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INTRODUCTION

This collection of essays represents a selection of fifty seven articles on textual criticism which I published in various journals and books over the past forty three years.

The introductory section (I) includes articles on the methodology I apply. My approach to textual criticism has been dubbed ‘thorough-going eclecticism’ and it follows the paths laid by George Kilpatrick and, before him, C.H. Turner and A.C. Clark. The first chapter is for a general readership, possibly new to the field; it is a condensed version of the inaugural lecture I delivered at the University of Leeds on the occasion of my appointment to a personal chair as Professor of New Testament Textual Criticism and, as such, was obviously directed to non-specialists. Chapter 2 is related to it; originally it served as an introduction to a specialist conference in Lille on second-century Christianity. In it I focus on how textual criticism can play its part in providing useful insights into that era. Chapter 3 is more narrowly directed by setting out thoroughgoing eclecticism’s guiding principles. That too came from a conference (in 1998 as one of a number of such events sponsored by the Scriptorium Center for Christian Antiquities at Hampton Court, Herefordshire) during which differing text-critical methodologies were explored. I show awareness there of charges made against thoroughgoing eclecticism.1

Thoroughgoing eclectic critics are often misrepresented as having little interest in manuscripts, codicology or palaeography. Kilpatrick and I never subscribed to the opinion, still sometimes to be heard, that we treat manuscripts as mere carriers of variant readings. In practice I have been concerned with the age and character of manuscripts as well as their distinctive readings. It is true that thoroughgoing criticism does not favour a reading merely because it occurs in a “superior” witness or comes from a particular group of manuscripts, nor if it appears in an old manuscript, nor as part of the majority of witnesses.

Nevertheless, the character of a manuscript may often be determinative: a scribe prone to omission or one given to glosses or the expansion of divine names, for example, would be treated with due caution when one is assessing variants of those types.

In any case, all manuscripts are seen as having been used by their original owners as containing the canonical scriptural text, and thus their readings, however maverick a modern critic may brand them, would once have been read as relevant and orthodox. Section II has chapters on some of the popular manuscripts I have examined: $P^{45}$, Codex Vaticanus, and Codex Bezae. Chapter 6 on Bezae came from a conference devoted to a study of that manuscript in Lunel in 1994. Chapter 7 contains my edition of a peculiar Greek-Coptic bilingual manuscript (0205), which is still intriguing: the current registry of Greek New Testament Manuscripts is inclined to link it, falsely in my view, with 0129 0203 and lectionaries 1575 1576. More attention to the interrelationship, if any, of all these registered witnesses is needed. Two other articles in this section deal with broader topics: the manuscript heritage of Acts and of Revelation, both those being books with distinctive textual characteristics, setting themselves apart from other New Testament books.

Given the prominence accorded papyri witnesses by many Biblical scholars, I have kept my eye open for publications of further New Testament fragments that may be relevant in an apparatus. Articles I have published on recently edited Oxyrhynchus papyri are included here. (Other discussions, some ‘forthcoming’, where I have engaged with their alleged importance, may be seen in my Bibliography.)

Inevitably, articles of this sort in section II need frequent updating as more manuscripts are registered, and in some cases my figures and statistics may sometimes be slightly out of date. For the current state of play with the registered manuscripts the electronic list maintained by the Institut für neutestamentliche Textforschung in Münster needs to be consulted via their website links.

When we turn to section III we reach the kernel of thoroughgoing principles and practice. Subsection A deals with textual variants. We start off in chapter 12 with a sequence of short studies of particular grammatical and stylistic features. The influence of G.D. Kilpatrick lies behind the seven articles reproduced here, ordered by date of the original printing. When first published, they were acknowledged to be based on papers I had inherited as Kilpatrick’s literary executor and on topics we had discussed together. Those were printed with the
approval of his family. They appear again in recognition of his ongoing inspiration. Elsewhere, I was privileged to reproduce many of the articles Kilpatrick himself had published in a collection that appeared soon after his death, and references to many of those articles are found in footnotes throughout the present collection as will be clear from the index.

Chapter 13 is a sample of interesting variants that display my methodology. Those are ordered by Biblical sequence. Many other earlier pieces had appeared in a collection I published in 1992. Chapters 14–20 contain a series of longer articles on important text-critical cruces. For example, chapter 16 concerns the endings of Mark, a perennial interest of mine—and indeed of most textual critics. The chapter here came from a symposium held at Wake Forest, NC to highlight differing approaches to the problem of the textual traditions at the end of Mark. The proceedings, which included my contribution, were assessed by Darrell Bock in a concluding section of the published collection and it is gratifying that he found my approach convincing.

Chapter 17 collects together a number of variation units in Acts which I discuss in relation to two then recently published books. A number of these are discussed in relation to Atticism, to author’s style and to homoioteleuton—all constantly applied topics in my work on textual criticism. Chapter 18 is related to 17 and also sets out a number of these text-critical topics and adds to those variants relating to Semitisms, to the place of the LXX and to orthography in relation to variants in Acts. Chapter 19 deals with a problem comparable to the variants at the end of Mark, namely the problem of the endings to Romans. Again, language and author’s usage are dominant in the discussion.

Subsection B on exegesis and textual criticism is in many respects an unnecessary and false division because all the discussions in section A are concerned with variants found in witnesses that were deemed to be manuscripts of canonical scripture. No variants should be treated as instances where one ‘merely’ proves the likeliest direction of change from an original to a secondary text. There, as in section B, the concern

---


should be to assess the significance of all readings, their meaning and the probable motives for change. The discussion of the ‘meaning’ is, of course, ‘exegesis’ in the jargon of the discipline. In chapter 21 I assemble three studies on Mark 1:45, representing differing angles on a problematic verse. Chapter 22 is a long study of a particularly problematic textual crux, the Parable of the Two Boys in Matthew 21. The study following, on Hebrews 6, uses my text-critical work on language and style to try to resolve another theological crux, the issue of post-baptismal sin. Chapter 23 on marriage in 1 Corinthians 7 contains a number of exegetical and text-critical issues, which I examined many years ago but which I still stand by. Again, I try to base my arguments on language and author’s usage.

Sub-section C brings us to the synoptic problem. Prompted by the stimulating exchanges during the Studiorum Novi Testamenti Societas’ synoptic problem seminars at its annual meetings, which I regularly attended, I began to examine the rôle of the text printed in many synopses as well as overarching issues of the bias (or otherwise) of published synoptic presentations and, more crucially, my analyses of the decisions reached about the synoptic problem when only one particular Greek text was employed. I was also involved in many of the special conferences convened to assess what is often called the new Griesbach theory propounded by William R. Farmer and Bernard Orchard. Their colloquia reached a climax in a lengthy conference in Jerusalem in 1992. Its proceedings included my piece on the influence of printed editions of Greek synopses on the synoptic problem, included here as chapter 26.

Chapter 24 examines the distinctive text of the synoptic gospels in the synopses edited by Aland, by Orchard and by Greeven and, in the process, notes some corrigenda that were required. In so far as Aland’s Synopsis is concerned many were attended to after its 12th edition, but most of the general points I made then still apply to the later editions. I note that the term ‘Standard Text’ to refer to the Nestle text, and to which great objections were raised in many quarters, was quietly dropped. Chapter 25 came from a SNTS seminar held during the Paris meeting in 1978 and chapter 27 from a series of annual seminars I took part in at the Protestant theological faculty in Montpellier, in this instance during the 1992 session. Both show how judgements made on the interrelationship of the gospels are intertwined with the Greek New Testament text being used. The moral in most of these studies is that workers on the synoptic problem must keep a close eye
on textual variation within each synoptic parallel. Textual criticism is crucial throughout any scholarly work on the Greek New Testament but nowhere more so than in the synoptic parallels.

My assessing various printed synopsis editions in section III leads on to articles on other printed editions of the New Testament in section IV. These include some review articles. An analysis of editions has been an important part of my published work. Many of the opinions I offer here apply to all editions of the various texts. Thus comments on Nestle26 clearly apply to Nestle27 too. Chapter 29 (a) and (b) deal with the latest editions of the UBS text (identical with the equivalent Nestle edition) and 29 (c) considers the need for these two editions of basically the identical text. Chapter 30 treats of the International Greek New Testament Project’s (= IGNTP) Luke volumes; as the executive editor who brought those volumes to completion and who saw them through the press, I found it chastening to reflect on the history of the project at a conference held at the University of Birmingham in 1999. Birmingham had by then become the centre of the next project commissioned by the international committee, namely the Fourth Gospel. Under David Parker’s leadership, work on John started in 1987 and is still in progress, now in association with the Editio critica maior (= ECM) in Münster. (That collaboration between IGNTP and the Münster Institut is one of the most welcome and healthy developments in the globalisation of work on matters text-critical. It was unthinkable a generation ago despite the paucity of qualified workers in the textual vineyard. The close international links between text-critics of all hues now forged is the most logical and productive liaison that has occurred during the lifespan of the articles collected here.)

The IGNTP-ECM partnership will produce an edited text, and we await this edition with interest. Previous volumes produced under the aegis of IGNTP (and its predecessor CGNT, the committee for the Critical Greek New Testament) printed an apparatus using the text of Westcott and Hort as a running base (for the volumes on Matthew and Mark) or an edition of the Textus Receptus (for the volumes on Luke).

---

4 Details of my other reviews, not reproduced here, are to be found in the Bibliography at the end of this volume, notably items numbered 15, 18, 20, 30, 31, 32, 36 in the section of Articles in Refereed Journals and 9, 23, 27, 29, 31, 43, 190, 266 in the section headed ‘Reviews’ where my assessments of earlier editions of Nestle, the United Bible Societies’ text (= UBS), Metzger’s Textual Commentary and the first edition of Hodges and Farstad’s majority text appear. Some shorter discussions occasionally appear within the ongoing series ‘Book Notes’ in Novum Testamentum.
Since I delivered that paper in Birmingham it needs to be reported that William Petersen, whose work on the Diatessaron was highly commended, died at a comparatively young age, and it also ought to be noted that Carroll Osburn’s papers relating to his preliminary work on Acts have now been transferred to Münster.

In this section (Part Four) I concentrate on the current achievements of the Editio critica maior and I give this edition prominence by reproducing my articles on ECM at the beginning of the section (chapter 28). Among them I include my reviews of all four of its fascicules containing the Catholic Epistles as well as two assessments; one (chapter 28 (b i)) in the collection of papers from the NOSTER conference of 2001 re-assesses James and 1 and 2 Peter; the other (chapter 28 (b ii)) in the Earle Ellis Festschrift assembles my reactions to the text of James, 1 and 2 Peter and 1 John.

In chapter 31 I turn to the Marc multilingue project with which I have been involved over several years. The chapter sets out the principles and purposes of this work. The project aims to present not an edited text but the history of Mark in Greek and in most of the early versions. My chapter gives samples of the Greek and Latin. The current progress can be accessed at www.safran.be/marcmultilingue.

The volume ends with one additional article (on the canon of the Old and New Testaments) as an appendix. That paper arose from a symposium held at the University of Sheffield in 1995 under the aegis of the British Library. The interconnection of canon and text is well established, hence its inclusion now. The article analyses the most significant manuscripts and identifies the major differences between them in respect of their contents and the sequences of the books. It is argued that the reason why the contents of the separate sections of the New Testament became relatively firmly fixed from an early date was because Christianity used the codex form from its beginnings. For the Old Testament the contents were more fluid. The article draws attention to the differences not only between the Hebrew and Alexandrian canons but also between the often fluctuating contents of Hebrew, Syriac, Latin and Greek manuscripts of the Old Testament. It is shown how the main manuscripts, especially within the Greek tradition, have affected modern printed editions of the LXX. A description of how the varying traditions in Latin and Greek have influenced modern versions is also included here. A perennial question is the extent to which the stability of a text was affected by its acceptance in a canon. As far
as the New Testament is concerned, this is a highly relevant question when assessing the textual history of Jude, Revelation and Acts.

Also in the end-matter is a listing of my published writings. This includes not only books and articles but also reviews. In many longer reviews, especially of reference tools, of editions of the New Testament text and of books about textual criticism, I often include text-critical examples and try to further discussion, inevitably from a thorough-going critical standpoint, thus making some issues raised in such reviews relevant to issues discussed in this book as a whole.

Inevitably, when rereading one’s own *oeuvre*, one tries to detect changes in approach or opinion. One hopes that readers and reviewers will interpret changes in one’s opinions and judgements over the years as signs of progressive thinking rather than as inconsistencies and lapses! Certainly, as time has passed I have adopted a different view of an original text and whether such a thing can be achievable or indeed should be the main aim of a New Testament textual critic. Eldon J. Epp articulated⁵ the concern felt about the use of the word ‘original’. I note that many practising text-critics (with the notable exception of those for whom nothing but the Majority text or a form of the Textus Receptus will fit the description, ‘original’ text) side with Epp’s quandary and analyses. I now accept the consensus view that the most that text-critics can hope to achieve is the promotion of the like-liest *Ausgangstext*, that is, the earliest recoverable form of the textual tradition from which all deviant readings can be traced and that what one is mainly concerned to show is the rich variety of plausible and intelligible readings that existed and which may serve to illustrate the multifarious texts of the New Testament in early Christianity.

Another change is that over the years when I (and others) have been writing on textual criticism there is an increasing hesitation to speak confidently about the history of text-types. Watertight categories, proto-Alexandrian, Caesarean etc. and others to which one would routinely try to assign witnesses are now less used. Once again, it is left to Eldon Epp as the doyen of commentators on matters text-critical to articulate that change and to promote in their stead broader categories, such as the ‘D’ type, i.e. a loose association of manuscripts

---

that cluster around Codex Bezae or the ‘B’ type, that may be used of witnesses that share characteristics with codex Vaticanus. Text-types had already been abandoned by the Münster Institut in their series *Text und Textwert der griechischen Handschriften* and are not used by them in their series *Editio critica maior*. Instead, critics are increasingly unwilling to use the old categories, speaking instead now of family allegiances between manuscripts or of clusters in order to group manuscripts which exhibit shared characteristics.

Older articles in this collection and, of course, elsewhere that speak of text-types need adjustment and nuancing to take account of the current consensus that views the conventional categories as defined in Metzger’s handbooks or in Aland and Aland’s *Text of the New Testament* as passé.

Another major point of debate in textual criticism is the rôle or relevance of conjectural emendation. In the past I have been of the opinion that the sheer number and variety of extant manuscript witnesses to the New Testament Greek text and the multitude of early versational evidence obviate the need to have recourse to what often amounted to inspired guesswork to restore the wording allegedly intended by the original author. The inclusion of some earlier conjectures by Biblical scholars and exegetes such as those displayed in the apparatus of the Nestle text were seen as quaint historical museum pieces giving an insight into a now outmoded procedure. While that represents my earlier view I now confess to being less sceptical of allowing such intrusions into a text and am more tolerant of the opinion that on occasion it may be necessary to admit that no one manuscript preserves the wording used by our first century author.

* * *

In preparing these reprints silent corrections have been made to the originals. A post-scriptum has been added to some articles to update information. House-style has been standardised; the original page numbers have been added in the outer margins where appropriate.

---
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