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**MODULE AIMS**

This course explores the characterization and role of women in the Hebrew Bible in English translation, with a view to understanding the patriarchal context in which these traditions evolved. Students will develop the capacity to critically assess a variety of ancient and modern approaches to the biblical texts, including feminist exegesis and gender criticism, among others. Archaeological data and other external evidence, including papyri, epigraphic sources and available case law (particularly when these do not support the ideology of the biblical writers) will also be examined.

**GENERIC LEARNING OUTCOMES**

Students will develop the ability to interpret biblical texts critically in translation and also gain:

- The skills to analyze and evaluate secondary literature relating to issues of gender, status and the subordination of women.
- An understanding of the role of inner-biblical exegesis and its importance.
- An awareness of the significance of ancient translations and versions, together with their role in shaping subsequent interpretations.
- An appreciation of the historical context of the biblical scribes, particularly in light of relevant extra-biblical sources.

**SPECIFIC LEARNING OUTCOMES**

Students will gain the skills to produce written work that engages sensitively with issues of gender, status and the position of women in the Hebrew Bible, avoiding oversimplification and identifying the complexities inherent in the text. They will additionally acquire:

- The ability to independently locate ancient and modern interpretations on any aspect of women and gender in the Hebrew Bible.
- The ability to develop a short, oral presentation that can generate further discussion.
- The opportunity to engage in constructive scholarly debate with other students.
TEACHING METHOD

Sessions will be interactive, with lecture handouts provided at each class. In preparation, relevant texts and articles for students to read in advance will be identified on these handouts. Those who may have missed the class will be able to download hand-outs from the electronic blackboard (Keats) shortly after each lecture and will be expected to prepare for future sessions appropriately.

Students need to bring to class a copy of this syllabus, together with any English language translation of the Hebrew Bible. For all (oral and written) assignments, any edition of either of the following translations is specified:

- JPS/NJPS: The (New) Jewish Publication Society Bible

For those who may be considering purchasing a copy of either of these volumes, the NRSV “cross-referenced” edition is particularly helpful for locating textual parallels in English translation. The designation “Hebrew Bible” is used in scholarship to avoid conveying the erroneous impression that together the “Old” and “New” Testaments constitute a continuous corpus: The text of the latter was written in a different language, at a different time and cannot be placed in the same critical framework. A chronological outline of ancient Israelite and Judean history is provided as a separate resource for this module on Keats, and students may find it helpful to have this with them in classes for reference.

ASSESSMENT

There are three components to the assessment of this course:

i. A 400-500-word (5-6 minute) class presentation (15%)
ii. A 2500-word course essay (35%)
iii. A 3500-word end-of-session essay (50%)

For all assessed work, students are expected to access further material independently: both electronically from the databases accessed through the library catalogue, but also from within the University of London libraries and the British Library.

(i) CLASS PRESENTATIONS

Each member of the class is expected to prepare a short presentation (c. 400-500 words, lasting no more than six minutes) on any of topics listed below and must sign up for this on the departmental notice board by 9:30am on 29 January 2013. No more than two student presentations (per topic) are scheduled and these will be followed by a class discussion of the most interesting aspects raised. Students should be prepared to answer questions from the tutor and the audience, and need to ensure that they can address any linguistic difficulties in the relevant biblical texts. After 9:30am on 29 January 2013, any change to the arrangement of this assessment can only be authorized by Dr David Crankshaw. Power-point facilities cannot be used, although students can provide hand-outs to accompany their presentation. Hand-outs are not assessed formally for examination purposes. The assessment criteria are available at: http://www.kcl.ac.uk/schools/humanities/depts/trs/myhandbook/assessment/ugassess/presentations.html
Assessed Presentation Topics:

29 January – Marriage Matters
  ▪ 1. Defining Incest
  ▪ 2. Defining Adultery

05 February – Manipulating Women
  ▪ 3. Rebecca and Her Teraphim
  ▪ 4. Rachel: “Do Not Put a Stumbling Block Before the Blind”

12 February - Trophy Wives:
  ▪ 5. Rahab: “God's Trophy Whore”
  ▪ 6. Michal, daughter of Saul

26 February - Smashing Women
  ▪ 7. Athalya
  ▪ 8. Jezebel

05 March – More Smashing Women (Post-Biblical Literature)
  ▪ 9. Yael
  ▪ 10. Judith

12 March - Any Aspect of Female Imagery in one of the following:
  ▪ 11. Isaiah, Ezekiel, Hosea
  ▪ 12. Proverbs or Psalms

19 March - Literary Stereotypes?
  ▪ Esther and Vashti
  ▪ Ruth and Orpah

(ii) FIRST ASSESSED ESSAY

A 2,500 word essay is required, to be selected from one of the following titles:

1. “Eve Was Framed”

Evaluate the sources in Genesis which suggest that “Eve was framed” and trace their reception in early Christian and/or subsequent rabbinic tradition. Close attention to the biblical text and its early translations is required, when identifying the consequence of each divine curse and punishment.


NB: Helena Kennedy's account of the inequalities facing women in British courts does not include a critical analysis of the biblical text.
2. The Sacrifice of Sarah?

“From exclusion to elimination, denial to death, the attachment of Genesis 22 to patriarchy has given us not the sacrifice of Isaac (for that we are grateful) but the sacrifice of Sarah (for that we mourn). By her absence from the narrative and her subsequent death, Sarah has been sacrificed by patriarchy to patriarchy.” Evaluate this interpretation of the binding of Isaac, with particular reference to ancient sources.


3. A Cushite Heroine?

Examine the difficulties in interpreting Exodus 4:24-26, with a view to understanding how the all-male biblical scribes allowed a foreign woman (Tzipporah) to activate divine salvation.


For each of the above titles, students must provide at least one interpretation from each of these following areas in this assessment:

- An example of inner-biblical exegesis
- Exegesis from an ancient source: i.e. Dead Sea Scrolls, apocrypha, pseudepigrapha, LXX, Vulgate, Targums, Midrash, etc.
- Any medieval to early modern interpretation (i.e. from c950 and no later than c1750)
- Any modern or post-modern approach

Marks will be deducted from answers which omit interpretation from any one of the above categories. Students will be provided with a suggested bibliography (at the first class) that will be useful for preparing this assignment.

The deadline for this essay is 12:00 noon on Monday 25 February 2013, by which time this must be submitted via the assessment submission section of the KEATS area for the module.

(iii) END OF SESSION ASSESSED ESSAY

A final 3500-word essay must be submitted online by 12:00 noon on 29 April 2013:

1. Questions of Paternity

“Anxiety about paternity is such an overriding concern of biblical patriarchy that additional precautions in the case of priests seem superfluous, beyond emphasizing that concern even further.” Why was paternity such “an overriding concern” in biblical memory? Identify manifestations of such anxieties in either the birth account of Isaac, or of Solomon, clarifying also their relationship to any “additional precautions” in Priestly law.
2. Habitual Disempowerment?

Tikva Frymer-Kensky has argued that the heroines of Exodus “were proactive and assertive even while the men were passive, reactive or absent. They continued to function strongly and decisively even in conditions of dire oppression. And they stood up to overwhelming power. Political power, paternal power, even divine power failed to deter these women. What enabled them to act in the face of overwhelming odds? Ironically, the empowering element may be their habitual disempowerment.”

Is this evaluation of the “habitual disempowerment” of biblical women convincing? Suggest your own reasons why explicitly positive depictions of women were preserved by (all-male) biblical scribes. Answers need not be restricted to women in the book of Exodus, but must exclude reference to Eve, Sarah and Tzipporah in your answer.


3. Equality and Subordination

Does the presence of women in biblical ceremonials demonstrate their equality? Answers should refer to the exclusion of women from positions of authority in judicial and cultic processes in ancient Israelite and Judean records and also discuss the significance of women’s exclusion from the covenant of circumcision.

Amnon Shapira’s “Participation of Women Along With Men in National Ceremonies of Entering or Renewing Covenants”, will be provided on Keats.

4. Female and Male Slaves

Evaluate Bernard Jackson’s suggestion that tripartite breeding arrangements for female and male slaves developed largely due to the fact that marriage was only weakly institutionalized in biblical law. What other factors might have contributed to encouraging such arrangements?


5. Fear of Females?

Examine the prohibition: “You shall not let a female sorceress live” and suggest reasons why only a female, rather than male, witch posed such a severe danger in Priestly law.


**Essay Guidelines and Submission Procedure**

Each essay is the equivalent of an exam and is to be treated with the same seriousness. No extension to the deadline can be given except for medical or other serious reasons, and then only by permission of the Chair of the Examination Board, Dr David Crankshaw, if appropriate documentation is provided. Please also check the guidelines on plagiarism, which are in the Departmental handbook follow these additional guidelines:

a) Your essay should not exceed the word limit. This limit includes all footnotes/endnotes, though excludes the bibliography, which you are expected to provide. There is a 5% tolerance: no penalty will be incurred for submissions that are up to 5% over the word limit. But, beyond that tolerance band, two marks will be deducted for every 5% of excess words until 50% is reached. After 50%, three marks will normally be deducted for each further 5% of excess words.

b) It must contain, on the cover-sheet, an accurate declaration concerning the word count.

c) It must be type-written. Hand-written manuscripts will not be marked.

d) Accurate citation of sources, in such a manner as to enable a reader easily to identify and locate them, is very important in academic writing. Your essay must consistently be set out in accordance with a recognized citation system and you are encouraged to follow the College’s ISS Citing References guide, which can be accessed via the website. Please note that the presentation of your work, including the standard of English and the quality of source referencing and bibliographical provision, has a strong bearing on the mark given for it.

1. For Hard-Copy Submission:

   - Each essay must have, securely attached to it, the appropriate departmental cover-sheet, with the requisite information inserted completely, accurately and legibly. Pay careful attention when giving your candidate number, which changes for each academic year, as your work cannot successfully be attributed to you on the College systems if this information is incomplete, wrong or illegible. If necessary, ask a friend to check the number that you have written. Cover-sheets for download are available online in student hand-book and may also be obtained from outside 8E Chesham Building.
   - Your essay and coversheet must be submitted via the box found outside 8E Chesham Building. Submissions will be date-stamped on receipt. Late submissions will not be accepted for marking, unless an extension has been granted by the Chair of the BA
Programme Board of Examiners on the basis of an Extension Request Form (ERF), supplied with supporting evidence, or comes to be granted retrospectively on grounds of a Mitigating Circumstance Form (MCF), also furnished with corroborative evidence. Please note that extensions cannot be granted by individual module teachers. ERFs and MCFs can be downloaded from the Policy Zone of the College website. Only hard copies are accepted.

2. For Online Submission:

- The first page of the submitted coursework must be a School of Arts & Humanities cover-sheet (downloadable via the departmental handbook), with the requisite information inserted completely and accurately. Please pay careful attention when giving your candidate number, which changes for each academic year, as your work cannot successfully be attributed to you on the College systems if this information is incomplete or wrong.
- Your work must be submitted via the assessment submission section of the KEATS area for the module, by the published deadline. It will not be possible to upload a late submission, unless an extension has been granted by the Chair of the BA Programme Board of Examiners on the basis of an Extension Request Form (ERF), supplied with supporting evidence, or comes to be granted retrospectively on grounds of a Mitigating Circumstance Form (MCF), also furnished with corroborative evidence. Please note that extensions cannot be granted by individual module teachers. ERFs and MCFs can be downloaded from the Policy Zone of the College website. These forms can only be submitted as hard copies to the TRS office.

**LECTURE SCHEDULE**

1) 15 January 2013: Course Introduction
    (a) Interpretative Strategies: Feminist Exegesis and Gender Criticism, among others
    (b) Eve: Crown or Curse?
    (c) Discussion of Assessed Presentation Requirements

2) 22 January: The “Disposable Wife”
    (a) The “Ancestor in Danger” Narratives: Genesis 12:10-20, 20:1-14 and 26:2-11
    (b) Mibtahya: A Jewish Woman in the Elephantine Papyrii
    (c) Discussion of First Essay Requirements

3) 29 January: Concubines and Covenants
    (a) Hagar, Keturah, Rizpah and Judges 19:1-27
    Class Presentations: Marriage Matters

4) 05 February: “Sex and The Single Girl”
    (a) Rape in Biblical Law and Narrative
    (b) The Female Captive: Deuteronomy 21:10-14, Judges 5:30, Judith 16:4
    Class Presentations: Manipulating Women

5) 12 February: “Daddy’s Daughters” and Inheritance Matters
    (a) Achsah (Judges 1:11-16) and Numbers 26:33, 27:1-11
    (b) The Case of Tamar (Genesis 38): The Interface of Law and Narrative?
    Class Presentations: Trophy Wives
6) 26 February: Punishing Women in Biblical Law
   (a) Vicarious Punishment and the Talionic Formulation
   (b) “You Shall Cut Off Her Palm!” Corporal Punishment in Deuteronomistic Law
Class Presentations: Smashing Women

7) 05 March: Women in Prophetic Memory
   (a) “You Cows of Bashan!” (Amos 4:1) and the Marriage Metaphor in Jeremiah 2:9-32
   (b) Foreign Wives (Ezra 7-10)
Class Presentations: More Smashing Women (Post-Biblical Literature)

8) 12 March: “In the Wake of the Goddess” and the Reconstruction of Women’s Religion
   (a) Worship of the Queen of Heaven: Jeremiah 7:16-20, 44:15-19 and 25
   (b) Evidence from Kuntillat ‘Ajrud
Class Presentations: Aspects of Female Imagery

9) 19 March: Women in Second Temple Literature
   (a) Susannah: “A Very Beautiful Woman and One Who Feared the Lord”
   (b) Controlling Women: In Ben Sira and at Qumran.
Class Presentations: Literary Stereotypes

10) Date TBC: NATIONAL GALLERY CLASS TRIP: “Biblical Women in Renaissance Art”

MAIN BIBLIOGRAPHY


Bennett, H. Injustice Made Legal: Deuteronomic Law and the Plight of Widows, Strangers and Orphans in Ancient Israel (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2002).


Frymer-Kensky, T. Reading the Women of the Bible (Schoken: New York, 2002).


INTRODUCTORY BIBLICAL STUDIES MATERIALS

For students who are new to this discipline, or wish to refresh their existing knowledge, the following introductory guides are recommended:


Clines, D. *The Theme of the Pentateuch* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1997).


For those who wish to consult just one concise introductory volume then *The Torah: A Beginner’s Guide* is excellent. It has been jointly written jointly by a Christian and a Jew, so that the implications for the reception of the biblical text in both religions are fully explained.
ADDITIONAL RECOMMENDATIONS IN THE MAUGHAN LIBRARY:

- Kugel, J. L. *The Bible As It Was* (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press, 1997). This offers a selection of responses in the early reception history of the Pentateuch, to some of the difficulties inherent in the text. James Kugel has published several revised editions of this volume. It is recommended that students consult any edition of this volume when preparing their first course essay.
- Rofé, A. *An Introduction to the Literature of the Hebrew Bible* (Jerusalem: Simor, 2009). Alexander Rofé provides a comprehensive text-critical analysis of the biblical texts (i.e. one which focuses upon the dating of sources and the availability of earliest manuscripts).

TRADITIONAL HISTORICAL-CRITICAL APPROACHES:


LITERARY APPROACHES


Dr Sandra Jacobs
Office hours: 12:15-13:15 Tuesdays (Lent term only) and also by appointment
Temporary Lecturers Office (access restricted by swipe card)
B Floor: Chesham.

sandra.jacobs@kcl.ac.uk