TEXTUAL SIGNPOSTS IN THE ARGUMENT OF ROMANS



EARLY CHRISTIANITY AND ITS LITERATURE

Shelly Matthews, General Editor

Editorial Board: Jennifer A. Glancy Joseph A. Marchal Anders Runesson Janet Spittler Matthew Thiessen

Number 25

S



TEXTUAL SIGNPOSTS IN THE ARGUMENT OF ROMANS

A Relevance-Theory Approach

Sarah H. Casson



SBL PRESS

Atlanta

Copyright © 2019 by Sarah H. Casson

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by means of any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission should be addressed in writing to the Rights and Permissions Office, SBL Press, 825 Houston Mill Road, Atlanta, GA 30329 USA.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Casson, Sarah H., author.

Title: Textual signposts in the argument of Romans : a relevance-theory approach / by Sarah H. Casson.

Description: Atlanta : SBL Press, 2019. | Series: Early Christianity and its literature ; Number 25 | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2019000485 (print) | LCCN 2019011400 (ebook) | ISBN 9780884143598 (ebk.) | ISBN 9781628372397 (pbk. : alk. paper) | ISBN 9780884143581 (hbk. : alk. paper)

Subjects: LCSH: Bible. Romans-Criticism, interpretation, etc.

Classification: LCC BS2665.52 (ebook) | LCC BS2665.52 .C37 2019 (print) | DDC 227/.1066—dc23

LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2019000485

Printed on acid-free paper.

In memory of Trevor Patterson, 1960–2017 evangelist, pastor, and friend Romans 5:5





Contents

For	eword by Edward Adams	ix
Ack	knowledgments	xiii
Abl	breviations	XV
1.	Why Study γάρ in Romans?	1
	1.1. Traditional Accounts of γάρ	4
	1.2. Linguistic Accounts of γάρ	15
	1.3. New Light on γάρ, New Light on Romans: Contours,	
	Contributions, and Claims of the Study	21
2.	Relevance Theory and Perspectives on Romans	27
	2.1. Introducing Relevance Theory	27
	2.2. Pointing to Inferences: Procedural Meaning	35
	2.3. Relevance Theory and Biblical Interpretation	40
	2.4. Background Issues	45
3.	Consistent Yet Context-Sensitive: The Guidance yap Gives	61
	3.1. Methodological Matters	62
	3.2. Straightforward but Backward: Instructions for	
	Strengthening	70
	3.3. Strengthening Guidance in More Complex Cases:	
	Romans 7:7–20	84
	3.4. Flagging Up Reinforcements: Romans 14:1–12	106
4.	What Kind of Strengthening? Problematic Examples	123
	4.1. Romans 2:25: Questions of Coherence	125
	4.2. Romans 5:6–7: Parenthesis or Adjustment?	131
	4.3. Romans 9:11–12: Complex Syntax	143
	4.4. Romans 7:1: An Aside	148
	4.5. Romans 6:19–20: A Supporting Exhortation?	153

Contents

5.	 4.7. The Procedural Guidance γάρ Gives: A Summary γάρ as Exegetical Signpost: Pointing a Path through the Undergrowth 5.1. Romans 4:1–2: Testing Interpretations 5.2. Romans 10:4–5: Respecting Coherence 	167 173 174
5.	the Undergrowth	
	5.1. Romans 4:1–2: Testing Interpretations	
	e i	174
	5.2 Romans 10:4–5: Respecting Coherence	
	5.2. Romano 10.1 5. Respecting Concrence	187
6.	γάρ as Communicative Signpost: Guidance in Tracing	
	Paul's Argument	203
	6.1. Direction and Center of the Argument of Romans	204
	6.2. Romans 1:15–18: Which Way Lies Relevance?	207
	6.3. Romans 15:7–13: Bringing It All Together	245
	6.4. Tracing the Path of Argument in Romans 1–4	263
	6.5. A Roman-Focused Reading	267
7.	Relevance for Romans and Beyond	269
	7.1. A Crucial Communicative Clue	269
	7.2. Interpretative Implications and Interdisciplinary Fruit	273
App	pendix A: Glossary	
App	pendix B: Occurrences of γάρ in Romans	
	liography	
	cient Sources Index	
	dern Authors Index	

S

viii

Foreword

I have long been convinced that linguistics has a great deal to offer New Testament scholarship. The field of Bible translation has been particularly receptive to new developments in linguistics, rather more so than has been New Testament studies. A recent development within linguistics and pragmatics that has had quite an influence in Bible translation circles but is less known to New Testament scholars is relevance theorya broad framework for explaining how human communication works and why it is (usually) successful. The theory posits that communication is based on a shared understanding between a communicator and her addressees that the message being communicated will be relevant to the latter. Addressees will process the message, within a particular context, in order to arrive at the most relevant, which is to say the most readily accessible, interpretation of it. That interpretation will often enough coincide with the interpretation intended by the communicator. Languages tend to be equipped with expressions that a speaker may employ to direct her hearers toward inferences to be drawn in interpretation (in English, expressions such as "you see," "indeed"). These words do not convey conceptual meaning; rather they serve as "procedural markers," giving guidance to hearers as to how to construe a given utterance. Sarah Casson, the author of this volume, is experienced in the field of Bible translation in minority languages, and has found relevance theory helpful for her translation work. In this book, she applies the theoretical framework, and in particular the notion of procedural meaning, to the Greek word γάρ in Paul's Letter to the Romans.

The lexeme $\gamma \alpha \rho$ is one of the most frequently occurring words in the New Testament. It occurs in every book of the New Testament, in some books more than others (just once each in 2 John and Jude). Romans has the highest concentration of instances (144). The word is found in verses widely regarded as theologically significant or pivotal in Paul's argument (Rom 1:16, 17, 18, etc.). Traditionally, $\gamma \alpha \rho$ is regarded as a

Foreword

causal or explanatory particle, and thus rendered "for" in English, but in many cases, in both Romans and more widely, the causal and explanatory senses do not seem to fit. In an effort to accommodate the diversity of actual usage, lexical entries on $\gamma \alpha \rho$ are often complex, adding a host of subdefinitions to the primary causal and explanatory meanings. Commentators on the Greek text of Romans exhibit one of two tendencies as they deal with $\gamma \alpha \rho$: either they treat it as a particle with a fixed causal or explanatory meaning; or, swayed by lists of subclassifications in standard lexicons, they view it as a malleable word whose meaning varies from cotext to cotext. Both tendencies are problematic: the former struggles to account for seemingly irregular uses; the latter misses the potential importance of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ as a consistent discourse signal. Taking up and extending a hypothesis first mooted by the linguist and Bible translation scholar Regina Blass, and working through a range of examples in Romans, Sarah makes a compelling case that $\gamma \alpha \rho$ is best understood as a procedural cue, guiding towards particular inferences to be drawn, thus reducing ambiguity. In giving a procedural account of $\gamma \alpha \rho$ in Romans, she is able to attribute to the word a single and stable communicative function, while satisfactorily explaining all the variations in usage.

This is a significant achievement on its own, but Sarah goes further. She shows that a procedural view of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is a valuable aid to the interpretation of Romans, whether at the close-up level of the excegsis of individual verses or the wider horizon of the letter's larger argument. On an excegtical level, it helps to constrain interpretation, permitting some readings of a verse while excluding others. On a wider plane, it helps interpreters to track the flow and coherence of Paul's argument and discern his main points and concerns. Sarah thus intervenes in scholarly disputes about the interpretation of particular verses, such as 1:18, 4:2 and 10:4, showing how some influential readings are rendered unlikely by a procedural view of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. She also offers fresh insight into the much-debated structure of Rom 1–4 and makes a distinctive contribution to the Romans Debate, the discussion about Paul's purpose in writing the letter.

So, then, this volume is not just about a tiny Greek connecting word; it is about how to read Romans, Paul's most influential letter, indeed the most influential letter ever written. I am confident that Sarah's book will become a standard reference point for exegetes of Romans. The book is an example of the merits of interdisciplinary research for New Testament studies, showing how developments in related fields can shed fresh light on the interpretation of the biblical text. It is to be hoped that the book Foreword

will help to foster greater dialogue between the often-separate worlds of Bible translation studies and New Testament studies, based on a mutual recognition of the value of linguistics for illuminating the biblical text.

Edward Adams





Acknowledgments

The seeds of this project were sown while grappling with $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ as I worked with Congolese translators of the Bible into minority languages in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Searching for the best way to render $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ in fresh languages threw up fundamental questions about its communicative role.

I owe a particular debt of gratitude to Eddie Adams, without whose encouragement I would never have embarked on this research, nor stayed the course. I am tremendously grateful for his incisive criticism, thorough reading of my copious drafts, and openness to debating all kinds of perspectives. I am also thankful to John Barclay and Sarah Whittle for their rigorous criticism, and their encouragement to publish my work, and for John Barclay's gracious and meticulous correction of errors in my manuscript. Many thanks also go to David Horrell for reading the manuscript and accepting it for publication in the Early Christianity and Its Literature series of SBL Press. I have greatly appreciated his painstaking engagement with my manuscript, his detailed suggestions for improvements, and his encouragement. All remaining errors are my own responsibility. I am also grateful to Nicole Tilford of SBL Press for her patient and invaluable guidance in the editing process.

I would like to thank various colleagues and friends for their moral support, and their willingness to act as sounding boards during this research, in particular, Margaret Sim, Ronnie Sim, Steve Nicolle, Stephen Lim, Philip Richardson, John Casson, Johnny Patterson, Rieko Ishibuchi, Elizabeth and Eddie Thomas, and Nick Cocking. I am particularly grateful to my colleagues at Shalom University, Bunia, Democratic Republic of Congo, for their enthusiasm for my research, for their inspiring example of resilience and perseverance against all the odds, and for being willing to release me for a time to complete this project. Finally, my thanks go to many generous friends and financial partners who have supported me over many years of work in Bible translation in Africa. I am particuAcknowledgments

larly grateful to Holy Trinity Church, Richmond, and to our former vicar, Trevor Patterson, so greatly missed. His last words to me were an enthusiastic exhortation to publish this research as a book.



xiv

Abbreviations

AB	Anchor Bible Commentary
ASCP	Amsterdam Studies in Classical Philology
BDAG	Danker, Fredrick W., Walter Bauer, William F. Arndt,
	and F. Wilbur Gingrich. A Greek-English Lexicon of the
	New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature. 3rd
	ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000.
BDF	Blass, Friedrich, Albert Debrunner, and Robert W. Funk.
	A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early
	Christian Literature. Chicago: University of Chicago
	Press, 1961.
BHL	Blackwell Handbooks in Linguistics
B.J.	Josephus, Bellum judaicum
BLG	Biblical Languages: Greek
BNTC	Black's New Testament Commentaries
BSac	Biblia Sacra
BZNW	Beiheft zur Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissen-
	schaft und die Kunde der älteren Kirche
С. Ар.	Josephus, Contra Apionem
CBET	Contributions to Biblical Exegesis and Theology
CBQ	Catholic Biblical Quarterly
ConBNT	Coniectanea Biblica New Testament
CSCO	Corpus scriptorum Christianorum orientalium
CSEL	Corpus scriptorum ecclesiasticorum latinorum
CSL	Cambridge Studies in Linguistics
CurBR	Currents in Biblical Research
Disc.	Epictetus, Discourses
EBib	Etudes bibliques
EKKNT	Evangelisch-katholischer Kommentar zum Neuen Testa-
	ment
Ep.	Seneca, Epistulae morales

xvi	Abbreviations
ESV	English Standard Version
FC	La Bible Ancien et Nouveau Testament en français cou-
	rant. Pierrefitte: Société biblique française, 1982.
GBS	Guides to Biblical Scholarship
GN	Die Bibel in heutigem Deutsch: Die Gute Nachricht des
	Alten und Neuen Testaments. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibel-
HDC	gesellschaft, 1984. High Definition Commentary
HNT	Handbuch zum Neuen Testament
HThKNT	Herders theologischer Kommentar zum Neuen Testament
IBC	Interpretation: A Bible Commentary for Teaching and
ibe	Preaching
ICC	International Critical Commentary
JBL	Journal of Biblical Literature
JSNT	Journal for the Study of the New Testament
JSNTSup	Journal for the Study of the New Testament Supplement
	Series
KEK	Kritisch-exegetischer Kommentar über das Neue Testa- ment
KJV	King James Version
KNT	Kommentar zum Neuen Testament
LCC	Library of Christian Classics
LCL	Loeb Classical Library
LNTS	Library of New Testament Studies
LSJ	Liddell, Henry G., Robert Scott, and Henry S. Jones. A
,	Greek-English Lexicon. 9th ed. with revised supplement.
	Oxford: Clarendon, 1996.
LXX	Rahlfs, Alfred. Septuaginta: Id est Vetus Testamentum
	Graece iuxta LXX interpres. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelge-
	sellschaft, 1935.
Metam.	Ovid, Metamorphoses
MNTC	Moffatt New Testament Commentary
MS	Mnemosyne Supplementum
NA ²⁸	Aland, Barbara, Kurt Aland, Johannes Karavidopoulos,
	Carlo M. Martini, and Bruce M. Metzger, eds. Novum
	Testamentum Graece. 28th ed. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibel-
	gesellschaft, 2012.
NBS	La nouvelle Bible Segond. Société biblique française,
	2002.

Abbreviations

NET	New English Translation
NICNT	New International Commentary on the New Testament
NIV	New International Version, 1984.
NLT	New Living Translation
Notes	Notes on Translation
NovT	Novum Testamentum
NRSV	New Revised Standard Version
NTL	New Testament Library
NTS	New Testament Studies
NTTS	New Testament Tools and Studies
PdV	Bible Parole de Vie. Société biblique française, 2000.
PTSDSSP	Princeton Theological Seminary Dead Sea Scrolls Project
RBS	Resources for Biblical Study
REB	Revised English Bible
Rhet.	Aristotle, <i>Rhetoric</i>
RSV	Revised Standard Version
SBLDS	Society of Biblical Literature Dissertation Series
SBLMS	Society of Biblical Literature Monograph Series
SBT	Studies in Biblical Theology
ScEs	Science et Esprit
SD	Studies and Documents
Semeur	La Bible Version du Semeur. Société biblique internatio-
	nale, 2000.
SIGC	Studien zur interkulturellen Geschichte des Christentums
SNTSMS	Society for New Testament Studies Monograph Series
SubBi	Subsidia Biblica
SymS	Symposium Series
TB	Theologische Bücherei
UBS ⁵	Aland, Barbara, Kurt Aland, Johannes Karavidopoulos,
	Carlo M. Martini, and Bruce M. Metzger, eds. The Greek
	New Testament. 5th ed. Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelgesell-
	schaft; United Bible Societies, 2014.
WMANT	Wissenschaftliche Monographien zum Alten und Neuen
	Testament
WUNT	Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament