i>
<i>Exp. Ps. 118</i>	Ambrose, <i>Expositio Psalmi CXVIII</i>
<i>Faust.</i>	Augustine, <i>Contra Faustum Manichaeum</i>
<i>Gos. Jud.</i>	Gospel of Judas
<i>Haer.</i>	Augustine, <i>De haeresibus</i>
<i>Hist.</i>	Tacitus, <i>Historiae</i>
<i>Hist. eccl.</i>	Eusebius, <i>Historia ecclesiastica</i> ; Theodoret, <i>Historia ecclesiastica</i>
<i>Idol.</i>	Tertullian, <i>De idolatria</i>
<i>In Phil.</i>	Pelagius, <i>Commentarius in Epistulam ad Philipenses</i>
<i>In Rom.</i>	Pelagius, <i>Commentarius in Epistulam ad Romanos</i>
<i>Inv.</i>	Cicero, <i>De inventione rhetorica</i>
<i>Inst.</i>	Cassiodorus, <i>Institutiones divinarum et saecularium litterarum</i> ; Lactantius, <i>Divinarum institutionem libri VII</i> ; Quintilian, <i>Institutio oratoria</i>
<i>Jov.</i>	Jerome, <i>Adversus Jovinianum libri II</i>
<i>Marc.</i>	Tertullian, <i>Adversus Marcionem</i>
<i>Med.</i>	Celsus, <i>De medicina</i>
<i>m. Qidd.</i>	Mishnah Qiddushin
<i>m. Yevam.</i>	Mishnah Yevamot
<i>Off.</i>	Ambrose, <i>De officiis ministrorum</i>

<i>Orat. paneg.</i>	Gregory of Nazianzus, <i>Oratio panegyrica in Origenem</i>
<i>Pan.</i>	Epiphanius, <i>Panarion (Adversus haereses)</i>
<i>Prax.</i>	Tertullian, <i>Adversus Praxean</i>
<i>Qu. hebr. Gen.</i>	Jerome, <i>Quaestionum hebraicum liber in Genesim</i>
<i>Quaest. hom. Odd.</i>	Porphyry, <i>Quaestionum homericae ad Odysseam pertinentium reliquae</i>
<i>Rec.</i>	Pseudo-Clementines, <i>Recognitions</i>
<i>Res.</i>	Tertullian, <i>De resurrectione carnis</i>
<i>Retract.</i>	Augustine, <i>Retractionum libri II</i>
<i>Rhet. Her.</i>	Rhetorica ad Herennium
<i>Serm.</i>	Augustine, <i>Sermones</i>
<i>Sifre Deut.</i>	Sifre Deuteronomy
<i>Test.</i>	Cyprian, <i>Ad Quirinium testimonia adversus Judaeos</i>
<i>Tract. ep. Jo.</i>	Augustine, <i>In epistulam Johannis ad Parthos tractatus</i>
<i>Trin.</i>	Hilary of Poitiers, <i>De Trinitate</i> ; Novatian, <i>De Trinitate</i>

Sigla

α	<i>recensio</i> α
β	<i>recensio</i> β
γ	<i>recensio</i> γ
§	section in introduction
┌ 1	indicates passage where text is attested only by “mixed” manuscripts
f.	folio
MS(S)	manuscript(s)

Manuscripts

Amiens 87	Manuscript Amiens 87. Amiens, France, Bibliothèque municipale
Ashburnham 60	Manuscript Ashburnham 60. Florence, Italy, Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana
Augiensis 108	Manuscript Augiensis CVIII. Karlsruhe, Germany, Badische Landesbibliothek

Brussels 971	Manuscript Brussels 971. Brussels, Belgium, Bibliothèque royale de Belgique
Brussels 972	Manuscript Brussels 972. Brussels, Belgium, Bibliothèque royale de Belgique
Budapest 1	Codex latinus medii aevi 1. Budapest, Hungary, Magyar Nemzeti Múzeum
Clm 6265	Manuscript Clm 6265. Munich, Germany, Bayerische Staatsbibliothek
Cologne 34	Manuscript Cologne 34. Cologne, Germany, Erzbischöfliche Diözesan- und Dombibliothek
Cologne 39	Manuscript Cologne 39. Cologne, Germany, Erzbischöfliche Diözesan- und Dombibliothek
Dublin 52	Manuscript Dublin 52. Dublin, Ireland, Trinity College
Fulda Aa 18	Manuscript Fulda Aa 18. Fulda, Germany, Hessische Landesbibliothek Fulda
Göttweig 42	Manuscript Göttweig 42. Göttweig, Austria, Stiftsbibliothek
Graz 369	Manuscript Graz 369. Graz, Austria, Universitätsbibliothek
Guelf. 64 Weiss	Manuscript Guelferbyitano 64 Weiss. Wolfenbüttel, Germany, Herzog-August-Bibliothek
Laon 107	Manuscript Laon 107. Laon, France, Bibliothèque municipale
Laud. Misc. 106	Manuscript Miscellaneos Laudianos complectens 106. Oxford, England, Bodleian Library
Lyell 9	Manuscript Lyell 9. Oxford, England, Bodleian Library
Monte Cassino 150	Manuscript Monte Cassino 150. Monte Cassino, Italy, Biblioteca del Monumento Nazionale di Monte Cassino
Monza C 2	Manuscript Monza C 2. Monza, Italy, Biblioteca Capitolare della Basilica di San Giovanni Battista
Oxford 157	Manuscript Oxford 157. Oxford, England, Balliol College
Oxford 756	Manuscript Oxford 756. Oxford, England, Bodleian Library
Padua 94	Manuscript Padua 94. Padua, Italy, Biblioteca Antoniana

Paris lat. 1759	Manuscript Paris lat. 1759. Paris, France, Bibliothèque nationale de France
Paris lat. 1761	Manuscript Paris lat. 1761. Paris, France, Bibliothèque nationale de France
Paris lat. 1763	Manuscript Paris lat. 1763. Paris, France, Bibliothèque nationale de France
Paris lat. 13339	Manuscript Paris lat. 13339. Paris, France, Bibliothèque nationale de France
Salzburg a IX 25	Manuscript Salzburg a IX 25. Salzburg, Austria, Benediktiner-Erzabtei Sankt Peter
St. Gall 100	Manuscript St. Gall 100. Saint-Gall, Switzerland, Stiftsbibliothek
St. Gall 101	Manuscript St. Gall 101. Saint-Gall, Switzerland, Stiftsbibliothek
St. Gall 330	Manuscript St. Gall 330. Saint-Gall, Switzerland, Stiftsbibliothek
St. Mihiel 16	Manuscript St. Mihiel 16. Saint-Mihiel, France, Bibliothèque municipale
Trier 122	Manuscript Trier 122. Trier, Germany, Stadtbibliothek
Troyes 128	Manuscript Troyes 128. Troyes, France, Bibliothèque municipale
Troyes 432	Manuscript Troyes 432. Troyes, France, Bibliothèque municipale
Vat. lat. 4919	Manuscript Vatican lat. 4919. Vatican, Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana
Verona 75	Manuscript Verona 75. Verona, Italy, Biblioteca Capitolare
Vienna 743	Manuscript Vienna 743. Vienna, Austria, Österreichische Nationalbibliothek
Vienna 4600	Manuscript Vienna 4600. Vienna, Austria, Österreichische Nationalbibliothek
Zwettl 33	Manuscript Zwettl 33. Zwettl, Austria, Bibliothek des Zisterzienserstifts

Modern Journals and Series

AARRT	American Academy of Religion Religion in Translation Series
-------	---

AB	Anchor Bible
AChrT	Ancient Christian Texts
AGLB	<i>Aus der Geschichte der lateinischen Bibel</i> (= <i>Vetus Latina: Die Reste der altlateinischen Bibel: Aus der Geschichte der lateinischen Bibel</i>). Freiburg: Herder, 1957–
AJSUFS	Arbeiten aus dem Juristischen Seminar der Universität Freiburg (Switzerland)
<i>AmJT</i>	<i>American Journal of Theology</i>
<i>AnCl</i>	<i>Antiquité classique</i>
AnNic	Analecta Nicolaiana
ANRW	Temporini, Hildegard, and Wolfgang Haase, eds. <i>Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt: Geschichte und Kultur Roms im Spiegel der neueren Forschung</i> . Part 2, <i>Principat</i> . Berlin: de Gruyter, 1972–
APB	<i>Acta Patristica et Byzantina</i>
ASEs	<i>Annali di storia dell'esegesi</i>
<i>Aug</i>	<i>Augustinianum</i>
<i>AugStud</i>	<i>Augustinian Studies</i>
AUS	American University Studies
BAug	Bibliothèque augustinienne
BAC	Bible in Ancient Christianity
BBB	Bonner biblische Beiträge
BETL	Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium
BGBE	Beiträge zur Geschichte der biblischen Exegese
<i>BJHS</i>	<i>British Journal for the History of Science</i>
<i>BLE</i>	<i>Bulletin de littérature ecclésiastique</i>
BNP A	Cancik, Hubert. <i>Brill's New Pauly: Encyclopaedia of the Ancient World. Antiquity</i> . 15 vols. Leiden: Brill, 2002–2010.
BPat	Biblioteca patristica
BZNW	Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft
CBET	Contributions to Biblical Exegesis and Theology
CBiPa	Cahiers de Biblia Patristica
CCAM	Clavis Commentariorum Antiquitatis et Medii Aevi
<i>CCICr</i>	<i>Civiltà classica e cristiana</i>

CCSL	Corpus Christianorum: Series Latina. Turnhout: Brepols, 1953–
CCT	Corpus Christianorum in Translation
<i>ClM</i>	<i>Clio Medica</i>
<i>CIR</i>	<i>Classical Review</i>
CNS	<i>Cristianesimo nella storia</i>
CRINT	Compendia Rerum Iudaicarum ad Novum Testamentum
CSEL	Corpus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticorum Latinorum
CSLMA	Clavis Scriptorum Latinorum Medii Aevi
CSPC	Cambridge Studies in Palaeography and Codicology
CTePa	Collana di testi patristici
CTSt	Collana di testi storici
<i>DA</i>	<i>Deutsches Archiv für Erforschung des Mittelalters</i>
<i>DBSup</i>	Pirot, Lous, and André Robert, eds. <i>Dictionnaire de la Bible: Supplément</i> . Paris: Letouzey & Ané, 1928–
<i>DNP</i>	Cancik, Hubert, and Helmuth Schneider, eds. <i>Der neue Pauly: Enzyklopädie der Antike</i> . Stuttgart: Metzler, 1996–
ECC	Eerdmans Critical Commentary
<i>EDST</i>	<i>Excerpta e Dissertationibus in Sacra Theologia</i>
<i>EE</i>	<i>Estudios Eclesiásticos</i>
EHS	Europäische Hochschulschriften
<i>EO</i>	<i>Ecclesia orans</i>
EPRO	Etudes préliminaires aux religions orientales dans l'empire romain
ErasSt	Erasmus Studies
ET	English translation
<i>ETJ</i>	<i>Eastern Theological Journal</i>
<i>ExpTim</i>	<i>Expository Times</i>
FC	Fathers of the Church
<i>FG</i>	<i>Filologia Germanica</i>
FGJ	Forschungen zur Geschichte der Juden
<i>FZPhTh</i>	<i>Freiburger Zeitschrift für Philosophie und Theologie</i>
GCS	Die griechischen christlichen Schriftsteller der ersten [drei] Jahrhunderte
<i>GIF</i>	<i>Giornale Italiano di Filologia</i>
Greg	<i>Gregorianum</i>

HCS	Hellenistic Culture and Society
<i>HeyJ</i>	<i>Heythrop Journal</i>
HOB	Handschriftenverzeichnisse Österreichischer Bibliotheken
HOSt	Handbook of Oriental Studies
<i>HTh</i>	<i>Ho Theològos</i>
<i>HTR</i>	<i>Harvard Theological Review</i>
<i>IDS</i>	<i>In die Skriflig</i>
<i>IJOT</i>	<i>International Journal of Orthodox Theology</i>
IPM	Instrumenta Patristica et Mediaevalia
<i>JAC</i>	<i>Jahrbuch für Antike und Christentum</i>
<i>JAC.E</i>	<i>Jahrbuch für Antike und Christentum</i> —Ergänzungsband
<i>JBL</i>	<i>Journal of Biblical Literature</i>
<i>JECS</i>	<i>Journal of Early Christian Studies</i>
<i>JEH</i>	<i>Journal of Ecclesiastical History</i>
<i>JR</i>	<i>Journal of Religion</i>
<i>JSJ</i>	<i>Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic, and Roman Periods</i>
<i>JTS</i>	<i>Journal of Theological Studies</i>
<i>Laur</i>	<i>Laurentianum</i>
LCC	Library of Christian Classics
LCL	Loeb Classical Library
LNTS	The Library of New Testament Studies
LWQF	Liturgiewissenschaftliche Quellen und Forschungen
LXX	Septuagint
<i>MH</i>	<i>Museum Helveticum</i>
<i>MilS</i>	<i>Milltown Studies</i>
NA ²⁸	Aland, Barbara, Kurt Aland, et al., eds. <i>Novum Testamentum Graece</i> . 28th ed. 2nd corr. printing. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, 2013
NAWG	<i>Nachrichten (von) der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen</i>
NHMS	Nag Hammadi and Manichaean Studies
NovTSup	Supplements to Novum Testamentum
<i>NRTh</i>	<i>La nouvelle revue théologique</i>
NTabh	Neutestamentliche Abhandlungen
NTL	New Testament Library
NTS	<i>New Testament Studies</i>

OECS	Oxford Early Christian Studies
PAB	Potsdamer Altertumswissenschaftliche Beiträge
PG	Patrologia Graeca [= Patrologiae Cursus Completus: Series Graeca]. Edited by Jacques-Paul Migne. 162 vols. Paris, 1857–1886
PhA	Philosophia Antiqua
PL	Patrologia Latina [= Patrologiae Cursus Completus: Series Latina]. Edited by Jacques-Paul Migne. 217 vols. Paris, 1844–1864
PLS	Patrologia Latina supplementum [= Patrologiae Cursus Completus: Series Latina. <i>Supplementum</i>]. Edited by Adalbert Hamman. 5 vols. in 6. Paris: Garnier, 1958–1974
PPSD	Pauline and Patristic Scholars in Debate
PPSer	Popular Patristics Series
ProOr	Pro Oriente
PW	<i>Paulys Real-Encyclopädie der classischen Altertumswissenschaft</i> . New edition by Georg Wissowa and Wilhelm Kroll. 50 vols. in 84 parts. Stuttgart: Metzler and Druckenmüller, 1894–1980
RAC	Klauser, Theodor, et al. <i>Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum</i> . Stuttgart: Hiersemann, 1950–
RBén	<i>Revue bénédictine</i>
RBPH	<i>Revue belge de philologie et d'histoire</i>
RCT	<i>Revista catalana de teologia</i>
REAug	<i>Revue des études augustiniennes</i>
RechAug	<i>Recherches Augustiniennes</i>
Rec.LLTC	Recentiores: Later Latin Texts and Contexts
RGRW	Religions in the Graeco-Roman World
RHLR	<i>Revue d'histoire et de littérature religieuses</i>
RHR	<i>Revue de l'histoire des religions</i>
RicRel	<i>Ricerche religiose</i>
RicSRel	<i>Ricerche di storia religiosa</i>
RQ	<i>Römische Quartalschrift für christliche Altertumskunde und Kirchengeschichte</i>
RSPT	<i>Revue des sciences philosophiques et théologiques</i>
RSR	<i>Recherches de science religieuse</i>
RSV	Revised Standard Version
RTAM	<i>Recherches de théologie ancienne et médiévale</i>

<i>RThom</i>	<i>Revue thomiste</i>
<i>RTSFR</i>	<i>Rivista trimestrale di studi filosofici e religiosi</i>
<i>SacEr</i>	<i>Sacris erudiri: Jaarboek voor Godsdienstwetenschappen</i>
<i>SAChr</i>	<i>Studia Antiquitatis Christianae</i>
<i>SBS</i>	Stuttgarter Bibelstudien
<i>SC</i>	Sources chrétiennes
<i>S&C</i>	<i>Scrittura e Civiltà</i>
<i>Schol</i>	<i>Scholastik</i>
<i>SEAug</i>	Studia Ephemeridis Augustinianum
<i>SFAM</i>	Studi di filologia antica e moderna
<i>SGLG</i>	Studia Graeca et Latina Gothoburgensia
<i>SO</i>	<i>Symbolae Osloenses</i>
<i>SPAA</i>	Spicilegium Pontificii Athenaei Antoniani
<i>SPAW.PH</i>	<i>Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften: Philosophisch-Historische Klasse</i>
<i>SSRel</i>	<i>Studi storico-religiosi</i>
<i>ST</i>	<i>Studia Theologica</i>
<i>STAC</i>	Studien und Texte zu Antike und Christentum
<i>StMed</i>	<i>Studi Medievali</i>
<i>StOr</i>	Studia Orientalia
<i>StPatr</i>	Studia Patristica
<i>STPIMS</i>	Studies and Texts, Pontifical Institute of Medieval Studies
<i>StT</i>	Studi e Testi, Biblioteca apostolica vaticana
<i>TK</i>	Texte und Kommentare
<i>TRE</i>	Krause, Gerhard, and Gerhard Müller, eds. <i>Theologische Realenzyklopädie</i> . Berlin: de Gruyter, 1977–
<i>TS</i>	Texts and Studies
<i>TS</i>	<i>Theological Studies</i>
<i>TTH</i>	Translated Texts for Historians
<i>VC</i>	<i>Vigiliae Christianae</i>
<i>VCSup</i>	Supplements to <i>Vigiliae Christianae</i>
<i>VetChr</i>	<i>Vetera Christianorum</i>
<i>VL</i>	Vetus Latina
<i>VLB</i>	Vetus Latina, Beuron
<i>VoxPat</i>	<i>Vox Patrum</i>
<i>WGRW</i>	Writings from the Greco-Roman World
<i>WiWei</i>	<i>Wissenschaft und Weisheit</i>

WolfMS	Wolfenbütteler Mittelalter-Studien
WS	<i>Wörter und Sachen</i>
WSA	The Works of Saint Augustine
WSt	<i>Wiener Studien</i>
WTJ	<i>Wesleyan Theological Journal</i>
WUNT	Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament
ZKG	<i>Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte</i>
ZKT	<i>Zeitschrift für katholische Theologie</i>
ZNW	<i>Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die Kunde der älteren Kirche</i>
ZTK	<i>Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche</i>
ZWT	<i>Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche Theologie</i>

SBL Press

SBL Press

Part 1
Introduction

SBL Press

SBL Press

1. The Author, Date, and Provenance

“Ambrosiaster” is the name coined in the early modern period to refer to the author of the first complete Latin commentary on the Pauline epistles (hereafter, the Commentary).¹ The word is derived from the pejorative suffix *-aster* attached to “Ambrosius,” the name of the famous bishop of Milan to whom the Commentary was attributed in most of the manuscript tradition.² Some scholars have claimed that Erasmus or the Maurists first coined the term Ambrosiaster, but the recent study of Jan Krans has shown that Erasmus did not use the word and that its use predates the Maurist edition of Ambrose’s works, which was published in 1686–1690.³ According to Krans the name goes back at least to the *Notationes in sacra biblia* of Lucas Brugensis, a collection of text-critical notes on the Vulgate that appeared in 1580.⁴

Several other works have been attributed, with greater or lesser confidence, to Ambrosiaster. In 1905 Alexander Souter definitively demonstrated that the same author was responsible for the *Questions on the Old and New Testaments* (*Quaestiones veteris et novi testamenti*), a collection of questions and answers on biblical topics (as well as treatises on other matters) that circulated in the Middle Ages under the name of Augustine.⁵ Like the Commentary, the *Quaestiones* exist in multiple versions or recen-

1. The critical edition is Heinrich J. Vogels, ed., *Ambrosiastri qui dicitur commentarius in epistulas paulinas*, CSEL 81.1–3 (Vienna: Hoelder-Pichler-Tempsky, 1966–1969).

2. The commentary on Romans circulated in at least one branch under the name of Hilary of Poitiers. See below §2.

3. Jan Krans, “Who Coined the Name ‘Ambrosiaster’?” in *Paul, John, and Apocalyptic Eschatology: Studies in Honour of Martinus C. de Boer*, ed. Jan Krans et al., NovT-Sup 149 (Leiden: Brill, 2013), 274–81.

4. *Ibid.*, 279–80.

5. Alexander Souter, *A Study of Ambrosiaster*, TS 7.4 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1905). Souter’s work confirmed the earlier work of Josef Langen, “De

sions: one with 151 questions, another with 127.⁶ Fragments of several other works have been attributed to Ambrosiaster: a discussion of the parable of the three measures of flour into which the woman poured the yeast (Matt 13:33; Luke 13:21), a commentary on Matt 24, and a treatment of the arrest of Jesus in Gethsemane and Peter's denial.⁷ It has been suggested that Ambrosiaster was also the compiler of the curious collection of legal materials known as the *Mosaicarum et Romanarum legum collatio*, but this attribution has not received the unanimous support of scholars.⁸

One peculiar feature of the Commentary and the *Quaestiones* is that both works seem to have been issued anonymously in all of the recensions. This apparently deliberate anonymity has naturally led scholars to attempt to discern the true identity of Ambrosiaster. Educated guesses were attempted as early as the seventeenth century and have continued

commentariorum in epistulas Paulinas qui Ambrosii et quaestionum biblicarum quae Augustini nomine feruntur scriptore dissertation" (PhD diss., Bonn, 1880).

6. Souter published the critical edition: *Pseudo-Augustini Quaestiones Veteris et Novi Testamenti CXXVII*, CSEL 50 (Vienna: Tempsky; Leipzig: Freytag, 1908). Unfortunately, he did not fully present both versions of the *Quaestiones*. A full critical addition of the *Quaestiones* is presently being prepared by Marie-Pierre Bussières for the CCL series.

7. These fragments were edited by Giovanni Mercati, "Anonymi chiliastae in Matthaeum c. XXIV fragmenta," in Giovanni Mercati, *Varia Sacra I*, StT 11 (Rome: Tipografia Vaticana, 1903), 3–49. The texts are reprinted in A. Hamman, PLS 1:655–70. The most thorough arguments for the attribution of these fragments to Ambrosiaster can be found in Coelestinus Martini, *Ambrosiaster: De auctore, operibus, theologia*, SPAA 4 (Rome: Pontificium Athenaeum Antonianum, 1944), 50–73. Most recently Emanuele Di Santo has affirmed this attribution: *L'Apologetica dell'Ambrosiaster: Cristiani, pagani et giudi nella Roma tardoantica*, SEAug 112 (Rome: Institutum Patristicum Augustinianum, 2008), 21–22.

8. For positive attribution of *Mosaicarum et Romanarum legum collatio*, see now the edition and translation by Robert M. Frakes, *Compiling the "Collatio Legum Mosaicarum et Romanarum" in Late Antiquity* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011). For debate about this attribution, see Alexander Souter, "Prolegomena," in CSEL 50:xxiii: *fortasse ipse quoque est auctor illius Mosaicarum et Romanarum legum collationis*. Both the *Collatio* and Ambrosiaster refer to a lost rescript of Diocletian against the Manichaeans. Di Santo, *L'Apologetica*, 22, seems doubtful, but see Andrew Jacobs, "Papinian Commands One Thing, Our Paul Another": Roman Christians and Jewish Law in the *Collatio legum Mosaicarum et Romanarum*," in *Religion and Law in Classical and Christian Rome*, ed. Clifford Ando and Jörg Rüpke, PAB 15 (Stuttgart: Steiner, 2006), 85–99.

to the present day.⁹ Distinguished patristic scholar Dom Germain Morin offered no less than five different suggestions over the course of nearly thirty years.¹⁰ The most recent (and unsuccessful) candidates to have been proposed are Maximus of Turin and Simplicianus of Milan.¹¹ Given the inconclusiveness of all these attempts, it seems best to maintain agnosticism on the question.¹²

Despite our ignorance of Ambrosiaster's real name, it is possible to discern some salient facts about the date and place of his literary activity. It is certain that the anonymous author composed some of his work in Rome during the pontificate of Damasus, that is, between October 366

9. E.g., Richard Simon, *Histoire critique des principaux commentateurs du Nouveau Testament, depuis le commencement du Christianisme jusques à nôtre tems: Avec une dissertation critique sur les principaux actes manuscrits qui ont été citez dans les trois parties de cet ouvrage* (Rotterdam: Leers, 1693), 133–34. Simon thought the most probable guess to be the Roman deacon named Hilary, who had been associated with the Luciferian party against which Jerome polemicized in his *Altercatio Luciferiani et orthodoxi seu dialogus contra Luciferiano*. Further discussion of Ambrosiaster's identity can be found in Marie-Pierre Bussi eres, ed., *Ambrosiaster: Contre les pa iens (Question sur l'Ancien et le Nouveau Testament 114) et Sur le destin (Question sur l'Ancien et le Nouveau Testament 115)*, SC 512 (Paris: Cerf, 2007), 30–38; also Di Santo, *L'Apologetica*, 21–25.

10. Dom Germain Morin, "L'Ambrosiaster et le Juif converti Isaac, contemporain du pape Damase," *RHLR* 4 (1899): 97–121 (Isaac, a converted Jew); "Hilarius l'Ambrosiaster," *RB en* 20 (1903): 113–31 (Decimius Hilarianus Hilarius); "Qui est l'Ambrosiaster? Solution nouvelle," *RB en* 31 (1914–1919): 1–34 (Evagrius of Antioch); "Una nuova possibilit a a proposito dell'Ambrosiastro," *Athenaeum* 6 (1918): 62–71 (Cl. Callistus); "La critique dans une impasse:   propos du cas de l'Ambrosiaster," *RB en* 40 (1928): 251–59 (Nummius Aemilianus Dexter, son of Bishop Pacian of Barcelona).

11. Maximus of Turin: Othmar Heggelbacher, "Beziehungen zwischen Ambrosiaster und Maximus von Turin? Eine Gegen berstellung," *FZPhTh* 41 (1994): 5–44. The suggestion of Maximus has been subjected to decisive critique by Andreas Merkt, "Wer war der Ambrosiaster? Zum Autor einer Quelle des Augustinus—Fragen auf ein neue Antwort," *WiWei* 59 (1996): 19–33. Simplicianus of Milan: Maciej Bielawski, "Simpliciano e Ambrosiaster: potrebbero essere la stessa persona?," in *Le "Confessioni" di Agostino: Bilancio e prospettive, 402–2002* (Rome: Institutum Patristicum Augustinianum, 2003), 533–39. The suggestion of Simplicianus is highly unlikely; if he were the author of the commentary, Augustine's *Ep.* 37 to him and the *Div. quaest. Simpl.* would surely contain some reference to the work.

12. See Bussi eres, *Ambrosiaster*, 38: "En effet, si son identit e devait rester inconnue de ses contemporains, quelle chance aurions-nous de pouvoir le d emasquer plus d'un mill enaire de demi plus tard?"

and December 384. In his commentary on 1 Tim 3:15, he refers to the church “whose rector at present is Damasus.”¹³ In *Quaest.* 115, “On Fate,” Ambrosiaster speaks of being “here in the city of Rome and its environs,” and in one of the recensions of his comment on Rom 16:3–5 he mentions being “here, that is, in Rome.”¹⁴ Ambrosiaster also gave attention to issues specific to the church at Rome. For example, one of the *Quaestiones* is a treatise attacking deacons at Rome who claimed to be the equal of presbyters.¹⁵ There can be little doubt that the bulk of the Commentary and *Quaestiones* was produced at Rome at some point during the reign of Damasus, although it is possible that individual questions were composed and circulated after this date.

But even greater precision can be reached regarding the date of Ambrosiaster's floruit. There are numerous indications that portions of the Commentary and the *Quaestiones*—at least in their later recensions—were composed in the early to mid-380s. In 1956 Heinrich Vogels published an article that demonstrated connections between a letter of Jerome, written in 384, and the commentary on Romans by Ambrosiaster.¹⁶ In *Ep.* 27, addressed to Marcella, Jerome complains of certain “two-legged asses” who are criticizing his revision of the New Testament gospels based on Greek codices. Jerome cites a handful of disputed readings, and Vogels showed that these were taken from Ambrosiaster's commentary on Romans. Moreover, Vogels also demonstrated that one of the later recensions of Ambrosiaster's Romans commentary contains a more elaborate defense of his preference for the Old Latin versions (VL) and an explicit defense of the readings that Jerome had criticized. Vogels concluded that Ambrosiaster had first criticized Jerome in the first edition of his Romans commentary, then Jerome had responded in *Ep.* 27, and then Ambrosiaster had revised and reissued the Romans commentary to respond to Jerome.

13. In 1 Tim. 3:15 (CSEL 81.3:270): *ecclesia ... cuius hodie rector est Damasus.*

14. *Quaest.* 115.16 (SC 512:168): *Hic enim in urbe Roma et in finibus...*; In Rom. 16:3–5 (CSEL 81.1:479): *Hic, id est Romae* (in the *gamma* recension). On the recensions of the Commentary, which Vogels identified as *alpha* (α), *beta* (β), and *gamma* (γ), see below §2.1. See also below §2.2 n. 89.

15. *Quaest.* 101, “The Boasting of the Roman Deacons” (CSEL 50:193–98). It is worth noting that *Quaest.* 101 is followed by *Quaest.* 102, “Against Novatian.” Novatianists were a persistent problem at Rome, even in the fourth century.

16. Heinrich Vogels, “Ambrosiaster und Hieronymus,” *RBén* 66 (1956): 14–19.

Vogels's thesis has garnered widespread support from scholars.¹⁷ Although he did not draw out all of the implications for dating, his arguments show that Ambrosiaster was active in Rome during the years of Jerome's sojourn there, that is, between 382 and 385. More recent studies have confirmed this. In her *Sources Chrétienne*s edition of *Quaest.* 114 and *Quaest.* 115, Marie-Pierre Bussièrès has dated the composition of these texts to shortly after 386.¹⁸ Likewise, in her monograph on Ambrosiaster's political theology, Sophie Lunn-Rockliffe has suggested a date of circa 384 for *Quaest.* 115 and a date of no later than the mid-380s for the rest of his work.¹⁹ A collection of articles examining Ambrosiaster's revisions of his own writings by Bussièrès, Theodore S. de Bruyn, Stephen A. Cooper, and David G. Hunter has presented evidence that suggests the influence of the Council of Constantinople I (381) and a Roman synod of 382 on Ambrosiaster's theology of the Holy Spirit.²⁰ Cooper and Hunter have also argued for further literary encounters between Ambrosiaster and Jerome. The emerging consensus is that the later revisions of the Commentary and the *Quaestiones* date from the mid-380s. The earlier versions would have been written in the early 380s, or perhaps sometime in the later 370s.

In addition to these chronological indications, there is internal evidence in the *Quaestiones* and the Commentary that contributes to a biographical sketch of our author. It seems virtually certain, for example, that he was a member of the Roman clergy. Although Souter thought that Ambrosiaster was a layman, he admitted that his arguments were not

17. E.g., Henry Chadwick, *The Church in Ancient Society: From Galilee to Gregory the Great*, Oxford History of the Early Church (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001), 380; and Andrew Cain, "In Ambrosiaster's Shadow: A Critical Re-evaluation of the Last Surviving Letter Exchange between Pope Damasus and Jerome," *REAug* 51 (2005): 257–77, esp. 268–72.

18. Bussièrès, *Ambrosiaster*, 40–41.

19. Sophie Lunn-Rockliffe, *Ambrosiaster's Political Theology*, OECS (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 12–17. As Lunn-Rockliffe, 15, observes, the existence of multiple versions of both the *Quaestiones* and the Commentary complicates the question of dating. We cannot be sure how much time elapsed between the recensions, and some *quaestiones* may have circulated independently.

20. "L'Ambrosiaster révisé l'Ambrosiaster/Ambrosiaster Revising Ambrosiaster," *REAug* 56 (2010): 21–91. The importance of the councils of 381 and 382 for the development of Ambrosiaster's pneumatology had already been noted in regards to the *Quaestiones* by Marie-Pierre Bussièrès, "L'influence du synode tenu à Rome en 382 sur l'exégèse de l'Ambrosiaster," *SacEr* 45 (2006): 107–24.

conclusive, and most scholars have not followed him.²¹ There are many good reasons to think that Ambrosiaster was a presbyter. First, his writings show extensive knowledge of ecclesiastical customs, especially those pertaining to church office.²² For example, he had a special interest in the origins of the office of bishop and provides a historically nuanced account of the distinction between presbyters and bishops.²³ In several places Ambrosiaster refers to liturgical practices such as the singing of hymns, fasting, and the bestowal of nuptial blessings at marriages of Christians.²⁴ His writings offer early evidence for the requirement of permanent sexual continence for the higher clergy, a practice he defended enthusiastically.²⁵ As noted above, his *Quaest.* 101, “The Boasting of the Roman Deacons,” contains a vigorous defense of the authority of presbyters, their (nearly equal) status with bishops, and their superior status over deacons. It is difficult to imagine anyone but a Roman presbyter investing himself so deeply in these issues.

Perhaps the most compelling argument for Ambrosiaster's status as presbyter is that several of his *quaestiones* appear to be sermons directed to an audience of “beloved brethren,” and in one he even refers to the speaker (almost certainly himself) as a *sacerdos* (“priest”).²⁶ Lunn-Rockcliffe has proposed that Ambrosiaster may have been a presbyter attached to one of

21. Alfred Stuiber, “Ambrosiaster,” *TRE* 2:357, says that Ambrosiaster's clerical status cannot be determined for certain. Peter Brown refers to him without discussion as an “anonymous Roman priest”: *The Body and Society: Men, Women, and Sexual Renunciation in Early Christianity* (New York: Columbia University Press, 1988), 377. Lydia Speller suggests that Ambrosiaster was “a presbyter who once hoped to be bishop”: “Ambrosiaster and the Jews,” *StPatr* 17 (1982): 75.

22. See the extensive discussion in Lunn-Rockcliffe, *Ambrosiaster's Political Theology*, 106–26, and the discussion below in §7.1.

23. See In Eph. 4:11–12 (§§2–5) and the discussion in Maurice Bévenot, “Ambrosiaster's Thoughts on Christian Priesthood,” *HeyJ* 18 (1977): 152–64; and David G. Hunter, “The Significance of Ambrosiaster,” *J ECS* 17 (2009): 1–26.

24. In 1 Cor. 14:14: on Latin Christians singing hymns in Greek; *Quaest.* 120 (CSEL 50:361–63): on fasting; *Quaest.* 127.3 (CSEL 50:400), In 1 Cor. 7:40, and In 1 Tim. 3:12 (§1): on nuptial blessings.

25. *Quaest.* 127.35–36 (CSEL 50.414–16); see In 1 Cor. 7:5 (§§1–2).

26. See the opening words of *Quaest.* 120 (CSEL 50:361): *Congruum est, fratres carissimi, devotissime dei sacerdotem et praepositum plebes Christi exortari populum suum sub cura sua positum in doctrina sana, sicut mandat apostolus.* Lunn-Rockcliffe, *Ambrosiaster's Political Theology*, 74–75, provides an extensive list of the sermonic elements in Ambrosiaster's *Quaestiones*.

the great cemetery churches at Rome outside the city walls. These Roman presbyters, unlike those within the city proper, had the right to preside, preach, and consecrate the eucharistic elements.²⁷ This suggestion is very convincing, and it helps to explain what seems to be Ambrosiaster's status as simultaneously an insider and an outsider to clerical culture at Rome. He was deeply involved in pastoral and liturgical activities, and yet he sometimes cast a critical eye at the behavior of his confrères in church office. Nevertheless, he offers strong arguments for the authority of clerical office and, in particular, for the prerogatives of presbyters. While none of these arguments in itself is conclusive, the cumulative import of the evidence strongly suggests that Ambrosiaster was a Roman presbyter, most likely at one of the suburban churches.

27. Lunn-Rockliffe, *Ambrosiaster's Political Theology*, 80–86. Lunn-Rockliffe, 84, acknowledges the contribution of an unpublished paper by Janet Fairweather, which had previously pointed to the Church of St. Paul-outside-the-Walls as a possible location for Ambrosiaster's pastoral activity.