

# "WORDPLAY" IN ANCIENT NEAR EASTERN TEXTS

Scott B. Noegel

Ancient Near East Monographs Monografías sobre el Antiguo Cercano Oriente

Society of Biblical Literature Centro de Estudios de Historia del Antiguo Oriente (UCA)

# "WORDPLAY" IN ANCIENT NEAR EASTERN TEXTS

### ANCIENT NEAR EAST MONOGRAPHS

Jeffrey Stackert Juan Manuel Tebes

Editorial Board:

Pablo R. Andiñach Jeffrey L. Cooley C. L. Crouch Roxana Flammini Chistopher B. Hays Lauren Monroe Emanuel Pfoh Andrea Seri Bruce Wells

Number 26



# "WORDPLAY" IN ANCIENT NEAR EASTERN TEXTS

by Scott B. Noegel





Atlanta

Copyright © 2021 by Scott B. Noegel

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by means of any information storage or retrieval system, except as may be expressly permitted by the 1976 Copyright Act or in writing from the publisher. Requests for permission should be addressed in writing to the Rights and Permissions Office, SBL Press, 825 Houston Mill Road, Atlanta, GA 30329 USA.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2021930445

For my students: past, present, and future.

ישמע חכם ויוסף לקח ונבון תחבלות יקנה: להבין משל ומליצה דברי חכמים וחידתם: Prov 1:5–6

## **CONTENTS**

Acknowledgments	xi
Abbreviations	Xiii
Introduction	1
Purpose	1
Organization	2
Transliteration Guide	5
1. The Problem with Puns	15
1.1. Previous Taxonomies and Vocabulary	15
1.2. Terms and Taxonomy in This Book	25
2. Methodological Considerations	27
2.1. Intentionality	27
2.2. Text Reception	30
2.3. Proximity	33
2.4. Scripts, Writing Systems, and Scribal Potential	35
2.4.1. Sumerian	35
2.4.2. Akkadian	36
2.4.3. Egyptian	38
2.4.4. Ugaritic	40
2.4.5. Hebrew and Aramaic	41
2.5. Grammaticality	44
3. Function	47
3.1. Aesthetic	53
3.2. Onomatopoeic	58
3.3. Emphatic	62
3.4. Rhetorical	65
3.5. Humorous	70
3.6 Ironic	75

3.7. Deceptive	79
3.8. Referential	88
3.9. Allusive	91
3.10. Appellative	97
3.10.1. Appellative Paronomasia within the Text	100
3.10.2. Appellative Paronomasia on the Author's Name	111
3.11. Structural	113
3.12. Mnemonic	117
3.13. Hermeneutic	118
3.13.1. Divinatory	118
3.13.2. Medical Diagnoses	121
3.13.3. Commentaries	122
3.13.4. Riddles	125
3.14. Concealing	130
3.15. Theological/Didactic	133
3.15.1. Demonstrate Shared Essence	133
3.15.2. Demonstrate Divine Ineffability	134
3.15.3. Demonstrate <i>Lex talionis</i>	137
3.15.4. Demonstrate Lessons	144
3.16. Display Erudition	143
3.17. Performative	145
3.18. Complexities	152
4. Taxonomy	155
4.1. Types of Polysemy	156
4.1.1. Contronymic Polysemy	156
4.1.2. Double entendres	159
4.1.3. Antanaclasis	164
4.1.4. Unidirectional Polysemy	171
4.1.5. Multidirectional Polysemy	175
4.1.6. Double Polysemy	181
4.1.7. Bilingual Polysemy	185
4.1.8. Polysemy Clusters	195
4.1.9. Numerical Polysemy	207
4.1.10. Isopsephy	211
4.1.11. Notariqon	215
4.1.12. Acrostics	218
4.1.13. Transposition	229
4.1.14. Amphiboly	233
4.2. Types of Paronomasia	241
4.2.1. Homoeopropheron	241
4.2.2. Homoioteleuton	248

"Wordplay" in Ancient Near Eastern Texts	ix
4.2.3. Anastrophe	251
4.2.4. Epanastrophe	254
4.2.5. Parasonance	256
4.2.6. Homonymic Paronomasia	261
4.2.7. Numerical Paronomasia	266
4.2.8. Bilingual Paronomasia	270
4.2.9. Anagrammatic Paronomasia	273
4.2.10. Hendiadic Paronomasia	280
4.2.11. Rhyme	283
4.2.12. Geminate Parallelism and Clustering	286
4.3. Complexities	295
5. Conclusions	297
5.1. Preferences and Distribution	298
5.2. Fundamental Principles and Strategies	302
5.2.1. Ambiguity	302
5.2.2. Repetition and Variation	303
5.2.3. Delayed Comprehension	306
5.2.4. Metaphor and Metonymy	309
5.2.5. Clustering	310
5.2.6. Rare Words	311
5.2.7. Phonemes and Morphemes	313
5.2.8. Recitation, Polysemy, and Authority	315
5.3. Filling the Gaps	316
5.3.1. Comprehensive Focused Studies	316
5.3.2. Distribution of Phonemes	317
5.3.3. Micro and Macro Considerations	318
5.3.4. Relationships between Devices	319
5.3.5. Relationship between Aural and Visual Registers	321
5.3.6. Musical Connections	322
5.3.7. Rendering Biblical Hebrew Polysemy and	
Paronomasia in the Textual Witnesses	322
5.3.8. The Need for Greater Precision	326
5.4. Function	327
Bibliography	331
Ancient Source Index	401
Biblical Source Index	412

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Those familiar with my published works will realize that this book is the result of more than two decades of research. However, my initial interest in "word-play" began even earlier when I was an undergraduate in Hebrew Studies and History at the University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee. While there, I was fortunate to study Hebrew with Professor Alan Corré (")", who first clued me in to the world of biblical "wordplay." His recent passing leaves me, and so many others, with an unfillable void. I will miss him, his incredible erudition, and wonderful sense of humor. I cannot thank him enough for his steadfast encouragement, mentorship, and long-standing interest in my career. I know that he would have appreciated this book.

While studying at the University of Wisconsin, my timing could not have been more fortunate, as I was able to study with two visiting scholars—and fantastic teachers—who helped to shape my early interest in the topic: Professors Moshe Garsiel of Bar-Ilan University and Yair Hoffman (5"t) of Tel Aviv University. I still recall Professor Garsiel collecting examples of puns on names on notecards during exam periods. These notes would eventually become his now classic work *Biblical Names: A Literary Study of Midrashic Name Derivations and Puns* (1991). I fondly remember Professor Hoffman introducing us to literary criticism while we studied the Psalms. With Yair's recent passing we have lost another great light. I will miss his visits to Seattle and our absorbing study sessions in my office. Moshe remains a dear friend to this day. I thank both Moshe and Yair for their inspiration and long friendship.

My fascination with word manipulation reached still new heights when I undertook graduate work in the Near Eastern Studies Department at Cornell University. Gary Rendsburg, David Owen, Ross Brann, and Martin Bernal (5"t), in particular, cultivated my comparative interests, encouraged me to rethink scholarly assumptions, and instilled in me a love for the Sumerian, Akkadian, Egyptian, and Ugaritic languages. I thank them for being wonderful teachers and for their continued support and friendship.

I also here thank a number of other colleagues for their enlightening and useful discussions and for sharing their publications over the years: Bill Arnold, Ehud Ben Zvi, Marian Broida, Edward Greenstein, Jonathan Grossman, Chris-

topher Hays, Victor Hurowitz (ז"ל), Anne Kilmer, Jonathan Kline, Francis Landy, Alan Lenzi, Shalom Paul (ז"ל), Jack Sasson, Thomas Schneider, Kasia Szpakowska, Wilfred Watson, and Nicolas Wyatt.

A number of former students also deserve my appreciation for their discussions on a variety of topics that have found their way into this book, and for making teaching ancient Near Eastern languages and cultures such a pleasure. In particular, I should like to single out my undergraduates: Katherine Burge, Paul Cho, Wendy Doyon, Joseph DuWors, Alex Jassen, Lance Jennot, Joel Kalvesmaki, Allyssa Lamb, Sean Llewellyn, Elliott Martin, Clinton Moyer, Katherine Nutsch, Sharif Randhawa, Jacob Rennaker, Deborah Rohan, Carey Slaeker, Robert Vanhoff, John Vest, and Jeremy West; and graduate students: Shira Jaret, Forrest Martin, Gary Martin, Corinna Nichols, William Reed, and Karolien Vermeulen. Corinna Nichols deserves a special thank you for her editorial assistance with this book.

I would be remiss if I did not also acknowledge the kind assistance of the SBL Press staff, in particular Production Manager Nicole Tilford, who has helped guide this book to completion, and Jody Otte, who has my deep gratitude for creating the indices that grace this volume. I thank also the publisher's anonymous reviewers who have shared their wisdom on many matters. I have tried to integrate their comments and suggestions wherever possible, and I believe this has made the book stronger.

In addition, I would like to express my appreciation to the publisher and editors of the *Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics*. I wrote the entries on "Polysemy" and "Paronomasia" in the Hebrew Bible while simultaneously working on this book, and they kindly have allowed me latitude in using some of that material.

Finally, I thank my wife Laurie Ramacci Noegel for her constant love and support. More than anyone, she knows my love for language.

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

AA Analecta Aegyptiaca

AB Anchor Bible

ABD Freedman, D. N., ed. Anchor Bible Dictionary. 6 vols. New

York: Doubleday, 1992.

ADAIK Abhandlungen des Deutschen Archäologischen Instituts Kairo ADVGM Akademische Druck- und Verlagsanstalt Graz: Mitteilungen

AeLeoAegyptiaca LeodiensiaAfOArchiv für OrientforschungÄgAbhÄgyptologische Abhandlungen

AHw von Soden, Wolfram. Akkadisches Handwörterbuch. Vols. 1–

3. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1965–1981.

AIL Ancient Israel and Its Literature

AIPHO Annuaire de l'Institut de Philologie et d'Histoire Orientales et

Slaves

AJA American Journal of Archaeology
AJP American Journal of Philology

AKSP Aporemata, Kritische Studien zur Philologiegeschichte

ÄL Ägypten und Levante
AL Anthropological Linguistics

ALK Archäologie der literarischen Kommunikation

AM Adeva-Mitteilungen

AMD Ancient Magic and Divination

AnBib Analecta Biblica

ANEM Ancient Near East Monographs

ANESS Ancient Near Eastern Studies: Supplement Series

ANETS Ancient Near Eastern Texts and Studies

AnOr Analecta Orientalia

ANRW Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt

AnS Antiquités sémitiques

AnSt Anatolian Studies

AO Acta Orientalia

AOASH Acta Orientalia Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae

AOAT Alter Orient und Altes Testament

AOS American Oriental Series
AP Archiv für Papyrusforschung

APAWPHK Abhandlungen der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaf-

ten, Philosophisch-Historisch Klasse

ARM Archives royales de Mari

ARMT Archives royales de Mari, Texte

ArOr Archiv Orientální
AS Assyriological Studies

ASEA Annales du Service des Antiquités de l'Égypte

ASEAS ASAE Supplément ASJ Acta Sumerologica

ASTI Annual of the Swedish Theological Institute

ATANT Abhandlungen zur Theologie des Alten und Neuen Testaments

ATD Das Alte Testament im Dialog

AuOr Aula Orientalis

AUS American University Studies
BA Beiträge zur Altertumskunde
BA Biblical Archaeologist
BagM Baghdader Mitteilungen

BAM Die babylonisch-assyrische Medizin in Texten und Unter-

suchungen

BARev Biblical Archaeology Review

BASOR Bulletin of the Schools of Oriental Research
BASP Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists

BBR Bulletin for Biblical Research

BBVO Berliner Beiträge zum Vorderen Orient

BCSMS Bulletin of the Canadian Society for Mesopotamian Studies
BDB Brown, Francis, et al., eds. The New Brown, Driver, Briggs,

Gesenius Hebrew and English Lexicon. Peabody, MA: Hen-

drickson, 1979.

BdE Bibliothèque d'étude

BEATAJ Beiträge zur Erforschung des Alten Testaments und des an-

tiken Judentums

BES Bulletin of the Egyptological Seminar

BETL Bibliotheca Ephemeridum Theologicarum Lovaniensium

BI Biblical Interpretation

Bib Biblica

BIFAO Le Bulletin de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale

BiOr Bibliotheca Orientalis

BJRL Bulletin of the John Rylands University Library

BJS Brown Judaic Studies

BL Bible and Literature

BM Beit Mikra

BN Biblische Notizen

BPOA Biblioteca del Próximo Oriente Antiguo BRLAJ Brill Reference Library of Ancient Judaism

BS Boghazköi-Studien BSac Bibliotheca Sacra

BSNESJ Bulletin of the Society for Near Eastern Studies in Japan BSOAS Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies

BWL Lambert, W. G. Babylonian Wisdom Literature. Winona Lake,

IN: Eisenbrauns, 1996.

BZ Biblische Zeitschrift

BZAW Beihefte zur Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft *CAD* Reiner, E., et al., eds. *The Chicago Dictionary of the Oriental* 

Institute of the University of Chicago. Chicago: University of

Chicago Press, 1956–2010.

CANE Sasson, J. M., ed. Civilizations of the Ancient Near East. New

York: Scribner's, 1995.

CAT Dietrich, M., Oswald Loretz, and Joaquín Sanmartín. The Cu-

neiform Alphabetic Texts from Ugarit, Ras Ibn Hani, and

Other Places. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 1995.

CBOT Coniectanea Biblica. Old Testament Series

CBQ Catholic Biblical Quarterly
CBR Currents in Biblical Research

CBS Museum siglum of the University Museum in Philadelphia

(Catalogue of the Babylonian Section)

CCdE Cahiers Caribéens d'Egyptologie

CdE Chronique d'Égypte
CH Collectanea Hellenistica
CJ Classical Journal
CL Cognitive Linguistics
CM Cuneiform Monographs
ColR Colloquia Raurica
ConB Coniectanea biblica

COS Hallo, William W., and K. Lawson Younger Jr., eds. The Con-

text of Scripture: Canonical Compositions from the Biblical

World. 4 vols. Leiden: Brill, 1997-2016.

CP Classical Philology CQ Classical Quarterly CR Classical Review

CRAIBL Comptes rendus des séances de l'Académie des Inscriptions et

Belles-Lettres

"Wordplay" in Ancient Near Eastern Texts

xvi

CT Cuneiform Texts from the Babylonian Tablets in the British

Museum

DAIAK Deutsches Archäologisches Institut. Abteilung Kairo DAWB Deutsche Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin

DDD Becking, Bob, Karl van der Toorn, and Pieter W. van der

Horst, eds. Dictionary of Deities and Demons in the Bible.

Leiden: Brill; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1999.

DE Discussions in Egyptology

DISO Hoftijzer, J., and K. Jongeling. Dictionary of the North-West

Semitic Inscriptions. Vols. 1-2. Leiden: Brill, 1995.

DL DavarLogos

DMA Documenta Mundi-Aegyptiaca

DSD Dead Sea Discoveries

DULAT del Olmo Lete, G., and J. Sanmartín. A Dictionary of the Uga-

ritic Language in the Alphabetic Tradition. Trans. Wilfred G.

E. Watson. Leiden: Brill, 2004.

EAJT East Asia Journal of Theology

EAL Einführung in die altägyptische Literaturgeschichte

EC Les Études Classiques

EI Eretz Israel

EncJud Berenbaum, Michael, and F. Skolnik, ed. Encyclopaedia Juda-

ica. 2nd ed. Detroit, MI: Thompson Gale, 2007.

EncIs Hakeem-Uddee Qureshi, ed. Encyclopaedia of Islam. 2nd ed.

12 vols. Leiden: Brill, 2006.

EPHE École Pratique de Hautes Études ETL Ephemerides Theologicae Lovanienses

ExpT Expository Times

FAT Forschungen zum Alten Testament

GCA Gratz College Annual GM Göttinger Miszellen

GMS Grazer Morgenländische Studien

GMTR Guides to the Mesopotamian Textual Record

GTJ Grace Theological Journal

HALOT Baumgartner, Walter, and Ludwig Koehler, eds. The Hebrew

and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament. Vols. 1–2. Leiden:

Brill, 2001.

HANEM History of the Ancient Near East. Monographs

HAR Hebrew Annual ReviewHdO Handbuch der Orientalistik

HebAbst Hebrew Abstracts

HOS Handbook of Oriental Studies

HR History of Religions

HS Hebrew Studies

HSCP Harvard Studies in Classical Philology

HSep Handbuch zur Septuaginta HSS Harvard Semitic Studies HTR Harvard Theological Review HUCA Hebrew Union College Annual

IBS Irish Biblical Studies

ICC International Critical Commentary
IDB Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible

IDBSup Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible Supplement

IEJ Israel Exploration Journal

JA Journal Asiatique

JAAR Journal of the American Academy of Religion JAEI Journal of Ancient Egyptian Interconnections

JAGNES Journal of the Association of Graduates in Near Eastern Stud-

ies

JANEH Journal of Ancient Near Eastern History

JANES Journal of the Ancient Near Eastern Society

JAOS Journal of the American Oriental Society

JARCE Journal of the American Research Center in Egypt

Jastrow Jastrow, Marcus. A Dictionary of the Targumim, the Talmud

Babli and Yerushalmi, and the Midrashic Literature. New

York: Pardes, 1950.

JBL Journal of Biblical Literature

JBQ Jewish Bible Quarterly

JCS Journal of Cuneiform Studies

JEA Journal of Egyptian Archaeology

JETS Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society

JHS Journal of Hebrew Scriptures

JIAS Journal of the Institute of Asian Studies

JJS Journal of Jewish Studies

JJSS Journal of Jewish Studies: Supplement Series

JNES Journal of Near Eastern Studies

JNSL Journal of Northwest Semitic Languages
JPOS Journal of the Palestine Oriental Society

JQ Jewish Quarterly

JQR Jewish Quarterly Review JRS Journal of Roman Studies

JSIS Jewish Studies, an Internet Journal

JSJ Journal for the Study of Judaism in the Persian, Hellenistic

and Roman Periods

JSJSup Journal for the Study of Judaism Supplement Series

xviii "Wordplay" in Ancient Near Eastern Texts

JSNT Journal for the Study of the New Testament JSOT Journal for the Study of the Old Testament

JSOTSup Journal for the Study of the Old Testament Supplement Series

JSRC Jerusalem Studies in Religion and Culture

JSS Journal of Semitic Studies

JSSEA Journal of the Society for the Study of Egyptian Antiquities

JThC Journal of Theology and the Church JTS Journal of Theological Studies

KAI Donner, H., and W. Rollig. Kanaanäische und aramäische

Inschriften. Vols. 1–3. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1966–1969.

KAR Keilschrifttexte aus Assur religiösen Inhalts

KB Koehler, L., and W. Baumgartner, eds. Lexicon in Veteris Tes-

tamenti libros. Leiden: Brill, 1958.

KBo Keilschrifttexte aus Boghazköi. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1916–1923;

Berlin: Gebr. Mann, 1954-.

KUSATU Kleine Untersuchungen zur Sprache des Alten Testaments und

seiner Umwelt

LÄ Helck, W., E. Otto, and W. Westendorf, eds. Lexikon der

Ägyptologie. Vols. 1–7. Wiesbaden, 1975–1992.

LÄS Leipziger ägyptische Studien

LASM Lingua Aegyptiaca. Studia Monographica

LHBOTS The Library of Hebrew Bible/Old Testament Studies

LingAeg Lingua Aegyptia

LKA Ebeling, L. Literarische Keilschrifttexte aus Assur. Berlin:

Akademie-Verlag, 1953.

LOT Library of Oriental Texts

LSJ Liddell, Henry George, Robert Scott, and Henry Stuart Jones,

eds. A Greek-English Lexicon. 9th ed. with a supplement. Ox-

ford: Clarendon, 1968.

MÄS Münchner Ägyptologische Studien

MC Mesopotamian Civilizations

MCAAS Memoirs of the Connecticut Academy of Arts & Sciences

MelS Melammu Symposium

MIFAO Mémoires publiés par les membres de l'Institut Français

d'Archéologie Orientale (IFAO) du Cairo (Berlin/Cairo)

MoÄS Monographien zur ägyptische Sprache

MPIW Max-Planck Institut für Wissenschaftsgeschichte

MS Mnemosyne: Supplement

MSL Materialien zum sumerischen Lexikon

*NABU* Nouvelles Assyriologiques Brèves et Utilitaires

NEA Near Eastern Archaeology [formerly Biblical Archeologist]

NT Novum Testamentum

NTS New Testament Studies

OBC Orientalia biblica et christiana
OBO Orbis biblicus et orientalis
OC Orientalia et Classica

OIP Oriental Institute Publications
OIS Oriental Institute Seminars
OLA Orientalia Lovaniensia Analecta
OLP Orientalia lovaniensia periodica
OLZ Orientalistische Literaturzeitung

OM Opera Minora

OPOI Orientalia: Papers of the Oriental Institute

OPSNKF Occasional Publications of the Samuel Noah Kramer Fund

Or Orientalia

ORA Orientalische Religionen in der Antike

OrAnt Oriens antiquus

Orient: Journal of the Society for the Near Eastern Studies in

Japan

OrSu Orientalia Suecana

OS Oudtestamentische Studiën

OT Open Theology
OTL Old Testament Library

OTP Charlesworth, James H., ed. Old Testament Pseudepigrapha. 2

vols. New York: Doubleday, 1983-1985.

OudMed Oudheidkundige Mededelingen PÄ Problem der Ägyptologie

PALMA Papers on Archaeology of the Leiden Museum of Antiquities

PAPS Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society

PEGLMBS Proceedings of the Eastern Great Lakes and Midwest Biblical

Societies

PGM Preisendanz, Karl, and Albert Henrichs, eds. Papyri Graecae

Magicae. Die Griechischen Zauberpapyri. Vols. 1-2. 2nd ed.

Stuttgart: Teubner, 1974.

PIASH Proceedings of the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humani-

ties

PIBRS Publications of the Israel Biblical Research Society

PL Papyrologica Leodiensia

PLLS Papers of the Liverpool Latin Seminar

PMLA Journal of the Modern Language Association of America

POS Pretoria Oriental Series

PPISVLK Pictura et Poesis. Interdisziplinäre Studien zum Verhältnis von

Literatur und Kunst

PSBA Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology

"Wordplay" in Ancient Near Eastern Texts

xx

R Rawlinson, H. C. The Cuneiform Inscriptions of Western Asia.

Vols. 1-5. London, 1861-1884.

RA Revue d'assyriologie et d'archéologie orientale

RB Revue biblique RdE Revue d'Égyptologie RdEG Revue des Études Grecques

*RdO* Revue de Oumran

RdSO Rivista degli Studi Orientali

RDSR Ritual Dynamics and the Science of Ritual

REG Revue des études grecques REJ Revue des Études Juives

RINP Royal Inscriptions of the Neo-Assyrian Period

RlA Ebeling, Erich, et al., eds. Reallexikon der Assyriologie. Vols.

1–14. Berlin: de Gruyter, 1928–2016.

RO Revue de l'Organisation internationale pour l'étude des

langues anciennes par ordinateur

RTP Revue de Théologie et de Philosophie

SAA State Archives of Assyria

SAAB State Archives of Assyria Bulletin

SAACT State Archives of Assyria Cuneiform Texts SABMD Scholae Adriani de Buck Memoriae Dicatae

SÄK Studien zur altägyptischen Kultur

SAMD Studies in Ancient Magic and Divination
SANE Sources from the Ancient Near East
SAOC Studies in Ancient Oriental Civilization
SAuK Seminar für Ägyptologie und Koptologie

SB Subsidia Biblica

SBE Studies in Bible and Exegesis

SBLMS Society of Biblical Literature Monograph Series SBTS Sources for Biblical and Theological Study

ScrHier Scripta Hierosolymitana

SEL Studi epigrafici e linguistici sul Vicino Oriento antico

Sem Semitica
SemeiaSt Semeia Studies

SIFC Studi italiani di filologia classica

SJ Studia judaica

SJOT Scandinavian Journal of the Old Testament

SLTHS Siphrut: Literature and Theology of the Hebrew Scriptures SOPFOS Studia Orientalia Published by the Finnish Oriental Society

SSN Studia Semitica Neerlandica

SSR Studien zur spätägyptischen Religion

SSU Studia Semitica Upsaliensia

STDJ Studies on the Texts of the Desert of Judah STRT Studia Theologica Rheno-Traiectina

StTh Studia theologica StudOr Studia Orientalia

TAPA Transactions of the American Philological Association
TAPS Transactions of the American Philosophical Society

TAUOP Tel Aviv University Occasional Publications

TCL Textes cunéiformes du Louvre TCS Texts from Cuneiform Sources

TCSV Trends in Classics: Supplementary Volumes

TDOT Botterweck, G. Johannes, Helmer Ringgren, and Heinz-Josef

Fabry, eds. *Theological Dictionary of the Old Testament*. Translated by John T. Willis et al. 15 vols. Grand Rapids:

Eerdmans, 1974-2006.

TIM Zaybari, Akram, and J. J. A. van Dijk, eds. Texts in the Iraq

Museum. Baghdad, Directorate General of Antiquities, 1964.

TOA Testi del Oriente Antico
TQ Theologische Quartalschrift
TR Theology and Religion

TrinJ Trinity Journal

TS Texts and Studies Third Series
TSAJ Texts and Studies in Ancient Judaism

TSCPP Transactions & Studies of the College of Physicians of Phila-

delnhia

UÄS Untersuchungen zur ägyptischen Stilistik

UBL Ugaritisch-biblische Literatur

UF Ugarit-Forschungen

UT Gordon, C. H.. Ugaritic Textbook, AnOr 38, Rome: Pontifical

Biblical Institute, 1965.

VAB Vorderasiatische Bibliothek

VR Visible Religion VT Vetus Testamentum

VTSup Supplements to Vetus Testamentum WAW Writings from the Ancient World

Wb Erman, A., and H. Grapow. Wörterbuch der ägyptischen

Sprache. Vols. 1–5. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1926–1953.

WdO Welt des Orients

WSEA Wilbour Studies in Egyptology and Assyriology

WTJ Westminster Theological Journal

WUNT Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament WVDOG Wissenschaftliche Veröffentlichungen der Deutschen Orient-

Gesellschaft

xxii "Wordplay" in Ancient Near Eastern Texts

YNER Yale Near Eastern Researches

YOS Yale Oriental Series, Babylonian Texts

ZA Zeitschrift für Assyriologie ZAH Zeitschrift für Althebräistik

ZÄS Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde ZAW Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft

ZDMG Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft ZNW Zeitschrift für die neutestamentliche Wissenschaft und die

Kunde der älteren Kirche

ZPE Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik ZSG Zeitschrift für Semitistik und verwandte Gebiete

#### OTHER ABBREVIATIONS

Ant. Rom. Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Antiquitates Romanae

b. Talmud BavliBM British MuseumCM Cairo Museum

CT Cuneiform Texts from Babylonian Tablets in the British

Museum

Div. Cicero, De Divinatione

Gen. Rab. Genesis Rabbah

Inst. Quintilian, Institutio Oratoria
Inv. Hermogenes, On Invention

Frags. Fragments Line

LXX Septuagint
m. Mishnah
Mp Masora Parva

MT Masoretic Text. Based on K. Elliger and W. Rudolph, eds.,

Biblia Hebraica Stuttgartensia. Stuttgart: Deutsche

Bibelgesellschaft, 1983.

Od. Homer, Odyssey
Or. Cicero, De Oratore

P. Papyrus

Poet. Aristotle, Poetics
Rhet. Aristotle, Rhetorica
PS Proto-Semitic
RS Ras Shamra
TT Theban Tomb
y. Talmud Yerushalmi

#### Introduction

#### **PURPOSE**

This book has three intersecting goals. First, it aims to provide the tools necessary for a comparative, in-depth study of "wordplay" in ancient Near Eastern texts. Second, it aspires to establish comprehensive taxonomies for the many kinds of devices that scholars have labeled as "wordplay" and for their proposed functions. Finally, it seeks to establish a consistent terminology that will offer students and scholars of ancient Near Eastern languages a useful template for documenting and understanding the devices they discover, and scholars of other disciplines access to the sophisticated devices of ancient Near Eastern writers. This, I hope, will lead to greater precision and interdisciplinary dialogue.

The astute reader will notice that I have placed the term "wordplay" in quotation marks. It is my contention that the term is problematic for many reasons, which I discuss in chapter 1. Nevertheless, I find it heuristically useful in communicating to those outside the discipline what sorts of devices this book will examine, even if the devices differ in technique and their functions and social contexts appear alien.

Readers also will note that I have opted to use the word *texts*, in the title rather than *literature*. There are two reasons for this. First, one finds "wordplay" in texts of all kinds, including annals, letters, law codes, medical prescriptions, omen lists, and ritual descriptions. In fact, there appear to be no generic or chronological restrictions to the application of "wordplay" in the ancient Near East. A second reason is that the social background of textual production, which I discuss in the chapter 2, strongly suggests that many forms of "wordplay" have an illocutionary function. Thus "wordplay" is often as much a performative phenomenon as a literary one.

It is rather ironic that the presence of "wordplay" in ancient Near Eastern texts has been recognized for many years—in the case of the Hebrew Bible, for several centuries. Yet, large-scale publications on the phenomenon are rare. In addition, though we have benefitted from numerous articles on the subject, most have focused on select biblical passages rather than books. Moreover, until recently, most scholars were content merely to illustrate examples without

discussing their functions, generic environments, or literary and social contexts. Consequently, despite the long-standing recognition, it is fair to say that many aspects of "wordplay" in ancient Near Eastern texts remain largely unexplored.

Moreover, the disciplines represented in this study have long suffered from a vague, inconsistent, and, at times, even contradictory vocabulary that has done little to advance the study of the phenomena and all their permutations and effects. Consequently, many publications employ only the most basic terms for a number of devices that deserve individual attention. Thus, we find studies on alliteration that more accurately contain cases of homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, parasonance, and the like, and publications on punning that ignore the visual register and do not distinguish devices of sound from those of meaning. It is my hope that this monograph will provide tools for advancing the comparative study of these phenomena with greater accuracy.

#### ORGANIZATION

I have organized the book's contents to facilitate future research. In chapter 1, I discuss a number of difficulties that confront the contemporary study of "word-play" in ancient texts such as the lack of a complete taxonomy and consistent vocabulary. After surveying some influential surveys on the subject with special attention to Biblical Hebrew, I offer a general description of the taxonomy I employ and I explain how it differs from previous proposals.

Chapter 2 addresses several methodological issues that confront the study of ancient "wordplay." Here I begin by examining the issue of intentionality. I then treat the complicated topic of reception by asking for whom such devices were intended. This naturally leads to an examination of the social contexts of textual production. Afterwards, I discuss the importance that proximity and the role of memory play in making such devices effective. This chapter also considers the generative roles that different scripts play in the production and meaning of "wordplay," and it outlines the importance of distinguishing lingual manipulation from grammaticality.

Chapter 3 provides a taxonomy for the many different functions that "word-play" might serve based on proposals found in previous scholarship. I offer no theoretical framework for the taxonomy, as I am interested only in gathering what we currently know (or think we know) about the topic. 1 The chapter con-

<sup>1.</sup> The recent attempt by David M. Dalwood, "Solomon, God, and Sharon Walk into a Song: Dialoguing Polysemy in the Song of Songs," *JHS* 17 (2017): 1–16, perhaps best embodies the opposite approach of using theory, in particular the ideas of Paul Ricoeur, to understand biblical polysemy. I do not feel we can apply theory to a topic for which we only have partial data. Moreover, as the following chapters will make clear, there is no one type of polysemy or paronomasia to which a single theory might apply. For useful

*Introduction* 3

cludes with a brief discussion of the complexities that inform discussions of function.

In chapter 4, I offer a taxonomy for the many kinds of devices labeled "wordplay," and I demonstrate each device, wherever possible, in Akkadian, Egyptian, Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic texts. Periodically, I cite epigraphic materials in other Semitic languages such as Moabite, Phoenician, and the language of Deir 'Alla.<sup>2</sup> I offer even fewer examples from Sumerian texts due to

recent surveys on the variety of methods applied, see Chaim Cohen, "New Directions in Modern Biblical Hebrew Lexicography," in *Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday*, ed. Chaim Cohen et al. (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008), 441–73; Arthur Keefer, "Phonological Patterns in the Old Testament: A Century of Studies in Sound," *CBR* 15 (2016): 41–64.

2. There has been an increasing appreciation among scholars for the literary sophistication of ancient inscriptions, especially in terms of style and structure. See, e.g., Jonas C. Greenfield, "Stylistic Aspects of the Sefire Treaty Inscriptions," AO 29 (1965): 1-18; Greenfield, "Early Aramaic Poetry," JANES 11 (1979): 45-51; Terence Collins, "The Kilamuwa Inscription: A Phoenician Poem," WdO 6 (1971): 183–88; Hayim Tawil, "Some Literary Elements in the Opening Sections of the Hadad, Zākir, and the Nērab II Inscriptions in the Light of East and West Semitic Royal Inscriptions," Or 43 (1974): 40-65; Michael O'Connor, "The Rhetoric of the Kilamuwa Inscription," BASOR 226 (1977): 15–29 (with some reservations on Collins's study); Pierre Auffret, "Essai sur la structure littéraire de la stèle de Mésha," UF 12 (1980): 109-24; William H. Shea, "The Carpentras Stele: A Funerary Poem," JAOS 101 (1981): 215-17; Victor A. Hurowitz, "Literary Structures in Samsuiluna A," JCS 36 (1984): 191-205; Hurowitz, "Some Literary Observations on the Šitti-Marduk Kudurru (BBSt. 6)," ZA 82 (1992): 39–59; Hurowitz, "ABL 1285 and the Hebrew Bible: Literary Topoi in Urad-Gula's Letter of Petition to Assurbanipal," SAAB 7 (1993): 9-17; Hurowitz, Divine Service and Its Rewards: Ideology and Poetics in the Hinke Kudurru (Beersheva, Israel: Ben-Gurion University Press, 1997); Hurowitz, "An Heir Created by Aššur': Literary Observations on the Rassam Prism (A) of Ashurbanipal," in Politics as Literature: Essays on the Ancient Near East in Honor of Peter Machinist, ed. David S. Vanderhooft and Abraham Winitzer (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2013), 223-68; Yitzhak Avishur, Phoenician Inscriptions and the Bible: Select Inscriptions and Studies in Stylistic and Literary Devices Common to the Phoenician Inscriptions and the Bible (Tel Aviv: Archaeological Center Publication, 2000); Michael G. Hasel, "The Structure of the Final Hymnic-Poetic Unit on the Merneptah Stela," ZAW 116 (2004): 75-81; Jan-Wim Wesselius, "Language Play in the Old Testament and in Ancient North-West Semitic Inscriptions: Some Notes on the Kilamuwa Inscription," in The Old Testament in Its World: Papers Read at the Winter Meeting, January 2003, The Society for Old Testament Study and at the Joint Meeting, July 2003, The Society for Old Testament Study and Het Oudtestamentisch Werkgezelschap in Nederland en België, ed. Robert P. Gordon and Johannes C. de Moor, OS 52 (Leiden: Brill, 2005), 253-65; Aaron Schade, "A Text Linguistic Approach to the Syntax and Style of the Phoenician Inscription of Azatiwada," JSS 50 (2005): 35-58; Schade, "The Syntax and Literary Structure of the Phoenician Inscription of Yehimilik," Maarav 13 (2006): 119-22; Scott B. Noegel, "The Zakkur Inscription," in The Ancient our limited knowledge of Sumerian poetics. Jacob Klein and Yitschak Sefati explain:

A reliable analysis of Sumerian poetics, and especially the aspect of sound and word play, is hampered by the structure of cuneiform writing and our transliteration system, as well as by the fact that Sumerian literature was committed to writing by scribes whose mother tongue was Akkadian, and when Sumerian was no longer a spoken language.<sup>3</sup>

Despite our limitations, a number of important publications on Sumerian compositions have shown that scribes employed several of the devices examined here, so I would be remiss to leave them out.<sup>4</sup> Nevertheless, for the most part, I

Near East: Historical Sources in Translation, ed. Mark W. Chavalas (London: Blackwell, 2006), 307–11; Gary A. Rendsburg, "Linguistic and Stylistic Notes to the Hazon Gabriel Inscription," DSD 16 (2009): 107–16; Mario Liverani, "Literary-Political Motifs in the Assyrian Royal Inscriptions: Measuring Continuity versus Change," in Vanderhooft and Winitzer, Politics as Literature, 269–84; Roland Enmarch, "Some Literary Aspects of the Kamose Inscriptions," JEA 99 (2013): 253–63.

- 3. Jacob Klein and Yitschak Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*, ed. Scott B. Noegel (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000), 25 n. 6.
- 4. See, for example, M. Civil, "The Anzu-Bird and Scribal Whimsies," JAOS 92 (1972): 271; Bendt Alster, "An Aspect of 'Enmerkar and the Lord of Aratta," RA 67 (1973): 101-10; M. Civil, "Sumerian Riddles: A Corpus," AuOr 5 (1987): 17-35; Bendt Alster, "Paradoxical Proverbs and Satire in Sumerian Literature," JCS 27 (1975): 201-30; Jerrold S. Cooper, The Return of Ninurta to Nippur: An-gim dim-ma, AnOr 52 (Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1976); Cooper, "Puns and Prebends: The Tale of Enlil and Namzitara," in Strings and Threads: A Celebration of the Work of Anne Draffkorn Kilmer, ed. Wolfgang Heimpel and Gabriella Frantz-Szabó (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011): 39-43; Adele Berlin, "Shared Rhetorical Features in Biblical and Sumerian Literature," JANES 10 (1978): 35-42; Robert Seth Falkowitz, The Sumerian Rhetoric Collections (PhD diss., University of Pennsylvania, 1980); Thorkild Jacobsen, "Abstruse Sumerian," in Ah, Assyria: Studies in Assyrian History and Ancient Near Eastern Historiography Presented to Hayim Tadmor, ed. Mordechai Cogan and Israel Eph'al, ScrHier 33 (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1991), 279–91; Jacob Klein, The Royal Hymns of Shulgi, King of Ur: Man's Quest for Immortal Fame, TAPS 71 (Philadelphia, PA: American Philosophical Society, 1981); Annette Zgoll, Der Rechstfall der En-hedu-Ana im Lied nin-me-šara, AOAT 246 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 1997); Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 23–61; Graham Cunningham, "In the Company of ni<sub>2</sub> 'Self' and 'Fear(someness)," in *Analysing Literary Sumerian: Corpus-Based* Approaches, by Jarle Ebeling and Graham Cunningham (London: Equinox, 2007), 70-104; Bálint Tanos, "The Polysemy and Productivity of the Formative Element nam in Old Babylonian Literary Sumerian," in Ebeling and Cunningham, Analysing Literary Sumerian, 250-72; Piotr Michalowski, "Where's Al? Humor and Poetics in the Hymn to the Hoe," in Cuneiform Studies in Honor of David I. Owen on His Seventieth Birthday, ed. Alexandra Kleinerman and Jack M. Sasson (Bethesda, MD: CDL, 2010), 195-200.

have left Sumerian out of my final analysis.<sup>5</sup> Hittite is beyond my ken and thus not represented, though I hasten to refer to Ahmet Ünal, who observes that "Hittite literature seems rather devoid of all kinds of sophisticated literary embellishments, eschewing, for instance, puns, puzzles, plays on words, riddles, any sort of poetry, verse, alliteration, paronomasia, and rhyme."<sup>6</sup>

I have placed the chapter on taxonomy after the chapter on proposed functions, because it allows me to reconsider (and reorient) the proposed purposes for each of the devices by discussing the effect that each has on readers/listeners. Often, these effects offer insights that help to redefine what we mean by "function."

I have based the taxonomy of devices again on existing scholarship in order to provide an up-to-date presentation of known examples, though in the interest of comparative study and greater exactitude I employ terms that are less culturally bound or disciplinarily idiosyncratic. I distinguish devices that involve meaning (polysemy) from those that involve sound (paronomasia) and note wherever possible when a device operates aurally and/or visually. As in chapter 3, my interest here is in surveying the devices that scholars already have discovered in order to develop a taxonomy from them, rather than offering examples to fit a preconceived theoretical model. This enables me to present a consensus of scholarship, even if some might dispute particulars. In several cases, I have adopted terms that are Greek in origin, because they accurately identify the devices and because they demonstrate that the devices are far more Eastern and ancient than their Greek usage might suggest (fig. 3). Throughout I have made no attempt to cite every scholar on every topic or every commentary for every passage, though I have labored to be as inclusive as possible in the bibliography. In many ways, I intend the book to serve as a reference work.

The fifth and concluding chapter synthesizes the preceding research. Here I discuss what the evidence tells us about patterns of preference and distribution, and the fundamental strategies that inform "wordplay" in ancient Near Eastern texts. I also propose a number of directions for future research.

#### TRANSLITERATION GUIDE

Since it would be impossible for those unfamiliar with the languages studied in this book to grasp many of its techniques without seeing them in transliteration,

<sup>5.</sup> On the difficulties confronting the study of Sumerian poetics, see Piotr Michalowski, "Ancient Poetics," in *Mesopotamian Poetic Language: Sumerian and Akkadian*, ed. Marianna E. Vogelzang and Herman L. J. Vanstiphout, CM 6 (Groningen: Styx Publications, 1996), 141–53.

<sup>6.</sup> Ahmet Ünal, "Word Play in Hittite Literature," in *Hittite Studies in Honor of Harry J. Hoffner Jr. on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*, ed. Gary Beckman, Richard Beal, and Gregory McMahon (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2003), 377.

I herewith provide a guide. For pedagogical reasons, I resist explaining the nature of the various writing systems until chapter 2.

In Egyptology and Assyriology/Sumerology, it is customary to publish in transliteration, unless it is the first time a text appears. In such cases, a hand-drawn copy and/or photograph of the text often accompanies the transliteration. In biblical studies, it is customary to cite the text in the original without a transliteration. However, since I intend to make this research accessible to those beyond biblical studies, I have provided both the original text and a transliteration for all Hebrew and Aramaic passages. When discussing Egyptian, I sometimes provide portions of the hieroglyphic text, because a particular device is difficult to appreciate without it, but I do so always with an accompanying transliteration.

Readers should be aware that our understanding of how some consonants were pronounced is an approximation based on comparative evidence and/or historical reconstruction—information that has emerged long after the transliteration systems were created. Consequently, in some cases there is something of a dissonance between the conventions used for teaching the sounds of a language and the way we believe phonemes actually were pronounced. I point this out periodically in the guide below. While this creates a potential for confusion, it is crucial for understanding the types of sound devices covered in the ensuing chapters. For those phonemes that are peculiar to English speakers, I have equipped the guide below with their equivalent representation in the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA). Of course, it is important to note that regardless of how one pronounces a consonant, we can assume that it had an alliterative effect when repeated in subsequent words.

#### **SUMERIAN**

<sup>7.</sup> Nevertheless, I have resisted employing the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA) throughout the book for two reasons. First, each of the transliteration systems employed herein has a very long and intractable history in its discipline, and each continues to be the standard in publications. It only makes sense to retain these systems in order to make the research accessible to scholars within these disciplines. Second, whichever transliteration system I adopt inevitably will leave someone having to acquire it.

*Introduction* 7

stops (b', k', t') are rendered simply b, k, t. The consonant  $\hat{g}$  has been tentatively labeled a velar nasal or palatal. It can be seen in Akkadian translations that treat the sound as /ng/, as in the English word "sing" [IPA n], and it is primarily represented by the syllabograms  $\hat{G}\hat{A}$ ,  $\hat{A}\hat{G}$ , and MI.<sup>8</sup> The consonant h is pronounced like /ch/ in the Scottish "loch" but with more force [IPA x]. There is a lack of agreement on the consonant  $\hat{r}$ . It perhaps represents a consonantal cluster /dr/. I have added it here for the sake of completion, but I have not reflected it in the transliterations. The consonant  $\check{s}$  is pronounced like /sh/ in "sheep" [IPA  $\mathfrak{f}$ ]. Some phonemes, like /h/, and the additionally proposed values /gw/ and /gb/, only can be inferred from the comparative evidence and certain linguistic environments. I have not marked these in order to make the script as accessible as possible. Sumerian also contained short and long vowels:  $a, \bar{a}, e, \bar{e}, i, \bar{i}, u, \bar{u}$ , and possibly  $o, \bar{o}$ , though vowel length is usually inferred and not represented in the script. I have disregarded vowel length in the transliterations to simplify the sign values. There are various scholarly traditions on how to transliterate Sumerian. I have opted to capitalize Sumerian signs to differentiate them from Akkadian, which I italicize and place in lower case. Though Sumerologists sometimes employ H for the sound h, I have used H to avoid confusion with the consonant hfound in other languages in this book.9

The two primary dialects of Sumerian are known as EME.ĜIR, the standard dialect, and EME.SAL, a much debated, perhaps literary dialect usually reserved for the direct speech of women and goddesses and the ritual activities of the so-called *gala*-priests. <sup>10</sup> I shall refer to them periodically.

#### **AKKADIAN**

<sup>8.</sup> The latter being the EME.SAL dialectical equivalent.

<sup>9.</sup> For those seeking deeper information on the Sumerian language, see Dietz Otto Edzard, *Sumerian Grammar*, HOS 1, The Near and Middle East 71 (Leiden: Brill, 2003). 10. EME.SAL means "thin" or "high-pitched" language.

The sounds corresponding to q, s, t in the modern Semitic languages of Ethiopia and South Arabia are glottalized, that is, pronounced like k, s, and t with accompanying glottal closure and sharp ejection of air (q = [k']; s = [s']; t = [t']). In Arabic the phonemes corresponding to the Akkadian emphatics are pronounced as follows: q is articulated farther back than k (at the uvula); s and t resemble s and t, respectively, but with a simultaneous constricting of the throat (pharyngealization).

Since Akkadian employs a syllabic script, vowels are represented. The vowels are /a/, /e/, /i/, and /u/, and they can be short or long. I leave short vowels unmarked and record long vowels with a macron.

#### **EGYPTIAN**

The consonants in the Egyptian language include:  $\vec{s}$ ,  $\vec{i}$ ,  $\vec{j}$ ,  $\vec{j}$ ,  $\vec{k}$ ,  $\vec{k$  $h, h, s, \check{s}, k, q, g, t, \underline{t}, d$ , and  $\underline{d}$ . Many appear in English except:  $\vec{s}, \hat{t}, j, \hat{t}, h, h, \underline{h}, \check{s}, \dot{s}$ t, d. The dissonance between the conventional and actual pronunciation of consonants discussed above is especially noticeable in ancient Egyptian, a language that also underwent change over its more than three thousand year history. 13 The conventional way of teaching the consonant 3 is to treat it as an a-vowel, but it once sounded like /r/ or perhaps /l/. It lost its consonantal value around 1500 BCE. Meanwhile, the sign rendered r and usually pronounced as r represented two different phonemes in early Egyptian: /r/ and /l/. When teaching the consonants i and j, we typically pronounce the former like /y/ in "yes" and the latter, like /ee/ in "sleep." However, the former was a voiceless glottal plosive that sounded like the last sound in uh-oh, while the latter may have been similar to /i/. If the double reed leaf sign (\) is used, it probably sounded like /y(a)/. The consonant 'too, we usually pronounce as an a-vowel, but it was a laryngeal fricative that was pronounced by emitting an ah-sound from very deep in the throat, as if gargling [IPA S]. It is identical to the consonant 'found in Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic. The h is pronounced like the voiced /ch/ in German "Ich," and it too appears in Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic as h [IPA  $\hbar$ ]. The Egyptian h [IPA x] is a much harder version of h and is equivalent to the same sound in

<sup>11.</sup> John Huehnergard, A Grammar of Akkadian, HSS 45 (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1997), 2.

<sup>12.</sup> I have opted to transliterate the sound /q/ as q rather than k in order to aid comparative study with the other languages that contain this phoneme.

<sup>13.</sup> For those seeking a more comprehensive linguistic approach to the Egyptian language, more information on the phonological changes that occurred over time, and an in-depth discussion of the different conventions for pronunciation that have emerged in Egyptology, see Carsten Peust, *An Introduction to the Phonology of a Dead Language*, MoÄS 2 (Göttingen: Peust & Gutschmidt Verlag, 1999).

Introduction 9

Akkadian and Ugaritic. The value of  $\underline{h}$  is less certain, but it appears to have been a lateral form of  $\underline{h}$ , in some cases closer to the sound  $/\underline{s}/$ , thus [IPA  $\varsigma$ ]. The consonant  $\underline{s}$  is again like  $/\mathrm{sh}/$  in "sheep," and is found in each of the languages in this book. The conventional way of teaching the Egyptian  $\underline{t}$  is to pronounce it like  $/\mathrm{ch}/$  in "chowder." However, it sometimes renders Semitic  $/\mathrm{z}/$  and voiced  $/\mathrm{th}/$ , so it probably was more of an  $/\mathrm{s}/$  sound. The consonant transliterated as  $\underline{d}$  is conventionally taught as the  $/\mathrm{j}/$  sound in "journey" [IPA  $\mathrm{J}$ ], but since it renders Semitic  $/\mathrm{z}/$  and all dental/sibilant emphatics, it was closer to  $/\mathrm{ts}/$ . The consonants rendered as  $/\mathrm{k}/$ ,  $/\mathrm{g}/$ , and  $/\mathrm{q}/$  are more complex than they might appear. In Old Egyptian, the three graphemes represent three distinct phonemes:  $/\mathrm{k}/$  renders an aspirate  $/\mathrm{k}/$  or a phoneme that later develops into an aspirate,  $/\mathrm{q}/$  and  $/\mathrm{g}/$  represent two non-aspirate phonemes the distinction between which is impossible to know. The phoneme  $/\mathrm{q}/$  was likely labialized, as was  $/\mathrm{g}/$ , which was an allophone. In the Middle and New Kingdoms the consonants became even more complex. Carsten Preust explains:

So in total we have 5 or 6 phonemes:  $/k^h/$ ,  $/k_1/$ ,  $/k_1^w/$ ,  $/k_2/$ ,  $/k_2^w/$  (or  $k_2^w$ ), and /q/. They are rendered by only three different graphemes (or by four if we consider the marginal grapheme  $\delta$ ). Labialization is largely ignored in writing, and there is no sign to unambiguously indicate /q/.<sup>14</sup>

Indeed, the pronunciation of other consonants also changed over time. In particular, in Late Egyptian, we find the depalatilization of  $\underline{t}$  to /t/ and  $\underline{d}$  to /d/, though the latter change is not necessarily represented in the writing. The consonants t and r also were often not pronounced in a variety of linguistic environments (e.g., in final position), but remained in writing. <sup>15</sup> Note too that the signs || and || (both rendered with s) were once separate sounds (i.e., /s/ and /ts/), but the two became allographs from the Middle Kingdom on, when they perhaps approximated the English /s/. <sup>16</sup>

Egyptian records no vowels, so Egyptologists reconstruct them mostly on the basis of Coptic. Since Coptic was written so much later than the texts covered in this book, we cannot know whether vowel change has occurred, so I have left assonance out of the study.

There are various methods of transliterating grammatical relationships in Egyptian texts. I have opted to employ a dot to mark the verbal past tense and an equal sign (=) for affixed verbal and nominal pronouns. I also mark feminine singular nouns, masculine and feminine plural nouns, duals, and some other

<sup>14.</sup> Peust, Introduction to the Phonology of a Dead Language, 114.

<sup>15.</sup> See Peust, Introduction to the Phonology of a Dead Language, 151–54.

<sup>16.</sup> On the various proposals of how these consonants were pronounced, see Peust, *Introduction to the Phonology of a Dead Language*, 126.

distinct elements of the language with a period. Thus, hm.t "wife," ts.w "phrases," ntr.wt "goddesses," and ts.wj "the Two Lands."

#### **UGARITIC**

The consonantal inventory for the Ugaritic language includes: a, i, u, b, g, d,  $\underline{d}$ ,  $h, w, z, h, h, t, z, y, k, l, m, n, s, \acute{s}, \acute{s}, g, p, s, q, r, \check{s}, t$ , and  $\underline{t}$ . Do not be confused by a, i, u; Ugaritic does not record vowels. Instead, these are variations of the same aleph glottal plosive followed (or in some cases preceded, according to some) by an a, i, or u vowel. The sound of the consonant is identical to Hebrew and Aramaic ' (not to be confused with ' in each of them, which faces the other way). Because Ugaritic does not render vowels, we cannot delineate cases of assonance. As for the other consonants not found in English, I add: <u>d</u>, <u>h</u>, <u>h</u>, <u>t</u>, <u>z</u>, <u>s</u>,  $\dot{s}$ ,  $\dot{s}$ ,  $\dot{g}$ ,  $\dot{s}$ , and  $\dot{t}$ . The consonants h, h,  $\dot{s}$ , and  $\dot{s}$  are pronounced the same way as they are found in the languages discussed thus far. The problems that exist in ascertaining the true values of the emphatics t, s, q, in Akkadian are also realized in Ugaritic. Consequently, scholars regularly pronounce them as t, ts, and k, respectively. Thus, the only new consonants to introduce include:  $d, z, s, \acute{s}, \acute{g}$ , and t. In Ugaritic, d is a voiced sound that is pronounced like /th/ in "there" [IPA ð] and sometimes also can represent /d/. The z is pronounced like /th/ in "thought" but with the jaw open [IPA  $\eth$ ']. The sign s is not like s in English, but a heavy /ss/, as in "hiss," but articulated again with the jaw open [IPA ts]. The ś is identical to our s (as in "sun"), but readers might be unfamiliar with this transliteration. The g is a richer, more guttural reflection of the consonant ', and is produced as if saying the initial g in "gargle," while gargling [IPA  $\chi$ ]. The  $\underline{t}$  is pronounced /th/, as in "thank" [IPA  $\theta$ ]. 17

#### HEBREW AND ARAMAIC

Hebrew and Aramaic consonants are identical: ', b, g, d, h, w, z, h, t, y, k, l, m, n, s, ', p, s, q, r, ś, š, and t. All of these have been discussed already in conjunction with Ugaritic, except for '. The phoneme ' is identical to the Ugaritic variants a, i, and u. It is a glottal plosive that one must distinguish from ', which faces the opposite way. In Hebrew and Aramaic, the consonants b, g, d, k, p, and t also can be aspirated. Thus, when recorded, I have rendered their aspirated forms as

<sup>17.</sup> For a deeper treatment of the Ugaritic language, consult John Huehnergard, *Ugaritic Vocabulary in Syllabic Transcription*, HSS 32 (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1987); Daniel Sivan, *A Grammar of the Ugaritic Language*, HOS 1, The Near and Middle East 28 (Leiden: Brill, 2001).

 $\underline{b}$ ,  $\overline{g}$ ,  $\underline{d}$ ,  $\underline{k}$ ,  $\overline{p}$ , and  $\underline{t}$ . In inscriptions and other texts in which aspiration is not recorded, I transliterate as if not aspirated. Note that while I transliterate every  $\pi$  as h and every y as f, evidence suggests that both consonants mask two potential phonemic values. The  $\pi$  can represent h or h [IPA f or f], and the f can represent f or f [IPA f or f]. In fact, the two sets of sounds, which are the same as those found in Ugaritic, were still articulated distinctly as late as 200 BCE, after which f merged with f, and f merged with f is a late as 200 BCE, after which f merged with f in the underlying phonemic values matter to a word's pronunciation and interpretation. As with Akkadian and Ugaritic, the ancient sounds of the consonants f, f, and f are unknown, so here too we adopt the convention of pronouncing them as them as f, f, and f.

The reader should take care to note the difference between Hebrew and Aramaic  $\underline{d}$ , the Egyptian  $\underline{d}$ , and the Ugaritic  $\underline{d}$ , each of which differs. As I noted above, the Hebrew and Aramaic  $\underline{t}$  similarly differs from the same transliteration signs found in Egyptian and Ugaritic.

Biblical Hebrew and Aramaic possess a notation system for recording vowels, which consists of a number of diacritical marks (Hebrew 1971)  $niqq\bar{u}d$  "pointing"), though it was added to the biblical text at a much later date (see chapter 2). Periodically, I refer to this system as the vocalized text or the pointed text. Hebraists will be able to understand which vowels correspond to which transliterations, and so I will not provide this correspondence here. For those unfamiliar with Hebrew and Aramaic, suffice it to note that I transliterate the vowels as follows: short  $(a, \varepsilon, i, o, u)$ , partial  $(o, \check{a}, \check{e}, \check{o})$ , and long  $(\bar{a}, \bar{e}, \bar{i}, \bar{o}, \bar{u})$ . For those Hebrew and Aramaic texts that appear in inscriptions or elsewhere without vowels, I simply transliterate the consonants. I do the same for other Northwest Semitic scripts that do not record vowels.

For the few terms cited from medieval and modern Hebrew, I have followed the common convention to leave the Hebrew unpointed and to transliterate it without attention to vowel length, for example, זווג מלים ziwwug millim "word pairs."

The chart below should help readers to distinguish the sounds of the consonants that one could potentially confuse when moving from language to language.

<sup>18.</sup> The fricativization of these letters occurred sometime around 400 BCE, possibly under Aramaic influence. See Gary A. Rendsburg, "Phonology: Biblical Hebrew," in *Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics*, ed. Geoffrey Khan (Leiden: Brill, 2013), 3:104–5.

<sup>19.</sup> See Rendsburg, "Phonology: Biblical Hebrew," 104-5.

<sup>20.</sup> A note to Hebraists: I have elected to use h to transliterate cases of *matres lectionis* in final he forms and cases in which the consonant h is pronounced (with a *mappiq*), because I did not want the visual impression of the consonant marking a *matres lectionis* to be lost to readers unfamiliar with the script. Those wanting to see which of these cases an h represents in the transliteration can consult the adjoining Hebrew.

Fig. 1. Consonants in Each Language with Descriptions and IPA Alphabet

Consonant	Sumerian	Akkadian	Egyptian	Ugaritic	Hebrew	Aramaic
5			l or r			
' <i>aleph—</i> glottal plosive				a, i, u represent the consonant $aleph$ plus the vowel $a, i$ or $u$ —as in the second syllable of uh-oh	as in the second syllable of uh-oh	as in the second syllable of uh-oh
'ayin— laryngeal fricative			ah-sound, but from very deep in the throat as if gargling [IPA f]	ah-sound, but from very deep in the throat as if gargling [IPA f]	ah-sound, but from $ah$ -sound, but from $ah$ -sound, but from $ah$ -sound, but from very deep in the very deep in the very deep in the throat as if gargling throat as if gargling throat as if gargling [IPA $\Omega$ ] [IPA $\Omega$ ] [IPA $\Omega$ ]	ah-sound, but from very deep in the throat as if gargling [IPA f]
$ar{q}$					aspirated $b$ [IPA $b^h$ ]	aspirated $b$ [IPA $\mathrm{b^h}$ ]
$ar{p}$			ts as in tse-tse fly [IPA s <sup>f</sup> ]	th as in there [IPA ð]	aspirated $d$ [IPA $d^h$ ]	aspirated $d$ [IPA $\mathrm{dh}$ ]
$\hat{\mathcal{S}}$	ng as in sing [IPA ŋ]					
۵۵٬				g as in gargle, while gargling (a more guttural form of ayin) [IPA y]		

Aramaic	aspirated g [IPA gh]	in voiced $ch$ in $h$ German Ich [IPA $h$ ]					aspirated $k$ [IPA $k^h$ ]	aspirated $p$ [IPA $p^h$ ]	k with a glottal closure and sharp ejection of air [IPA k'] or q articulated farther back than k (at the uvula)	heavy ss, as in hiss, with the jaw open
Hebrew	aspirated $g$ [IPA $g^h$ ] aspirated $g$ [IPA $g^h$ ]	in voiced $ch$ in Hall German Ich [IPA ħ]					aspirated $k$ [IPA kh] aspirated $k$ [IPA kh]	aspirated $p$ [IPA $p^h$ ] aspirated $p$ [IPA $p^h$ ]	k with a glottal k with a glottal k with a glottal closure and sharp closure and sharp cjection of air [IPA ejection of air [IPA ejecti	heavy ss, as in hiss, heavy ss, as in hiss, heavy ss, as in hiss, with the jaw open with the jaw open with the jaw open
Ugaritic		voiced $ch$ in German Ich [IPA ħ] German Ich [IPA ħ]	ch as in loch [IPA x]						k with a glottal k with a glottal k with a glottal closure and sharp closure and sharp cjection of air [IPA ejection of air [IPA ejecti	heavy ss, as in hiss, with the jaw open
Egyptian		voiced $ch$ in German Ich [IPA ħ]	ch as in loch [IPA x] $ch$ as in loch [IPA x] $ch$ as in loch [IPA x]	uncertain-perhaps a lateral $\hat{b}$ , closer to $\hat{s}$	like the last sound in uh-oh	like $ee$ in weep [IPA $i$ ] or (if double reed sign) $y(a)$				
Akkadian			ch as in loch [IPA x]						k with a glottal closure and sharp ejection of air [IPA k²] or q articulated farther back than k (at the uvula)	
Sumerian			ch as in loch [IPA x]							
Consonant	δġ	$\dot{q}$	$\hat{q}$	$ar{q}$	?	j	$ar{k}$	$ar{p}$	Ь	S

Consonant	Sumerian	Akkadian	Egyptian	Ugaritic	Hebrew	Aramaic
\$.		ts as in tse-tse fly perhaps glottalized [IPA s²] or pharyn- gealized [IPA s³]		ts as in tse-tse fly the same services fly to as in tse-tse fly perhaps glottalized [IPA s²] or pharyn- gealized [IPA s²]	ts as in tse-tse fly perhaps glottalized [IPA s²] or pharyn- gealized [IPA sˁ]	ts as in tse-tse fly perhaps glottalized [IPA s²] or pharyn. gealized [IPA s²]
Š	sh as in sheep [IPA J]	sh as in sheep [IPA ʃ]	sh as in sheep [IPA J]	sh as in sheep [IPA ʃ]	sh as in sheep [IPA J]	sh as in sheep [IPA J]
Ş				s as in sun [IPA s]	s as in sun [IPA s]	s as in sun [IPA s]
Ī			type of s sound the as in renders Semitic /z/ [IPA $\theta$ ] and voiced /th/	thank	aspirated $t$ [IPA $\theta'$ ]	aspirated $t$ [IPA $\theta'$ ]
t,		t with root of tongue retracted [IPA t']		t with root of tongue retracted [IPA t']		
ы.				th as in thought, but with the jaw open [IPA ð']		

## 1

# THE PROBLEMS WITH PUNS

## 1.1. PREVIOUS TAXONOMIES AND VOCABULARY

One of the largest challenges confronting researchers on the topic of ancient Near Eastern "wordplay" is the inconsistent terminology used to describe its many devices. The terms "wordplay," "pun," and "paronomasia" appear with the greatest frequency in scholarly publications, and sometimes are used interchangeably, while elsewhere they appear to be distinct. When distinguished, scholars typically use "wordplay" and pun in a general way, whereas they usually restrict paronomasia to sound devices that have an alliterative effect, though here too there is inconsistency. I, too, readily admit to having employed the terms "wordplay," pun, alliteration, and the like, more loosely in my earlier works. As I noted in the introduction, they can serve a heuristic purpose by making the subject more accessible to those beyond our discipline. However, I now have come to believe that such terms can no longer be used without qualification. With this work I hope to lend the discussion of these devices a greater precision and move the field forward.

Another challenge to researchers has been a lack of a comprehensive and consistent taxonomy for all the devices labeled as puns or "word-plays." To be sure, there have been small-scale attempts, but the points of departure for defining the varied phenomena have been very different and inconsistent. Let me

<sup>1.</sup> See the fitting remark of Werner Diem, "Paronomasie': Eine Begriffs-verwirrung," ZDMG 157 (2007): 346: "Was den mehr literwissenschaftlichen Paronomasiebegriff betrifft, so hat er, inbesondere in der englishsprachigen Hebraistik, eine derartige begriffliche Erweiterung und Umformung erfahren und ist inzwischen, wie sich inbesondere an der ausgefeilten Subkategorisierung in Sassons zeigt, so stark differentziert worden, daß der Terminus 'paronomasia' nachgerade entbehrlich geworden ist; an seiner Stelle ließe sich ohne irgendeine Beeinträcht-igung der bereits sehr übliche Terminus 'wordplay,' also 'wortspiel,' verwenden, freilich ebenfalls unter starker Erweiterung dieses Begriffs." Diem offers a fine survey of many, but not all, of the approaches to the topic examined here.

demonstrate by turning to six of the most cited case studies from within the discipline of biblical studies. My focus on this discipline is due to the fact that, though we possess several fine studies on "wordplay" in some of the other Near Eastern languages, they mostly tend to survey a variety of devices under the problematic rubrics discussed above. None has attempted to establish greater precision or to offer a complete taxonomy of types and functions.

I begin with the now classic study by Immanuel Casanowicz authored in 1893 entitled "Paronomasia in the Old Testament." Casanowicz first outlines some methodological considerations for the study of paronomasia by establishing which consonants he felt alliterate. His groupings include:

```
aleph (') and 'ayin (')
'ayin (') and gayin (g')
bet (b) and pe (p)
bet (b) and mem (m)
dalet (d) and tet (t)
het (h) and kaph (k)
het (h) and het (h)
tet (t) and taw (t)
mem (m) and pe (p)
qof (q) and gimmel (g)
qof (q) and kaph (k)
lamed (l) and reš (r)
```

He excludes from consideration alliteration and assonance created by grammatical necessity or verbatim repetition. Thus, cognate accusative and infinitive ab-

2. Immanuel M. Casanowicz, "Paronomasia in the Old Testament," JBL 12 (1893): 105-67. The article derives from work found in Casanowicz, Paronomasia in the Old Testament (PhD diss., Johns Hopkins University, 1892). Three earlier works deserve notice here, though often neglected in surveys on the topic. The first is Samuel Waldburg, Methods of (Hermeneutical) Transformations [Hebrew] (Lemberg: Menkes, 1870). Waldburg examined a number of devices for their exegetical use, like homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, parasonance, anagrammatic paronomasia, and also gematria and notarigon (see chapter 4 for definitions), but all under the rabbinic expression לשון נופל על לשון lašon nopel 'al lašon (lit.) "language/tongue falling upon language/tongue." He even attempted to provide a taxonomy based on which words' root consonants were transposed, yet because it was authored in Hebrew, it never received wide attention. Nevertheless, it offers a representative collection of several of the devices considered here in biblical and later rabbinic texts. The second two publications, by Julius Ley, Alliterierende Poesien der Hebräer (Leipzig, 1865); Ley, "Über die Alliteration im Hebräischen," ZDMG 20 (1866): 180-83, mostly aim to differentiate alliteration in biblical Hebrew from that in Old Germanic texts.

solute constructions, as well as the repetition of the same root with a different vocalization (also called metaphony, polyptoton, polyprosopon) do not qualify.

Casanowicz then briefly discusses and demonstrates cases of alliteration, assonance, rhyme, and epanastrophe.<sup>3</sup> Afterwards, he moves to the category "Play upon Words," which he subdivides into various types, and "Plays upon Proper Names." He discusses diction as it relates to paronomasia and the use of *hapax legomena* in creating it. An appendix on paronomasia in postbiblical literature and an index of examples surveyed conclude the work.

For Casanowicz, paronomasia represents a number of devices that involve the manipulation of sounds, and "wordplay" is one of these devices. Alliteration and assonance are the aural effects of paronomasia and not themselves types of paronomasia. A close look at the section on "Play upon Words" reveals that he includes examples of other devices classified differently by later scholars. Moreover, Casanowicz understood paronomasia as an elevated element of style.

Paronomasia in the Old Testament is, like all other embellishments of speech, an element of higher style, that is, of the poetical and prophetical diction. In the historical books, except in the poetical passages embodied in them and the plays on the etymology of proper names, cases in which it occurs are few and far between. It is everywhere merely a casual, not an organic, element of diction. Hebrew poetical style hardly differs from the rhetorical; both have in common all the peculiarities which distinguish them from the lower style.<sup>4</sup>

Casanowicz's method and categories were largely adopted by scholars,<sup>5</sup> though they contextualized them by employing more familiar contemporary nomenclature.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>3.</sup> Casanowicz spells rhyme as "rime."

<sup>4.</sup> Casanowicz, "Paronomasia in the Old Testament," 120.

<sup>5.</sup> See, e.g., Antoine-Jean Baumgartner, "L'humour dans l'Ancien Testament," RTP 29 (1896): 497–535; Johann Döller, Rhythmus, Metrik und Strophik in der biblischhebräischen Poesie (Paderborn: Druck & Verlag von Ferdinand Schöningh, 1899); Eduard König, Stilistik, Rhetorik, Poetik in Bezug auf die Biblische Litteratur (Leipzig: Dieterich'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung Theodor Weicher, 1900); Hermann Reckendorff, Über Paronomasie in den semitischen Sprachen: Ein Beitrag zur allgemeinen Sprachwissenschaft (Giessen: Töpelmann, 1909); Franz M. T. Bohl, "Wortspiele im Alten Testament," JPOS 6 (1926): 196–212; Bohl, "Wortspiele im Alten Testament," OM (1953): 11–25; Ignác Gábor, Der hebräische Urrhythmus, BZAW 52 (Giessen: Töpelmann, 1929); David Yellin, "Polysemy in the Bible" [Hebrew], Tarbiz 5 (1933): 1–17; Ignác Gábor, "Paronomasia in the Bible" [Hebrew], Leshonenu 5 (1933): 274–94; Gábor, "On Biblical Rhetoric" [Hebrew], in vol. 2 of Selected Writings (Jerusalem: Kiryat Sepher, 1939), 1–149; Asher Weiser, "Wordplay in the Book of Proverbs" [Hebrew], PIBRS 7 (1959): 140–47; Weiser, "Wordplay in the Book of Isaiah" [Hebrew], BM 20 (1964): 25–

Compare Casanowicz's approach with Janus Glück's 1970 article on "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature." Noting the lack of a comprehensive taxonomy, Glück attempts to provide one. His classification offers six types: equivocal pun, metaphonic pun, parasonantic pun, farraginous pun, associative pun, and assonantic pun. Additionally, some of the categories he provides group together quite different devices. Under the metaphonic group, Glück includes the repetition of the same root with a different vocalization, a category excluded from Casanowicz's definition. Glück's treatment of parasonancy is broad enough to include "the replacement of a word in the sentence by a new and unexpected one." Also unlike Casanowicz, his category assonantic treats assonance as a type of paronomasia, rather than as the aural effect of paronomasia. However, in keeping with Casanowicz, Glück regards paronomasia as a flourish of high style.

In contrast with modern rhetorical concepts and with classical usage generally, the biblical paronomasia is no pun but an integral part of the elevated diction of the Bible.... Biblical paronomasia seems to be an inseparable part of the word-magic, the subtle eloquence of the Bible.<sup>11</sup>

Quite a different approach was taken by Jack Sasson in his 1976 entry on "Word Play in the O.T." for the *Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible*. He begins

<sup>32;</sup> Robert Gordis, "Rhetorical Usages in the Sacred Writings" [Hebrew], in *Articles in Bible Research Presented to Dr. Moshe Seidel on the Occasion of His Seventy-Fifth Birthday*, ed. A. Eliner et al. (Jerusalem: Kiryat Sefer, 1962), 253–67; Yair Zakovitch, *Duplicated Midrashic Name Derivations* [Hebrew] (Master's thesis, Hebrew University, 1972); Zakovitch, "The Status of the Synonymous Word and the Synonymous Name in the Creation of Midrashic Name Derivations" [Hebrew], *Shenathon* 2 (1977): 106–7; Meir Paran, "Double Meanings in the Bible" [Hebrew], *Beersheva* 1 (1973): 151–61; Raphael Weiss, "Derivation of Names in the Book of Chronicles" [Hebrew], in *Biblical Essays: The Bible in Qumran, the Samaritan Pentateuch* (Jerusalem: Rubenstein, 1976), 90–91; Weiss, "Paronomasia in the Bible" [Hebrew], in *Biblical Essays*, 162–89.

<sup>6.</sup> The terms encountered most frequently in aforecited publications include: Wortspiele (German), jeux de mots (French), and the Hebrew expressions משוחקי לשון miśhaqe lašon "plays of language," בפל משמעות "polysemy," בפל משמעות "polysemy," בפל משמעות "ambiguity," בפל משמעות "tirk inca'ah "ambiguity," בפל משמעות ziwwug haṣṣəlil "homonymic pairs," בפל משמעות "simmud šalem "antanaclasis."

<sup>7.</sup> J. J. Glück, "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature," Semitics 1 (1970): 50–78.

<sup>8.</sup> Chapter 3 provides definitions of these terms.

<sup>9.</sup> Glück, "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature," 66.

<sup>10.</sup> See also J. J. Glück, "Assonance in Ancient Hebrew Poetry: Sound Patterns as a Literary Device," in *De Fructu Oris Sui: Essays in Honour of Adrianus van Selms*, ed. Ian H. Eybers, PRS 9 (Leiden: Brill, 1971), 26–45.

<sup>11.</sup> Glück, "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature," 78.

by discussing how paronomasia was used "by ancient Greek commentators when referring to rhetoric devices designed to engage an audience." He then subdivides the topic into visual wordplay, oral wordplay, and extended wordplay. Into the first group, he places *gematria* (i.e., isopsephy), *notariqon*, acrostics, atbash, anastrophe, and epanastrophe. The second group includes equivocal, metaphonic, parasonancy, farrago, assonance, onomatopoeia, and antanaclasis. The third section provides examples of Israelite writers employing these devices across extended pericopes.

The reader will immediately note the shift from paronomasia to "wordplay" as the umbrella term of choice. Sasson's taxonomic division between visual and aural devices provides a new and useful point of departure. Nevertheless, as I hope to make clear below, many visual devices also operate aurally and most aural devices simultaneously operate visually. Sasson's taxonomy does not distinguish forms from functions, and it deviates in small, but significant ways from the earlier approaches of Casanowicz and Glück. Thus, for Sasson, parasonancy "involves the use of verbal or nominal roots which differ in one of their three consonants," a more restrictive definition than offered by Glück. Moreover, in the same section, he includes examples in which two words share all three consonants, but in a different order. The example he provides (Job 3:15) constitutes an anagram and, thus, also must be considered a visual device.

Sasson primarily sees "wordplay" as literary tool employed for serious and lighthearted purposes alike.

The use of paronomasia promoted a certain aura of ambiguity, which was intended to excite curiosity and to invite a search for meanings that were not readily apparent. It is not surprising, therefore, that divine revelations were couched in paronomastic forms. There were also times when Hebrew wordplays expressed a spirit of playfulness.<sup>16</sup>

Another influential treatment on the subject is that of Wilfred G. E. Watson. In his now classic guide to biblical Hebrew poetry, Watson devotes an entire chapter to the topic of sound in Hebrew poetry, which he divides into the fol-

<sup>12.</sup> Jack M. Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," in *IDB Supplement* (Nashville: Abingdon, 1976), 968.

<sup>13.</sup> See chapter 3 for definitions. The approaches of Glück and Sasson were adopted with minor variations by Russell T. Cherry III, *Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament: Rhetorical Function and Literary Effect* (PhD diss., Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, 1988).

<sup>14.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," 969.

<sup>15.</sup> However, only two of the words that appear in the verse he cites (i.e., Isa 5:7) share two of the three radicals.

<sup>16.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," 968.

lowing sections: assonance, alliteration, rhyme, onomatopoeia, and "word-play." Watson further divides the category "wordplay" into three types based on whether the words involved derive from the same or different roots. Under those that derive from identical roots (his first type), he includes: turn (root repetition), rootplay (verbal roots used as the basis for alliterative transposition), and polysemantic pun (words that have multiple meanings in a single context). He labels his second type of "wordplay" "punning repetition" and applies it to true homonyms (words of *apparent* different derivation that appear identical). His third type, paronomasia, juxtaposes words of similar sound, but different meanings (near-homonyms).

Watson finds that "wordplay" can function to amuse and sustain interest, assist composition, lend authenticity (demonstrate mastery), link a poem or its parts, denote reversal, show that appearances can be deceptive, or equate two things. He further opines that it can instruct, assist memory, and even distract mourners when found in laments.

Like Sasson, Watson employs the term "wordplay" as his overarching designation of choice, but he also uses pun synonymously. However, some of the types that Watson offers differ in important ways from those of the aforementioned scholars. Watson's inclusion of "turn or root repetition" is identical to Glück's metaphonic group, which Casanowicz rejected as outside the parameters of paronomasia. Watson's rootplay category combines anagrams and alliteration. His use of "polysemantic pun" is equivalent to polysemy and what Watson calls "punning repetition" is what Sasson labels "antanaclasis." The term paronomasia is here a subcategory of "wordplay," just the opposite of the approach taken by Casanowicz. Though Watson understands the function of "wordplay" primarily in literary terms, he also considers the possibility of social, mnemonic, and didactic purposes.

Another approach to the subject is that of Edward Greenstein's 1992 entry, "Wordplay, Hebrew," written for the *Anchor Bible Dictionary*. Greenstein begins by defining "wordplay" as "use in close proximity of words that display similarity of sound with dissimilarity of meaning." His method applies Casanowicz's definition of paronomasia to "wordplay" and adopts his method of excluding repetition that is verbatim or required by grammatical necessity. Greenstein's approach usefully departs from previous attempts by distinguishing form from function. In addition, his subcategories also differ. Thus, under forms he includes "complete and incomplete sound repetition," "explicit and implicit wordplay," and "types of wordplay." A perusal of the first subgroup shows that it includes, *inter alia*, antanaclasis, polysemy, farrago, and metathesis. His inclu-

<sup>17.</sup> Wilfred G. E. Watson, *Classical Hebrew Poetry: A Guide to Its Techniques*, JSOTSup 26 (Sheffield: JSOT Press, 1984), 222–50.

<sup>18.</sup> Edward L. Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," ABD 6:968.

sion of examples in which "inflection of a verb-stem alters sense" are what Glück and Sasson refer to as "metaphony" and what Casanowicz excludes.

In the section on "Types of Wordplay," Greenstein suggests that there are multiple ways of classifying "wordplay."

We may classify forms of biblical wordplay in a variety of ways.... One may distinguish between play on proper names and on common nouns..., or between explicit and implicit derivations.... One may taxonomize according to the sound patterns involved.... Alternatively, one may differentiate between polysemy in the strict sense and paronomasia, in which slightly dissimilar sounds or words are entailed. Such a distinction is not hard and fast for a pun may work in either way.<sup>20</sup>

Greenstein divides his discussion of function into two categories: "general" and "proper names." The former includes euphony, "to highlight an idea or association," the leading word, allusion, irony, and satire. The second category proper names encompasses etymology, "essence," and "fate or destiny." In a final section, he briefly offers examples of bilingual wordplay, a category undiscovered when the previous studies appeared.

Finally, I note the important article by Nathan Klaus, which appeared at roughly the same time as Greenstein's contribution. Since Klaus wrote his study in Hebrew, it unfortunately has not enjoyed the circulation and influence of the other works examined here. Nevertheless, Klaus taxonomizes biblical Hebrew "word play" into thirty-four different types. While some of his taxa reflect the influence of previous works on the subject (e.g., transposition of consonants, onomatopoeia, and polysemy), others appear as rubrics for a variety of types. Still others constitute cases of root, particle, and word repetition, various kinds of alliterative devices, poetic chains, ring structures, inclusio, and devices that derive from grammatical necessity—categories all rejected by Casanowicz and others, including myself. His title and taxonomy employ the partial calque mishage lašon "plays of language."

<sup>19.</sup> Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," 969.

<sup>20.</sup> Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," 970. He has recently taken up the topic again in "Verbal Art and Literary Sensibilities in Ancient Near Eastern Context," in *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Ancient Israel*, ed. Susan Niditch (Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell, 2016), 457–75.

<sup>21.</sup> N. Klaus, "Plays of Language in the Bible" [Hebrew], BM 129 (1991–1992): 170–81. 22. For example, Klaus's first category, "similar words in close proximity," includes examples that one might classify more specifically as cases of homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, polyptoton, and superlative expressions like שִׁירִים šīr haš-šīrīm "the Song of Songs," which are required by grammar.

The problems of taxonomy and terminology illustrated by these seminal case studies only multiply when one considers that they represent a mere cross-section of approaches found in the study of Hebrew, Aramaic, Ugaritic, Akkadian, and Egyptian literature. Moreover, the same terminology has changed in usage over time.<sup>23</sup> Sasson's aforecited statement with regard to the use of the term paronomasia among ancient Greek rhetors rightly captures its function in that cultural milieu, but it does not convey its original usage. In earliest parlance, paronomasia referred to the repetition of the first one or two consonants of a word (typically the word's first syllable) in another word.<sup>24</sup> A synonym for this device, and one that I prefer in this volume is homoeopropheron.

However, scholars of the Hebrew Bible have long understood paronomasia more loosely and they have applied it to the repetition of same or similar consonants regardless of where they appear in words or whether the words are etymologically related. This follows the approach of the early rabbis, who referred to the device with the curious idiom אל lašon nopēl 'al lašon. The expression resists a smooth translation into English and literally means "language/tongue falling upon language/tongue" (see Gen. Rab. 18:6, 31:8). Similarly, the term alliteration, as used by grammarians and literary theorists of the last several centuries, was restricted to the repetition of the initial consonants of words. Today scholars apply the term alliteration as broadly as "wordplay." As a result, it has become too vague to be useful. In fact, as I show in chapter 4, the term alliteration only obscures the presence of several distinct devices.

Even from this cursory chronological survey one can make the following observations. First, despite the best intentions and deep erudition of all the aforementioned scholars, a gradual shift in terminology has occurred from the

<sup>23.</sup> One finds an inconsistency in spelling with regard to "wordplay," "word play," and "word-play." The *Oxford English Dictionary* prefers "word-play," but it is by far the least frequently attested of the forms.

<sup>24.</sup> Already by the first century CE, Quintilian was using the term paronomasia loosely and equating it with *adnominatio*. See Quintilian, *Inst.* 9.3.66.

<sup>25.</sup> The expression refers mostly to paronomasia. See chapter 2 for additional terms used by the medieval commentators. I wonder if the expression relates to that of ופל בל בלשון b-lšwn "slip of the tongue" in Ben Sira (e.g., 25:8). On the latter, see Bradley C. Gregory, "Slips of the Tongue in the Speech Ethics of Ben Sira," Bib 93 (2012): 321–39.

<sup>26.</sup> Giovanni Pontano coined the term alliteration (from Italian *alliteratio*) in 1519 to describe the repetition of a word's initial consonants. He used this term as a further specification of the term *annominatio* (Latin *adnominatio*), which was used for ascribing to a proper name its literal or homophonic meaning. Thus, pedantically speaking, alliteration is synonymous with homoeopropheron. On *adnominatio*, see Quintilian, *Inst.*, 9.3.66. Hence Glück, "Assonance in Ancient Hebrew Poetry," 70–71: "*Alliteration* is repetition of the same or cognate sounds at the beginning of words—as the term is generally understood by literary dictionaries."

technical to the broad, with "wordplay" replacing paronomasia as the most general designation. Whether the works of biblical scholars on "wordplay" reflect the influence of rabbinic usage or the study of Western literature generally, 27 they have increasingly preferred flexibility over precision. Second, the survey reveals an increasing confusion between types and functions and a growing recognition that existing vocabulary and taxonomies are insufficient, or at least difficult to disentangle. Finally, it illustrates that there has been a rather consistent tendency to treat the many devices found in the Hebrew Bible primarily as elements of literary style and rhetorical flare.<sup>28</sup>

This last observation is one worth reconsidering. In a number of publications and conference papers I have drawn attention to the problematic nature of the term "wordplay." Indeed, in recent years it has become increasingly obvious that there is little that is "playful" about most of the devices considered here. Second, the term "wordplay" implies that the *word* is the basic operative unit of

<sup>27.</sup> See, e.g., the term "midrashic name derivation" coined by Zakovitch, Duplicated Midrashic Name Derivations (in Hebrew), and his other publications; and popularized in the English speaking academic world by Moshe Garsiel, Biblical Names: A Literary Study of Midrashic Name Derivations and Puns (Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1991); Garsiel, "Puns upon Names as a Literary Device in 1 Kings 1–2," Bib 72 (1991): 379-86; Garsiel, "Homiletic Name Derivations as a Literary Device in the Gideon Narrative: Judges VI–VIII," VT 43 (1993): 302–17; Garsiel, "Puns upon Proper Names and Place Names in the Book of Samuel" [Hebrew], in Moshe Goshen-Gottstein-in Memoriam, vol. 3 of Studies in Bible and Exegesis, ed. Moshe Bar-Asher et al. (Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1993), 105-19; Garsiel, "Wordplays, Puns and Puns upon Names as a Literary Rhetorical Device in the Book of Samuel" [Hebrew], BM 42 (1998): 1-14; Garsiel, "Name Midrashim on People and Places as a Literary Device in the Book of Judges" [Hebrew], BM 53 (2008): 8-9\*, 59-82; Garsiel and Robert Řehák, "Puns on Names as a Poetic Device in the Book of Judges," in Ben Porat Yosef: Studies in the Bible and Its World: Essays in Honor of Joseph Fleishman, ed. Michael Avioz, Omer Minka, and Yael Shemesh, AOAT 458 (Münster: Ugarit Verpag, 2019), 271-87. See also H. Barazin and Y. Zakovitch, "Name-Derivations and Word-Plays on Names in the Book of Chronicles" [Hebrew], BM 13 (1968): 145-47; I. H. Eybers, "The Use of Proper Names as a Stylistic Device," Semitics 2 (1971-1972): 280-81; Amos Frisch, "Midrashic Name Derivations of Solomon's Name in the Book of Kings," BM 44 (2000): 84–96, Isaac B. Gottlieb, "Mashal le-Melekh: The Search for Solomon," HS 51 (2010): 107-27; Ekaterina E. Kozlova, "What Is in a Name? Rahab, the Canaanite, and the Rhetoric of Liberation in the Hebrew Bible," OT 6 (2020): 573–86.

<sup>28.</sup> A welcome exception is Stefan Schorch, "Between Science and Magic: The Function and Roots of Paronomasia in the Prophetic Books of the Hebrew Bible," in Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 205–22.

<sup>29.</sup> See most notably, Scott B. Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers: The Allusive Language of Dreams in the Ancient Near East*, AOS 89 (New Haven, CT: American Oriental Society, 2007).

such devices. Yet, one could argue that consonants and syllables are the more meaningful components in Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic and that the individual sign is the most important constituent when examining Akkadian devices. In fact, Akkadian does not even possess a term for word as a distinct linguistic unit; the term usually so rendered, amātu (like Sumerian INIM), means "speech, utterance, news, report, text" and the like. 30 In Egyptian, too, one could argue that the sign is the most significant component in the production of "wordplay," though some Egyptologists, like Friedrich Junge, have argued that we should consider the colon as the basic unit—there being some flexibility between the Egyptian terms *mdw.t* "word" or "colon" and *ts* "phrase" or "verse." As I shall show, these distinctions are not merely semantic quibbling but are critical for understanding how the ancients understood their many tools for manipulating language. This is especially important for the comparative study of the phenomena, which I undertake here. Ancient Israel's debt to the scribal cultures of Mesopotamia and Egypt has long been recognized, and the comparative study of the respective literatures has yielded numerous insights. However, few studies have offered a comparative analysis of these cultures' literary craft.<sup>32</sup> Nevertheless, if we are to appreciate the true influence of Israel's neighbors on its scribal culture,

3

<sup>30.</sup> CAD A/2, s.v. "amatu A." The term amātu/awātu may be etymologically connected to the word "liver" (i.e., amūtum), as first suggested by Jean Nougayrol, "Note sur la place des 'présages historiques' dans l'extispicine babylonienne," Annuaire EPHE (1944–1945): 14 n. 54. Cited also in Ulla Jeyes, Old Babylonian Extispicy: Omen Texts in the British Museum (Istanbul: Nederlands Historisch-Archaeologisch Instituut te Istanbul, 1989), 17, 46. Note the related remark of Herman L. J. Vanstiphout, "Miḥiltum, or the Image of Cuneiform Writing," in The Image in Writing, ed. Hans G. Kippenberg, Van Den Bosch, L. Leertouwer, and Hazel A. Witte, VR 6 (Leiden: Brill, 1988), 160: "It may not be too bold to suggest that one of the reasons why the liver was by far the most frequently used organ in extispicy was a similarity they perceived between the liver and a clay tablet, perhaps guided in this matter by shape and texture."

<sup>31.</sup> Friedrich Junge, "Zur Sprachwissenschaft der Ägypter," in Studien zu Sprache und Religion Ägyptens zu Ehren von Wolfhart Westendorf überreicht von seinen Freuden und Schülern, ed. Friedrich Junge, vol. 1, SAuK 1 (Göttingen: Friedrich Junge, 1984), 491–506; Antonio Loprieno, "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian," in Noegel, Puns and Pundits, 6–7. "Wordplay" has been used to demonstrate phonetic correspondence between the consonants 3 and 1/2 in Egyptian. See Stefan Bojowald, "Der ägyptische Lautwandel zwischen 3 und 1/2," JAOS 136 (2016): 831–34.

<sup>32.</sup> Watson, *Classical Hebrew Poetry*, is an important exception, as he discusses parallels with Akkadian, Ugaritic, and other Northwest Semitic languages. However, he does not cover Egyptian.

we must establish a neutral vocabulary and complete taxonomy of proposed devices and functions.<sup>33</sup>

## 1.2. TERMS AND TAXONOMY IN THIS BOOK

I concur with Greenstein that there are multiple ways to taxonomize the phenomena under consideration here. However, as the previous survey demonstrates, each of the proposed taxonomies has strengths and weaknesses. Herein I attempt to build upon the former by providing greater precision when distinguishing one device from another and by giving greater attention to the devices' nonliterary functions. In short, I aim for greater exactitude and comprehensiveness. With Greenstein I distinguish sharply between forms and functions, though I shall offer a more specific and complete taxonomy for both based on our current state of scholarship. I recognize that several devices can be employed simultaneously and that each can have multiple functions. With Sasson, I distinguish those "wordplays" that operate only on a visual register from those that operate aurally, though I acknowledge that some visual types work aurally and most aural types also operate visually. Since aural and visual registers can overlap, I differ from Sasson by treating this distinction as a register, rather than a taxon.

Herein I divide "wordplay" into two broad types: polysemy and paronomasia.<sup>34</sup> I employ the term *polysemy* for devices that involve multiple *meanings* in a *single context*, and I use the term *paronomasia* for *sound* devices that function *across* word divisions and involve a *dissimilarity in meaning*.<sup>35</sup> Thus, many (but not all) cases of polysemy operate on a purely visual register, whereas all cases

<sup>33.</sup> It has been nearly three decades since the surveys by Greenstein and Klaus, and in that time, scholars have discovered many new devices and have made significant advancements. I integrated some of them into the taxonomy that I offered in the entries "Paronomasia," in Khan, *Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics*, 3:24–29; and "Polysemy," in Khan, *Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics*, 3:178–86. However, I wrote these articles specifically for Biblical Hebrew, and so some of the terms I employed were specific to the discipline, and thus not as neutral as those offered here

<sup>34.</sup> The publication of Hans Ausloos and Valérie Kabergs, "Paronomasia or Wordplay? A Babel-Like Confusion; Towards a Definition of Hebrew Wordplay," *Bib* 93 (2012): 1–20, is something of a curiosity. It offers a refutation of the taxonomy I employ in this book nine years before it has appeared. Not surprisingly, their characterization of the taxonomy is wholly inaccurate.

<sup>35.</sup> The term πολύσημος, whence "polysemy," is first attested in Democritus, *Frag.* 26 (sixth–fifth century BCE). We find παρονομασία "paronomasia" first used by Cicero, *Or.* 2.63.256 (first century BCE); Rutilius Lupus, *Fig. Sent.* 1.3 (first century BCE–first century CE).

of paronomasia operate both aurally and visually (in consonantal systems but not always in pictographic ones). Where I differ from previous treatments is that I treat alliteration not as a tool or type of paronomasia but as the sonic effect of some devices.<sup>36</sup> In essence, it is an generic term that masks a number of distinct devices.<sup>37</sup> I adopt Casanowicz's approach that excludes from discussion cognate accusative and normative infinitive absolute constructions, as well as the repetition of the same root with a different vocalization (i.e., metaphony, polyptoton, polyprosopon).<sup>38</sup> I reserve a discussion of the many subtypes of polysemy and paronomasia for chapter 4.

<sup>36.</sup> The study of assonance in ancient Near Eastern texts is, with few exceptions, nonexistent. While a few Assyriologists and biblicists periodically have noted the presence of assonance, especially when discussing cases of paronomasia, the great majority of examples are produced by way of repeated grammatical forms. As I exclude from this study cases of paronomasia produced by grammatical necessity, I must do the same for cases of assonance. For a useful early attempt, see J. P. van der Westhuizen, "Assonance in Biblical and Babylonian Hymns of Praise," Semitics 7 (1980): 81-101. In addition, the lack of vowels in Egyptian script makes the study of assonance in that language difficult. One must resort to using Coptic, which represents a much later form of the language. Ugaritic too does not record vowels, but scholars frequently reconstruct them based on a knowledge of comparative Semitic. In sum, we cannot say more about assonance in Near Eastern texts, even those written in scripts that document vowels, other than to note its presence in some passages.

<sup>37.</sup> Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 225-29, treats alliteration as a separate device. So too does Baruch Margalit, "Alliteration in Ugaritic Poetry: Its Role in Composition and Analysis," UF 11 (1979): 537-57; Margalit, "Alliteration in Ugaritic Poetry: Its Role in Composition and Analysis (Part II)," JNSL 8 (1980): 57-80, who further distinguishes alliteration that is essential to the text ("constituitive") from alliteration that is not ("ornamental"). See similarly O. S. Rankin, "Alliteration in Hebrew Poetry," JTS 31 (1930): 285-91; David F. Pennant, "Alliteration in Some Texts of Genesis," Bib 68 (1987): 390-92; Gary A. Rendsburg, "Alliteration in the Exodus Narrative," in Cohen et al. Birkat Shalom, 83-100; Rendsburg, "Alliteration," in Khan, Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics, 1:86-87; Rendsburg, "Alliteration in the Book of Genesis," in Doubling and Duplicating in the Book of Genesis, ed. Elizabeth R. Hayes and Karolien Vermeulen (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2016), 79-95. Despite the important contributions of these studies, I submit that we can classify each of their cases of alliteration with greater precision.

<sup>38.</sup> Thus, I exclude herein the topics covered by the following studies P. Trost, "Der paronomastiche-potenzierende Genitiv Pluralis," ZSG 10 (1935): 326–28; G. Schäfer, "König der Könige"-"Lied der Lieder": Studien zum paronomastischen (Heidelberg: Abghandlungen der Hiedelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1974); Mats Eskhult, "Hebrew Infinitival Paronomasia," OrSu 49 (2000): 27–32.

## 2

# METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

#### 2.1. INTENTIONALITY

When interpreting the devices of ancient texts, we must be aware of the possibility that we are engaged in an eisegetical, rather than exegetical, process. We must try to create, wherever possible, emic categories based on the literary and cultural frameworks provided by the cultures we study, in addition to the etic frames of reference derived from our own literary and cultural horizons. On the other hand, we also must caution ourselves not to give short shrift to the ancients, lest their lingual and literary sophistication be lost on us.

Yet, establishing an emic taxonomy for ancient devices is a difficult task. The ancients have left us little in the way of terminology. Akkadian hermeneutical texts appear to understand "wordplay" as belonging to the *niṣirtū u pirištū ša ilī* "the hidden things and secrets of the gods," but nowhere do they provide us with specific nomenclature for its diverse devices. Ludwig Morenz has shown that the Egyptian phrase *šd r sp sn* "to read in two ways" was employed for texts that could communicate different things phonetically and visually. A bilingual Egyptian-Greek inscription discovered at Kanopis also informs us that the Egyptian word *tj.t* "hieroglyphic sign, image" can mean signs that cryptographically conceal a message. Yet, such terms represent hermeneutic strategies rather than the craft of composition.

The Hebrew Bible provides no native terms for its many literary devices other than מְלִיצָה māšāl "parable," מְלִיצָה malīṣāh "figure, enigma," and חִייָד h̄t̄d̄āh "riddle, ambiguous saying," each of which the author of Proverbs presents as a key to

<sup>1.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 36-45.

<sup>2.</sup> See Eckart Frahm, *Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries: Origins of Interpretation*, GMTR 5 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2011), 70–77.

<sup>3.</sup> Ludwig D. Morenz, Sinn und Spiel der Zeichen: Visuelle Poesie im Alten Ägypten, PPISVLK 21 (Köln: Böhlau Verlag, 2008), 65–66.

<sup>4.</sup> Morenz, Sinn und Spiel der Zeichen, 66.

unlocking the book's hidden wisdom (Prov 1:6). Nevertheless, a few expressions have been proposed as references to polysemy and paronomasia, most of them from the dialogues in Job, a text filled with such devices. They include Eliphaz's reference to Job's לְשׁוֹן עֵרוּמִים lašōn 'ărūmīm "crafty language" (Job 15:5); Elihu's use of the root חלק h-l-q "smooth, slippery" in reference to language (Job 32:17): $^{7}$  and his accusation about Job: יפצה־פיהוּ בבלי־דעת מליז יכבר  $vi\bar{p}seh$   $p\bar{t}h\bar{u}$ bi-blī da at millīn yakbīr "his mouth chirps without knowledge, he multiplies words" (Job 35:16). Glück proposed that Isaiah's expression בלעגי שפה ובלשון אַחֵרֵת bə-la ʿāgē śāpāh ū-b-lāšōn ʾaḥereṯ "with a stammering lip and in a foreign (lit. 'another') tongue" (Isa 28:11) refers to paronomasia. 8 Since diviners used polysemy and paronomasia to interpret dreams, the following verbs are also relevant: חַלְט "ḥālaṭ "snatching" meaning (1 Kgs 20:33), קוַל gānab "stealing" words, and לְקַח 'taking, learning' language (Jer 23:30–31, Prov 1:5, Job 4:12).9 It also may be that the oft-heard prophetic command to "listen" (e.g., Num 12:6, Amos 3:1, Hos 4:1) signals the importance of paying attention to the speech's devices in addition to its content.

As emic as these references appear, they offer no taxonomic distinctions. Even the terminology employed by the early rabbis and medieval sages is unhelpful as it fossilizes very different cultural attitudes toward text and lingual dexterity in very different times and contexts.<sup>10</sup> Nevertheless, though we lack native

\_

<sup>5.</sup> The three also appear together in Hab 2:6, apparently in reference to taunting, though the LXX reads πρόβλημα εἰς διήγησιν "obscure speech." See too Ps 49:5, 78:2 (in Sir 47:17 ἀγέμπ ποὶξṣāh "figure" is parallel with מְלִיצָּה "song"). The basic meaning of the root ἀγέμπ m-š-l means "resemble" (HALOT, s.v. "ἀγψτ"). The term ἀγέμπ molīṣāh derives from ἀγίμς, meaning "allusive expression, figurative language" and possibly relating to "interpretation" (HALOT, s.v. "ἀγέμπ"), though Moshe Held, "Marginal Notes to the Biblical Lexicon," in Biblical and Related Studies Presented to Samuel Iwry, ed. Ann Kort and Scott Morschauser (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1985), 93–103, suggested the Akkadian cognate hittu "utterance." See CAD Ḥ, s.v. "hittu C." Other native terms for literary forms not relevant here include: ἀγίμα "sīr "song," μαμα māśā' "oracle," and qīnāh "lament-form."

<sup>6.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel, *Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job*, JSOTSup 223 (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1996), 21–25.

<sup>7.</sup> Observed by John Briggs Curtis, "Word Play in the Speeches of Elihu (Job 32–37)," *PEGLMBS* 12 (1992): 23–30.

<sup>8.</sup> Glück, "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature," 52. Nevertheless, most see the passage as a derisive reference to foreignness.

<sup>9.</sup> On the ambiguity of oneiric experiences and the use of polysemy and paronomasia to interpret dreams, see Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 183–89.

<sup>10.</sup> As mentioned in chapter 1, the early rabbis referred to the device as לשון נופל על לשון נופל על לשון lašon nopel 'al lašon "language falling upon language." The medieval exegetes also

terminologies and classifications, we should not infer that such terms and taxonomies did not exist. We do not possess native terms for parallelism, word pairs, and chiasmus, perhaps the most commonly shared features of ancient Near Eastern poetry, but few would deny their existence. A comparison with wordplay studies in Renaissance literature is enlightening. Experts in that field face similar problems of vocabulary and recovery, even though they work in languages much closer to our own in kind and time, and despite having a much larger textual corpus. The unfortunate fact is that the bards of the ancient Near East did not leave us with the terms for their craft, largely because they transmitted it orally and regarded it insider information.

Though we lack an emic classification, we still can ascertain whether a device represents ancient craft or modern imaginings. Three criteria aid this endeavor. First among them is whether a particular device appears with frequency. If we find multiple examples of a particular type of polysemy or paronomasia, then it is reasonable to understand it as a convention. A second criterion is whether a particular device displays a consistency in type and usage. Of course, we must remain somewhat flexible and acknowledge that some devices might be restricted to particular contexts and genres, which might diminish our ability to locate numerous examples; but if they exhibit consistency in frequency and form, it stands

employed the expressions דרך צחות הלשון way of eloquence" or אבות הלשון hal-lašon "eloquence of the language." Those familiar with Islamic learning sometimes employ the Arabic term יֹבְּינִייִ tajnīs, but this can refer to many types of paronomasia as well as figura etymologica. See W. Heinrichs, "Tajnīs," Encls 10:67–70. On the problematic nature of applying Arabic terms to Western literary devices, see Hany Rashwan, "Arabic Jinās Is Not Pun, Wortspiel, Calembour, or Paronomasia: A Post-Eurocentric Approach to the Conceptual Untranslatability of Literary Terms in Arabic and Ancient Egyptian Cultures," Rhetorica 38 (2020): 335–70; Rashwan, Comparing the Incomparable in Post-Eurocentric Poetics: Arabic Jinās in Ancient Egyptian Literature (Cairo: American University in Cairo Press, forthcoming).

<sup>11.</sup> The term *chiasmus* first appears in Hermogenes, *Inv.* 4.3 (second—third century CE) to refer to the reversed arrangement of clauses in a sentence. Saadia Gaon applies the expression בּבֹעָה בּ נִבוֹבֹּע taqdīm wa-ta'ħīr for chiasmus. On the latter, see Richard C. Steiner, "Muqdam u-Me'uḥar and Muqaddam wa-Mu'aħħar: On the History of Some Hebrew and Arabic Terms for *Hysteron Proteron* and *Anastrophe*," *JNES* 66 (2007): 43. Abraham ibn Ezra and David Qimḥi sometimes refer to cases of parallelism as 'uyu ta'am kapul' "double sense." On chiasmus, see also Elie Assis, "Chiasmus in Biblical Narrative: A Rhetoric of Characterization," *Prooftexts* 22 (2003): 273–304.

<sup>12.</sup> See the remark of Sophie Read, "Puns: Serious Wordplay," in *Renaissance Figures of Speech*, ed. Sylvia Adamson, Gavin Alexander, Katrin Ettenhuber (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 82: "There is, to begin with, no very exact correspondence between the nomenclature of the rhetoricians and the slang terms—'quibble', 'clench', 'catch', and above all 'pun' itself—that coexisted with and then supplanted it."

to reason that they were deliberate and learned devices. A third supporting criterion is whether a device appears in more than one ancient Near Eastern language. If it does, we again may postulate that it was acquired in scribal academies or other learned settings, or perhaps in the case of some of the Israelite prophets, in discipleship circles. All of the devices examined in this book meet these criteria, and thus, I contend that all of them were used intentionally.

#### 2.2. TEXT RECEPTION

Studies on "wordplay" in ancient Near Eastern texts have focused primarily on cataloging examples. Consequently, they seldom discuss the issue of reception. Put simply, they do not address for whom such devices were intended. Nevertheless, depending on the function they attribute to the device, they imply one. If a device operates aurally and is understood to be aesthetic or rhetorical in function, the assumption is that the audience is public and perhaps large. However, if it is understood as a display of erudition or act of concealment, the audience is assumed to be much smaller, usually scribal elites, or in some cases, the gods. Nevertheless, the situation was likely far more complex.

In Mesopotamia, we know that scribal masters in the Neo-Assyrian period viewed themselves as integral links in a chain of transmission going back to the gods, and in some circles, traced their genealogy back to Enmeduranki, the ante-diluvian king of Sippar. Elsewhere, we are told that they transmitted knowledge from the mouth of Ea, the patron god of scribes, whose recorded speeches abound in "wordplay." Master scribes were an interdisciplinary lot in Mesopotamia who wielded enormous social and cosmological power, especially if they excelled in the divinatory arts. While recitation and oral tradition played important roles for Mesopotamian literate elites, it was the act of writing that was central to their identity.

<sup>13.</sup> Representative is the remark of Cherry, *Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament*, 115–16: "It is altogether likely that much of the Old Testament text was written chiefly for oral presentation. As a result, throughout much of its history the Hebrew Bible has had audiences rather than readers."

<sup>14.</sup> See Samuel Noah Kramer and John Maier, *Myths of Enki, the Crafty God* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1989), 145; Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 27–28; H. A. Hoffner, "Enki's Command to Atraḥasis," in *Kramer Anniversary Volume*, ed. B. L. Eichler et al., AOAT 25 (Kevelaer: Verlag Butzon and Bercker; Neukirchener Verlag: Neukirchen-Vluyn, 1976), 241–45; Keith Dickson, "Enki and the Embodied World," *JAOS* 125 (2005): 499–515.

<sup>15.</sup> Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 50–55; John Z. Wee, "Pan-Astronomical Hermeneutics and the Arts of the Lamentation Priest," *ZAW* 107 (2017): 236–60.

<sup>16.</sup> One can know something of the conception of writing by the words used for "sign." See the interesting observation of Frahm, *Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries*, 70

Egyptians referred to the hieroglyphic script as *mdw ntr* "the words of the gods" and the scribal art was to them a devotional occupation without equal. They describe Thoth, the divine inventor of writing, as *mnh hk3* "excellent of magic" and *nb md.w ntr* "Lord of hieroglyphs." Artistic remains depict Thoth writing the hieroglyphic feather sign representing *maat* (*m3* '.t), a word that stands for the cosmic force of equilibrium by which kings keep their thrones and justice prevails. The link between writing and *maat* underscores the importance that scribes placed on their craft for maintaining the cosmic order. <sup>18</sup> Contributing to the perceived power of writing was the nature of the script, for each hieroglyphic sign could convey information far beyond its phonetic value, as Jan Assmann explains:

Die Hieroglyphenschrift enthält daher eine Fülle von Zeichen, die keinen Lautwert haben, sondern nur semantische Informationen vermitteln. Während die durch die Alphabetschrift vermittelten Informationen ziemlich vollständig im lauten Lesen hörbar werden, enthält die Hieroglyphenschrift zahlreiche Informationen, die sich nur dem lesenden Auge erschließen. <sup>19</sup>

Moreover, according to the Memphite Theology, the created universe was the creator god's idea, put into the form of hieroglyphic writing.<sup>20</sup> Even when expert

n. 338: "Note that gù-sum, the logogram used to write *miḫiṣṭu* 'cuneiform sign,' means 'sound-giver' in Sumerian; it expresses the idea of a close connection between graphemics and phonemics. In contrast, the Akkadian *miḫiṣṭu*, derived from *maḫāṣu* 'to beat, to drive in,' refers to the material realization of the signs."

<sup>17.</sup> Robert K. Ritner, *The Mechanics of Ancient Egyptian Magical Practice*, SAOC 54 (Chicago, IL: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 1993), 35. Cf. the famous stela of Irtisen, overseer of artisans during the reign of Mentuhotep II, who describes the process of writing as an act of *hk3* "magic." See Winfried Barta, *Das Selbstzeugnis eines altägyptischen Künstlers* (*Stele Louvre C 14*), MÄS 22 (Berlin: Verlag Bruno Hessling, 1970).

<sup>18.</sup> See Chloé Ragazzoli, *Scribes: Les artisans du texte en Égypte ancienne* (1550–1000 BCE) (Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 2019), 379–82, 551–52.

<sup>19.</sup> Jan Assmann, "Etymographie: Zeichen im Jenseits der Sprache," in *Hieroglyphen: Stationen einer anderen abendländischen Grammatologie*, ed. Alieda Assmann and Jan Assmann, ALK 8 (München: Fink, 2003), 52. See also Assmann, "Creation through Hieroglyphs: The Cosmic Grammatology of Ancient Egypt," in *The Poetics of Grammar and the Metaphysics of Sound and Sign*, ed. Sergio la Porta and David Shulman, JSRC 6 (Leiden: Brill, 2007), 17–34.

<sup>20.</sup> James P. Allen, "From the 'Memphite Theology," COS 1:22 nn. 5, 11. See the observation of Assmann, "Etymographie," 56: "Die Hieroglyphen sind die Urbilder der Dinge, die die Gesamtheit der Wirklichkeit ausmachen. Indem Ptah die Urbilder der Dinge konzipierte, erfand er zugleich mit ihnen auch die Schrift, die Thoth nur aufzuzeichnen braucht, so wie er als Zunge die Gedanken des Herzen nur aussprechen muß. Ein Onomastikon, das heißt eine nach Sachgruppen geordnete Wortliste ist daher

poets are asked to speak eloquently, they often first commit their thoughts to writing. In the Prophecy of Neferti (P.St. Petersburg 1116B, l. 13), when the pharaoh instructs Neferti to speak *mdw.t nfr.t ts.w stp.w* "a nice speech (and) choice phrases," Neferti immediately commits his thoughts to writing. Given the cosmological import of writing, it is easy to understand why Egyptian master scribes were considered powerful people whose knowledge of the divine was inherent to their craft.<sup>21</sup> Indeed, throughout the ancient Near East, devices of sound and meaning constitute applications of divine knowledge and the experts who employed them embodied that wisdom.<sup>22</sup>

We know less about audience and the production of texts in ancient Israel, but given the Israelites' shared sense of the ontology of words and their performative dimension, we may understand master Israelite scribes as operating among priestly and/or mantic circles.<sup>23</sup> This would fit with what we know from the archaeological record, which is making us increasingly aware of the role that ritual professionals had in controlling a variety of textual materials, including literary, magical, and lexical texts.<sup>24</sup>

überschreiben als Auflistung 'aller Dinge, die Ptah geschaffen und Thoth niedergeschrieben hat.'"

<sup>21.</sup> On this point, see Scott B. Noegel, "Sign, Sign, Everywhere a Sign': Script, Power, and Interpretation in the Ancient Near East," in *Divination and Interpretation of Signs in the Ancient World*, ed. Amar Annus, OIS 6 (Chicago: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 2010), 143–62.

<sup>22.</sup> On the relationship between literary devices, the literati, and conceptions of wisdom, see Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 27–35, 177–82.

<sup>23.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 176-82; Noegel, "Sign, Sign, Everywhere a Sign."

<sup>24.</sup> This is confirmed, in part, by the archaeological record, which shows that ritual professionals controlled a variety of textual materials, including literary, magical, and lexical texts (e.g., at Ugarit, Emar, and Sultantepe). See, e.g., W. G. Lambert, "The Sultantepe Tablets," RA 3 (1959): 121-24; Jacques-Claude Courtois, "La maison du prêtre aux modèles de poumon et de foies d'Ugarit," Ugaritica 6 (1969): 91-119; Dominique Charpin, "Les archives du devin Asqudum dans la résidence du 'Chantier A," MARI 4 (1985): 453-62; Daniel Arnaud, Textes sumériens et accadiens, vol. 6.3 of Emar: Recherches au pays d'Astarta (Paris: ADPF, 1985-1987); Antoine Cavigneaux, "A Scholar's Library in Meturan? With an Edition of the Tablet H 72 (Textes de Tell Haddad VII)," in Mesopotamian Magic: Textual, Historical, and Interpretive Perspectives, ed. Tzvi Abusch and Karel van der Toorn, SAMD 1 (Groningen: Styx, 1998), 251-73. See especially his comment that "this library, with its diversity, bringing together popular and utilitary texts with higher literature, shows very concretely how Mesopotamian 'holism' coexisted with the intellectual production of the 'hegemonic', 'theistic' ideology'' (257-58). See also Olof Pedersén, Archives and Libraries in the City of Assur: A Survey of the Material from German Excavations, part 2, SSU 8 (Uppsala: Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis, 1986); Alasdair Livingstone, "Babylonian Mathematics in the Context of Babylonian Thought," in Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East: Papers Presented at

In sum, we may characterize the social context for the production of texts in the ancient Near East generally as elite and interdisciplinary, and one in which knowledge of divination and other ritual practices was transmitted by the same individuals who steeped themselves in the learned readings of their sacred and mythological texts. However, in some cases, we know that texts were transmitted or performed beyond the elite group, which suggests that some of its devices could have reached larger audiences.<sup>25</sup>

Therefore, I propose that it is most useful to understand the reception of ancient Near Eastern "wordplay" as a continuum that works on two intersecting axes. The poles of the first axis are marked by a general and public audience, on the one hand, and an elite and private one, on the other. The second axis represents the abilities and erudition of any one individual to grasp the complexities of the writing system, from the illiterate to the master. It is important to acknowledge that both axes operate simultaneously and that people naturally possess different levels of textual perception depending on their backgrounds. There is no reason to assume that master bards expected or intended every learned device to be caught by their pupils, much less a public audience, any more than scholars of Shakespeare expect their students to catch all that is imbedded in his plays without further study.

## 2.3. PROXIMITY

A common assumption in scholarship on paronomasia is that the lexemes involved must be in close proximity to be effective.<sup>26</sup> Certainly this would appear

the 43rd Rencontre assyriologique internationale, Prague, July 1–5, 1996, ed. Jiri Prosecky (Prague: Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Oriental Institute, 1998), 215–19; Gregorio del Olmo Lete, "(Bn) 'agptr / (Binu) Agaptari's House: A Functional Analysis of an Ugaritic 'Archive' (PH Room 10)," JAOS 137 (2017): 483–503.

<sup>25.</sup> Though note the remark by Christopher J. Eyre, "Is Egyptian Historical Literature 'Historical' or 'Literary'?," in *Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms*, ed. Antonio Loprieno, PÄ 10 (Leiden: Brill, 1996), 433, concerning the relationship between historical and literary texts: "Such cross-reference and cross-fertilization between genres is an important indication that their audience was the same, and although the occasion for performance is different, their patrons and authors are likely to be the same: historical text and classical *belles lettres*, but both belong to a single context of the literary and performance 'arts."

<sup>26.</sup> Often such a view is grounded in the study of memory and retention in contemporary Western cultures, where memorization and retention are not practiced as widely. Notwithstanding the study of Ziony Zevit, "Cognitive Theory and the Memorability of Biblical Poetry," in *Let Your Colleagues Praise You: Studies in Memory of Stanley Gevirtz*, part 2, ed. Robert J. Ratner et al. (Rolling Hills Estates, CA: Western Academic Press, 1993), 199–212.

to be true of some devices that depend upon an immediate recognition of similarity in sound, such as onomatopoeia. Nevertheless, some devices can operate over extensive bodies of text. This should compel us to rethink our assumptions concerning proximity. If the way we understand the function of a device affects how we envision its audience, so also does our understanding of the audience for a device shape our understanding of proximity as a compositional factor. If we imagine a text being recited orally, we are likely to hold that paronomasia must occur in close proximity to be effective. Nevertheless, in cultures that place emphasis on the memorization of performed texts, writing also plays a role in preservation,<sup>27</sup> and there are individuals who can learn by heart lengthy texts upon hearing them and recite them even after many years. Such is the case with modernday Somali and Ethiopian poets who not only memorize vast amounts of poetry but retain it accurately over many years.<sup>28</sup> Moreover, there is a great deal of evidence that memorization by rote was standard practice in the ancient world.<sup>29</sup>

David Carr has argued that Near Eastern literary texts generally served as *aide-mémoire* for the performance of their contents and the enculturation of their young, elite readers.<sup>30</sup> If he is correct, and I believe him to be, we must ask how the literati handled the presence of polysemy during recitation. What occurred, for example, in a learning environment when bards recited Enuma Elish 7, fully cognizant of the numerous esoteric and polysemous readings of Marduk's names, many of which communicate solely on a visual register? The sheer impossibility of transmitting polysemous meanings during recitation, without endless pauses and explanations, strongly suggests the existence of an accompanying oral tradition; an educational context in which master tradents passed on the learned readings to their pupils. In this context, the presence of polysemes would have offered teaching/learning moments of pause, reflection, and interpretation, and as

-

<sup>27.</sup> Deut 31:19 commands that Moses's song (Deut 32), which scholars generally feel to be representative of early Hebrew poetry, be committed to writing so that the Israelites may teach it to their descendants.

<sup>28.</sup> See B. W. Andrzejewski and I. M. Lewis, *Somali Poetry: An Introduction* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1964), 45. On memory as it relates to paronomasia and variation in Hebrew poetry, see Scott B. Noegel and Gary A. Rendsburg, *Solomon's Vineyard: Literary and Linguistic Studies in the Song of Songs*, AIL 1 (Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2009), 109–11.

<sup>29.</sup> See Paul Delnero, "Memorization and the Transmission of Sumerian Literary Compositions," *JNES* 71 (2012): 189–208. On the practice of reading texts aloud, see A. K. Gray, "Murmuring in Mesopotamia," in *Wisdom, Gods, and Literature: Studies in Assyriology in Honour of W. G. Lambert*, ed. Andrew R. George and Irving L. Finkel (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2000), 301–8.

<sup>30.</sup> David Carr, Writing on the Tablet of the Heart: Origins of Scripture and Literature (New York: Oxford University Press, 2005).

items of special focus, they even might have abetted a text's memorization.<sup>31</sup> Therefore, while we may see the learned interpretations contributing to an apprentice's enculturation, the engagement with forms of polysemy had to have taken place prior to performance.<sup>32</sup> The recitation of a text thus already constituted an authoritative interpretation of its ambiguities (see chapter 5).<sup>33</sup>

One also should remember that many of the texts studied here were chanted, sung, and/or set to music, which may have helped listeners to remember them, and we should keep in mind that an audience that reads or hears a text recited many times is likely to catch more of its subtleties than a first-time reader/listener. The fact that most of the texts examined in this book were recorded in multiple copies demonstrates that they enjoyed repeated use.

Finally, I note that most forms of polysemy and paronomasia operate on a visual register as well, and thus, they could be appreciated by a reader as much as a listener. Thus, proximity probably was less of a factor in the effectiveness of some forms of "wordplay" than others, depending on their functions, the audience, and the frequency with which a text was consulted.

## 2.4. SCRIPTS, WRITING SYSTEMS, AND SCRIBAL POTENTIAL

Since polysemy and paronomasia are conveyed in written texts, it is important that we consider more fully the natures of the various writing systems examined here. As will become clear, the potential for achieving polysemy and paronomasia depends entirely on the interrelationship between the script and the writing system in which it appears.

## 2.4.1. SUMERIAN

The Sumerian writing system is the oldest in human history, appearing first around 3100 BCE. It began as a pictographic and logographic script used for administrative purposes, but developed over two millennia into a series of generalized logographic, and less so logosyllabic cuneiform signs. The script was never intended to be an exact, phonetic representation of the living tongue, but rather only a mnemonic system. Consequently, some aspects of the spoken language were not expressed in writing. For example, in the earlier stages of the

<sup>31.</sup> For a similar observation concerning Ugaritic, see Jack M. Sasson, "Literary Criticism, Folklore Scholarship, and Ugaritic Literature," in *Ugarit in Retrospect: Fifty Years of Ugarit and Ugaritic*, ed. Gordon D. Young (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1981), 93.

<sup>32.</sup> Thus, while in the main I concur with Carr's findings, the existence of polysemous devices in Near Eastern literary texts generally reveals that written texts had to have possessed more than a mnemonic function.

<sup>33.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream," AuOr 32 (2014): 299–316.

script, a scribe could employ the sign AK meaning "do, make, act, perform" without any indication of conjugation: no person, mode, or tense. This is because the language and topic were known to the scribe, who could infer these things from context. However, scribes gradually wrote down more of the spoken language when Sumerian began to die. Indeed, much of what we know of Sumerian derives from later lexical lists and bilingual texts that offer Akkadian equivalents, written at a time when Sumerian had long ceased to be a living language.

I refrain from explaining the complexities of the language here (e.g., its split ergativity and classification of nouns by animacy, etc.), since I am primarily interested in providing readers with a sense of the writing system. Suffice it to say that as a primarily logographic system, its signs can convey nominal and verbal concepts or phonetic sounds. The signs are primarily consonant-vowel (e.g., BA, BE, BU) or vowel-consonant (e.g., AB, EB, UB). More rare are consonant-vowel-consonant signs, as scribes preferred to render such sequences with consonant-vowel and vowel-consonant signs, for example, PA.AG rather than PAG. Logosyllabic signs can have many different functions depending on where they appear. They can reproduce phonetic elements, vocalic sequences, and mark prefixes or cases.

The Sumerian script also masks a number of features that would be otherwise invisible to nonspecialists such as the change of final /m/ to /n/ at word boundaries and the use of consonant-vowel signs to represent a vowel as well as the last consonant after a previous grapheme. Many final consonants also are omitted in the writing. In addition, the script contains determinatives that serve to classify words. In earlier times they appear to have been read aloud, but later they were not.

Because there were so many similar-sounding words, some logograms possessed the same phonetic values as others, and so, as a matter of convention, scholars distinguish them with accents and numbers. Thus, ŠA, ŠÁ, ŠÀ, ŠA4, represent four different cuneiform signs that have the same phonetic value. The first is unmarked, the second takes an acute accent, the third a grave accent, and the rest from four and above take subscripted numerals. Scholars apply this transcription system consistently to all similar cases, thus for BA, BÁ, BÁ, BA4, AḤ, ÁḤ, ÁḤ, AḤ4, and so on.

## 2.4.2. AKKADIAN

Akkadian is a syllabic system that employs hundreds of cuneiform signs, most of them with multiple phonetic, syllabic, and logographic values. So, for example, there are different signs for ba, bi, bu, ab, ib, ub, and likewise for the other consonants. The system was adopted from Sumerian and adapted by Akkadian speakers to transcribe their own language, which we classify as East Semitic. Thus, scholars transliterate different Akkadian signs that share the same

pronunciation by way of the same accent and number system, that is,  $\check{s}a$ ,  $\check{s}\acute{a}$ ,  $\check{s}\acute{a}$ , et cetera.

Some signs are used merely to classify words and are known as determinatives. They are read but not spoken. Akkadian also integrates many Sumerograms, but they are read as their Akkadian equivalents. Thus, when Akkadian scribes encountered the Sumerian sign DIĜIR meaning "god," they read it as *ilu*, the Akkadian word for "god." When the DIĜIR sign was used as a determinative, scribes simply noted its presence while reading and did not say *ilu* aloud. An example of this in transcription would be <sup>d</sup>Marduk, in which the superscript d represents the determinative DIĜIR = *ilu*. When not used as a determinative, the same sign could be read as the phonetic syllables *an*, *èl*, *le4*, *sa8*, *ána*, or *šubul*, depending on the type of text in which it appeared and its date and provenance. Most cuneiform signs possess multiple and simultaneous phonetic, syllabic, and logographic values. In addition, the signs do not distinguish voiced, voiceless, and emphatic consonants. Consequently, a sign representing a syllable that contains a dental, sibilant, or velar could represent three different phonemes. A sign containing a labial could represent two. The following chart illustrates this.

	Voiceless	Voiced	Emphatic
Dentals	t	d	ţ
Sibilant	S	z	Ş
Velars	k	g	q
Labials	p	b	

So for example, a sign that has the value ib also could represent ip, eg could be read ek or eq, it could be id or it, and so forth. In this book, I typically transliterate Akkadian texts in accordance with Assyriological practice in order to make the syllabic structure of the writing system obvious to the reader (e.g.,  $\check{s}e_7$ -lib-bu "fox"). When the writing system is less important to my point or when I want to emphasize how a word or phrase was pronounced, I normalize it (e.g.,  $\check{s}\bar{e}libu$  "fox").

The polyvalency of the cuneiform system allows for multiple readings in a single context. Note, for example, the narrator's gruesome description of Marduk's handling of Tiamat's corpse in Enuma Elish 4.138–40:

- 138. *mi-iš-lu-uš-ša iš-ku-nam-ma šá-ma-mi uṣ-ṣal-lil*Half of her he set up and made as a cover, (like) heaven.
- 139. *iš-du-ud maš-ka ma-aṣ-ṣa-ra ú-šá-aṣ-bit*He stretched out the skin and assigned watchmen,
- 140. *me-e-ša la šu-ṣa-a šu-nu-ti um-ta-ʾi-ir*And ordered them not to let her escape.

Of note here is the word written  $ma\check{s}-ka$  "skin, hide," the signs of which one also can read as  $p\acute{a}r-ka$  "dividing line." As "skin," the reading follows Tiamat's dismembered body and the cover, which is made of her skin. As "dividing line," it establishes the boundary that follows, which watchmen are to protect. In essence, the "skin" is literally a "dividing line." This form of polysemy operates strictly on a visual level (see 4.1.5).

Even when texts are written syllabically, the signs used to communicate one thing can evoke something altogether different by way of their logographic values. Thus, in the Tale of the Poor Man from Nippur we read: ana i-riš šīra (UZU) ù šikāra (KAŠ) rēšti (SAĜ) lummunu zīmūšu "due to his craving for meat and the best beer, his face was disfigured" (l. 8). Of note is the Sumerogram SAG, which we normally translate in Akkadian as  $r\bar{e}\bar{s}u$  "head." Its use here as an adjective for beer requires that we render it *rēšti* "the best," as in "head of the class." However, the reader already has encountered the sign SAG read phonetically as riš in i-riš "craving." Moreover, the Sumerogram UZU is read as *šīru* "meat," which paronomastically and anagrammatically reflects  $ir\bar{i}s(u)$  "craving." Thus, in multiple ways the reader sees and hears the word "head" before ending the line appropriately with zīmūšu "his face." In this way, Akkadian can communicate aurally on one register and visually on another.<sup>35</sup> In addition, all Akkadian signs were originally pictographic, even though they became more abstract in appearance over time. Thus, the sign SAG looks more like a head in Sumerian than it does in later Akkadian traditions that adopted and abstracted it. Nevertheless, Assyrian and Babylonian literati were well aware of the pictographic associations of their signs.

## 2.4.3. EGYPTIAN

The Egyptian writing system is similarly complex and contains hundreds of signs. It seamlessly integrates alphabetic signs with bi- and triconsonantal signs, as well as logograms, many of which permit multiple options for reading. Like Akkadian, Egyptian employs determinatives to classify words, and they too are read, but not spoken. Egyptian authors can exhibit great cleverness in their use of determinatives and communicate information visually that is not evident while reading orally.<sup>36</sup> See, for example, the following dream omen: *hr m3 î h wbn=f; nfr htp* 

<sup>34.</sup> For the rendering "dividing line," see *CAD* M/1, s.v. "*maṣṣaru*." The dual reading is noted by Benjamin R. Foster, *Before the Muses: An Anthology of Akkadian Literature* (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1993), 377, 402.

<sup>35.</sup> On the complexity and abilities of the cuneiform writing system, see Alex de Voogt and Irving Finkel, eds., *The Idea of Writing: Play and Complexity* (Leiden: Brill, 2010). 36. See already Hermann Grapow, *Sprachliche und schriftliche Formung ägyptischer Texte*, UÄS 7 (Glückstadt: Augustin, 1936), 17–20. See now more recently, Ludwig D. Morenz, "Visuelle Poesie und Sonnen-'Mysterium: Von bild-textlicher Kohärenz und

n=f in  $n\underline{t}r=f$  "seeing the moon when it rises; good, (it means) being clement to him by his god" (P.Chester Beatty III.r.5.22). Of note is the determinative of the falcon god Horus 4, which occurs after the word wbn "rises" in the protasis. This is not the usual determinative for this word (which is  $\alpha$ ). Its presence here provides the interpreter with a reason for interpreting the omen as the sign of a  $n\underline{t}r$  "god."  $\alpha$ 

In the Egyptian Tale of Setna I, the author adds a phallus determinative (—) next to four words that normally would not require one in order to lend those lines (i.e., 3:6, 5:9, 5:19, 5:22) an erotic nuance and to underscore the presence of sexual euphemisms (see 4.1.2).<sup>38</sup>

Consider also the Poetical Stela of Thutmosis III (CM 34010), in which we hear the following boast: titi=k iwn.tjw stj r-mn-m ss.t m smm.t=k "you trample the Nubian tribesmen; as far as Shat is in your grasp" (1. 22). Here the place name ss.t is written with a bird-claw hieroglyph ( $\bot$ ), thus evoking the image of pharaoh as Horus, and anticipating the word smm.t "grasp," which is written with a human fist determinative ( $\backsim$ ). This is reminiscent of the famous Narmer palette on which Horus possesses both a bird claw and a human hand.

Another way to create polysemy is to employ signs as acronyms, that is, reading the first consonant or sign of successive words (see 4.1.11). See, for example, a Ptolemaic reading that stacks the signs: p.t "heavens," hh "heaven's pillars," and t "land," as  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The signs visually depict a personification of the pillars of heaven kneeling on the earth and supporting the sky with his hands. Yet they also are read acronymically as p + t + h = pth "(the god) Ptah." Throughout its long history, hieroglyphic Egyptian remained pictographic. Consequently, even its cursive form, hieratic, retained is pictographic associations.

Hieroglyphic Egyptian is extraordinary for its ability to communicate different messages aurally and visually. Returning to the Poetical Stela of Thutmosis III (CM 34010), we find the god Amun claiming that he showed the king miracles on the battlefield: wr.w h3s.wt nb.(w)t dmd.(w) m hf = k "the princes of all foreign

offener Intertextualität auf dem Schutzamulett des Butehamon," DE 56 (2003): 57–65; Hany Rashwan, "Ancient Egyptian Image-Writing: Between the Unspoken and Visual Poetics," JARCE 55 (2019): 137–60.

<sup>37.</sup> Scott B. Noegel and Kasia Szpakowska, "'Word Play' in the Ramesside Dream Manual," SÄK 35 (2007): 205.

<sup>38.</sup> See Pieter Willem Pestman, "Jeux de déterminatifs en Démotique," *RdE* 25 (1973): 27–28; Steve Vinson, "Ten Notes on the First Tale of Setne Khaemwas," in *Honi soit qui mal y pense: Studien zum pharaonischen, griechen-römischen und spätantiken Ägypten zu Ehren von Heinz-Josef Thissen*, ed. Hermann Knuf, Christian Leitz, and Daniel von Recklinghausen, OLA 194 (Leuven: Peeters, 2010), 459–60, and n. 57; Jacqueline E. Jay, *Orality and Literacy in the Demotic Tales* (Leiden: Brill, 2016), 103.

<sup>39.</sup> Found in Eyre, "Is Egyptian Historical Literature 'Historical' or 'Literary'?," 421.

<sup>40.</sup> Morenz, Sinn und Spiel der Zeichen, 47.

lands I gathered into your grasp" (l. 4). Pictorially this line conveys the added sense of trapping birds with one's hand, for wr.w "princes" is written had and "gathered" is written had in addition, following the word hf "grasp" is the determinative of the clenched fist had scribe also achieves a nice symmetry by writing h3s.wt "foreign lands" as where h3s.wt "foreign lands" as h3s.wt "foreign" and h3s.wt "foreign" and h3s.wt "foreign" and h3s.wt "foreign" and h3

In the Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022), we similarly see how Egyptian can operate simultaneously on different aural and visual registers. In line 110, Sinuhe claims that a warrior of Retenu provoked him in imj=i "my tent." This is followed immediately by pr.j pw nn sn.nw=f "a champion was this one without a peer." The visual imprinting is striking: imj=i "tent" is written as  $\square$  with k resting below it, and k "champion" as k with the house sign k resting above the mouth sign k (k). The juxtaposition not only uses the sign k as a determinative and then immediately afterwards phonetically, it bring the images of "tent," "men," and the "mouthing off" of the champion into greater relief.

In the Prophecy of Neferti (P.St. Petersburg 1116 B), Neferti predicts: *itn hbs.w nn psd=f mis rh.jt* "the sun-disk is covered, it does not shine for people to see" (II. 24–25). Usually *psd* "shine" takes the sun-sign determinative \*\*. But it is nowhere present here in order to make a visual point and deprive the sun-disk of its rays. Similarly, in line 26 he predicts: *itrw šwi n.w km.t* "the waters of Egypt are empty." Here *šwi* "empty" appears without its usual solar-disk determinative \*\*, thus, again removing any sunshine from the text.

## 2.4.4. UGARITIC

Ugaritic employs a cuneiform script, but unlike Akkadian, the writing system is not syllabic. Instead, the system uses a consonantal alphabet (in cuneiform script). Its Semitic consonantal inventory includes thirty signs, some of which preserve phonemes that merged and/or were written with the same consonantal sign in later Hebrew and Aramaic. The consonantal system lends itself well to paronomastic devices, but it provides fewer opportunities for polyvalency since each sign possesses a single value. <sup>43</sup> The signs themselves are not polysemous, and so polysemy must be created via homonyms. So, for example, in the Baal myth we read:

<sup>41.</sup> For a similar use of bird signs, see Barbara A. Richter, *The Theology of Hathor of Dendera: Aural and Visual Scribal Techniques in the Per-Wer Sanctuary*, WSEA 4 (Atlanta, GA: Lockwood, 2016), 442.

<sup>42.</sup> See similarly the abundant images of rams and crocodiles for similar effect at Esna. Christian Leitz, "Die beiden kryptographischen Inschriften aus Esna mit den Widdern und Krokodilen," *SÄK* 29 (2001): 251–76.

<sup>43.</sup> Ugaritology has advanced significantly in "wordplay" research since the remark, now nearly forty years old, by Sasson, "Literary Criticism, Folklore Scholarship, and Ugaritic Literature," 93: "It must be admitted, however, that Ugaritic scholarship has not reached

```
yštn atrt l bmt 'r

He sets Asherah on the back of an ass, l ysmsmt bmt pḥl

On the beautiful back of a donkey.
qdš yuḥdm šb'r

Qadish seizes. He šb'r.

amrr k kbkb l pnm

Even Amrar like a star before him,
atr btlt 'nt

Marches the maiden Anat.

(CAT 1.4.iv.14–18)
```

The text exploits the homonyms b  $\dot{r}$  I "shine (like a star)" and b  $\dot{r}$  II "leave."<sup>44</sup> We may translate  $\dot{s}b$   $\dot{r}$  as "he shines (like a star)" or "he causes to leave."<sup>45</sup> The mention of a star just afterwards suggests the former, but the inherent movement of the caravan suggests the latter.

## 2.4.5. HEBREW AND ARAMAIC

Both Hebrew and Aramaic are written with an alphabet of twenty-two consonants, though not in a cuneiform script. Thus, like Ugaritic, ancient inscriptions and the earliest versions of the Hebrew Bible recorded no vowels. During the early Middle Ages, a rabbinic scribal group known as the Masoretes invented and added a vocalization system to the text. The system fossilized a particular tradition of recitation that sometimes obscured the polyvalency of the consonantal text,

the stage in which paronomastic evidence is clearly recognized." However, see M. Dahood, "Some Ambiguous Texts in Isaias: (30,15; 52,2; 33,2; 40;5; 45,1)," *CBQ* 20 (1958): 41–49; Wilfred G. Watson, "An Example of a Multiple Wordplay in Ugaritic," *UF* 12 (1980): 443–44; Watson, "Ugaritic Poetry," in *Handbook of Ugaritic Studies*, ed. W. G. E. Watson and N. Wyatt, HdO 39 (Leiden: Brill, 1999), 165–92; Watson, "Puns Ugaritic Newly Surveyed," in Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 124; Scott B. Noegel, "A Janus Parallelism in the Baal and 'Anat Story," *JNSL* 21 (1995): 91–94; Noegel, "Geminate Ballast and Clustering: An Unrecognized Literary Feature in Ancient Semitic Poetry," *JHS* 5 (2004): 1–18; Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*; Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream"; E. Shirly Natan-Yulzary, "The Transgression and Punishment of the Goddess 'Anat in the 'Aqht Story: A Literary Perspective," *UF* 41 (2009–2010): 581–99; Jonathan Yogev and Shamir Yona, "A Poetic Letter: The Ugaritic Tablet RS 16.265," *SEL* 31 (2014): 49–56; Yogev and Yona, "Opening Alliteration in Biblical and Ugaritic Poetry," *ZAW* 127 (2015): 108–13.

<sup>44.</sup> The basic meaning of the verb b 'r is "burn, ignite," unless put in the causative form as it is here. See DULAT s.v. "b 'r."

<sup>45.</sup> Noegel, "A Janus Parallelism in the Baal and 'Anat Story," 91–94.

because it imposed upon the text a single authoritative interpretation. <sup>46</sup> The Masoretes also proposed a number of corrections and alternative readings by placing them in the margins of the biblical text. These readings are known as the יָרָי  $q = q = \bar{q}$  (what is) read," as opposed the בְּחִיב (what is) written." Herein, I have placed these readings in brackets [].

Unlike Ugaritic, some signs in the Hebrew and Aramaic alphabets were used for multiple phonemes that we know were pronounced distinctly. Thus, as I noted in the Introduction, the letter  $\pi$  may represent the sounds /h/ or /h/ and the letter  $\nu$  may reflect /'/ or /g/, depending on the etymology of the word. In addition, the same letter  $\nu$  is used for the phonemes /ś/ and /š/, and also can represent PS /t/. Once the phoneme /t/ had merged with /š/ (and no longer existed as an independent phoneme), the letter  $\nu$  was used for both /ś/ and /š/. In Aramaic, the phoneme /t/ became /t/ and was represented by  $\mu$ . The Masoretes later distinguished the sounds /ś/ and /š/ by dotting their tops as  $\nu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively.

Epigraphic evidence shows that the Israelite alphabet was borrowed from Phoenician and was pictographic in origin. The pictographic associations gave rise to the names of the consonants. Thus, for example, the letter *bet* derives from the word "house," and was shaped like a tent, and the letter *yod* derives from the word "hand" and resembled one. As with Akkadian and Egyptian texts, there is evidence that Israelites were aware of these associations and exploited them in some contexts (see 4.1.12).

Though Hebrew and Aramaic offer the same potential for creating paronomasia that one finds in the other languages, Hebrew and Aramaic polysemy is restricted to the word, phrase, and sentence levels, because, like Ugaritic, their individual consonantal signs do not permit multiple readings, as do Akkadian and hieroglyphic signs. Thus, there are three primary ways that Israelite authors could achieve polysemy—by exploiting homonyms, homographs, or single words with broad semantic ranges. I shall demonstrate each method with examples from the Hebrew Bible. Job's lament demonstrates polysemy by way of homonyms (9:30–31).

אָם־הָתְרָחַצְתִּי בְמוֹ [בְמֵי־]שְׁלֶג וַהָזְכּוֹתִי בְּבֹר כַּפִּי: אָז בַּשַּׁחַת תִּטְבְּלֵנִי

<sup>46.</sup> See Noegel, *Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job*; Choon Leong Seow, "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job," *JBL* 130 (2011): 63–85. On further challenges that such devices pose for textual critics, see Viktor Golinets, "Considerations on Questions Philology Cannot Solve While Reconstructing the Text of the Hebrew Bible," in *Philology and Textual Criticism: Proceedings of the Second International Colloquium of the Dominique Barthélemy Institute held at Fribourg on 10–11 October, 2013*, ed. Innocent Himbaza and Jan Joosten (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2020), 45–69.

'im hit̞rāḥaṣtī ḇəmō [ḇə-mē] šālɛḡ wa-hăzikkōtī bə-ḇōr kappāy ʾāz baš-šaḥaṭ tiṭbəlēnī

Even if I should wash my hands with snow water, and clean my hands  $b \partial - \underline{b} \bar{o} r$ , You still would dip me in the pit.

In this passage the phrase בְּבֹר <u>ba-b̄</u>ōr can mean "with lye" or "in a pit." Though the readings derive from different roots, the former from ברר "purify," and the latter from באר <u>b-'-r</u> "pit," the nouns are indistinguishable in sound. Of course, the primary meaning of בְּבֹר <u>ba-b̄</u>ōr is "with lye," since it makes little sense for Job to wash his hands in a pit. Nevertheless, the mention of a synonym for pit (בְּבֹר <u>ȳōr</u>, afterwards makes one recontextualize the meaning of בְּבֹר <u>ba-b̄</u>ōr. 47

Job 26:12–13 illustrates polysemy by means of homographs; words that look alike but whose pronunciations differ.<sup>48</sup> This form of polysemy obtains strictly on a visual level.

בְּכֹחוֹ רָגַע הַיָּם וּבִתוּבְנָתוֹ [וּבִתְבוּנְתוֹ] מְחַץ רְהָב בִּרוּחוֹ שַׁמִים שָׁפָרֵה חַלֵּלֵה יַדוֹ נַחַשׁ בַּרִיחַ

bə-kōḥō rāgaʿ hay-yām ū-bi-tubnātō [ū-bi-tbunātō] māḥaş rāḥab bə-rūḥō šāmayīm šiprāh ḥōlălāh yāḍō nāḥāš bārīaḥ

By his power, he רְגֵעָ  $\bar{a}$  the sea, and by his skill he smashed Rahab. By his wind the heavens were calmed, his hand pierced the Fleeing Serpent.

The verb רָבֵע  $r\bar{a}ga^{\circ}$  in this passage usually is rendered "quieted," "stilled," or the like, if derived from the PS root r-g-g. However, we also may derive it from the PS root r-g-g and translate it "disturbed." Both readings are possible, though they would have been distinguished in speech. Thus, this polyseme operates only on a visual register. Nevertheless, as a construction of opposites, it constitutes a merism, expressing a totality of actions.

To demonstrate the third way of creating polysemy, which exploits the semantic range of a single word, I turn to Pharaoh's command to Moses in Exod 5:18:

<sup>47.</sup> There might be other additional layers of meaning here. The expression לַ בַּרֹּר בַּפְּי happāy also means "purity of my hands" (cf. Job 22:30, 2 Sam 22:21 [with יָד yād "hand"]), and the consonants of שָׁהַת "pit" also connote "destruction" (e.g., Gen 6:17) and "misdeed" (e.g., Deut 32:5), though the word "pit" derives from a separate root (i.e., שׁוּח, בּיִּש-וּח). I thank one of the publisher's anonymous reviewers for this observation.

<sup>48.</sup> John H. Hospers, "Polysemy and Homophony," ZAH 6 (1993): 114–23.

<sup>49.</sup> See also Joshua Blau, *On Polyphony in Biblical Hebrew*, PIASH 6/2 (Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, 1982).

יַעְתָּה לְּכוֹ עַבְדוּ wa-ʿattāh lak̄u ʿibdū "now get to your work!" Umberto Cassuto observed that the verb in this passage עַבְדוּ 'ibdū can mean "work, labor" or "worship, serve." In the former sense, the statement fits Pharaoh's command that the task-masters increase the workload of the Israelites. However, as "worship," it prefigures Pharaoh's release of the Israelites to worship Yahweh at Mount Sinai.

## 2.5. GRAMMATICALITY

An important point frequently unaddressed in publications on "wordplay" is that polysemy and paronomasia need not accord with our conception of what constitutes "correct" grammar to be effective. Grammatically speaking, Shakespeare's use of the word "grave" in Mercutio's asseveration, "ask for me tomorrow, and you shall find me a grave man" can only mean "solemn." However, its use as a synonym for "dead" is not lost on the audience who knows that Mercutio has just been stabbed.

In Mesopotamian literature, one finds many examples of ungrammaticality in the service of polysemy and paronomasia. Stephen Lieberman points to Enuma Elish (7.95–96), in which one of Marduk's fifty names is written as LU-GAL.DUR.MAH, but interpreted as *markas* "center, bond," a translation that reads his name as it if contains the cuneiform sign DÚR rather than DUR, even though that sign is not used.<sup>53</sup> Eckart Frahm remarks that such examples

demonstrate to what extent the Babylonian commentators' idea of how etymology was supposed to work differs from the more rigorous approach of the modern linguist. Mesopotamian scholars thought a fairly superficial similarity, which did not have to be based on universally applicable rules, was sufficient to link different words.... The reward for this apparent lack of rigor was that it opened up for the ancient scholars multiple avenues to achieve meaningful associations.<sup>54</sup>

Egyptian texts too abound in nonnormative orthography, cryptographic practices, and ungrammatical usage. In his treatment of "wordplay" in the Middle Kingdom autobiographical eulogy of Intef-son-of-Min, Antonio Loprieno explains:

<sup>50.</sup> Umberto Cassuto, *A Commentary on the Book of Exodus*, trans. Israel Abrahams (Skokie, IL: Varda, 1967), 71.

<sup>51.</sup> See, e.g., Meir Malul, "A Possible Case of Janus Parallelism," ASJ 17 (1995): 341–42; John F. Sawyer, "Root-Meanings in Hebrew," JSS 12 (1967): 37–50.

<sup>52.</sup> Shakespeare, Romeo and Juliet, Act 3, Scene 1.

<sup>53.</sup> Stephen J. Lieberman, "A Mesopotamian Background for the So-called Aggadic 'Measures' of Biblical Hermeneutics?," *HUCA* 58 (1987): 182.

<sup>54.</sup> Frahm, Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries, 71.

The title "leader who opened the secret" is rendered here by means of a graphic pun in which the three words "leader," "opener," and "secret" are indicated by two juxtaposed human heads, the first crowed with bovine horns (the sign for the phonetic reading *wp*), the other with a fish (*bz*). Since both the sign <br/>bovine horns> and the sign <fish> are placed on a sign <human head>, which is phonetically *tp*, they also (crypto)<br/>graphically convey the value *hrj-tp*, lit. "Which is on the head," whose primary meaning is "leader." The entire group, therefore, is to be read *hrj-tp wp bz* "leader who opened the secret," with a grapho-phonetic dialogue between <head>, <horns>, and <fish> on the one hand, *hrj-tp*, *wp*, and *bz*, on the other. <sup>55</sup>

Genesis 2:23 informs us that the first man named the creature that Yahweh created from his rib an אָשָׁה 'iššāh' "woman," because "she was taken from a man (שָּׁה 'īš)," though the two terms are etymologically unrelated. Similarly, the name אַ nōaḥ "Noah" derives from a root that means "rest" (i.e., אַרָּשׁ n-w-h), yet the narrator tells us that his father named him saying יְנַחֲמֵנוּ (Gen 5:29). Thus, his father "inaccurately" etymologizes his name by connecting it to the root אַ n-h-m "comfort." One more biblical example: Leah names her son אַ רְּאַבָּן "Reuben," explaining that "surely Yahweh looked אַ רְאַבָּן at my affliction "Reuben," explaining that "surely Yahweh looked אַ רְאָבָן הֹ at my affliction (אַ בְּשָׁנָיִן be- 'ōnyī]," though the word "affliction" can in no way explain or relate to the word בּשָׁנִין be- non," which is implicit in the birth, but never explicitly related to the name (Gen 29:32). Moreover, even if Leah had claimed that God had "seen" that she bore a "son," it would not provide a true etymology for the name Reuben, which likely means "lion." James Barr's observation with regard to such "folk etymologies" is instructive.

To us, indeed, it is clear that some of the etymologies do not fit.... But this is being too logical; the etymologies did not depend on having the same 'root', as we should call it. The phenomenon of popular etymology cannot be strictly separated from a whole series of other stylistic devices, such as assonance or paronomasia.<sup>58</sup>

<sup>55.</sup> Loprieno, "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian," 5.

<sup>56.</sup> In fact, the words אָנְשִׁים 't̄s̄ "man" (PS 'yš), אָשָּׁה 'išṣ̄āh "woman" (PS 't̪v), אֲנְשִׁים 'ānāṣ̄m "men" (sing. form נְשִׁים 'ɛnōṣ̄, PS 'ns̄), and נְשִׁים nāṣ̄īm "women" (PS nṣ̄y) are all etymologically unrelated; the four comprising a heteroclitic paradigm.

<sup>57.</sup> See BDB, s.v. "ראובן"; HALOT, s.v. באובן"."

<sup>58.</sup> James Barr, Comparative Philology and the Text of the Old Testament (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1987), 47–48. The terms folk etymology and popular etymology remain in circulation, though I find them problematic. See, e.g., John F. A. Sawyer, "The Place of Folk-Linguistics in Biblical Interpretation," in Proceedings of the Fifth World Congress of Jewish Studies, ed. Pinchas Peli (Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1969), 109–13; Leonid Kogan, "Popular Etymology in the Semitic Languages," in Studia Semitica, ed. Leonid Kogan, OPOI 3 (Moscow: Russian State University for the Humanities, 2003), 120–40.

Choon Leong Seow has shown that the numerous cases of defective spelling in the pre-Masoretic text of Job permitted many more double readings than usually recognized:

the conservative orthography proves in many instances to serve a poetic function. This orthography allows homographic wordplays in addition to the numerous homophonic ones that scholars have long noticed. Poetry in Job, it seems, is written not only for the ear. It is written as well for the eye. It is "visual poetry." <sup>59</sup>

Naphtali Meshel similarly has drawn attention to some biblical proverbs that rely on ungrammaticality to give readers pause for contemplating multiple readings. One of his examples is Prov 19:18: יֵשֵׁר בְּנְדְּ בְּי־יֵשׁ תִּקְוָה וְאֶל־הֲמִיתוֹ 19:18: יַשֵּׁר בְּנְדְּ בִּי־יֵשׁ תִּקְוָה וְאֶל־הֲמִיתוֹ 19:18: יִשֵּר בִּנְדְּ בִּי־יֵשׁ אַלַּדְּמָשְׁה וְאֶל־הֲמִיתוֹ 19:18: יְשֵּׁר בִּנְדְּ בִּי־יֵשׁ אַנִּפְשֶּׁדְ נִּמְשֶׁה אַל־תִּשְׂא נִבְּשֶּדְ יִשְׁר תִּמְיִה וְאֶל־הְמִשְׁא נַבְּשֶׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנְדְּ בִּי־יֵשׁ אַנִּפְשֶׁדְ יַשְׁר בְּנְדְּ בִּי־יֵשׁ אַנִּפְשֶׁדְ יַשְׁר בְּנְדְּ בִּי־יֵשׁ אַנִּפְשֶׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנְדְּ בִּי־יֵשׁ אַנִּפְשֶׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנְשְׁדְּ בִּייִשׁ אַנְבְּשֶׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנְבְּישְׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנְשְׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנְשְׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנְשְׁדְ יִשְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנְשְׁר יְשִׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנְשְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בּיִישׁ אַנְבְּשְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בּיִישׁ בְּנִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּנִישְׁר בְּלְבְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִּבְייִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁבְּיוּבְּישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּישְׁר בְּישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיְישְׁר בְּיִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁבְּייִישְׁר בְּיבְייִישְׁר בְּיִישְׁבְּיְישְׁבְּיבְּיְיְישְׁבְּיוּבְייִישְׁיְיְבְי

Such attestations—and the phenomenon is widespread in ancient Near Eastern texts—should caution us not to impose our contemporary sense of what constitutes "proper" orthography and grammar. Quite the contrary, as Niek Veldhuis keenly asserts: "Ungrammaticality, or deviant grammar, is often a mark in that it draws attention to something special, as readers of modern poetry well know." 63

<sup>59.</sup> Seow, "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job," 83–84.

<sup>60.</sup> Naphtali Meshel, "Whose Job Is This? Dramatic Irony and *Double Entendre* in the Book of Job," in *Aesthetics, Ethics, Hermeneutics*, ed. Leora Batnitzky and Ilana Pardes, (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2015), 51–52. Meshel refers to cases of "double-edged wording" as a subcategory of *double entendres*. I would classify them simply as cases of polysemy or amphiboly that have ironic or theological functions. In some cases, when touching on theologically subversive themes, we also may consider them as *double entendres*, but not all serve in this way.

<sup>61.</sup> The numbering system for the Proverbs of Ahiqar throughout is that of Bezalel Porten and Ada Yardeni, *Textbook of Aramaic Documents from Ancient Egypt*, vol. 3 (Jerusalem: Magnes, 1993).

<sup>62.</sup> Meshel, "Whose Job Is This?," 53.

<sup>63.</sup> Niek C. Veldhuis, "The Fly, the Worm, and the Chain," *OLP* 24 (1993): 46. I argue the same in Noegel, *Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job*, 146–47. See also Veldhuis's article, "The Poetry of Magic," in Abusch and van der Toorn, *Mesopotamian Magic*, 35–48.

## 3

# **FUNCTION**

When one compares studies on "wordplay" in the Hebrew Bible with those that focus on Akkadian and Egyptian texts, one finds that the disciplines contextualize its function in very different ways. We have seen above that scholars of the Hebrew Bible tend to treat "wordplay" as having primarily literary or rhetorical functions. The situation is quite different in Assyriology and Egyptology, where

<sup>1.</sup> Representative examples include E. W. Bullinger, Figures of Speech Used in the Bible (London: Eyre & Spottiswoode, 1898; repr. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1968); E. A. Russell, Paronomasia and Kindred Phenomena in the New Testament (PhD diss., University of Chicago, 1920); A. First, "Duplication in Our Language" [Hebrew], Leshonenu 16 (1948-1949): 196-208; Asher Weiser, "Letter Reversal as an Expression of Reversal of Meaning in the Bible" [Hebrew], in The Study of the Bible in Memory of Tzvi Karl, ed. A. Weiser and B. Z. Lourie (Jerusalem: Qiryat Sefer, 1960), 226–63; D. Leibel, "Variant Readings" [Hebrew], BM 8 (1964): 187–97; D. F. Payne, "Old Testament Exegesis and the Problem of Ambiguity," ASTI 5 (1967): 48-68; D. Lys, "Notes sur le Cantique," in Congress Volume: Rome, 1968, ed. J. A. Emerton et al., VTS 17 (Leiden: Brill, 1969), 170-78; William L. Holladay, "Form and Word-Play in David's Lament over Saul and Jonathan," VT 20 (1970): 153-89; L. Peeters, "Pour une interprétation du jeu de mots," Semitics 2 (1971-1972): 127-42; William L. Holladay, "The Covenant with the Patriarchs Overturned," JBL 91 (1972): 305–20; Yosef Roth, "The Intentional Double-Meaning Talk in Biblical Prose" [Hebrew], Tarbiz 41 (1972): 245-54; Stanley Gevirtz, "Of Patriarchs and Puns: Joseph at the Fountain, Jacob at the Ford," HUCA 46 (1975): 33-54; W. Herzberg, Polysemy in the Hebrew Bible (PhD diss., New York University, 1979); J. Levenson, "The Paronomasia of Solomon's Seventh Petition," HAR 6 (1982): 135-38; Stanislav Segert, "Paronomasia in the Samson Narrative in Judges XIII–XVI," VT 34 (1984): 454– 61; S. Shaviv, "nabi and nagid in 1 Samuel ix 1-x 16," VT 34 (1984): 108-19; Raphael Sappan, "Literal Meaning and Metaphorical Meaning by Way of Ambiguity in Biblical Poetry" [Hebrew], BM 30 (1985): 406–12; Gary A. Rendsburg, "The Mocking of Baal in 1 Kings 18:27," CBO 50 (1988): 414-17; Richard S. Hess, "Achan and Achor: Names and Wordplay in Joshua 7," HAR 14 (1989): 89-98; David F. Pennant, The Significance of Root Play, Leading Words and Thematic Links in the Book of Judges (PhD diss., Council for National Academic Awards, 1989); Robert P. Gordon, "Word-Play and Verse-Order in 1 Samuel XXIV-5-8," VT 40 (1990): 139-44; Thomas P. McCreesh, Biblical Sound and

Sense: Poetic Sound Patterns in Proverbs 10-29, JSOTSup 128 (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1991); Al Wolters, "Untying the King's Knots: Physiology and Wordplay in Daniel 5," JBL 110 (1991): 117-22; C. G. den Hertog, "Ein Wortspiel in der Jericho-Erzählung (Jos. 6)?," ZAW 104 (1992): 99-100; Patrick N. Hunt, "Subtle Paronomasia in the Canticum Canticorum: Hidden Treasures of the Superlative Poet," in Goldene Apfel in silbernen Schalen: Collected Communications to the XIIIth Congress of the International Organization for the Study of the Old Testament, Leuven 1989, ed. Klaus-Dietrich Schunck and Matthias Augustin (Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 1992), 147-54; Benjamin Qedar-Kopfstein, "Paronomasia in Biblical Hebrew-Logical and Psychological Aspects" [Hebrew], in Moshe Goshen-Gottstein—in Memoriam, vol. 3 of Studies in Bible and Exegesis, ed. Moshe Bar-Asher et al. (Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1993), 383-400; A. Hurvitz, "Toward a Precise Definition of the Term 'Amon in the Book of Proverbs 8:30" [Hebrew], in The Bible in the Light of Its Interpreters: Sarah Kamin Memorial Volume, ed. Sara Japhet (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1994), 647-50; Gary A. Rendsburg, "Talpiyyôt (Song 4:4)," JNSL 20 (1994): 13-19; Amiqam Gai, "You Are a Garden Locked Up, My Sister, My Bride; You Are a Spring Enclosed, a Sealed Fountain" [Hebrew], BM 42 (1996): 50-51; N. Lunn, "Paronomastic Constructions in Biblical Hebrew," Notes on Translation 10 (1996): 31-52; Shalom M. Paul, "Polysemous Pivotal Punctuation: More Janus Double Entendres," in Texts, Temples, and Traditions: A Tribute to Menehem Haran, ed. Michael V. Fox et al. (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1996), 369-74; N. Waldman, "Some Aspects of Biblical Punning," Shofar 14 (1996): 38-52; Shalom M. Paul, "A Lover's Garden of Verse: Literal and Metaphoric Imagery in Ancient Near Eastern Love Poetry," in Tehillah le-Moshe: Biblical and Judaic Studies in Honor of Moshe Greenberg (Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 1997), 99-110; Edward L. Greenstein, "Jethro's Wit: An Interpretation of Wordplay in Exodus 18," in On the Way to Nineveh: Studies in Honor of George M. Landes, ed. S. L. Cook and S. C. Winter (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1999), 155-71; Victor A. Hurowitz, "Nursling, Advisor, Architect? אמת and the Role of Wisdom in Proverbs 8,22-31," Bib 80 (1999): 391-400; Mazal Dori, "The Ambiguity of Double Meaning" [Hebrew], Hagige Giva 7 (1999): 11-23; Nachman Levine, "Twice as Much as Your Spirit: Pattern, Parallel, and Paronomasia in the Miracles of Elijah and Elisha," JSOT 85 (1999): 25-46; Bill T. Arnold, "Word Play and Characterization in Daniel 1," in Noegel, Puns and Pundits, 231-48; Nachman Levine, "The Tower of Babel Deconstructed: Linguistic Structure, Social Structure, and Structural Structure," Nachalah: The Yeshiva University Journal for the Study of Bible 2 (2001): 131–45; Gary A. Rendsburg, "Hebrew Philological Notes (II)," HS 42 (2001): 187–95; John S. Kselman, "Ambiguity and Wordplay in Proverbs XI," VT 52 (2002): 545-47; Nachman Levine, "Suffering and Thought in Lamentations 3: Form and Content" [Hebrew], Megadim 35 (2002): 93-99; D. Dan, "Reflected Meaning, Sound Meaning and Sound in Song of Songs" [Hebrew], BM 48 (2003): 207-14; Amos Frisch, "Three Syntactical Discontinuities in I Regum 9-11," ZAW 115 (2003): 88-93; Nili Shupak, "A Fresh Look at the Dreams of the Officials and of Pharaoh in the Story of Joseph (Genesis 40–41) in the Light of Egyptian Dreams" [Hebrew], JANES 30 (2003): 103–38; Lawrence Zalcman, "Prov 5, 19c: "שגיאות מי יבין," ZAW 115 (2003): 433-34; David Henshke, "When One Sets Out on a Journey': On Double Meanings and Their Consequences" [Hebrew], Leshonenu 67 (2004): 87-102; Nachman Levine,

scholars generally ascribe to it magical, theological, or mantic hermeneutical functions.<sup>2</sup> Sheldon Greaves's comment is representative:

"Semantic-Sonant Chiasmus in the Torah: Reversed Sound and Reversed Sense" [Hebrew], BM 183 (2005): 313–28; Levine, "Sarah/Sodom: Birth, Destruction, and Synchronic Transaction in Gen. 18–19," JSOT 31 (2006): 131–46; Patrick N. Hunt, Poetry in the Song of Songs: A Literary Analysis, SBL 96 (Bern: Peter Lang, 2008); Edward L. Greenstein, "Reanalysis in Biblical and Babylonian Poetry," in Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday, ed. Chaim Cohen et al. (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008), 499–510; Lénart J. de Regt, "Wordplay in the OT," NIDB 5:898–900; Aaron D. Rubin, "Gen. 49:4 in Light of Arabic and Modern South Arabian," VT 59 (2009): 499-502; Charles Halton, "Samson's Last Laugh: Ś/ŠḤQ Pun in Judges 16:25-27," JBL 128 (2009): 61-64; Karolien Vermeulen, "Eeny Meeny Miny Moe: Who Is The Craftiest To Go?," JHS 10 (2010): 1-13; Francis Landy, Paradoxes of Paradise: Identity and Difference in the Song of Songs, 2nd ed. (Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix, 2011); Karolien Vermeulen, "The 'Song' of the Servant—Gen 24:23," VT 61 (2011): 499–504; Vermeulen, To Play or Not to Play: The Role and Function of Wordplay in Genesis 1–11 (PhD diss., University of Antwerp/Ghent University, 2013); Moshe Garsiel, From Earth to Heaven: A Literary Study of the Elijah Stories in the Book of Kings (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2014); David B. Schreiner, "Why ניר in Kings," JSOT 39 (2014): 15-30; Valérie Kabergs, "Lovely Wordplay in Canticles 8,6a," ZAW 126 (2014): 261-64; Zvi Ron, "Wordplay in Genesis 2:25-3:1," JBO 42 (2014): 3-7; Karolien Vermeulen, "The Intentional Use of Polysemy: A Case Study of דבר סתר (Judg 3:19)," in Approaches to Literary Readings of Ancient Jewish Texts, ed. Karolien Vermuelen (Leiden: Brill, 2014), 115-36; Vermeulen, "Mind the Gap: Ambiguity in the Story of Cain and Abel," JBL 133 (2014): 29-42; Roland Meynet, Les huit psaumes acrostiches alphabétiques (Rome: Gregorian & Biblical Press, 2015); Robin Baker, Hollow Men, Strange Women: Riddles, Codes, and Otherness in the Book of Judges (Leiden: Brill, 2016); Jeff Hayes, "Intentional Ambiguity in Ruth 4:5: Implications for Interpretation of Ruth," JSOT 41 (2016): 159-82; Karalina Matskevich, "Double-Plotting in the Garden: Stylistics of Ambiguity in Genesis 2-3," in Doubling and Duplicating in the Book of Genesis: Literary and Stylistic Approaches to the Text, ed. Elizabeth R. Hayes and Karolien Vermeulen (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2016), 167-82; Jonathan Grossman, "The Ambiguity of 'רחם' in Deuteronomy, Second Isaiah, and Enûma Eliš," in Ben Porat Yosef: Studies in the Bible and Its World: Essays in Honor of Joseph Fleishman, ed. Michael Avioz, Omer Minka, and Yael Shemesh, AOAT 458 (Münster: Ugarit Verlag, 2019), 253-69.

2. Representative in Egyptology are Kurt Sethe, "m-hnw 'Im Innen': Eine Rebusspielerei," ZÄS 59 (1924): 61–63; Siegfried Schott, Mythe und Mythenbildung im alten Ägypten (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1945); Constantin Emil Sander-Hansen, "Die phonetischen Wortspiele des ältesten Ägyptischen," AO 20 (1946–1947): 1–22; Fritz Hintze, Untersuchungen zu Stil und Sprache neu-ägyptischer Erzählungen, DAWB 6 (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1952); O. Firchow, Grundzüge der Stilistik in den Altägyptischen Pyramidentexten, UÄS 2 (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1953); Siegfried Morenz, "Wortspiele in Ägypten," in Festschrift Johannes Jahn zum XXII. November MCMLVII (Leipzig: Seemann, 1957), 23–32; Jan

Zandee, "Das Schöpferwort im alten Ägypten," in Verbum: Essays on Some Aspects of the Religious Function of Words, Dedicated to Dr. H. W. Obbink, ed. T. P. van Baaren et al., STRT 6 (Utrecht, Holland: Drukkerij en Uitgeverij, 1964); 33-66; Gerhard Fecht, Literarische Zeugnisse zur 'persönlichen Frömmigkeit' in Ägypten: Analyse der Beispiele aus den ramessidischen Schulpapyri (Heidelberg: C. Winter, 1965); Gerhard Fecht, Stilistiche Kunst, HdO 1/2 (Leiden: Brill, 1970), 19-51; Helmut Satzinger, "A Pun in the Lansing Papyrus," JEA 59 (1973): 227–28; E. S. Meltzer, "A Possible Word-Play in Khamuas I?," ZÄS 102 (1975): 78; Ariel Shisha-Halevy, "A Shenoutean Pun and the Preservation of a Precoptic Lexemic Distinction," JEA 64 (1978): 141; Joris F. Bourghouts, "Magie," LÄ 2 (1980): cols. 1137–1151; Waltrund Guglielmi, "Eine 'Lehre' für einen reiselustigen Sohn," WdO 14 (1983): 147–66; Pascal Vernus, "Écriture du rêve et écriture hiéroglyphique," Littoral 7–8 (1983): 27–32; Jan Assmann, Ägypten: Theologie und Frömmigkeit einer frühen Hochkultur (Stuttgart: Kohlhammer Taschenbücher, 1984); Herman te Velde, "Egyptian Hieroglyphs as Signs, Symbols, and Gods," VR 4-5 (1985-1986): 63-72; Waltraud Guglielmi, "Zu einigen literarischen Funktionen des Wortspiels," in Junge, Studien zu Sprache und Religion, 491–506; Guglielmi, "Wortspiel," LÄ 6 (1986): cols. 1287–1291; John L. Foster, "Wordplay in *The Eloquent Peasant*: The Eighth Complaint," BES 10 (1989–1990): 61–76; O. Goldwasser, "The Allure of the Holy Glyphs: A Psycholinguistic Perspective on the Egyptian Script," GM 123 (1991): 37-50; Joachim Friedrich Quack, Studien zur Lehre für Merikare (Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1992); O. Goldwasser, From Icon to Metaphor: Studies in the Semiotics of the Hieroglyphs, OBO 142 (Fribourg: University Press, 1995); Waltraud Guglielmi, "Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur," in Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms, ed. Antonio Loprieno, PÄ 10 (Leiden: Brill, 1996), 465–97; Pascal Vernus, "Langue littéraire et diglossia," in Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms, ed. A. Loprieno (Leiden: Brill, 1996), 555-64; Ludwig D. Morenz, "Der Erste sei Zweiter, oder: Der Leib als Kopf- ein Wortspiel als diffamierendes und also textkonstituierendes Mittel," GM 156 (1997): 81-84; Antionio Loprieno, "Le sign étymologique: Le jeu de mots entre logique et esthétique," in Le pensée et l'écriture pour une analyse sémiotique de la culture égyptienne: Quatre séminaires à l'École Practique des Hautes Études Section des Sciences religieuses 15–27 mai 2000, ed. Antonio Loprieno (Paris: Cybele, 2001), 129–58; Loprieno, "Egyptian Linguistics in the Year 2000," in Egyptology at the Dawn of the Twenty-First Century: Proceedings of the Eighth International Congress of Egyptologists, ed. Z. Hawass (Cairo: American University in Cairo Press, 2003), 73-90; F. Servajean, Les formules des transformations (Le Caire: Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 2003); Marleen de Meyer, "Some Ptolemaic Spielerei with Scribal Palettes," JEA 90 (2004): 221-23; Mario H. Beatty, "Translating Wordplay in the Eighth Petition of the Eloquent Peasant: A New Interpretation," CCdE 9 (2006): 131-41; Emi Shirakawa, "Choice of Vocabularies: Wordplay in Ancient Egypt," in Current Research in Egyptology 2004: Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Symposium, ed. R. Dann (Oxford: Oxbow Press, 2006), 133-37; Verena Lepper, "New Readings of an Old Text: Papyrus Westcar," in Proceedings of the Ninth International Congress of Egyptologists, ed. Jean-Claude Goyon and Christine Cardin, vol. 2, OLA 150 (Leuven: Peeters, 2007), 1125-36; Joshua Roberson, "An Enigmatic Wall from the Cenotaph of Seti I at Abydos," JARCE 43 (2007): 93-112; Christian Leitz, Die

Gaumonographien in Edfu und ihre Papyrusvarianten: Ein überregionaler Kanon kultischen Wissen im spätzeitlichen Ägypten, vols. 1–2, Soubassementsudien 3, SSR 9 (Weisbaden: Harrassowitz, 2014); Richter, Theology of Hathor of Dendera; D. Laboury, "Tradition and Creativity: Toward a Study of Intericonicity in Ancient Egyptian Art," in (Re)productive Traditions in Ancient Egypt: Proceedings of the Conference Held at the University of Liège, 6th–8th February 2013, ed. T. Gillen, AeLeo 10 (Liège: Presses Universitaires de Liège, 2017), 229–58.

In Assyriology, see G. Böstrom, Paronomasi iden äldre Hebreiska Maschalliteraturen med särskild hänsyn till proverbial (Lund: Gleerup, 1928); E. A. Speiser, "Word Plays on the Creation Epic's Version of the Founding of Babylon," in Oriental and Biblical Studies: Collected Works of E. A. Speiser, ed. L. J. Finkelstein and M. Greenberg (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1967), 53-61; Anne D. Kilmer, "Les jeux de mots dans les rêves de Gilgamesh et d'Atrahasis" (Paper read at the Universitaire des Sciences Humaines, Strasbourg, 1983); Walter Farber, "Associative Magic: Some Rituals, Word Plays, and Philology," JAOS 106 (1986): 447–49; Anne D. Kilmer, "The Symbolism of the Flies in the Mesopotamian Flood Myth and Some Further Implications," in Language, Literature, and History: Philological and Historical Studies Presented to Erica Reiner, ed. Francesca Rochberg-Halton, AOS 67 (New Haven, CT: American Oriental Society, 1987), 175-80; Piotr Michalowski, "Presence at the Creation," in Lingering Over Words: Studies in Ancient Near Eastern Literature in Honor of William L. Moran, ed. Tzvi Abusch, John Huehnergard, and Piotr Steinkeller, HSS 37 (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1990), 381–96; Nick C. Veldhuis, A Cow of Sin, LOT 2 (Groningen: Styx Publications, 1991); B. L. Eichler, "mar-URU<sub>5</sub>: Tempest in a Deluge," in The Tablet and the Scroll: Near Eastern Studies in Honor of William W. Hallo, ed. M. E. Cohen et al. (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1993), 90-94; Jack M. Sasson, "The Divine Divide: re FM 2.71.5'," NABU (1994): 39-40; Scott B. Noegel, "A Flare for Style and Depth of Allusion: The Use of Fire and Water Imagery in Enuma Elish Tablet I," JAGNES 5 (1995): 82-87; Victor A. Hurowitz, "Advice to a Prince: A Message from Ea," SAA. Bulletin 12 (1998): 39-53; Pierre Villard, "Allusions littéraires et jeux de léttres dans les rapports des devins d'époque néoassyrienne," in Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East: Papers Presented at the 43rd Rencontre assyriologique internationale, Prague, July 1-5, 1996, ed. Jiri Prosecky (Prague: Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Oriental Institute, 1998), 427-37; Victor A. Hurowitz, "Literary Observations on 'In Praise of the Scribal Art," JANES 27 (2000): 49-56; Michael Roaf and Annette Zgoll, "Assyrian Hieroglyphs: Lord Aberdeen's Black Stone and the Prisms of Esarhaddon," ZAW 91 (2001): 264–95; Benjamin R. Foster, "The Sargon Parody," NABU (2002): 79-80; Nathan Wasserman, Style and Form in Old-Babylonian Literary Texts, CM 27 (Leiden: Brill; Boston: Styx, 2003); Anne D. Kilmer, "Visualizing Text: Schematic Patterns in Akkadian Poetry," in If a Man Builds a Joyful House: Assyriological Studies in Honor of Erle Verdun Leichty, ed. Ann K. Guinan et al., CM 31 (Leiden: Brill, 2006), 209–19; Andrea Seri, "The Fifty Names of Marduk in Enūma eliš," JAOS 126 (2006): 507-19; Victor A. Hurowitz, "Finding New Life in Old Words: Word Play in the Gilgamesh Epic," in Gilgamesh and the World of Assyria: Proceedings of the Conference Held at the Mandelbaum House, The University of Sydney, 21-23 July 2004, ed. J. Azize and N. Weeks, ANESS 21 (Leuven: Peeters, 2007), 67-78; Hurowitz,

Word play was thought to play an active role in magic by taking advantage of the linkage that was thought to exist between the word for an object and the object itself. In practical terms this means that if the magician can use a verb or an object in the incantation that puns with the object or condition he or she is trying to alter, the association creates a link to that object that will achieve the desired result.<sup>3</sup>

The difference in contextualization is due in part to the different textual corpora that have comprised the focus of "wordplay" research, but also to the fields of literary and rhetorical criticism, which have had more sustained forays into biblical studies than Assyriology and Egyptology. Moreover, even when considered literary or rhetorical in aim, there still remains a great deal of variety within biblical studies with regard to the specific functions that "wordplay" can possess and the terminology used to describe them. Given this complex state of affairs, I herewith provide a list and description of previously proposed functions. I have adopted most of the terminology from other scholars, though in some cases, I offer

<sup>&</sup>quot;Shutting Up' the Enemy-Literary Gleanings from Sargon's Eighth Campaign," in Treasures on Camels' Humps: Historical and Literary Studies from the Ancient Near East Presented to Israel Eph'al, ed. Mordechai Cogan and Dan'el Kahn (Jerusalem: The Hebrew University Magnes Press, 2008), 104–20; J. Bilbija, "Interpreting the Interpretation: Protasis-Apodosis-Strings in the Physiognomic Omen Series Šumma Alamdimmû 3.76-132," in Studies in Ancient Near Eastern World View and Society Presented to Marten Stol on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday, 10 November 2005, and His Retirement from Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, ed. R. J. van der Spek (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2008), 19-27; Illya Vorontsov, "Bemerkungen zu einigen in der Komposition an.gim.dím.ma zu findenden Korrespondenzen zwischen einzelnen Trophäen von Ninurta und deren Verortung," NABU (2008): 31–33; Vorontsov, "Adapas Licht," in Organization, Representation, and Symbols of Power in the Ancient Near East: Proceedings of the 54th Rencontre Assyriologique Internationale at Würzburg 20-25 July 2008, ed. Gernot Wilhelm (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns 2012), 795–804; David Danzig, Name Word Play and Marduk's Fifty Names in Enūma Eliš (Master's Thesis, Yale University, 2013); Enrique Jiménez, "As Your Name Indicates': Philological Arguments in Akkadian Disputations," JANEH 5 (2018): 87-105. On the disciplinary disconnect between Egyptology, biblical studies, and Assyriology, see Antonio Loprieno, "Defining Egyptian Literature: Ancient Texts and Modern Theories," in Loprieno, Ancient Egyptian Literature, 39–58.

<sup>3.</sup> Sheldon W. Greaves, "Ominous Homophony and Portentous Puns in Akkadian Omens," in Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 113.

<sup>4.</sup> Michael V. Fox, "Ancient Egyptian Rhetoric," *Rhetorica* 1 (1983): 9–22, includes wordplay in his discussion of Egyptian rhetorical features. See similarly L. Coulon, "La rhétorique et ses fictions: Pouvoirs et duplicité du discours à travers la littérature égyptienne du Moyen et du Nouvel Empire," *BIFAO* 99 (1999): 103–32; Nadine Dokoui-Cabrera, "La rhétorique dans le conte du Paysan Eloquent ou le Maître de Parole," *CCdE* 9 (2006): 143–53.

different labels in order to obtain greater specification or to organize the functions more efficiently. In this chapter, I resist classifying the types of "wordplay" that appear in the proof texts in order to focus on the topic of function. Thus, as in chapter 2, I simply refer to the device used with the terms paronomasia or polysemy, as I already have defined them. In general, I demonstrate each of the functions that scholars have proposed for multiple Near Eastern languages, though I have made no attempt to illustrate each function with all of the languages in consideration. Instead, I intend the list to be representative and to prepare the reader for the next chapter, in which I detail the many different polysemous and paronomastic devices. I have organized the entries to proceed from the aesthetic to the rhetorical to the performative, though throughout I draw attention to the nebulous nature of these distinctions. The seventeen functions, which I label wherever possible in adjectival form, include: aesthetic, onomatopoeic, emphatic, rhetorical, humorous, ironic, deceptive, referential, allusive, appellative, structural, mnemonic, hermeneutic, concealing, theological/didactic, displaying erudition, and performative. All of the previously proposed functions for various types of polysemy and paronomasia fit neatly into one (or more) of these broad headings.

## 3.1. AESTHETIC

Some scholars have understood certain forms of "wordplay" as purely aesthetic in purpose, sometimes referring to it as euphonous. The remark of the biblicist Pietru Saydon is illustrative. He viewed paronomasia as "an endeavor to reproduce by means of the close connexion or juxtaposition of like-sounding words that internal sensation of the Beautiful which is intended to affect the ear." My choice of the term *aesthetic* over *euphonous* for this function recognizes that the ancients appreciated texts on a visual level as well. This is most certainly the case with monumental inscriptions, but also with texts on scrolls and tablets.

In Akkadian, scribes sometimes show a fondness for the visual effect of a text. Thus, an Akkadian hymn to Nergal spells certain keywords first logographically and then syllabically.<sup>6</sup> Akkadian acrostics, discussed in the next chapter (4.1.12), also furnish a visibly structural aesthetic. The cuneiform signs themselves also had their own aesthetic—what Herman Vanstiphout has called "orthocalligraphy"—and writing them properly was held in high esteem.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, the tablets on which the scribes wrote their texts also possess their own aesthetic. One also finds cuneiform texts written directly over artistic reliefs in

<sup>5.</sup> P. P. Saydon, "Assonance in Hebrew as a Means of Expressing Emphasis," *Bib* 36 (1955): 37.

<sup>6.</sup> Noted by Foster, Before the Muses, 624.

<sup>7.</sup> See Vanstiphout, "Mihiltum, or the Image of Cuneiform Writing," 152-70.

palaces and on statues in a way that permits a blending of textual and artistic aesthetics. As the reliefs and Akkadian texts were painted, the visual effect must have been stunning. Moreover, the placement of texts at eye level, which only a minority could have read, only reified the gap between those privy to divine secrets and those outside the inner circle.

An excellent demonstration of the Akkadian aesthetic occurs on Sennacherib's so-called King's Prism, in which the signs KUR.U<sub>2</sub> in one line (col. 1, l. 10) appear directly over U<sub>2</sub>.KUR in the next (l. 11).<sup>8</sup> In the first line, KUR.U<sub>2</sub> is read  $\check{s}ad\hat{u}$  "mountain," whereas in the second, U<sub>2</sub>.KUR is read syllabically (i.e.,  $\acute{u}$ - $\check{s}at$ ) as part of the verb  $\acute{u}$ - $\check{s}at$ -(i-ma-an-ni-ma) "granted me." The juxtaposition of one over the other is visually striking.

Egyptian inscriptions too exhibit a highly developed sense of aesthetics, often displaying significant interconnections between images and texts. Arlette David's characterization is particularly insightful.

Wordplays (puns, rebuses) and sound-plays based on alliteration and paronomasia are well known in ancient Egyptian literature, and this somewhat legitimizes the idea that the same devices hide in representational contexts when the name of a depicted object is associated by paronomasia with another meaningful concept in the given environment. Such visual/scriptural plays and associations would have been recognized by a member of the Egyptian elite, familiar with a language and an iconography whose transparency is lost to us.<sup>10</sup>

New Kingdom royal stelae (e.g., those of Ramesses II, Merneptah, and Ramesses IV) sometimes distribute their cartouches in well-organized zigzag patterns. Other inscriptions are even more elaborate. Such is the case with the Shabaka Stone (BM no. 498), which contains the well-known Memphite Theology. The stela's top horizontal register simultaneously provides the titulary from the middle outwards in both directions. The one running right to left reads: 'nh sb[3]q t3.wij

.

<sup>8.</sup> Observed by Vanstiphout, "Mihiltum, or the Image of Cuneiform Writing," 158. For the inscription, see A. Kirk Grayson and Jamie Novotny, *The Royal Inscriptions of Sennacherib, King of Assyria* (704–681 BC), part 1, RINP 3 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2012), 128.

<sup>9.</sup> See Herman te Velde, "Egyptian Hieroglyphs as Linguistic Signs and Metalinguistic Informants," in Kippenberg et al., *The Image in Writing*, 169–79; John Baines, *Visual and Written Culture in Ancient Egypt* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007).

<sup>10.</sup> Arlette David, "Hoopoes and Acacias: Decoding an Ancient Egyptian Funerary Scene," *JNES* 73 (2014): 236.

<sup>11.</sup> See the excellent discussion in Kenneth A. Kitchen, *Poetry of Ancient Egypt*, DMA 1 (Jonsered: Paul Åströms Förlag, 1999), 476–77.

 $nb.ti\ sb[s]q\ ts.wj\ hr.w-nb.w\ sb[s]q\ ts.wj\ nsw.t-bit\ nfr-ks\ -r'\ ss\ r'\ [ss-bs-ks]\ mr.j\ pth\ rsi\ inb.w=f\ mrr\ 'nh.(w)\ mi\ r'\ d.t\ (l.\ la).^{12}$ 

The living Horus, who illuminates the Two Lands, who belongs to the Two Ladies, who illuminates the Two Lands, the Golden Horus, who illuminates the Two Lands, King of Upper and Lower Egypt, Neferkare, the son of Re, [Shabaka], beloved of Ptah-South-of-his-Wall, who lives like Re forever.

Note here the three-fold use of sb[3]q "splendid," which paronomastically anticipates the name  $\S sb \S k \S$  "Shabaka" and recalls his Horus and Nebty name:  $sb[\S]q + t \S k \S k \S$  "Illuminator of the Two Lands." Enhancing the symmetrical composition, common in Egyptian inscriptions, is the rest of the text, which contains a number of less common features, such as a reversal of the normal order of reading. One reads the majority of the inscription left to right in vertical columns, even though the individual signs face to the right. The elaborate visual register permits a sixfold representation of Horus to appear side by side in different lines on the left side of the stone. A similar composition appears near the center of the stela. Elsewhere horizontal registers cut into the vertical readings. So, for example, one reads ntr.w.hpr.w.m.pth "the gods who came into being in Ptah" (1. 48), horizontally above four different vertically-oriented columns, each of which begins with the name Ptah and contains an image of him. These lines visually underscore the central message of the inscription—that Ptah is the creator of all other gods.

Concerns with sonic aesthetics appear to govern the creation of a Ramesside period love poem, whose opening line classifies the work as: h3.t- m r3.w n.w t3 shmh.t-lb 3.t "the beginning of the words of the great entertainer (lit 'heart pleaser')," perhaps a songstress for the goddess Hathor (P.Chester Beatty I 1.1). Such texts were performed on special occasions like a hrw nfr "party" (lit. "good day"). Since the love poem is filled with polysemy and paronomasia, it is likely that it was meant to be appreciated for its sonic aesthetics. Indeed, as Christopher Eyre reminds us:

The oft repeated modern myth that "the Egyptians" never created art for art's sake derives from inappropriate cultural assumptions, and essentially trivial comparisons. It is not based on any coherent argument from data, and should be dismissed, to be replaced by a proper analysis of the integration of aesthetic

<sup>12.</sup> The right side has *skr* "Sokar" instead of "Ptah." On the text, see A. El Hawary, *Wortschöpfung: Die Memphitische Theologie und die Siegesstele des Pije—Zwei Zeugen kultureller Repräsentation in der 25. Dynastie*, OBO 243 (Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2010), 115.

<sup>13.</sup> See Michael V. Fox, *The Song of Songs and the Ancient Egyptian Love Songs* (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1985), 51–77, 393–99.

motivation and reception with the context of patronage in which individual works were produced. 14

We also should recall that the poem was sung and accompanied by instruments, so some of the sonic pleasure might have derived from its musical performance or the interaction of words and music. Eyre's comment with regard to the use of paronomasia in the Eloquent Peasant is *ad rem*.

The art lies in a technique that bears some comparison to a variation on a musical theme—a theme of sounds—but little to that of a development of a philosophical or narrative thesis. The limited number of sounds is central to the sophistication of the thematic performance.<sup>15</sup>

At the same time, we do well to recognize that a dichotomy between "entertainment texts" and "cultural texts" (e.g., rituals and myths), categories coined by Assmann, <sup>16</sup> may be more apparent than real. In particular, Eyre and Richard Parkinson have drawn attention to the problematic nature of the dichotomy, the former calling for "a more inclusive definition of literature, where liturgy and ritual overlap in performance categories with more autonomous literary genres." <sup>17</sup> Therefore, while we still can distinguish between "performed texts" and "performative texts" (see 3.17), the same types of devices can operate in both for aesthetic and nonaesthetic purposes.

Northwest Semitic inscriptions also exhibit a strong visual aesthetic. For example, some Ugaritic texts employ anaphora, the repetition of words at the start of successive lines, in a way that forms an extended vertical pattern of cuneiform that is as evident visually as it is aurally. In many ways, such arrangements anticipate the later Masoretic stichometry of Biblical Hebrew texts. Sometimes texts are arranged in order to exploit polysemy and paronomasia, as in the Ugaritic Tale of Kirtu (*CAT* 1.16.vi.22–23), in which the verb *ytb* "he returns" (from the

<sup>14.</sup> Christopher J. Eyre, "The Performance of the Peasant," LingAeg 8 (2000): 11.

<sup>15.</sup> Eyre, "Performance of the Peasant," 23.

<sup>16.</sup> Jan Assmann, "Kulturelle und Literarische Texte," in Loprieno, *Ancient Egyptian Literature*, 59–82.

<sup>17.</sup> Eyre, "Performance of the Peasant," 12; Richard B. Parkinson, "Imposing Words: The Entrapment of Language in The Tale of the Eloquent Peasant," *LingAeg* 8 (2000): 27–51.

18. Jonathan Yogev and Shamir Yona, "Visual Poetry in the Ugaritic Tablet KTU 1.4,"

JANES 33 (2018): 203–10. I thank the authors for sharing their work with me.

<sup>19.</sup> On this, see Emanuel Tov, *Textual Criticism of the Hebrew Bible*, 2nd ed. (Assen: Royal Van Gorcum; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress, 2001), 212–13, pls. 10–12.

root  $\underline{t}$ -w-b) appears just over  $\underline{ytb}$  "he sits" (from y- $\underline{t}$ -b). Though the former is not precisely atop the latter, the proximity brings the two into contrast.<sup>20</sup>

An even more obvious example was engraved into the famous Mesha stela (KAI 181.12–13), where the verb ואשב w- 'šb (l. 12) appears directly above the verb איז w- 'šb (l. 13) in the very center of the stela. Their visual juxtaposition draws attention to the fact that the two visually identical forms are unrelated. The first means "and I captured," whereas the second is read "and I caused to settle." In addition, two uses of 'אבן 'nk "I" are similarly juxtaposed in lines 28-29. The combined visual imprint of the inscription reinforces the king's actions: "I captured and I settled."

Even aside from the physical arrangement of a particular text, some cases of polysemy and paronomasia appear to have little function other than enhancing the aesthetic of the text. For instance, the Egyptian Harper Song on the north wall of the passage in the tomb of Neferhotep (TT 50) describes the arrival of funeral processions to tombs by noting:  $s h \cdot t s h \cdot w = sn$  "their mummies are erected" (l. 15). Here the scribe has employed the causative conjugation of the verb h "stand" in order to anticipate the same consonants found in  $s h \cdot w$  "mummies." Though used to describe a funerary ritual, the paronomasia occurs in the literary context of a song, and thus, it likely enhanced the music that accompanied it.

Similarly, in the poetic narrative of the Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor, the sailor recounts his sea voyage in perfect paronomastic parallelism: ph.n=n ph.wj winite shift sh

<sup>20.</sup> Frank H. Polak, "The Discourse Structure of the Mesha Inscription: 'I-Style,' Intonation Units, and Oral Performance," in *Marbeh Ḥokmah: Studies in the Bible and the Ancient Near East in Loving Memory of Victor Avigdor Hurowitz*, ed. Shamir Yona et al. (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2015), 422, observes the "wordplay" here but not the placement of the verbs in the inscription.

<sup>21.</sup> On the rendering of the first verb, see Gary A. Rendsburg, "משב" in Mesha Stela, Line 12," *Maarav* 14 (2007): 9–25. Paronomasia between the two Semitic roots also obtains in the biblical book of Ruth. See Jonathan Grossman, *Ruth: Bridges and Boundaries*, ATD 9 (Bern: Lang, 2015), 186–89.

<sup>22.</sup> I thank my former student Clinton Moyer for the latter observation.

<sup>23.</sup> See Miriam Lichtheim, "The Songs of the Harpers," JNES 4 (1945): pl. VI.

<sup>24.</sup> G. A. Rendsburg, "Literary Devices in the Story of the Shipwrecked Sailor," *JAOS* 120 (2000): 20.

<sup>25.</sup> I thank one of the publisher's anonymous reviewers for this catch.

In the Egyptian Admonitions of Ipuwer (P.Leiden 1.344.r), some of the eloquent remarks of the prophet also look to be aesthetic in purpose. One such statement occurs when the prophet describes the future by predicting:  $wnm=tw\ m$   $smw\ s'm=tw\ m$  mw "one eats plant-fodder and one swallows water" (6.1). The repetition of the sounds /m/ and /w/, as well as the two-fold use of /s/, lend the line an impressive, yet softened, sing-song effect.

Greenstein categorizes the function of some biblical Hebrew "rhyming word-plays" as having a euphonic function. Among the expressions he cites are the description of the Israelite tabernacle as having לְבְּהִים לְבַּדִּים la-bāttīm la-baddīm "holders for the poles" (Exod 25:27) and the mention of יְבָּדִּים וְשָּׁת־קְּרָסְיוֹ וְשָּׁת־קְּרָסְיוֹ וְשָּׁת־קְּרָסְיוֹ וְשָּׁת־קְרַסְיוֹ וְשָּׁת־קְרַסְיוֹ וְשָּׁת־קְרַסְיוֹ וְשָּׁת־קַבְּיִם rāsāw wa- et aparāsāw "its hooks and its frames" (Exod 35:11). Indeed, it is difficult to ascribe to such cases a nonaesthetic purpose. However, we also must remember that it is difficult to know what sounds pleased or displeased, and so assessing a passage's euphony or cacophony/dissonance remains a value judgment. As for the kinds of device that the two examples represent, see hendiadic paronomasia (4.2.10).

## 3.2. ONOMATOPOEIC

When paronomasia invokes the sound of something to which a text refers, it constitutes onomatopoeia. A fine Akkadian example noted by Watson occurs in the Song of Erra, in which the line *šikar našpi duššupi* "sweet light ale" evokes the foaminess and drinking of beer (1.58). Andrew George has observed that one hears the sounds of kisses when the officers bid Gilgamesh and Enkidu farewell to the Cedar Forest in the Epic of Gilgamesh: *šakkanakkūššu unaššaqū šēpīšu* "the officers were kissing his feet" (3.211). Onomatopoeia occurs elsewhere in the epic, when Ishtar proposes marriage to Gilgamesh, who resists her advances by listing the fates of her former lovers. His reference to Dumuzi is of special relevance:

<sup>26.</sup> Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," 970.

<sup>27.</sup> See Leo I. Weinstock, Onomatopoeia and Related Phenomena in Biblical Hebrew: A Survey of Certain Correlations between Sound and Meaning in the Lexical and Phonological Levels of a Semitic Language (PhD diss., University of Pennsylvania, 1979); Weinstock, "Sound and Meaning in Biblical Hebrew," JSS 28 (1983): 49–62; Anne-Caroline Rendu Loisel, Les Chants du monde: Le paysage sonore de l'ancienne Mésopotamie (Toulouse: Presses universitaires du Midi, 2016), 68–74.

<sup>28.</sup> Noted by Watson, *Classical Hebrew Poetry*, 235. On other devices in this text, see Scott B. Noegel, "Word Play' in the Song of Erra," in Heimpel and Frantz-Szabó, *Strings and Threads*, 162–93.

<sup>29.</sup> Noted as alliteration by Andrew R. George, *The Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic*, vols. 1–2 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003), 817.

- 46. *a-na* <sup>d</sup>DUMU*-zi ḥa-mi-ri ṣu-uḥ-re-ti-ki*To Dumuzi, the husband of your youth,
- 47. *šat-ta a-na šat-ti bi-tak-ka-a tal-ti-meš-šú* to him you have allotted perpetual weeping, year on year.
- 48. *al-la-lá bit-ru-ma ta-ra-me-ma* You loved the speckled *allallû*-bird,
- 49. *tam-ḥa-ṣi-šu-ma kap-pa-šu tal-te-eb-ri* You struck him and broke his wing,
- 50. iz-za-az ina qí-šá-tim i-šas-si kap-pi
  (Now) he stands in the woods crying "my wing"!
  (SB 6.46–50)

Here the bird's cry *kappī* "my wing" is onomatopoeic. <sup>30</sup> Moreover, informing Gilgamesh's remark is a lexical tradition that first equates the Akkadian *allallu*-bird with the Sumerian bird known as SIPAD.TUR<sup>mušen</sup>, that is, "little shepherd-bird," hence its connection to the shepherd Dumuzi. <sup>31</sup> In addition, as George informs us, another lexical tradition reveals that the *allallu* is a homonym of *allallu* "warrior." <sup>32</sup> In fact, we learned this a bit earlier in the epic when Gilgamesh asked Ishtar:

- 42. *a-a-ú ḥa-me-ra-ki i-b[u]r ana da-ris* "What bridegroom of yours endured forever?"
- 43. a-a-ú al-lal-ki [šá ana šamê] i-lu-ú

  "What brave warrior [allalki] of yours is there [who] went up

  [to heaven]?"

  (6.42–43)<sup>33</sup>

Carleton Hodge has pointed out a fine Egyptian example that appears on the gable in the west antechamber of the tomb of King Unas, where the Pyramid Texts call for the cleansing of the deceased king in the field of rushes. The text invokes

<sup>30.</sup> See the commentary ḤAR-gud (recension C) to ḤAR-ra = ḥubullu (MSL 8:2, p. 172, 18): al-lal-lum kap-pa ip-pu-uš "the allallû-bird makes a kappa-noise." Noted by George, Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic, 834.

<sup>31.</sup> See *Hh* 18; *CAD* A1, s.v. "*allallû*." Also noted by George, *Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic*, 834. 32. Malku 1.27: *al-lal-lu* = *qar-ra-du*. On the interpretation of animal sounds as demonstrations of mantic ability, see Scott B. Noegel, "When Animals Speak," *JANES* 34 (2020): 107–35. Our understanding of Mesopotamian divinatory practices also has been applied fruitfully to the famous serpent in Genesis. See Duane E. Smith, "The Divining Snake: Reading Genesis in the Context of Mesopotamian Ophiomancy," *JBL* 134 (2015): 31–49.

<sup>33.</sup> George, *Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic*, 833, states that this passage "involves an untranslatable word play." "The former meaning anticipates the story of the bird maimed by Ishtar and the latter provides a human parallel with *ḥāmeru* in the first line of the couplet, and so introduces an implicit contrast between the capabilities of the bird and the man."

the wind god Shu by uttering:  $\check{s}w$   $\check{s}\check{s}w\check{i}$   $\check{s}w$   $\check{s}w$   $\check{s}w$   $\check{s}w$  "O Shu, lift him up! O Shu, lift him up!" (Spell 253, §275f). And One can hear the sound of the wind in the divine name  $\check{s}w$  "Shu," the verb  $\check{s}\check{s}w\check{i}$  "lift," and the pronoun  $\check{s}w$  "him." Since the text is an incantation, we may regard this case of onomatopoeia as also having a performative function (see 3.17).

The Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor illustrates onomatopoeia when the giant serpent threatens the sailor by saying: "I will turn you into ash" (l. 72). Here the noun "ash" ss, imitates the hissing of a snake. Jacqueline Jay points to another example in the same text in the narrator's description of the snake's approach: ht.w hr gmgm t3 hr mnmn "the trees were shaking, the ground was quaking" (ll. 59–60). Here the forms gmgm and mnmn, as well as the repeated sound /h/ mimic the creaking of trees and grinding of the earth. This line also constitutes geminate parallelism (see 4.2.12).<sup>35</sup>

Eyre also draws our attention to the following example of onomatopoeia in the poetical stela of Thutmosis III, in which Amun-Ra inspires pharaoh by saying: 3h.t=î im.jt tp=k sswn=s st iri=s is h3q m nbd.w-qd "my uraeus at your brow, she burns them up and she makes easy prey of those of warped character" (1. 9). The line brilliantly repeats the sibilant /s/ to reproduce the snake's hissing sound.<sup>36</sup>

A similar case appears in the Hebrew Bible. The repetition of the sounds /b/ and /'/, the sibilants /s/ and /š/, and the consonants in the words "mighty sound" (קֹל בְּדוֹל קַסּוֹ gādol) permit one to hear a peal of thunder and howling wind in Isaiah's prophecy: בְּרַעֵם וּבְרַעֵם וּבְרַעֵם וּבְרַעֵם וּבְרַעֵם וּבְרַעֵם וּבְרַעַם וּמַל bo-ra'am ū-b-ra'aš wo-qōl gādol sūp̄āh ū-so ʿārāh "with thunder and quake and mighty sound, storm and tempest" (Isa 29:6). In another of Isaiah's well-known pronouncements we hear: וְבִּתְּלוֹ מְלֵּחְבֵּוֹתְם לְאַתִּים וְחֲנִיתוֹתִיהֶם לְמַזְּמֵרוֹת wa-hānītōtēhem lo-mazmērōt "they will beat their swords into plowshares and their spears into pruning hooks" (Isa 2:4). As Watson cleverly espied, the seven-fold

<sup>34.</sup> Carleton T. Hodge, "Ritual and Writing: An Inquiry into the Origin of Egyptian Script," in *Linguistics and Anthropology: In Honor of C. F. Voegelin*, ed. M. Dale Kinkade et al. (Lisse, The Netherlands: Peter de Ridder Press, 1975), 343.

<sup>35.</sup> Jay, Orality and Literacy in the Demotic Tales, 103.

<sup>36.</sup> Eyre, "Is Egyptian Historical Literature 'Historical' or 'Literary'?," 420.

<sup>37.</sup> One similarly hears the wind whistling when Eliphaz says that, because of the wind, מָּמֶבֶּר שַּׁעֲבֵּרַת בְּשָׁבִי təsammēr śa ʾarat bəśarī "the hair of my flesh bristled" (Job 4:15).

rhythmic appearance of the consonant n (i.e., /t/ and /t/) along with the repeated consonants h and k reproduce the sounds of pounding metal.<sup>38</sup>

Isaiah uses the sibilants /š/ and /s/, and the bilabials /m/, /b/, and /p/ (the latter two mostly aspirated as /b/ and /p̄/), to capture the sound of beating wings in his description of the seraphim: שַׁשׁ בְּנְפֵיִם שֵׁשׁ בְּנְפֵיִם לֵּאֶחְד בִּשְׁתִּיִם יְכַסֶּה פָּנְיוֹ וּבִשְׁתִּים יֻעוֹפֵּף שֵׁשׁ בְּנְפֵיִם שֵשׁ בְּנְפִיִם שֵׁשׁ בְּנְפִיִם שֵּשׁ בְּנְפִיִם שֵּשׁ בְּנְפִיִם יְעוֹפֵּף śēš kənāp̄ayīm šēš kənāp̄ayīm lə-ʾehād bi-štayīm yəkasseh pānāw ū-bi-štayīm yəkasseh raḡlāw ū-bi-štayīm yə ʿop̄ēp̄ "six wings, six wings to each, with two he covered his face, and with two he covered his legs, and with two he flew" (Isa 6:2).<sup>39</sup>

The narrative depicting the plague of frogs offers an extraordinary display of onomatopoeia. Not only do we hear croaking in the very word אַפּרְדְּעִים  $sa\bar{p}arda$   $\bar{l}m$  "frogs," itself an onomatopoeia, we hear it eleven times in only sixteen verses, far more often than the story demands. <sup>42</sup> Three of the consonants in the noun "frog" (p, r, `) repeat eight times in the title  $\bar{l}arc$   $\bar{l}arc$  "pharaoh." "Pour times we also hear the sounds  $\bar{l}arc$  repeated in בּּרְעָּרִים  $misr\bar{a}y\bar{t}m$  "Egypt" (Exod 8:2) and אָרֶץ מִּעְרָיִם eres  $misr\bar{a}y\bar{t}m$  "the land of Egypt" (Exod 8:1, 2, 3). In Exod 8:5, Moses asks pharaoh, "do you glorify yourself ( $\bar{l}arc$ )", "using a verb that reverberates the consonants p and r. The  $\bar{l}s$ / sound then echoes again,

<sup>38.</sup> Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 236.

<sup>39.</sup> Luis A. Schökel, *A Manual of Hebrew Poetics*, SB 11 (Roma: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1988), 31, viewed the reduplication of consonants in Isa 6:4 as evoking the trembling of the temple: אַ יְהַבְּיִת יִּמְלֹא עָשָׁן way-yānu 'ū 'ammōt hassipīm miq-qōl haq-qōrē' wə-hab-bayīt yimmālē' 'āšān' "and the doorposts and thresholds trembled at the voice of the one who called and the house was filled with smoke."

<sup>40.</sup> See Victor A. Hurowitz, "Healing and Hissing Snakes: Listening to Numbers 24:4–9," *Scriptura* 87 (2004): 278–87.

<sup>41.</sup> Richard A. Freund, "Lying and Deception in the Biblical and Post-biblical Tradition," *SJOT* 5 (1991): 46.

<sup>42.</sup> Exod 7:27, 7:28, 7:29, 8:1, 8:2, 8:3, 8:4, 8:5, 8:7, 8:8, 8:9.

<sup>43.</sup> Exod 7:26, 8:4, 8:5, 8:8 (2x), 8:11, 8:15 (2x).

when the narrator states that "Moses and Aaron went out (מַשַּיִּשְׁ way-yēṣēʾ) and cried (בְּיִשְׁעַק way-yiṣʿaq) to Yahweh" (Exod 8:8). We next hear that the dead frogs began to pile up in the חֲׁעֵרֹת "courtyards" (Exod 8:9), again repeating the sounds /ṣ/ and /r/. The story reaches a fever-pitch of croaking in Exod 8:10, when we learn that "they gathered (מַּשְּׁבְּרֵנִּ way-yiṣbərū̄) them together in heaps (חֲמָרָם homārīm homārīm) and the land (בְּיִבְּרָנְ hā-ʾārɛṣ) stank." Not only does the rare verb for "gather" and the noun "land" again employ the sounds /ṣ/ and /r/, but the repeated plural for the heaps (lit. "heaps, heaps") imitates the rhythmic feel of croaking.

## 3.3. EMPHATIC

Polysemy and paronomasia can serve an emphatic function in several ways. They can underscore a keyword (*Leitwort*) or theme (*Leitmotiv*) of a text, or, as Greenstein observes, they can draw attention to an idea or association.<sup>45</sup>

An example from Akkadian that serves to emphasize and connect ideas appears in the Legend of Sargon, King of Battle, where we read: *šarru-gi-en šar kiššati šum ni-iz-kur ur-ri-da-nu ni-ma-aḫ-ḥa-ra ki-iš-šú-ti ú-ul qar-ra-da-nu* "we have invoked [Sargo]n, king of the universe. 'Come down to us, that we may receive strength for we are no warriors" (verso 18). Connected here are the nouns *kiššatu* "universe" and *kiššūtu* "strength," both of which emphasize the king's absolute rule.<sup>46</sup> The paronomasia must have been appreciated since Sennacherib uses it again later.<sup>47</sup>

Another example that emphasizes an idea or association occurs in the Song of Erra (4.123–125), where the god threatens:

<sup>44.</sup> Rendsburg, "Alliteration in the Exodus Narrative," 89, examines Exod 8:15 as a case of alliteration, but he does not treat the larger function of onomatopoeia operative here. More accurately speaking, the paronomasia between the noun "frog" and the verb "gather" in this verse demonstrates parasonance.

<sup>45.</sup> This category includes two separate functions proposed by Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," 970, i.e., "To Highlight an Idea or Association" and "The Leading Word," since both of them share emphasis as a motive.

<sup>46.</sup> In the previous verse (l. 17), in a fragmentary portion of the text, we also find *kiššu* "strength": *ina qereb akkadi kišši lilqut* "may he plunder in the midst of strong Akkad." However, according to Ernest F. Weidner, "Der Zug Sargons von Akkad nach Kleinasien: Die älttesten geschichtlichen Beziehungen zwischen Babylonien und Ḥatti," *BS* 6 (1922): 71, *ki-iš-ši* might also be read as *ki-mil-lim* "revenge" or possibly *kî mîllim* "like a flood," even though the latter is "Sehr unwahrscheinlich." Foster, *Before the Muses*, 252, sees l. 18 as an example of "wordplay."

<sup>47.</sup> See Sennacherib's Annals 2.1–2, discussed below.

123. ir-kal-la lu-un-niš-ma li-is-bu-ʾu-ú šá-ma-mi

"I want to make Irkalla quake. May the heavens roll too!

124. šá šul-pa-è-a šá-ru-ru-šu lu-šam-qit-ma kakkabāni (MUL.MEŠ) šá-mam[i] lu-šam-sik

As for Shulpea, I want to annihilate its brilliance. I want to do away with the stars in the heavens.

125. šá iṣ-ṣi šu-ru-us-su lip-pa-ri-ma la i-šam-mu-ḥa pi-i-ri-šú
As for the tree, I want to cut its roots so that it sprouts cannot shoot."

Each of the highlighted words repeats the sounds /š/ and /m/, while the terms šamāmū "heavens" (2x), lušamqitma "I want to annihilate," lušamsik "I want to do away with," and išammuḫa "shoot," each contains the sound /šam/. The verb lunnišma "quake" comes close with the sound /šma/. The repetition of these consonants plus the relative pronoun ša (2x) reinforce the noun šamāmū "heavens," which is the focus of the passage. Observe too how paronomasia emphasizes Erra's intention to cut šurussu "(its) roots" off the cosmic tree and destroy the šarūrušu "(its) brilliance" of the heavens.<sup>48</sup>

An example of paronomasia emphasizing a keyword in Egyptian comes from the Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor, where the verb *dp* "taste" reminds us of the *dp.t* "ship" that is central to the entire narrative (ll. 124, 181).<sup>49</sup> Line 124 is particularly pertinent: *rš.wj sdd dp.t.n=f sni h.t mr* "how happy is the man who relates what he has tasted after a bitter thing passes." Another case of polysemy in the same text serves to emphasize the serpent's wisdom. In particular, Parkinson has drawn our attention to the sailor's description of the serpent as '*rq sw r lnt* "bent forward" (l. 66), which suggests by homonymy '*rq lnt* "wise beforehand." Such polysemy foreshadows the serpent's foreknowledge that unfolds later in the story.

Elsewhere, paronomasia can emphasize a contrast. In the Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022, l. 252), Sinuhe contrasts his fear of pharaoh with the pharaoh's stately presence by recalling: "I found his majesty (hm=f) on a great throne, on a dais of electrum. I stretched out on my belly. I did not know (hm.n=i) myself in his presence." Here the near homonyms hm "majesty" and hm "be ignorant" are brought into sharp relief. Emphatic paronomasia also emphasizes a Leitwort when Sinuhe describes the pharaoh to the king of Byblos:

He is the one who strides ahead to shoot those falling back, giving no end [ph.wj] to the one who turns his back [ss]. He is the one who is stout-of-heart in the moment of the attack [sss]. He is the turner who never gives his back [ss].

<sup>48.</sup> Discussed in Noegel, "Word Play' in the Song of Erra," 170.

<sup>49.</sup> Rendsburg, "Literary Devices in the Story of the Shipwrecked Sailor," 21.

<sup>50.</sup> Richard B. Parkinson, *The Tale of Sinuhe and Other Ancient Egyptian Poems*, 1940–1640 BCE (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997), 99 n. 10.

He is the broad-of-heart when he sees the soldiering-pack [ 's̃.t]. (ll. 56–59)

Note that the end of each stich is related paronomastically. The second and fourth lines end with s3 "back," but the former is the back of the enemy, while the latter is the back of pharaoh. The third line concludes with s3s3 "battle charge," which echoes the two-fold use of s3 "back." The fourth line's '\$3.t "soldiering-pack" reinforces the paronomasia by employing the sibilant /\$/ with /3/. In the second of these lines, the scribe utilized the noun pħ.wj "end," written with the hind-quarter sign z, thus visually providing a "backside."

An emphatic use of paronomasia appears in the autobiography of Ahmose from his tomb at El Kab (ll. 1–11).<sup>51</sup> In his account of how Thutmosis I ran an arrow through a Nubian rebel, Ahmose relates how the pharaoh returned to Karnak *n* '.t m hd "sailing downstream" with the corpse m shd m h3.t bik n hm=f "hung upside-down on the prow of his boat, 'Falcon'" (l. 35). Here hd "downstream" reverberates in shd "upside down," even though the former takes the boat determinative , while the latter illustrates the act with the upside down man sign \( \).

In the Dispute between a Man and His Ba, the crocodile serves as a visual Leitmotiv, sometimes featuring in the text and other times possessing solely a visual function. During the dispute, the ba-spirit offers an anecdote about a man who rs m dp.t r 'hr 'q pr.(t) hn 'hm.t=f ms.w=f 3q tp š šn m grh hr mr.jt msh.w "gazing from his boat, as the sun was setting, (he) disembarked with his wife and children and got lost by a lake at night surrounded (by a) bank of crocodiles" (Il. 72–75).<sup>52</sup> Here the verb  $\check{s}n$  "surrounded" atypically carries the crocodile determinative  $\sim$ . The reader (but not the listener), thus sees a crocodile before hearing the noun msh.w "crocodiles" (which is written with the pluralized logogram ). A few lines later, the man in the ba's story laments: mhj=i hr ms.w=s sd.w m swh.t m3.w hr n hntî nî 'nh.t=sn "I will grieve for her (the mother's) children, who were crushed in the egg, who saw the face of Khenti before they had lived" (ll. 78-80). Here the determinatives and 4 follow the name of the crocodile god Khenti. Soon afterwards the man complains to his ba-spirit: b'h rn=i mk r st(i) msh.w r hmsj.t hr 'd.w hr mr. jt msh.w "my name reeks, behold, more than the smell of crocodiles, more than a slaughter site with sandbanks of crocodiles" (Il. 96-97). In the first stich, msh.w "crocodiles" is spelled phonetically and takes the determinative ... In the second, it is simply spelled logographically as .... The ba-spirit then continues: b'h rn=i m-'=k dmi n iti šnn bšt.w m33 s3=f"my name reeks through you, (more than) the city of a ruler that conspires against him when he turns his back"

<sup>51.</sup> Kurt Sethe, *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie*, vol. 1.4 (Leipzig: Hinrichs'sche Buchhandlung, 1905), 9.

<sup>52.</sup> The word here rendered "crocodiles" might also be understood as a determinative for *mr.jt* "bank," and thus as a visual depiction of a riverbank filled with crocodiles.

(ll. 102–103). Here *itj* "ruler" is written logographically as .... Aurally and visually the text teems with crocodiles.

Polysemy and paronomasia on keywords or themes can occur over an extended distance in Near Eastern texts. <sup>53</sup> In the biblical narratives concerning Noah, one frequently hears the flood survivor's name echoed paronomastically. <sup>54</sup> In the previous chapter, I discussed the naming of תַּבֹי nōaḥ (meaning "rest") based on his father's prediction that יְנַחֲמֵנֵי yənaḥāmēnū "he will comfort us" (Gen 5:29). Yet paronomasia also obtains in the explanation for why Yahweh decided to save Noah: "Noah found grace (תְּיֵ חָׁפּׁח) in Yahweh's eyes" (Gen 6:8). When the flooding stops, the narrator recalls the name by recording that the ark "rested" on a mountain (Gen 8:4). When Noah sends out a dove to look for dry land, we learn that it could find no תְּנִים mānōaḥ "resting place" (Gen 8:9). As Herbert Marks observes, the narrator references his name again when Noah offers a sacrifice to God: "Yahweh smelled the smell of the soothing-odor (תַּבִּיִתֹּחָ han-nīḥoaḥ)" (Gen 8:21). <sup>55</sup>

#### 3.4. RHETORICAL

Scholars ascribe a rhetorical function to polysemy and paronomasia particularly when they perceive it as serving to impress or persuade. Usually, this occurs in direct discourse, whether the recorded speech of individuals or prophecies.

In Akkadian, we find a particularly clever use of rhetorical polysemy in a letter from Mari.<sup>56</sup> The missive seeks to impress the recipient with the scribe's verbal prowess in a way that bespeaks his adroitness with managing large military projects.<sup>57</sup> The relevant portion of the letter reads:

<sup>53.</sup> See similarly, Karolien Vermeulen, "To See or Not to See: The Polysemy of the Word ry in the Isaac Narratives (Gen 17–35)," *JHS* 9 (2009): 2–11.

<sup>54.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," 970.

<sup>55.</sup> Herbert Marks, "Biblical Naming and Poetic Etymology," *JBL* 114 (1995): 21–42, argues for an even more extended use of the appellative paronomasia here.

<sup>56.</sup> Such devices occur with some frequency in Akkadian and Sumerian letters. In addition to those discussed herein, see, e.g., Hayim Tadmor, "The Aramaization of Assyria: Aspects of Western Impact," in *Mesopotamien und seine Nachbarn: Politische und kulturelle Wechselbeziehungen im Alten Vorderasien vom 4. bis 1. 595 Jahrtausend v. Chr.*, ed. Hans-Jörg Nissen and Johannes Renger, BBVO 1 (Berlin: Dietrich Reimer, 1982), 451; Jack M. Sasson, "Water Beneath Straw: Adventures of a Prophetic Phrase in the Mari Archives," in *Solving Riddles and Untying Knots: Biblical, Epigraphic, and Semitic Studies in Honor of Jonas C. Greenfield*, ed. Ziony Zevit, Seymour Gitin, and Michael Sokoloff (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1995), 599–608; Michalowski, "Ancient Poetics," 148–49.

- 9'. <sup>1</sup>a-tam-ri-im u ir-meš-šu al-li-ik-ma
  "I went (without taking the time to inform) Atamrum and his servants
- 10'. *i-na şa-bi-im ša be-lí-ia şí-ri-im-tam* into the army of my lord; I introduced the *şirimtam* and
- 11'. aš-ku-un-ma 8 gi-ḥá bu-ur-t[a]-am ep-[t]e[-e-ma] I opened a well eight measures deep.
- 12'. *me-e ú-še-li-ma a-na a-tam-ri-im me-e* I made the water rise, and Atamrum
- 13'. ú-ţe4-hi-ma a-tam-rum ma-di-iš ih-du
  I supplied with water, so that Atamrum is rejoicing greatly.
- 14'. *ù ki-a-am iq-bé-em um-ma-a-mi i-na qa-li-ka-m*[*a*] At that time, he said to me: 'Certainly, by your care (alone)
- 15'. i-na É DIĜIR še-tu na-ra-am ša [be-l]í-ka in this temple, there is a nārum/narūm of your lord.
- 16'. a-na wa-ar-ki-it u<sub>4</sub>-mi tu-[uš]-zi-iz
  For all the days to come you have erected (it)!'
- 17'. *i-na-an-na mu-ú ša iš-tu și-it ni-ši*Since the departure of the people, there is no water
- 18'. *i-na bīt* (É) *ilu* (DIĜIR) *še-tu ú-ul i-ba-aš-šu-ú* in that temple.
- 19'. a-[n]a-[k]u [ú-š]a-ab-ši ṣa-al-ma-am I have created a statue
- 20'. [ša be-lí-i]a a-na wa-ar-ki-it u<sub>4</sub>-mi of my lord. For all the days to come,
- 21'. [i-na É <sup>d</sup>nè-iri<sub>11</sub>-gal ša ḫu-ub-ša-lim<sup>ki</sup> uš]-zi-iz
  I have erected (it) in the temple of Nergal of Hubšalum."

Jean-Georges Heintz has pointed out that na-ra-am (l. 15') can mean both "water course" ( $n\bar{a}rum$ ) and "stela" ( $nar\bar{u}m$ ). As the former, it recalls the mention of water and the clearing of a well (ll. 11'–12'), and as the latter, it looks ahead to the erection of a commemorative stela in line 19'. <sup>58</sup> In addition,  $s\bar{a}bim$ , certainly means "army" here, but its appearance with  $s\bar{a}k\bar{a}nu$  suggests a "waterwork, irrigation." The author's rhetorical use of polysemy allows him to dazzle the ruler

<sup>58.</sup> See J. G. Heintz, "Myth(olog)èmes d'époque amorrite et amphibologie en ARMT XXVI, 419, Il.3'–21'?," *NABU* (1994): 59.

<sup>59.</sup> See *CAD* S, s.v. "*şabû*." See Scott B. Noegel, "Yasîm-El's Sophisticated Rhetoric: A Janus Cluster in ARMT XXVI, 419, l. 10'," *NABU* (1995): 81–82. Wolfgang Heimpel, *Letters to the King of Mari: A New Translation, with Historical Introduction, Notes, and Commentary* (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2003), 358 n. 256, aptly notes that the text reads *ina ṣābim* "among the army/waterworks" and not *ana ṣābim* "for the army/waterworks." Nevertheless, as discussed in chapter 2, polysemy does not need to be grammatically perfect to be effective.

with his skill and to identify his concise mastery over words with his efficient handling of the army and waterworks projects.

The Egyptian Tale of the Eloquent Peasant tells the story of a man who is robbed and continuously mistreated by the district governor, whom he tries to persuade by means of nine lengthy poetic pleas.<sup>60</sup> At the end of his second petition, he bemoans: *dr-s³ir n mw nb mk wì hr mtnw iw mnì mh nb* "O, remover of all distress on the water. See, I am underway without a boat, as one, one who moors all of the drowned" (P.3023 + P.Amherst 1, ll. 167–168). According to John Foster, the repetition of the sounds /i/, /m/, and /n/ create paronomasia between nearly every word in the line, indicating that the author wanted these passages to impress and persuade. Nevertheless, the aesthetic, rhetorical, and the literary often blur in ancient texts. Persuasive here is Eyre's comment that the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant

is therefore worth encouraging and recording for re-performance. Whether or not the text was, in local terms, of overwhelming aesthetic quality, we must assume that the formal literary devices and structure found in both the speeches and the narrative are characteristic of the aesthetic purpose, expectation and interaction between author/performer and audience. They are the devices of "fine speaking."

Moreover, we must be cautious not to emphasize the rhetorical and literary over the performative, because in this same tale the peasant claims that the magistrate cannot compensate him for his speech, because it  $prr.t \ m \ r \ n \ r' \ ds = f$  "emanates from the mouth of Ra himself" (1. 350).<sup>62</sup>

Paronomasia for apparent rhetorical effect also appears in monumental inscriptions. In the chronicle of Thutmosis III's battle at Megiddo inscribed at Karnak we find the pharaoh addressing his troops before the final capture of the city:

 $mh=\underline{t}n$  [lqr ms '=l nht] mk rdl [hss.wt nb.t m dml htf wd] m hrw pn r ntt sr.<math>w nb.w n hss.wt [mh.]t stbw m hnw=f r ntt mh pw m dml hs ps mh m mkt  $mh=\underline{t}n$  drl sp 2.

Grasp well, [my excellent army]. Behold, [the foreign lands] are placed [in the city according to the decree] of Ra today, because every chieftain of all

<sup>60.</sup> No manuscript of this text is complete, but there exist four copies, from which a composite can be made: P.Berlin 3023, P.Berlin 3025, P.Berlin 10499, and P.Butler 527 (= P.BM 10274). See Richard B. Parkinson, *The Tale of the Eloquent Peasant: A Reader's Commentary*, LASM 10 (Hamburg: Widmaier Verlag, 2012).

<sup>61.</sup> Eyre, "Performance of the Peasant," 11.

<sup>62.</sup> See Foster, "Wordplay in The Eloquent Peasant," 67.

[northe]rn lands is shut up inside it; the capture of Megiddo is the capture of a thousand towns. Grasp well, grasp well. (1. 90)

Here the form mh occurs several times for "grasp," alongside mh. t "northern," and the two-fold use of mh "capture." Pharaoh's remarks rhetorically connect his troop's ability to grasp fully what he is saying with the intended capture of Megiddo.

Arguably the most common function ascribed to polysemy and paronomasia in biblical texts is a rhetorical one.<sup>63</sup> Indeed, this view has dominated biblical scholarship on the prophetic corpus, the Psalms, and the Jobian dialogues.<sup>64</sup>

<sup>63.</sup> See already David Yellin, "On Biblical Rhetoric" [Hebrew], in *Selected Writings*, vol. 2 (Jerusalem: Kiryat Sepher, 1939), 1–149.

<sup>64.</sup> Prophetic corpus: J. Jacobowitz, "Paronomasia: Mic 1:9-16" [Hebrew], Sinai 32 (1953): 196-208; James Muilenburg, "A Study in Hebrew Rhetoric: Repetition and Style," in Congress Volume: Copenhagen 1953, ed. G. W. Anderson et al., VTSup 1 (Leiden: Brill, 1953), 97-111; Alexander M. Honeyman, "Māgôr Mis-sābîb and Jeremiah's Pun," VT 4 (1954): 424–26; P. Wernberg-Moller, "The Pronoun 'tmh and Jeremiah's Pun," VT 6 (1956): 315–16; Dahood, "Some Ambiguous Texts in Isaias," 41–49; William L. Holladay, "Style, Irony, and Authenticity in Jeremiah," JBL 81 (1962): 44-54; D. W. Thomas, "A Pun on the Name Ashdod in Zephaniah ii.4," ExpT 74 (1962–1963): 63; Asher Weiser, "Double Meanings in the Book of Isaiah" [Hebrew], BM 20 (1964): 25-32; James Barr, "Did Isaiah Know About Hebrew 'Root Meaning," ExpT 75 (1964): 242; D. F. Payne, "Characteristic Word-Play in 'Second Isaiah': A Reappraisal," JSS 12 (1967): 207-29; Shalom M. Paul, "The Image of the Oven and the Cake in Hosea 7:4-1," VT 18 (1968): 114-20; Michael Fishbane, "Jeremiah IV.23-26: A Recovered Use of the Creation Pattern," VT 21 (1971): 161-62; Jean Ouellette, "Le mur d'étain dans Amos VII.7-9," RB 80 (1973): 329-30; William H. Irwin, "Syntax and Style in Isaiah 26," CBQ 41 (1979): 240-61; Michael De Roche, "Zephanaiah I 2-3: The 'Sweeping' of Creation," VT 30 (1980): 104–9; P. Doron, "Paronomasia in the Prophecies to the Nations," HebAbst 20–21 (1979–1980): 36–43; Yehoshua Gitay, "Deutero-Isaiah: Oral or Written?," JBL 99 (1980): 185-97; J. N. Carreira, "Kunstsprache und Weisheit bei Micha," BZ 26 (1982): 50-74; Lawrence Boadt, "Intentional Alliteration in Second Isaiah," CBO 45 (1983): 353-63; E. D. Mallon, "A Stylistic Analysis of Joel 1:10–12," CBQ 45 (1983): 537–48; Shalom M. Paul, "משא מלך שרים: Hos 8:8–10 and Ancient Near Eastern Royal Epithets," ScrHier 31 (1986): 193-204; Lawrence Zalcman, "Ambiguity and Assonance at Zephaniah II 4," VT 36 (1986): 365-71; Baruch Halpern, "'The Excremental Vision': The Doomed Priests of Doom in Isaiah 28," HAR 10 (1986): 109-21; Robert B. Chisholm, "Word Play in the Eighth-Century Prophets," BSac 144 (1987): 44-52; B. Renaud, "La composition du livre de Nahum," ZAW 99 (1987): 198-218; D. Schmidt, "Critical Note: Another Word-Play in Amos?," Grace Theological Journal 8 (1987): 141–42; Al Wolters, "Wordplay and Dialect in Amos 8:1-2," JETS 31 (1988): 407-10; Ivan Jay Bell, A Rhetorical Study of Zephaniah (Berkeley, CA: BIBAL Press, 1988); T. J. Finley, "The Apple of His Eye' (bābat 'ênô) in Zechariah II 12," VT 38 (1988): 337-38; R. D. Patterson and M. E. Travers, "Literary

Analysis and the Unity of Nahum," GTJ 9 (1988): 45-58; John H. Walton, "Vision Narrative Wordplay and Jeremiah XXIV," VT 39 (1989): 508-9; Ellen F. Davis, "A Strategy of Delayed Comprehension: Isaiah LIV 15," VT 40 (1990): 217-20; Anthony J. Petrotta, Lexis Ludens: Wordplay and the Book of Micah, AUS 7, TR 105 (New York: Lang, 1991); Knut Holter, "The Wordplay on אל ("God") in Isaiah 45, 20–21," SJOT 7 (1992): 88–98; Katrina Larkin, The Eschatology of Second Zechariah: A Study of the Formation of a Mantological Wisdom Anthology (Kampen: Kok, 1994); Anthony R. Ceresko, "Janus Parallelism in Amos's 'Oracles against the Nations' (Amos 1:3-2:16)," JBL 113 (1994): 485-90; H. G. M. Williamson, "Sound, Sense and Language in Isaiah 24-27," JJS 46 (1995): 1–9; Amos Frisch, "W'nth' (Hosea 2:17)—An Ambiguity" [Hebrew], Tarbiz 69 (1999): 445–47; James R. Linville, "What Does 'It' Mean? Interpretation at the Point of No Return in Amos 1-2," BI 8 (2000): 400-24; Al Wolters, "Wordplay in Zechariah," in Noegel, Puns and Pundits, 223-30; Hyun Chul Paul Kim, Ambiguity, Tension, and Multiplicity in Deutero-Isaiah, SBL 52 (New York: Lang, 2003); Jonathan Grossman, "Structural Ambiguity' in Ezekiel 33–38" [Hebrew], BM 49 (2004): 194–224; David Marcus, "Recovering an Ancient Paronomasia in Zechariah 14.5," in Inspired Speech: Prophecy in the Ancient Near East. Essays in Honour of Herbert B. Huffmon, ed. John Kaliner and Louis Stulman (New York, NY: T&T Clark, 2004), 130-43; Herbert Migsch, "Jeremia XXXV 8b-9—Eine Indirekte Rede?," VT 54 (2004): 119-24; James R. Linville, "Letting the 'Bi-word' 'Rule' in Joel 2:17," JHS 5 (2004): 1-15; Daniel I. Block, "What Has Delphi to Do with Samaria? Ambiguity and Delusion in Israelite Prophecy," in Writing and Ancient Near East Society: Essay in Honor of Alan Millard, ed. E. A. Slater, C. B. Mee, and Piotr Bienkowski (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2005), 189-216; Theodore A. Perry, "Cain's Sin in Gen. 4:1: Oracular Ambiguity and How to Avoid It," Prooftexts 25 (2005): 258-75; James D. Moore, The Common-Sense of Wordplay: A Sociolinguistic Study of the Function of Wordplay in the Book of Nahum (Master's Thesis, Vanguard University of Southern California, 2007); Michael Rosenbaum, "You Are My Servant': Ambiguity and Deutero-Isaiah," in Bringing the Hidden to Light: Studies in Honor of Stephen A. Geller, ed. Kathryn F. Kravitz and Daniel M. Sharin (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2007), 187-216; Benjamin D. Sommer, "Ambiguity and the Rhetoric of Turning in Isaiah," in Cohen et al., Birkat Shalom, 321-45; Christopher B. Hays, "Damming Egypt/Damning Egypt: The Paronomasia of skr and the Unity of Isa 19, 1–10," ZAW 120 (2008): 612-17; Yair Hoffman, "The Wandering Lament: Micah 1:10-16," in Treasures on Camels' Humps: Historical and Literary Studies from the Ancient Near East Presented to Israel Eph'al, ed. Mordechai Cogan and Dan'el Kahn (Jerusalem: Hebrew University Magnes Press, 2008), 86-98; Nili Wazana, "Wordplays in the Visions of Amos" [Hebrew], in Yona et al., Marbeh Ḥokmah, 101-21\*; Ronald L. Androphy, Paronomasia in the Former Prophets: A Taxonomic Catalogue, Description, and Analysis (DHL diss., Jewish Theological Seminary, 2011); Tania Notarius, "Playing with Words and Identity: Reconsidering אנך, לריב באש, and קץ/קיץ in Amos' Visions," VT 67 (2017): 59–86.

Psalms: J. W. Bowker, "Psalm CX," VT 17 (1967): 31–41; Pirmin Hugger, "Die Alliteration im Psalter," in Wort, Lied, und Gottesspruch: Beiträge zu Psalmen und Propheten; Festschrift für Joseph Ziegler, ed. J. Schrenier (Würzburg: Katholisches Biblewerk, 1972), 81–90; A. Fitzgerald, "A Note on Psalm 29," BASOR 215 (1974): 61–63;

An excellent example of this is Benjamin Sommer's keen insight into a number of Isaiah's prophecies. In particular, he has shown that we may read some of them both positively or negatively (Isa 6:11–13, 7:15–22), and others, as negatively at first, but positive in the end (Isa 31:1–5, 29:1–24). As he explains, the tension between the two readings "stands at the core of Isaiah's rhetoric and indeed of his world view." In essence, the prophet wants the negative view to linger and to keep his audience entertaining an ominous edge. James Roberts similarly has remarked concerning Isaiah's use of polysemy: "Some ambiguities, far from impoverishing the impact of the message in which they are embedded, actually represent an intentional enhancement of its power."

## 3.5. HUMOROUS

Ascertaining what the peoples of the ancient Near East considered humorous is extremely difficult, because humor is culturally defined and we lack an ability to

Patrick D. Miller, "Poetic Ambiguity and Balance in Psalm XV," VT 29 (1979): 416–24; Wilfred G. E. Watson, "Reversed Rootplay in Ps. 145," Bib 62 (1981): 92–95; Edward L. Greenstein, "Mixing Memory and Design: Reading Psalm 78," Prooftexts 10 (1990): 197–218; Lowell K. Handy, "Sounds, Words and Meanings in Psalm 82," JSOT 47 (1990): 51–66; Paul R. Raabe, "Deliberate Ambiguity in the Psalter," JBL 110 (1991): 213–27; Gary A. Rendsburg and S. L. Rendsburg, "Physiological and Philological Notes to Psalm 137," JQR 83 (1993): 385–99; Sheri L. Klouda, "The Dialectical Interplay of Seeing and Hearing in Psalm 19 and Its Connection to Wisdom," BBR 10 (2000): 181–95; James R. Linville, "Psalm 22:17b: A New Guess," JBL 124 (2005): 733–44; John S. Kselman, "Double Entendre in Psalm 59," in The Book of Psalms: Composition and Reception, ed. Peter Flint and Patrick D. Miller Jr. (Leiden: Brill, 2005), 184–89; Samuel W. Jess, Hooked on Wordplays: Concatenation with Psalm Titles (Master's Thesis, Acadia Divinity College, 2012).

Jobian dialogues: David R. Blumenthal, "A Play on Words in the Nineteenth Chapter of Job," VT 16 (1966): 497–501; Yair Hoffman, "The Use of Equivocal Words in the First Speech of Eliphaz (Job IV–V)," VT 30 (1980): 114–19; J. C. Holbert, "The Skies Will Uncover His Iniquity': Satire in the Second Speech of Zophar (Job XX)," VT 31 (1981): 171–79; Shalom M. Paul, "Job 4:15—A Hair Raising Encounter," ZAW 95 (1983): 119–21; Curtis, "Word Play in the Speeches of Elihu (Job 32–37)"; Ellen van Wolde, "A Text Semantic Study of the Hebrew Bible, Illustrated with Noah and Job," JBL 113 (1994): 19–35; Stanley M. Burstein, "Greek Contact with Egypt and the Levant, ca. 1600–500 B.C.: An Overview," Ancient World 27 (1996): 20–28; Edward L. Greenstein, "The Language of Job and Its Poetic Function," JBL 122 (2003): 651–66; Greenstein, "Features of Language in the Poetry of Job," in Das Buch Hiob und seine Interpretationen. Beiträge zum Hiob-Symposium auf dem Monte Verità vom 14.19. August 2005, ed. Thomas Krüger et al. (Zürich: Theologischer Verlag Zürich, 2007), 81–96. On such devices in the prose of Job, see Michael Carasik, "Janus Parallelism in Job 1:20," VT 65 (2015): 1–6.

<sup>65.</sup> Sommer, "Ambiguity and the Rhetoric of Turning in Isaiah," 334.

<sup>66.</sup> J. J. M. Roberts, "Double Entendre in First Isaiah," CBQ 54 (1992): 48.

comprehend fully the cultural matrices that inform it. We must be especially careful not to classify a text as funny, simply because it appeals to our contemporary Western sense of humor. Even if we broaden our definition of humor to include satire and sarcasm, as I have done here, our task remains difficult.<sup>67</sup> Nevertheless, I share below a few of the proposals that scholars have offered.

Sumerologists have seen a number of texts as having a humorous and/or satirical effect, even if not exclusively so, including the Tale of the Three Ox Drivers from Adab, the Song of the Hoe, the Rulers of Lagash, The Class Reunion, paradoxical proverbs, and so-called nonsensical texts. <sup>68</sup> Most of these employ some sort of polysemy and paronomasia. To demonstrate, I offer a couple of proverbs drawn from the work of Bendt Alster:

Ì.ĜIN.ĜIN.NA.KE4.EŠ Ì.KAŠ4.KAŠ4.NA.KE4.EŠ BA.AN.DU BA.AN.DU MU.MU.ŠÈ BA.AN.DUG4

Because he always went,
Because he always ran,
"He went! He went!"
—They called him as a name.

<sup>67.</sup> An examination of terms for "laugh" in ancient Near Eastern languages demonstrates this well. In Sumerian, ZÚ.LI<sub>9</sub>.LI<sub>9</sub> "laugh," sometimes appears in contexts that we would not consider funny. For simple joy and merry-making, Sumerian employs ḤÚL (= Akkadian *ḥidûtu*). I.SI.IŠ.LÁ "break down, give way" occurs in reference to tears or laughter. The Akkadian *ṣāḥu* "laugh," like its Ugaritic and Hebrew cognates, does not always correlate with the "funny" or "comical" by modern Western standards. See Benno Landsberger, "*ṣāḥu* = 'lachen," ZA 40 (1931): 297–98; Landsberger, "Lexikalisches Archiv," ZA 42 (1934), 163–65; Benjamin R. Foster, "Humor in Cuneiform Literature," JANES 6 (1974): 69–85.

<sup>68.</sup> See, e.g., Foster, "Humor in Cuneiform Literature"; Alster, "Paradoxical Proverbs and Satire in Sumerian Literature," 201–30; Alster, "Literary Aspects of Sumerian and Akkadian Proverbs," in Vogelzang and Vanstipout, *Mesopotamian Poetic Language*, 9–10; Edmond Sollberger, "The Rulers of Lagaš," *JCS* 21 (1967): 279–91; Civil, "Anzu-Bird and Scribal Whimsies," 271; Eckart Frahm, "Humor in assyrischen Königsinschriften," in Prosecky, *Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East*, 147–62; Michalowski, "Where's Al? Humor and Poetics in the Hymn to the Hoe," in *Cuneiform Studies in Honor of David I. Owen on His Seventieth Birthday*; J. Cale Johnson and Markham J. Geller, *The Class Reunion—An Annotated Translation and Commentary on the Sumerian Dialogue Two Scribes*, CM 47 (Leiden: Brill, 2015).

The proverb draws a paronomastic relationship between BA.AN.DU "he went" and BA.AN.DUG<sub>4</sub> "they called him" in order to "etymologize" a name.<sup>69</sup> See similarly, another proverb that operates on the meaning of a name.

The lion had caught a helpless she-goat:

(She said) "Let me go! I will give you an ewe, a companion of mine, in the bargain!"

(The lion said) "If I am to let you go, tell me your name!"

The she-goat gave the lion the following answer: "You do not know my name? 'I cheated you' [UMUM<sub>x</sub> MU.E.DA.AK.E] is my name."<sup>70</sup>

When the lion came to the fold, "I have released you!" he shouted.

She answered from the other side: "You have released me, You were clever  $[UMUM_x\ MU.E.AK]$ : As far as sheep are concerned, there are none of them here!"

Here the name UMUM<sub>x</sub> MU.E.DA.AK.E "I cheated you" provides the raw materials for the nearly identical sounding response: UMUM<sub>x</sub> MU.E.AK "you were clever." Thus, both of the Sumerian examples also share an appellative function (see 3.10).

Examples of humorous texts in Akkadian include a number or proverbs, love poem parodies, a few royal inscriptions, At the Cleaners, the Aluzinnu texts, and the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur. The latter story offers some of the most convincing examples. It follows a destitute man named Gimil-Ninurta who brings a goat offering as a tribute to the mayor of Nippur. The mayor mistakenly assumes that he is bribing him and so he throws him out. Gimil-Ninurta then takes

<sup>69.</sup> Alster, "Paradoxical Proverbs and Satire in Sumerian Literature," 209.

<sup>70.</sup> The parallel to Homer, *Od.* 9.366 is remarkable.

<sup>71.</sup> Alster, "Paradoxical Proverbs and Satire in Sumerian Literature," 214.

<sup>72.</sup> See F. R. Kraus, "Altmesopotamisches Lebensgefühl," *JNES* 19 (1960): 117–32; C. J. Gadd, "Two Sketches of from the Life of Ur," *Iraq* 25 (1963): 177–88; Foster, "Humor in Cuneiform Literature"; Willem H. P. Römer, "Der Spassmacher im alten Zweistromland, zum 'Sitz im Leben' altmesopotamischer Texte," *Persica* 7 (1975–1978): 43–68; Erica Reiner, "Why Do You Cuss Me?," *PAPS* 130 (1986): 1–6; Alasdair Livingstone, "'At the Cleaners' and Notes on Humorous Literature," in *Ad bene et fideliter seminandum: Festgabe für Karlheinz Deller zum 21. Febraur 1987*, ed. Gerlinde Mauer and Ursula Magen, AOAT 220 (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Verlag Butzon & Bercker; Kevelaer Neukirchener Verlag, 1988), 175–87; Franco d'Agostino, "Some Considerations on Humour in Mesopotamia," *RdSO* 72 (1988): 273–78; d'Agostino, *Testi umoristici babilonesi e assiri*, TOA 2/4 (Brescia: Paideia, 2000); Frahm, "Humor in assyrischen Königsinschriften"; Andrew R. George, "Ninurta-Pāqidāt's Dog Bite, and Notes on Other Comic Tales," *Iraq* 55 (1993): 63–75; Baruch Ottervanger, *The Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur*, SAACT 12 (Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project: Helsinki, 2016). On humor in Hittite texts, see Gary Beckman, "Proverbs and Proverbial Allusions in Hittite," *JNES* 45 (1986): 19–30.

vengeance on the mayor three times through trickery, beating him senseless each time. In his study of the text, Jerrold Cooper remarks: "The humor of deception and satire is both created and maintained by numerous devices of language and style, among which irony and sarcasm are prominent." Bolstering Cooper's observation is the text's extensive use of polysemy and paronomasia. For example, the tribute (i.e., *biltu*) that Gimil-Ninurta brings the mayor resounds when the mayor refers to it as an outrage (*hibiltu*), and when Gimil-Ninurta, disguised as a doctor, lures the mayor into a dark room by saying "my cures (*bulṭūya*) only work in the dark." Such cases abound in the text, and perhaps may be considered as contributing to the story's humor. On the other hand, the devices also demonstrate the principle of *lex talionis* (see 3.15.3).

A number of Egyptian texts have been classified as humorous and/or satirical, including The Tale of Sinuhe, The Contendings of Horus and Seth, The Tale of Setne I, and the so-called Demotic Satirical Poem,<sup>76</sup> and these also display

<sup>73.</sup> Jerrold S. Cooper, "Structure, Humor, and Satire in the Poor Man of Nippur," *JCS* 27 (1975): 167.

<sup>74.</sup> Scott B. Noegel, "Word Play in the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur," ASJ 19 (1996), 169–86; see also Manfred Dietrich, "Armer Mann von Nippur': Ein Werk der Krisenliterture des 8. Jh. v. Chr," in Of God(s), Trees, Kings, and Scholars: Neo-Assyrian and Related Studies in Honour of Simo Parpola, ed. Mikko Luuko, Saana Svärd, and Raija Mattila, StudOr 106 (Helsinki: Finnish Oriental Society, 2009), 333–52.

<sup>75.</sup> As observed by Foster, *Before the Muses*, 447 n. 1, paronomasia on the noun *biltu* "tribute" also occurs in the dream accounts of the Etana Myth (Middle Assyrian version), where it appears in conjunction with *biltu* "weight" and *epeltu* "reeds." On the intimate relationship between paronomasia, polysemy, and dream accounts, see Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*.

<sup>76.</sup> See Baudouin van de Walle, L'humour dans la littérature et l'art de l'ancienne Égypte, SABMD 4 (Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor Het Nabije Oosten, 1969). For The Tale of Sinuhe and The Contendings of Horus and Seth as satires, see Patrick F. Houlihan, Wit and Humor in Ancient Egypt (London: Rubicon, 2001), 7-8, 10-12. On the Tale of Setne I, see Richard Jasnow, "And Pharaoh Laughed...': Reflections on Humor in Setne I and Late Period Egyptian Literature," Enchoria 27 (2001): 62-81. For the Demotic satirical poems known as the Harpist's Song and the Song for the Bastet Festival, see Friedhelm Hoffmann and Joachim Friedrich Quack, Anthologie der demotischen Literatur, EAL 4 (Münster: Münster Lit, 2007), 305–20, 370–72. For the Demotic tale of Amasis and the Skipper, see Robert K. Ritner, "The Tale of Amasis and the Skipper," in The Literature of Ancient Egypt: An Anthology of Stories, Instructions, and Poetry, ed. William Kelly Simpson (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2003), 450-52; Guglielmi, "Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur," 495–97. Ironically, the famous Satire on the Trades is mostly regarded as a serious text. See also the joke examined by Nicole B. Hansen, "Still Laughing after All These Years: An Ancient Egyptian 'Joke' Survives the Millennia," JSSEA 38 (2011): 77–79, which also reads like a parable.

evidence of polysemy and paronomasia.<sup>77</sup> Patrick Houlihan explains: "The ancient Egyptians undoubtedly chuckled at writing that employed wit, satire, word-plays, irony, puns, metaphors, similes, and other sophisticated literary devices." Nevertheless, the use of the same devices in Egyptian incantations and in other ritual and mythological texts gives cause to wonder whether we fully understand ancient humor.<sup>79</sup> Waltraud Guglielmi reminds us:

Im Unterschied zu unserem Sprachgebrauch überwiegt in Äg. die ernsthafte Verwendung des Wortspiels. Es ist kein geistreiches oder heiteres Spiel, sondern bekundet und begründet durch Klangähnlichkeit Wesensähnlichkeit. Der gleiche oder ähnliche Klang zweier Wörter, sei es auch nur der im Konsonantenbau, suggeriert einen Zusammenhang in der Sache.<sup>80</sup>

Humor has been ascribed to a number of texts in the Hebrew Bible, 81 though again, often they illustrate irony or mockery rather than humor, per se. Sasson asserts that Hebrew paronomasia can exhibit "a spirit of playfulness," though he does not specify with an example. 82 Watson similarly suggests that biblical "wordplay" can "amuse." 83 Greenstein argues that one function of Hebrew "wordplay" is to satirize, and points to Isaiah's quip: הוֹי לִּמְּלוּךְ שֵׁלְּרִים לְשִׁתּוֹת "חָל לְמְלוּךְ שֵׁכְּר hōy gibbōrīm li-štōt yāyin wə-ʾanšē ḥayil li-msōk šēkār "Ah, to those heroes in drinking wine, men of valor in mixing beer" (Isa 5:22). He observes that the line references בּבּוֹרִים gibbōrīm "warriors" and אַנְשִׁי־חַיִּל vanšē ḥayil "men of valor," normally military men, in a new and ironic context. 84 Yet, while the passage does appear satirical, it involves neither polysemy nor paronomasia.

<sup>77.</sup> See Waltrund Guglielmi, "Probleme bei der Anwendung der Begriffe 'Komik,' 'Ironie,' und 'Humor' auf die altägyptische Literatur," *GM* 36 (1979): 69–85.

<sup>78.</sup> Houlihan, Wit and Humor in Ancient Egypt, 1.

<sup>79.</sup> On the problems with defining humor in ancient Egypt, see Jasnow, "'And Pharaoh Laughed...," who notes that irony is often the primary operative feature.

<sup>80.</sup> Guglielmi, "Wortspiel," col. 1287.

<sup>81.</sup> See, e.g., Baumgartner, "L'humour dans l'Ancien Testament"; Francis Landy, "Humour as a Tool in Biblical Exegesis," in *On Humour and the Comic in the Hebrew Bible*, ed. Athalya Brenner and Yehuda T. Radday (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1990), 101–17; E. A. Russell, "Some Reflections on Humour in Scripture and Otherwise," *IBS* 13 (1991): 199–210; John Ellington, "Wit and Humor in Bible Translation," *The Bible Translator* 42 (1991): 301–13; David Marcus, *From Balaam to Jonah: Anti-prophetic Satire in the Hebrew Bible*, BJS 301 (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1995).

<sup>82.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," 968.

<sup>83.</sup> Watson, *Classical Hebrew Poetry*, 245. A similar approach also dominates such studies in contemporary settings. See, e.g., Walter Redfern, *Puns* (Oxford: Blackwell, 1984).

<sup>84.</sup> Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," 970.

## 3.6. IRONIC

As the previous discussion illustrates, polysemy and paronomasia in the service of humor, satire, or sarcasm also can demonstrate irony. Nevertheless, irony differs as a functional category in that it is not always humorous, satirical, or sarcastic. In its most basic sense, irony involves incongruity; it involves opposites. It can be verbal, when words are used to convey something different from what they appear to mean, or situational, when the outcome of events is contrary to what one expects. Some texts exhibit dramatic irony in that they withhold information from the character that is known to the reader/audience. Polysemy is uniquely fitted to accomplish all three types of irony, as scholars of ancient Near Eastern literature well know.

Thus in the Akkadian Song of Erra, we find an ironic use of polysemy in the repeated noun *šipţu*, used for both "governance" and "destruction." Peter Machinist explains:

Lastly, there is the *šipţu* (= "governing order") of heaven and earth, which, as we have observed, dissolves if Marduk leaves his seat (I 132, 170). So when Erra promises, in taking Marduk's place, that he will keep this *šipţu* strong (I 182), we are treated to the patent irony that Erra does indeed maintain *šipţu*—but the *šipţu* of "destruction," as is made explicit later (IV 76–77; V 53, 58).<sup>88</sup>

One expects Erra's *šipţu* to be righteous "governance," but it turns out to mean "destruction" for his subjects.

Irony pervades a number of Egyptian texts.<sup>89</sup> In the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant, we find a string of eulogistic statements that one can read ironically in more

<sup>85.</sup> Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 308-9.

<sup>86.</sup> The opposite also can occur, when information is withheld from the reader/audience, but known to the character. See Scott B. Noegel, "A Crux and a Taunt: Night-Time Then Sunset in Genesis 15," in *The World of Genesis: Persons, Places, Perspectives*, ed. Philip R. Davies and David J. A. Clines, JSOTSup 223 (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1998), 128–35; Serge Frolov, "The Semiotics of Covert Action in 1 Samuel 9–10," *JSOT* 31 (2007): 429–50.

<sup>87.</sup> See, Noegel, "Word Play' in the Song of Erra," 175.

<sup>88.</sup> Peter Machinist, "Rest and Violence in the Poem of Erra," *JAOS* 103 (1983): 224–25. 89. See, e.g., Gerhard Fecht, *Der Habgierige und die Maat in der Lehre des Ptahhotep, 5.* 

<sup>89.</sup> See, e.g., Gerhard Fecht, *Der Habgierige und die Maat in der Lehre des Ptahhotep, 5. und 19. Maxime*, ADAIK 1 (Glückstadt: Augustin, 1958); Richard B. Parkinson, "Literary Form and the *Tale of the Eloquent Peasant*," *JEA* 78 (1992): 163–78; Christopher J. Eyre, "Irony in the Story of Wenamun: The Politics of Religion in the Twenty-First Dynasty," in *Literatur und Politik im pharaonischen und ptolemäischen Ägypten. Vorträge der Tagung zum Gedenken an Georges Posener 5.-10. September 1996 in Leipzig*, ed. Jan Assmann and Elke Blumenthal, BdE 127 (Le Caire: Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1999),

than one way. The peasant's claim is a case in point:  $nn\ gr\ rdl.n=k\ mdw=f$  "there is none whom you have made to speak (who is still) silent" (B1 316). About this statement, Parkinson remarks: "(It) may express the High Steward's power, but, since 'silence' is a desired state for the peasant and his speaking a result of agony, it implies a denunciation." In fact, words previously used by the peasant in praise of the high steward are used ironically to rebuke him later. At first, he is the "lord who eradicates falsehood [grg], who creates [shpr] truth and creates [shpr] every good thing [bw], who destroys every (evil) thing [bw]" (B1 272–273). Yet, later we hear that "the cultivator of the wicked thing (bw) is watering his garden with evil, to grow [shpr] his garden with falsehood [grg]" (B1 294–96). Parkinson adds:

The effect of this irony has been considered humorous, but humour is not easily identified and is not an inevitable concomitant or irony. Irony is, rather, 'common ground between tragedy and comedy', and the context determines whether a particular instance is humorous.<sup>91</sup>

In the Ugaritic Tale of Kirtu, Kirtu has a dream in which the god El predicts an oncoming siege during which a number of individuals who normally would be exempt from service must join the expedition. One of these is the *yhd* "sole survivor" (*CAT* 1.14.ii.43). The *yhd* designates someone, like a widow or orphan, who is bereft of family. Yet, technically speaking, Kirtu too is a *yhd*, since he also has lost his family. The use of *yhd* offers an ironic critique of the king, for it brings into contrast the sole survivor, who must leave home and enter military service, and Kirtu, who conscripts him, risking the survivor's life, for the very purpose of finding a wife and starting his own family. 93

Later in the text, verbal irony again obtains in the hungry cries of the people who are faced with a drought while the king remains sick in his bed. They lament, "emmer in the furrows, like wheat crowns ['ltl[m] k 'trtrt] in the tilth ... spent is all the bread from their storage" (CAT 1.16.iii.9–14)!<sup>94</sup> Here the use of 'trtrt' "crowns" for "heads of grain," allusively underscores the irony that normally it is

<sup>235–52;</sup> Jean Winand, "The *Report of Wenamun*: A Journey in Ancient Egyptian Literature," in *Ramesside Studies in Honour of K. A. Kitchen*, ed. Mark Collier and Steven Snape (Bolton: Rutherford, 2011), 541–59.

<sup>90.</sup> Parkinson, "Literary Form and the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant," 173.

<sup>91.</sup> Parkinson, "Literary Form and the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant," 175.

<sup>92.</sup> DULAT, s.v. "yhd."

<sup>93.</sup> Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream," 307. When the narrator describes the actualization of the event (*CAT* 1.14.iv.21), he instead employs the numeral *aḥd* "one," which removes any allusion to Kirtu.

<sup>94.</sup> The words appear to be placed in the mouth of El, though the beginning of the column is missing some thirty lines.

the king's responsibility to ensure the land's fertility through sacrifice to Baal. Further emphasizing the irony is the phrase tl[m] k "furrows, like," which paronomastically suggests mlk "king." Moreover, enforcing the allusion to a royal crown immediately afterwards is the mention of "heads" in the narrator's description:  $n\check{s}u$   $ri\check{s}$   $hr\underline{t}m$  l zr [ ] 'bd dgn "the plowmen raise their heads, toward [ ], the servants of Dagan."

Watson has drawn our attention to a euphemistic use of ironic language in the narrator's account of Anat's search for the deceased Baal: "she reached 'Pleasure' [n'my], land of pasture, 'Delight' [ysmt], the fields by the shore of Death's realm" (CAT 1.5.vi.28–30). As he describes, "The immediate context converts the euphemisms 'Pleasure' and "Delight' into their opposites, both belonging to the 'code' of death." On euphemism and polysemy, see Double Entendres (4.1.2).

Edwin Good has proposed a number of cases in the Hebrew Bible. Hebrew Bible. One of his finest comes from Isaiah's pronouncement against the king of Babylon, which brings into ironic contrast the king, whose oppression שָׁבָּט  $\check{s}\bar{a}\underline{b}a\underline{t}$  "has ceased" (Isa 14:4, 2x), and Yahweh, who שָׁבֶּר "broke" his שֶׁבֶּט  $\check{s}\bar{e}\underline{b}e\underline{t}$  "staff" on account of his hubris (Isa 14:5). An ironic use of polysemy involves the figure of  $n\bar{a}\underline{b}\bar{a}l$  "Nabal," whose name ostensibly means "noble, generous," a definition one is inclined to accept at first given the narrator's introduction of him as a powerful man with many possessions (1 Sam 25:2). We hear his name no

<sup>95.</sup> Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 309.

<sup>96.</sup> Edwin M. Good, *Irony in the Old Testament* (Sheffield: Almond, 1981), 121–25. Good's types correlate with what I call antanaclasis, polysemy, contronym, parasonance, and allusive paronomasia. His work focuses entirely upon irony, which he sharply distinguishes from sarcasm, invective, parody, and satire.

<sup>97.</sup> Good, *Irony in the Old Testament*, 163, observes the paronomasia between "cease" and "break," but he did not catch the additional case with "staff," which I add here. More accurately, these are cases of homoeopropheron (see 4.2.1).

<sup>98.</sup> The meaning "noble" for this root is attested in Arabic. See William Lane, *Arabic-English Lexicon: Supplement*, vol. 8 (Beirut: Librairie du Liban, 1968), 3027, s.v. "ישלי." However, the earliest attestation appears to be in the ninth century CE, which makes it possible that the word entered Arabic via French or Latin. On the other hand, the name also appears in a Neo-Punic inscription, where it cannot mean "fool." See *KAI* 105. Whether the name relates to the Hebrew מַבְּל "jar, pitcher, wineskin" or "harp, lute," or to Ugaritic (*nbl*) and Akkadian (*nablu*) "flame," seems unlikely, though the story does associate Nabal with a wineskin by way of paronomasia in 1 Sam 25:37. See Peter J. Leithart, "Nabal and His Wine," *JBL* 120 (2001): 525–27. In any event, even in a literary context, we must assume that the primary meaning of the name Nabal in ancient Israel (and in Phoenician) was not "fool," since no parent would give a child such a name. For a comprehensive discussion of the etymology and use of this root in Hebrew, see *TDOT* 9:157–71.

less than seven times, 99 until his wife informs David: "as his name is, so is he: Nabal (אַבָּלָה) is his name and foolishness (הַּבְּלָה  $\bar{n}$   $\bar{n}$   $\bar{n}$   $\bar{n}$   $\bar{n}$   $\bar{n}$   $\bar{n}$   $\bar{n}$  is with him" (1 Sam 25:25). Such cases are made explicit by figures in the story. However, there are many cases of paronomasia on names in 1 and 2 Samuel that shape our understanding of literary figures without doing so explicitly. As Moshe Garsiel observes, such cases are "linked to the characterization of these personages and the evaluation of their acts. At times the exposition derived from the name bears an ironic character."  $^{101}$ 

Some biblical scholars have shown polysemy to be an effective tool for dramatic irony as well. For example, Meshel argues that the author of Job employs polysemy in key passages that combine with

dramatic irony to serve as an organizing principle of the book, allowing for two simultaneous, incompatible readings to coexist—one from the limited perspective of one or more of the characters; the other from the privileged perspective of the reader. <sup>102</sup>

Thus, in Job 4:6, Eliphaz asks: אָרֶכֶּיְדְּ תִּקְוְתְּדְּ וְּחֹם דְּרָכֶּיִדְ h̄a-lō yir atkā kislātekā tiqwātkā wa-tōm darākekā "is not your piety your confidence, your blamelessness your hope?" Operative here are an odd syntax and polysemy. One would expect to find the copula שְׁ "and" joined to תְּלְתָּדְ tiqwātkā "your hope," but it is not. In addition, אַנֹּיְלֶתְּדְ means "your confidence," but also echoes "your foolishness." As a result, Eliphaz could be understood to ask "is not your piety, your hope, and your blamelessness your foolishness?" One reading belongs to Eliphaz, while the other is the reader's. Meshel concludes: "Eliphaz certainly did not intend to denote that it was folly on Job's part to be so righteous;

٠

<sup>99. 1</sup> Sam 25:3, 25:4, 25:5, 25:9, 25:10, 25:14, 25:19.

<sup>100.</sup> This chapter offers a veritable cornucopia of paronomasia and polysemy. See Moshe Garsiel, "Wit, Words, and a Woman: 1 Samuel 25," in Brenner-Idan and Radday, *On Humour and the Comic in the Hebrew Bible*, 161–68; Garsiel, "The Story of David, Nabal and Abigail (1 Samuel 25): A Literary Study of Wordplay on Names, Analogies, and Socially Constructed Opposites," in *Abigail, Wife of David, and Other Ancient Oriental Women*, ed. Daniel Bodi (Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix, 2013), 66–78.

<sup>101.</sup> Moshe Garsiel, "Word Play and Puns as a Rhetorical Device in the Book of Samuel," in Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 182. See similarly the treatment of "wordplay" in Falkowitz, *Sumerian Rhetoric Collections*; Cherry, *Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament*; and Guglielmi, "Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur," in Loprieno, *Ancient Egyptian Literature*, 479–81.

<sup>102.</sup> Meshel, "Whose Job Is This?," 48. See also Carolyn J. Sharp, *Irony and Meaning in the Hebrew Bible* (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2009).

<sup>103.</sup> Though the meaning "fool" is spelled בסיל kəsīl, the paronomasia is effective.

the reader, however, knows that in a very concrete sense it was Job's greatest error." <sup>104</sup>

# 3.7. DECEPTIVE

Scholars have suggested three different ways in which polysemy and paronomasia serve deceptive ends. The first occurs when authors employ crafty words or signs to trick their readers/audience. The second obtains when literary figures employ polysemy in their speeches to deceive. Often the reader/audience also is gulled by the ruse. The third happens when authors instill ambiguity in narratives that involve deception and/or tricksters.

Concerning the first type: one could characterize many polysemous devices as inherently deceptive. In fact, many types encourage readers and listeners to interpret a sign, word, or line in one way as a kind of set up, only to prompt a reinterpretation thereafter. The effect can be unsettling and produce uncertainty, even anxiety, especially when it occurs in omens, oracles, or prophetic discourse. <sup>105</sup>

Of course, it is important to stress that while readers/listeners might feel deceived in the process, the motive may not have been deception, but destabilization. In fact, Isaiah sometimes uses polysemy to demonstrate that the divine message is not what it might appear at first (see 3.4). <sup>106</sup> Jeremiah at times employs polysemy and paronomasia to illustrate the transformative power of the divine word. <sup>107</sup> Indeed, while such devices may seem deceptive, they may simply embody the process of revelation—the act of seeing one thing in another, like seeing שַׁקֵּד "(divine) watchfulness" in an שָׁקֵּד s̄āqē̄ḡ "almond tree" (Jer 1:11-12). Nevertheless, a few cases do appear patently deceptive in purpose.

Miguel Civil has found especially misleading a number of composite Sumerian logograms that suggest one reading on the surface, but must be understood as learned references to Akkadian. One such usage occurs in the hymn known as Inanna and Ebih. In this text, Inanna threatens to attack the people of the mountains of Ebih for showing her no respect, and lists a number of

<sup>104.</sup> Meshel, "Whose Job Is This?," 60.

<sup>105.</sup> See Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 46–50; Noegel, "Augur Anxieties in the Ancient Near East," in *Ancient Divination and Experience*, ed. Lindsay G. Driediger-Murphy and Esther Eidinow (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019), 17–43.

<sup>106.</sup> Davis, "Strategy of Delayed Comprehension: Isaiah LIV 15"; Sommer, "Ambiguity and the Rhetoric of Turning in Isaiah," 334.

<sup>107.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel, "Literary' Craft and Performative Power in the Ancient Near East," in *Approaches to Literary Readings of Ancient Jewish Texts*, ed. Karolien Vermuelen (Leiden: Brill, 2014), 19–38.

<sup>108.</sup> See Civil, "Anzu-Bird and Scribal Whimsies," 271.

weapons she will ready against them: "I shall prepare arrows in the quiver. I shall... slingstones with the rope (ÉŠ.MAḤ.GIN<sub>x</sub>). I shall begin polishing my lance. I shall prepare the throwstick and the shield" (Il. 41–44). Instead of employing the signs ÉŠ.MAḤ.GIN<sub>x</sub> "rope," the text reads EN.TI.GIN<sub>x</sub>, that is, EBIḤ.GIN<sub>x</sub>. The peculiar writing draws attention to the fact that the Sumerian reflects both the Akkadian  $eb\bar{t}hu$  "rope" and  $ebih^{KI}$  "mount Ebih."

Similarly, in the Curse of Akkade, we find an idiomatic expression for putting a population under administrative control:  $marha\check{s}i^{KI}$  li-um-ma GUR.RU.DÈ "to put the (people of) Marḥaši back on the tablets" (1. 20). One can read the Akkadian signs li-um-ma as referring to  $l\bar{e}$  "writing tablets," hence the translation, or as le "i" "wild bulls," thus producing the translation: "to turn the (people of) Marḥaši into wild bulls." Benjamin Foster also has characterized a case of bilingual polysemy in the annals of Sargon as particularly misleading. 110 I treat this passage below under Bilingual Polysemy (4.1.7).

Deceptive polysemy and paronomasia of the second type, in direct speech, also occurs in ancient Near Eastern texts. In the Epic of Gilgamesh 11.43–47, the god Ea, himself a trickster figure, <sup>111</sup> instructs Utnapishtim on what to tell the villagers when he starts building the boat. He is to say: "he (Ea) shall rain upon you abundance... in the morning, cakes [kukkū], and in the evening, he shall rain down a pouring of wheat [kibātu]." Almost a century ago, Carl Frank noticed that Ea's kukkū "cakes" and kibātu "wheat" paronomastically suggest impending kukkû "darkness" and kibittu "heaviness." <sup>112</sup> Utnapishtim was able to "read between the lines" of the deceptive message. The village would suffer for not sharing his wisdom.

Others have argued that Ea's advice to Adapa in the Tale of Adapa and the Southwind is polysemously deceptive. To prepare Adapa for his presentation before the divine tribunal, Ea instructs him: akala ša mu-ti ukallūnikkūma lā takkal mē mu-ú-ti ukallūnikkūma lā tašatti "they will offer you food of death, but you must not eat, they will offer you water of death, but you must not drink" (B 29'-33'). However, later Anu offers him akal "food" and mē "water" of balāṭi "life" (B 60'-62'). Adapa does not accept the offering, and as a consequence, he misses an opportunity to become immortal. Stephanie Dalley proposes that the ruse hinges on reading akala ša mu-ti "food of death" as akala šamūti "food of

<sup>109.</sup> Civil, "Anzu-Bird and Scribal Whimsies," 271.

<sup>110.</sup> Foster, "Humor in Cuneiform Literature," 82-83.

<sup>111.</sup> Kramer and Maier, *Myths of Enki*; Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 27–28; Martin Worthington, *Ea's Duplicity in the Gilgamesh Flood Story: The Ancient Word* (London: Routledge, 2019).

<sup>112.</sup> Carl Frank, "Zu den Wortspielen kukku und kibâti in Gilg. Ep. XI," ZA 36 (1925): 218.

heaven."<sup>113</sup> Anne Kilmer suggests that we read the *mē mu-ú-ti* "water of heaven" as *mē emūti* "water of transformation."<sup>114</sup> Sasson opines that the polysemy here involves understanding *akala ša mūti* "food of death" as *akala ša muti* "food of humankind."<sup>115</sup> Shlomo Izre'el further argues that Ea's deception rests on the double meaning of *balāṭi*, which not only means "life," as opposed to "death," but "immortality."<sup>116</sup> Clearly, Ea's words, which gain him the epithet "the crafty one," require careful contemplation before they are heeded, for misunderstanding them has serious consequences.

Deceptive paronomastic speech in Egyptian texts occurs in the Contendings of Horus and Seth (P.Chester Beatty I, recto), in which the two gods compete for the throne of Osiris and thus the "office" of kingship. Since the literary context involves trickery, this example represents the latter two types of deceptive "wordplay" defined above. According to Miriam Lichtheim, one finds paronomasia on the noun i w.t "office" (National) in the myth, which, of course, is the object of the competition (1.2, passim). We first see this when Isis plans a ruse on the ferryman, who is given strict orders not to transport her to the island court. In 5.7, she transforms herself into an i w.t "old woman." Though perhaps etymologically related to the noun "office," i w.t is written here as i w.t (with the elderly man determinative), thus anticipating the theme. When Isis arrives at the dock (5.10), she apprises the ferryman that she came to deliver flour to a hungry

<sup>113.</sup> Stephanie Dalley, Myths from Mesopotamia: Creation, the Flood, Gilgamesh and Others (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1989), 88 n. 9.

<sup>114.</sup> Anne D. Kilmer, "Verse Translation of Adapa (Amarna Version)," in *Mesopotamian Poetic Language*, 111–14.

<sup>115.</sup> Jack M. Sasson, "Another Wrinkle on Old Adapa," in *Studies in Ancient Near Eastern World View and Society Presented to Marten Stol on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birth-day*, ed. R. J. van der Spek et al. (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2008), 1–10. However, Sasson argues that there is no deception here, but rather a misunderstanding on Adapa's part. He also questions whether Dalley and Kilmer's proposals constitute "puns," since they are not homonyms. Nevertheless, as will be shown numerous times in this work, the word is not the operative linguistic unit in Akkadian, but rather the sign.

<sup>116.</sup> Shlomo Izre'el, *Adapa and the South Wind: Language Has the Power of Life and Death* (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2001), 137. See also Frank H. Polak, "Some Aspects of Literary Design in Ancient Near Eastern Epic," in *kinattūtu ša dārâti: Raphael Kutscher Memorial Volume*, ed. Anson F. Rainey, TAUOP 1 (Tel Aviv: Tel Aviv University, Institute of Archaeology, 1993), 135–46.

<sup>117.</sup> Miriam Lichtheim, *Ancient Egyptian Literature*, vol. 2 (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1973), 223 n. 10, notes that the nouns "office" and "cattle" sound alike, but she does not note the extent to which they are homonyms or how important the paronomasia is to the central theme of the narrative. I note that "office" (written as papears in 1.2, 1.4, 1.11, 2.1, 3.2, 3.8, 4:5, 4.7 (2x), 4.10, 6.12–13, 8.7, 8.8, 8.11, 12.3, 13.3, 13.5, 15.13 (2x), 16.8 (final verse).

Let me say, my great lord [\*]; as for me, I was the wife of a herdsman and I bore him a son. My husband died, and the boy began to tend the flock [\*\*] of his father [\*]. But then a stranger came. He sat down in my stable and said to my child [\*]: "I shall beat you. I shall take the flock [\*\*] of your father [\*], and I shall throw you out." (6.8-6.11)

In addition to the repetition of "flock," which suggests "office," note that the divine horus determinative appears after the personal pronouns in her statement, thus suggesting the divine nature of the characters in her story, without orally stating such. When Seth repeats the story to Pre-Ra-Horakthy, the falcon determinative appears again (7.4–7.8). The trickster god Seth did not miss the paronomastic allusion to the "office," for in 7.7, he himself refers to the "flock" in Isis's story as an Bw.t "office" (

<sup>118.</sup> A similar use of paronomasia obtains in Nathan's parable to David (2 Sam 12:1–12). See below.

<sup>119.</sup> See Herman te Velde, "The Egyptian God Seth as a Trickster," *JARCE* 7 (1968): 37–40.

<sup>120.</sup> Paronomasia abounds in this text. After Seth's own statement tricks him, Isis turns herself into a dri "kite" and alights upon the dsi "tip" of an acacia tree. The former reminds us of the drdr "stranger" (6.10, 6.12), and the latter of the dsi "ferrying" that brought Isis to the island. In 9.9, Horus cuts off the head (dsids) of his mother Isis. Later still, in 11.8, Isis makes Horus's penis drip semen into a cooking pot (dsids). In 10.8, after Seth removes Horus' eyes, Hathor finds Horus in the desert, milks a gazelle, and says to Horus: i-wn ir.t=k di=i nsi=i ir.t im "Open your eyes, that I may put this milk in it." Paronomasia obtains between the nouns ir.t "eyes" and ir.t "milk." Moreover, both words contain the sign s. She pours it into the right eye, then the left (10.8). In 10.9, she commands him again saying "open your eyes," and "she looked at them and found that they were whole." In 11.3, Seth's penis (hnw) stiffens (nht) and so he moves quickly (hnw) to put it in between the thighs of Horus. In 12:2, they stand in the presence of the Ennead. The words "in the

Deceitful speech in the Hebrew Bible abounds, perhaps most famously in the mouth of the serpent in the garden of Eden. Immediately after we hear of the couple's ערומים 'ărūmmīm "being naked" (Gen 2:25), the narrator paronomastically alerts us to the snake's ערום 'ārūm "cunning" (Gen 3:1). 121 The clue that deceptive speech is afoot is soon realized when the serpent gets the woman to question what God had commanded by asking, "has God said 'you shall not eat of any tree of the garden?" (Gen 3:1). The query reverses what God had said and leaves out a crucial piece of information, for his words were "from any tree of the garden you may indeed eat, but from the tree of knowledge of good and evil you shall not eat, for on the day you eat from it you will surely die (מות תמות mōt tāmūt)" (Gen 2:16–17). The woman's response to the serpent represents an eisegetical, albeit mistaken, paraphrase of the divine command: "of the fruit of the trees of the garden we may eat, but of the fruit of the tree that is in the midst of the garden, God said: 'you shall not eat of it, neither shall you touch it, lest you die (פַּן־תִּמְתוּן pen təmutūn)" (Gen 3:3). The woman not only changed the emphatic verbal construction to an ordinary finite verb form, she added the mention of "fruit" and the notion of "touching." It is at this point that the snake emphatically informs her: לא־מוֹת הָמְתוּן  $l\bar{o}$  ' $m\bar{o}\underline{t}$   $t\bar{a}m\bar{u}\underline{t}\bar{u}n$  "you shall not die" (Gen 3:4). Of course, the serpent meant "you shall not die ... immediately," whereas God meant "eventually," since breaking the command resulted in human mortality.

Another textbook example of deceptive speech comes from the mouth of Nathan the prophet, who offers a "parable" to David:

There were two men in one city: one rich, and one poor [אָרָאשׁ]  $r\bar{a}$ 's]. The rich man had many flocks and herds; but the poor man [אַרְאַדָּ rās] had nothing except one little ewe lamb, which he had acquired [קְנָה qānāh] and reared; and it grew up together with him, and with [אַרָּ יִשׁמוֹ וּעִם] 'immō wə- 'im] his children; it ate from his

presence of "(m-b3h) are written with the sign -, which of course, has been used for the nouns "phallus" and "semen." (It also is used in 13.9 when Seth builds his stone boat in the presence of the Ennead.) In 12.3–12.4, Seth tells the Ennead that he has done "the work of a man" to Horus, which causes them to spit in Horus' face. The word "man" is (h3.t) ((b)). The word "work" is (b). (b), which is homophonous with (b). (b) "vulva," used of Hathor in 4.2. The word "work" usually takes the determinative (b), but in this passage it is spelled (b), thus making the paronomasia visually more obvious. In 4.2, "vulva" is spelled as (b) . The bard underscores the connection when Hathor reveals her vulva, and the Lord of All laughs (sb) in response. After Seth makes his claim, Horus similarly laughs (sb) in 12.4.

<sup>121.</sup> Discussed fully by Ellen Robbins, *The Storyteller and the Garden of Eden* (Eugene, OR: Wipf & Stock, 2012). Cf. אָדָם יְּלָב בְּסִילִּים יִקְרָא אָנֶלֶת 'ād̄ām 'ārūm kōseh dā 'at wə-lēḇ kəsīlīm yiqrā ' 'iwwelet' "a wise man conceals what he knows, but the heart of the foolish proclaims folly" (Prov 12:23).

morsel (of bread), and drank from his cup, and lay in his bosom, and it was like a daughter [קַם בַּמּ] to him. And a traveler came to the rich man, and he spared to take [קַּחַתוּ] from his own flock and from his own herd, to prepare for the wayfarer who had come to him, but took [אַקּחַתּ] the lamb of the poor man [תַּאָשׁ הָרָּאשׁ hā-ʾīš hā-rāʾš], and prepared it [תָּאַשׁ w̄ay-yaʾāśɛhā] for the man who had come to him. (2 Sam 12:1–4)

David apparently realized that the parable was about adultery since he first pronounced a death sentence upon the man (2 Sam 12:5), but then realizing it was about him, he commanded a four-fold restitution for the lamb in accordance with the law (Exod 21:37). It is then that Nathan reveals its meaning: "you are the man!" (2 Sam 12:7). Nathan's speech tricked David into passing judgment upon himself. Yet, had David listened more closely, he would have realized that Nathan's words were loaded. Thrice the prophet refers to the victim as "poor." While imperceptible to the ear, readers will note that the text spells it defectively once as רָשׁ  $r\bar{a}$  and twice as רָאשׁ  $r\bar{a}$  is. The defective spelling draws our focus to the paronomasia implicit in the forms, as the root ראש r-'- $\check{s}$  also suggests "first, former." The effect is especially striking near parable's end when Nathan uses the full expression האיש הראש  $h\bar{a}$ -  $i\bar{s}$   $h\bar{a}$ - $r\bar{a}$  is "the poor man," thus paronomastically identifying him as the "first husband," (cf. Hos 2:9 אָישִׁי הַרְאשׁוֹן 'īšī hā-ri 'šōn "my former husband"). Moreover, the words אָז  $r\bar{a}$  ' $\bar{s}$  "poor" and עשיר ' $\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{i}r$  "rich" constitute a paronomastic word pair that features especially in proverbs that contrast the two (Prov 14:20, 28:6). The verb קנה  $q\bar{a}n\bar{a}h$  "acquire" also can be used for betrothal (Ruth 4:5), 123 and the verb לקח lāgah "take" twice used, can refer to marriage (Gen 4:19, 12:19, 25:1). Nathan's addition that the lamb וּבְחֵיקוֹ תַּשְׁבָּב <u>n̄-b̄-ḥēqō tiškāb</u> "lay in his bosom" also is rich in sexual overtones (cf. Gen 19:33, Exod 22:15, Mic 7:5), and by fronting the words "his bosom" before the verb, the phrase underscores Bathsheba's rightful place with Uriah. The prophet's mention of the lamb's treatment like a בָּת "daughter" also evokes the first part of the name בַּת־שֶבֵע bat-šɛba ʿ "Bathsheba," especially following

<sup>122.</sup> Studied by Solfrid Storøy, "On Proverbs and Riddles: Polar Word Pairs and Other Poetic Devices, and the Words for 'Poor and Needy' in the Book of Proverbs," *SJOT* 7 (1993): 270–84.

<sup>123.</sup> Noted already by David Qimḥi (1160–1235 CE). Cf. Ben Sira (D II Recto 36:29): קנה קנה [ני]ן אשה קוא qnh 'šh r['šyt] q[ny]n "One who acquires a wife gets the best acquisition."

<sup>124.</sup> Observed also by Peter W. Coxon, "A Note on 'Bathsheba' in 2 Samuel 12,1–6," Bib 62 (1981): 247–50, who notes that the LXX records the restitution as seven-fold, which reflects on the second half of her name, שָבֶע šɛ̞ba 'seven." Noted also by Moshe Garsiel, The Story and History of David and His Kingdom, part 1 of The Book of Samuel: Studies in History, Historiography, Theology and Poetics Combined (Jerusalem: Rubin Mass, 2018), 463.

the juxtaposed prepositions עָמוֹ וְעָם 'immō wə-'im "with him and with," which elicit the patronymic element עָמוֹ 'am "people" in her father's name (אַלִּיעָם 'ĕlī ʿām "Eliam" in 2 Sam 11:3, and עַמִּיאֵל 'ammī 'ēl "Amiel" in 1 Chr 3:5). Note too the use of the verb יְשֵּשִׁיְּה wāy-ya ʿaśehā "and he prepared it" (lit. "and he did her"), a sexual double entendre found elsewhere (Gen 9:24, Ezek 23:3, 23:8, 23:21). [125] Finally, Nathan's repetition of the phrase "the man who had come to him" (once with "wayfarer" instead of "man" [2 Sam 11:4]) recalls David's summoning of Uriah, who "came to him" from the battlefield (2 Sam 11:7). [126]

A final example of deceitful speech appears in the mouth of King Jehu who gathered all the people as a trick to sort out and kill all those who worshiped Baal. At first, he told them:

Ahab worshiped [עַבֶּדְ 'ābad] Baal a little; Jehu will worship him [עַבְּדָנוּ ya 'abdennū] much. Now summon to me all the prophets of Baal, all his worshipers [עַבְּדָי 'ōbdaw], and all his priests, let none be missing, for I will make a great sacrifice to Baal. All who are missing [עַבְּקַד yippāqēd] will not live. (2 Kgs 10:18–19)

The narrator then clarifies his motive since it otherwise would be ambiguous: "Jehu did it deceptively (בְּעָקְבָּה בַּׁס - סֻׁׁׁׁׁמַבְּׁה ) with the intent that he may destroy (עַּבְּיִה ha- ਰੋਂ\u03bar da) the worshipers (עַּבְּיִ יִּ סַׁׁׁׁעַּּׁׁׁ of Baal" (2 Kgs 10:19). According to Ora Prouser, the ruse is embodied in the paronomasia between עַבְּד 'ਰੋbad "worship" and עַבְּד 'ਰੇbad "destroy." Moreover, Jehu's threat that "whoever shall be missing (עַבְּד yippāqēd) shall not live," masks a polysemous promise, since the verb יִּפְּבֵּן 'yippāqēd "be missing" also means "shall be punished." 128

One also finds deceptive polysemy and paronomasia in stories that involve acts of trickery. Usually this takes the form of ambiguous passages or structural arrangements that compel one to remain uncertain with regard to events or a

<sup>125.</sup> The story prepares the reader for the twist of meaning by employing the infinitive לְּעֵשׁוֹת ˈaśōt "to prepare" (lit. "do") in 2 Sam 12:4.

<sup>126.</sup> In 2 Sam 12:4, we find both אַרֵח הַבְּאִ־לֹּי 'orēaḥ hab-bā' lō "the wayfarer who had come to him" and מַרַח הַבָּא אַלִי הַבָּא אַלִי 't̄s hab-bāh 'ēlāw "the man who had come to him," with a change of nouns and prepositions. In 2 Sam 11:7, we hear that יַּיְבא אוּרְיָה אַלִּי way-yābō 'ūriyyāh 'ēlāw "And Uriah came to him." That Uriah is the wayfarer in the parable is clear in David's query to Uriah: הַלוֹא מִדֶּרֶךְ אַתְּה בָּא hǎlō 'mid-derek 'attāh b̄a' "did you know come from a journey?" (2 Sam 11:10).

<sup>127.</sup> Found in Ora Horn Prouser, *The Phenomenology of the Lie in Biblical Narrative* (PhD diss., Jewish Theological Seminary of America, 1991), 96–97.

<sup>128.</sup> On the many meanings of this verb, see Bernard Grossfeld, "The Translation of Biblical Hebrew פקד in the Targum, Peshitta, Vulgate, and Septuagint," ZAW 96 (1984): 83–101.

figure's character. <sup>129</sup> Aside from the cases of polysemy and paronomasia I discussed above in the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur, I know of no other uses of these devices in Akkadian texts involving deception. For an Egyptian example, I already have discussed the Contendings of Horus and Seth. With regard to the presence of positive use of lying and deception in the Bible, Prouser's contextualization is worth stressing.

While the ideal of the truth is conveyed in biblical wisdom literature as well as elsewhere in the Bible, in biblical narrative lying was not considered a moral issue of absolutes. Rather, deception was considered an acceptable and generally praiseworthy means for a weaker party to succeed against a stronger power. It was not deemed appropriate, however, for a more powerful person to dissemble in order to achieve his or her goals. 130

The account of Jacob and Esau will illustrate. When the twins' mother cooks up a scheme to hoodwink Esau out of his blind father's blessing, Jacob reminds her, "behold my brother Esau is a hairy man, and I am a smooth man. Should my father feel me, I shall seem to him like a deceiver" (Gen 27:11–12). Jacob's contention is polysemous for "smooth man" (קַיָּלֶק)  $\bar{i}$  אָשׁ חָלֶּלֶן also means a "deceitful man." When Esau's father informs him "your brother came with deceit and has taken away your blessing," Esau replies:

Is he not rightly named Jacob (יַּיְעָקֹב ya ʿāqōb̄)? For he has deceived me יַיִּעְקְבֵני] way-ya ' $qab\bar{e}n\bar{i}$ ] these two times: he took away my birthright [אַמּל abakorāti], and behold, now he has taken away my blessing בָּרְבָתִי birk̄āti]. (Gen 27:36)

-

<sup>129.</sup> Though here too one can find polysemous or paronomastic speech. See Jonathan Grossman, "The Use of Ambiguity in Biblical Narratives of Deception and Deceit" [Hebrew], *Tarbiz* 73 (2006): 483–515.

<sup>130.</sup> Prouser, *Phenomenology of the Lie in Biblical Narrative*, i (abstract). Other useful publications on the subject include: David Marcus, "David the Deceiver and David the Dupe," *Prooftexts* 6 (1986): 163–71; Susan Niditch, *Underdogs and Tricksters* (San Francisco, CA: Harper & Row, 1987); Freund, "Lying and Deception in the Biblical and Post-biblical Tradition"; Michael James Williams, *Deception in Genesis: An Investigation into the Morality of a Unique Biblical Phenomenon*, SBL 32 (New York: Lang, 2001); Dean Andrew Nichols, *The Trickster Revisited: Deception as a Motif in the Pentateuch* (New York: Lang, 2009); John E. Anderson, *Jacob and the Divine Trickster: A Theology of Deception and Yhwh's Fidelity to the Ancestral Promise in the Jacob Cycle*, Siphrut 5 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011).

<sup>131.</sup> Observed by Prouser, *Phenomenology of the Lie in Biblical Narrative*, 194. There is additional polysemy here in that the line "I shall seem to him" literally reads "I will be in his eyes," thus reminding us that Isaac is blind (Gen 27:1).

While polysemy and paronomasia are not here used to deceive, they feature prominently in the narrative, because it is about trickery. Deception, polysemy, and paronomasia combine also in the continued narratives involving Jacob and Laban. <sup>132</sup>

The story of Judah and Tamar offers another case study. It reports how Tamar disguised herself as a prostitute in order to trick Judah into fulfilling the law of the levir (Gen 38). After Tamar's first husband dies, and also his younger brother, Tamar waits for the last brother, Shelah, to reach marriageable age. Yet fearing that his last son also would die (Gen 38:11), Judah reneges on his promise, and so Tamar takes matters into her own hands. As Yair Zakovitch long ago espied, the name שׁלה šēlāh "Shelah" means "deceive" (cf. 2 Kgs 4:28), a connotation amplified by the reference to his birth at בַּוִיב kəzīb "Kezib" (Gen 38:5), which also connotes "lie." 133 Moreover, the narrator describes Tamar's disguise by saying מעליה מעליה אלמנותה מעליה wa-tāsar bigdē ʾalmənūtāh mē ʿāleyhā "and she put off from her the garments of widowhood" (Gen 38:14). Here the verb חסר tāsar means "put off" as one would a garment, but also "reject, turn away" (Josh 11:15, Ps 66:20). In addition, the noun בֵּגֶד bɛḡɛⓓ "garment" also connotes an act of "wickedness," in this case one involving deception. 134 Thus, we also may hear "and she rejected the deceptive-wickedness of widowhood," encapsulating in a single line the means and motive of her actions. In many such cases, one finds polysemy and paronomasia illustrating the principle of *lex talionis*, so that the one who dupes is duped in kind (see 3.15.3). 135

<sup>132.</sup> See Garsiel, *Biblical Names*, 53–54, who suggests that the root רמה "r-m-h" "deceive" plays a key role as a Leitwort that identifies Laban the "Aramaean" as Laban the "deceiver." For additional devices at work in Genesis and elsewhere, see Scott B. Noegel, "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats: Jacob and Laban's Double Talk," in Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 163–80; Noegel, "Evil Looms: Delilah—Wicked Weaver of Wiles," *CBQ* 79 (2017): 187–204.

<sup>133.</sup> Zakovitch, "Status of the Synonymous Word and the Synonymous Name in the Creation of Midrashic Name Derivations"; Garsiel, *Biblical Names*, 124–25.

<sup>134.</sup> Cf. 1 Sam 19:13, in which Michal helps David escape from her father by an act of deception: "Michal then took the *teraphim*, placed it on the bed, and (put) a net of goat hair at its head, and covered (it) with the cloth [קַבָּבְ bab-bāḡɛຝ]. The phrase "with the cloth" also suggests "deceptive-wickedness." Underscoring the ambiguity is the use of the verb "cover" without a direct object, which allows one to think that she also "covered up (the matter)." On the etymology and semantic range of the verb קבָּבָּ bāḡaǵaḍ "wickedness, wrongful behavior, breach of trust," see Edward L. Greenstein, "On the Use of Akkadian in Biblical Hebrew Philology," in *Looking at the Ancient Near East and the Bible through the Same Eyes*, ed. Kathleen Abraham and Joseph Fleishman (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2012), 335–53.

<sup>135.</sup> See Noegel, "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats"; Noegel, "Evil Looms."

A final example, discovered by Gerald Morris, occurs in the prophecy of Hosea against Ephraim:

As for the merchant, the balances of deceit are in his hand. He loves to oppress. And Ephraim said: "Surely I have found myself wealth [אַה 'ōn]. In all my labors, they have found in me no iniquity [אָה 'āwōn] that was sin." (Hos 12:8-9)

Underscoring the context of mercantile deception are the parallel lines מְצָאַתִּי אוֹן  $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}' \underline{i}\bar{i}$   $\bar{o}n l\bar{i}$  "I have found myself wealth" and לֹא יִמְצָאוּ־לִי עָוֹן  $\bar{a}w\bar{o}n$  "they have found in me no iniquity," which force one to recognize the paronomasia between אוֹן ה' $\bar{o}n$  "wealth" and עָוֹן  $\bar{a}w\bar{o}n$  "iniquity." As Morris explains, the passage clarifies "that Ephraim's wealth is inseparable from his iniquity and guilt. Ephraim is hoist with his own petard."  $\bar{a}n$ 

It is important to note that the very use of polysemy and paronomasia as tools for conveying deception in narratives reveals that the authors were aware that such devices indeed could deceive. As such, this function anticipates later Greek works, like those of Homer, wherein one similarly finds polysemy and paronomasia employed to deceive and to convey deception.<sup>137</sup>

### 3.8. REFERENTIAL

Polysemy and paronomasia also can have a referential function. As such, they often establish comparisons and contrasts. Two demonstrations of this function occur in the Akkadian Epic of Gilgamesh, in the account of Enkidu and the prostitute, Shamhat. The first appears in the narrator's statement that "Shamhat undid her skirts [didāša]," (1.188) which paronomastically references the hunter's prediction just two lines earlier: "his (Enkidu's) love [dadūša] will caress and embrace you" (1.186). The second occurs shortly thereafter, in the narrator's report that "she treated the man [lulla] to the work of a woman" (1.192), which anticipates the line "afterwards, he (Enkidu) was sated with her delights [lalāša]" (1.195). The paronomasia ties Shamhat's skirt to his love and Enkidu to her delights.

<sup>136.</sup> Gerald Morris, *Prophecy, Poetry, and Hosea*, JSOTSup 219 (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1996), 87.

<sup>137.</sup> See Bruce Louden, "Categories of Homeric Wordplay," *TAPA* 125 (1995): 27–46; Scott Richardson, "The Devious Narrator of the *Odyssey*," *CJ* 101 (2006): 337–59.

<sup>138.</sup> This includes the function labeled by Watson, *Classical Hebrew Poetry*, 245, as "to equate two things."

In Akkadian, a referential function can operate on the level of individual signs as well. In the Descent of Ishtar, the narrator describes the underworld by saying that it is a place "where dust is their sustenance, their food is clay. They do see not light, in darkness they dwell" (Il. 8–9). Here the first sign used to write "see," that is, *im* (in *im-ma-ru*) also constitutes a logogram read as IM, which means *tittu* "clay," the very word in the previous verse. The informed reader cannot help but catch the reference. In fact, the Sumerogram appears later in line 33, in Ereškigal's rhetorical query, "should I eat clay (IM) like bread?" Similarly, in line 29, the sign for the wood determinative GIŠ classifies the noun "GIŠ bīni" "tamarisk," but in the very next line it is read phonetically as *iṣ* in *iṣ-li-ma* "became dark." To offer just one more example, I turn to line 33, in which the *kal* sign is read phonetically in the verb *a-kal* "I shall eat," but logographically in the very next line as GURUŠ meaning *etlu* "young man." "139

In Egyptian, one finds a referential function in the Autobiography of Ankhtifi inscribed in his tomb at Moʻalla.

```
'l[w] in.n [wi] hr r wts-hr n 'w=s r grg=s [ir].n=<i>hr wn hr hr mr.t grg=s hr in=f
wi r=s r grg=s gm.n=<i>pr hww ttf mi grg.t
```

Horus brought me to the nome of Edfu to reestablish it, and I did. For Horus desired it to be reestablished, because he brought me to it to reestablish it. I found the House of Khuu inundated like a marsh. (1a.2–1a.3)

Here the three-fold repetition of *grg* "reestablish" concludes by references to an inundated *grg.t* "marsh," thus identifying the reconstruction of the temple with the primeval time of Egyptian creation.

The Ugaritic Tale of Kirtu also illustrates a referential use of polysemy. In Kirtu's dream, El informs him that the king will soon issue a call to arms so complete that even those normally spared from conscription will serve. Even *zbl'ršm yšu* "the sick man will carry (his) bed" (*CAT* 1.14.ii.45–46). El's nocturnal message is polysemously potent. Since the noun *zbl* can mean "sick man" or "prince, ruler," and the verb *yšu* can mean "carry" or "take," we may also render *zbl'ršm yšu* "the ruler will take (his) bed." When the conscription occurs, Kirtu is healthy (*CAT* 1.14.iv.23–24), so *zbl* must refer to a drafted sick man. However, the alternative rendering of the god's ominous missive is realized later

<sup>139.</sup> The defective spelling of *a-kal* for *akkal* perhaps serves to draw attention to the special device.

<sup>140.</sup> DULAT, s.v. "zbl I" and "zbl III"; s.v. "nša." On such devices in this epic, see Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream."

<sup>141.</sup> Though *yšu*, rather the preterite *nša*, remains problematic. Perhaps we should render it as a jussive.

when Kirtu finds himself on a sickbed for neglecting his vow to the goddess 'Athirat. At that time, Kirtu's son Yaṣṣib chides him in a way that recalls El's prediction. Perceiving that his father's illness had caused him to neglect his royal duties, including those affecting those previously conscripted by him (!), he berates him: km aḥt 'rš mdw anšt 'rš zbln "illness has become your lover, sickness a bed companion" (CAT 1.16.vi.35–36), 142 thus again utilizing zbl for its allusive charge.

The Hebrew Bible contains numerous examples of polysemy and paronomasia used for referential ends. Such a function is attested most famously in the one-upmanship that takes place during the debates between Job and his friends. As the repartee unfolds, one hears the words of one character used with different meanings by another. <sup>143</sup> For example, Job first uses the root קוה q-w-h "hope" when lamenting the day of his birth (3:9): "let one hope (יקני yəqaw) for light and have none." Eliphaz then employs the nominal form תקוה tiqwāh "hope" in 4:6, but alludes to its other meaning "thread" by employing it with the noun דל dal "poor," which is related to דלה dallāh "thread of a loom." Job then retorts, "my days go swifter than a weaver's shuttle (אָרָג 'ārɛ̄ḡ); they go without תָּקוָה tiqwāh" (Job 7:6). In light of what Eliphaz has said, one cannot tell here if חקוה tiqwāh means "thread" or "hope." Moreover, the former meaning matches the previous stich, while the latter finds support in the next line. Bildad then enters the debate and likens the "hope" (הַקְּוָה tiqwāh) of the godless to the web of an עבַבִּיש 'akkābīš "spider" (8:13–14). The impact of his statement derives from the fact that Job's "weaver's shuttle" (ארג 'ārɛ̄ḡ) also suggests a "spider." Yet, Job's friends do not best him, for in the end Yahweh vindicates Job from a whirlwind and asks, "who has laid its (the earth's) pillars, do you know? Or who has measured it with a plumbline [קוֹ  $q\bar{a}w$ ] (38:5)?

<sup>142.</sup> Koowon Kim, *Incubation as a Type-Scene in the 'Aqhatu, Kirta, and Hannah Stories: A Form Critical and Narratological Study of KTU 1.14 I–1.15 III, 1.17 I–II, and 1 Samuel 1:1–2:11*, VTSup 145 (Leiden: Brill, 2011), 224, observes the irony but not the polysemy. 143. Noegel, *Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job*, 131–36.

<sup>144.</sup> Though the root ארג '-r-g does not occur in the Bible as a noun meaning "spider," we do find the verb referring to a spider's actions in Isaiah's rebuke of liars who אַרָּרִי יֶאֶרּגוּ שׁפּ-qū̄rē 'akkāḇ̄iš ye'ĕroḡū̄ "they weave the webs of a spider" (Isa 59:5). We also hear how עַּכֶּבִישׁ קֹּוּרִיהֶם לֹא יִהִייּ לְבֶּעֶּד מְּשׁרִּי מְשׁרִּי (Isa 59:5). We also hear how אַרָּבְי לֹא יִהְיִי לְבֶּעֶּד מְּשׁרִּ מְּשׁרִּ מְשִׁרְי לְּשִׁר מְּשׁרִּ מְשִׁר לֹא יִהְיִי לְבֶּעֶּד הַּשׁר וֹס 'yihyū̄ lə-ḇɛḡɛđַ "their webs do not become a garment" (59:6). In the Near East, spiedra are generally thought of as little "weavers." The Akkadian word for "spider" (uttūtu/ettūtu) informs the name of the Sumerian goddess of weaving, Uttu. CAD E, s.v. "ettūtu." The Sumerian and Akkadian terms for "weave," i.e, ZÉ-ZÉ = DUN-DUN (Akk. šatū̂), also refer to a "spider's web." CAD Š/2, s.v. "šatū̂ B." The Akkadian qû "thread" also means "spider web." CAD Q, s.v. "qû A."

91

#### 3.9. ALLUSIVE

Allusive paronomasia (sometimes called "translexical punning") occurs when a text evokes a word, text, or tradition that does not occur in the present context. 145 Cases of allusive paronomasia appear already in Sumerian texts. See the following proverb: KASKAL NÍĜ.KÚ.DA LÚ.NU.KÚŠ.Ù U4.ŠÚ.UŠ.E KI BÍ.IB.RI.RI.GE "the widow scavages evenings on the road for something to eat." According to Robert Falkowitz, the signs U4.ŠÚ.UŠ.E allude to the reading U4.ŠÚ.A (= Akkadian *berû*) "hungry," thus suggesting that the widow scavages hungrily/at night. 146 Another proverb reads: ŠÀ.SUR NU.UB.RA.KAR ZÌ.NI NU.ŠUB.BÉ GI.ŠÀ.SUR NU.UB.RA.KAR ZÌ.NI NU.ŠUB.BÉ "he did not take away the sieve and his flour does not fall (through) it." Here the signs GI.ŠÀ.SUR evoke ŠÀ.SUR "diarrhoea," and the sign ZÌ doubles for ŠÈ "feces." The result alters the rendering to "(though) the diarrhoea was not taken away, his feces do not fall." 147

Allusive paronomasia occurs in an Old Assyrian text from Kanesh about Sargon of Agade, about which Marc van der Mieroop states: "The author of this text was extremely skillful and produced a piece of literature that contains numerous puns and wordplays." Indeed, in the text the king reports, "for seven days and fifteen days I stayed with my creditors (*ummiānu*) at the meal" (1. 43), a statement that van der Mieroop sees as an allusion to the royal *ummānu* "troops."

There is a strange statement that he had spent his time with his creditors, a common Old Assyrian term which can easily be confused with the term for troops or soldiers. To an Old Assyrian audience, used to deal with these people in their business practices, this may have had a special resonance. <sup>149</sup>

We find allusive paronomasia at work in some Akkadian omen texts as well, such as the following extispicy reading: "when (the) lobe is (shaped) like (the grapheme) *kaškaš*, (then) Adad (the storm god) will inundate (with rain)." The

<sup>145.</sup> Cherry, *Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament*, 33–34, employs the adjective "adumbrative." However, to my mind, this term suggests shadowing and/or concealment and thus mischaracterizes the function. See similarly many of the observations found in Yair Zakovitch, *The Hidden Biblical Dictionary* [Hebrew] (Jerusalem: Carmel, 2014).

<sup>146.</sup> Falkowitz, Sumerian Rhetoric Collections, 165.

<sup>147.</sup> Falkowitz, Sumerian Rhetoric Collections, 245.

<sup>148.</sup> Marc van der Mieroop, "Sargon of Agade and his Successor in Anatolia," *Studi Micenei ed Egeo-anatolici* 42 (2000): 148.

<sup>149.</sup> Van der Mieroop, "Sargon of Agade and his Successor in Anatolia," 156.

<sup>150.</sup> Stephen J. Lieberman, "The Names of the Cuneiform Graphemes in Old Babylonian Akkadian," in *Essays on the Ancient Near East in Memory of Jacob Joel Finkelstein*, ed. Maria de Jong Ellis (Hamden, CT: Archon Books, 1977), 148 n. 24.

grapheme known as *kaškaš* echoes the adjective *kaškaššu* "overpowering," which is an epithet of the storm god Adad. Thus, the feature of the exta portends a flood by the stormgod, even though Adad appears nowhere in the protasis.

Baruch Ottervanger observed a particularly clever use of allusive paronomasia in the use of the interjection ua "woe, alas" in The Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur: KI.MIN ina maḥrīšu u8-a ānḥakuma qib[i] "Likewise, he (the chief), said in his presence: 'Alas, am I tired!'" (1. 93). The scribe has employed the sign u8 in the interjection, because it doubles as a logogram for laḥru "sheep," and thus, it stands as a fitting follow-up to the pasillu-sheep, which the poem mentions in the preceding line.

One also finds allusion at work in the Epic of Gilgamesh. Kilmer has demonstrated how the two items seen in the hero's dream, a *kiṣru* "meteorite" that fell on top of him from *anu* "heaven," and a *ḥaṣṣinnu* "axe" that one embraces as "a wife," paronomastically allude to a *kezru* "male prostitute" and an *assinu* a "male servant of Ishtar," respectively. <sup>152</sup>The allusions prefigure the hairy Enkidu (2.iii.23), whose dalliance with a prostitute transforms him from an animal of the steppeland to a civilized human, and whose relationship with Gilgamesh becomes intimate. Underscoring the force of the allusions is the prostitute's statement to Enkidu that Uruk is "the abode of Anu and Ishtar" (*mūšab* <sup>d</sup>*Anim* <sup>d</sup>*Ištar*, 1.iv.37, 44).

<sup>151.</sup> Ottervanger, Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur, 36.

<sup>152.</sup> Anne D. Kilmer, "A Note on an Overlooked Word-Play in the Akkadian Gilgamesh," in *Zikir Šumim: Assyriological Studies Presented to F. R. Kraus on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday*, ed. G. Van Driel, Th. J. H. Krispijn, M. Stol, and K. R. Veenhof (Leiden: Brill, 1982), 128–32; Kilmer, "More Word Play in Akkadian Poetic Texts," in Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 89–101. On additional polysemy in this passage, see Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 59–65. The Epic of Zimri-Lim (1.24) exploits the polysemy of *kiṣru* for "knot" of rope and "soldiers." See Adam Miglio, "Epic of Zimri-Lim," *COS* 4:232 n. 14.

<sup>153.</sup> As shown above in reference to the Dispute between a Man and His Ba, l. 102.

3. Function 93

does not appear in the text. Strengthening the allusion are a number of well-known cases of paronomasia that connect bovines to smiting and killing in the Pyramid Texts (Spell 580, §1543–1544).

O you who smote [hwi] my father, who killed one greater than himself. You have smitten [hwi] my father, you have killed one greater than you. O my father Osiris this king, I have smitten [hwi] for you him who smote you as an ox [h].

I have killed [smi] for you him who killed [smi] you as a wild bull [smi]. I have broken [ngi] for you him who broke [ngi] you as a long-horn [ngi]. On whose back [hr si = f] you were, as a subjected bull [hr si = f].

Janet Johnson and Robert Ritner proposed another example from the Demotic Chronicle. In that text, a prophecy associates *ibj* "honey" with the *tšr* "red crown" (of Lower Egypt), based on paronomasia between *ibj* "honey" and *bi.t* "crown of Lower Egypt," even though the latter does not appear (5.23–24).<sup>154</sup>

Sometimes allusion is created solely on a visual register. Witness the following description in the Memphite Theology (Shabaka Stone, BM 498): rd.n wr.ti hks.w m tp=f "then there sprouted the two great magicians from his head" (l. 14b-c). Appearing after wr.ti hks.w "the two great magicians" are the determinatives  $\leq$  and  $\leq$ , thus suggesting visually, but not audibly, that the crowns of upper and lower Egypt are meant.

Similarly, much of the Tale of Wenamun (P.Moscow 120) is taken up with Wenamun's attempt to keep a statue of Amun out of sight of the Byblian king and with the question of whether Amun is still present as lord over the Lebanon (and Cyprus) as he was in times past. When a Byblian seer prophesies in an ecstatic trance, "bring the god up! Bring the envoy who is carrying him up! It is Amun who sent him! It is he who made him come!" (1.39–40), Wenamun allows him to be entranced well into the night. Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t p \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun hides the statue of Amun, saying:  $h_i = \int i t \, dt \, dt$ . Then, under cover of darkness, Wenamun allows him to be entranced well into the night had the statue of the saying had t

<sup>154.</sup> Janet H. Johnson and Robert K. Ritner, "Multiple Meaning and Ambiguity in the 'Demotic Chronicle,'" in *Studies in Egyptology Presented to Miriam Lichtheim*, ed. Sarah Israelit-Groll, vol. 1 (Jerusalem: Magnes, 1990), 496–97. For additional polysemy in the Demotic Chronicle, see Sandra L. Lippert, "Komplexe Wortspiele in der Demotischen Chronik und im Mythus vom Sonnenauge," *Enchoria* 27 (2001): 88–100.

<sup>155.</sup> For the text, see Bernd U. Schipper, *Die Erzählung des Wenamun: Ein Literaturwerk im Spannungsfeld von Politik, Geschichte und Religion* (Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2005).

from sight, in the name of the god *imn* "Amun" ("Hidden One"), and in the name of the central character Wenamun (i.e., *wn-imn* lit. "Amun is present"). 156

A particularly savvy use of allusive paronomasia in Ugaritic appears in the Tale of Aqhat, in which Baal refers to the hero Danel as one: *d in bn lh km aḥh w šrš km aryh* "who has no son like his brothers, no offspring like his kinsmen" (*CAT* 1.17.i.18–19). The phrase *d in bn lh* "who has no son" subtly evokes the name *dnil* "Danel." Elsewhere in the story, we find another example in Danel's call to his daughter Paghit (*CAT* 1.19.ii.1–5):

- 1. *šm 'pģt tkmt* [] *my* Listen, Paghit, bearer of water,
- 2. *hspt l š r tl yd [t]*Collector of dew from the fleece,
- hlk kbkbm mdl 'r
  Who knows the course of the stars. Bridle the donkey.
- 4. *smd phl št gpny dt ksp*Harness the ass. Lay on my silver bridle,
- 5. *dt yrq nqbny* My golden harness.

Of note here is the verb *mdl*, which only can mean "bridle" in this context. However, *mdl* also means "meteor, thunderbolt." Its use following *kbkbm* "stars" cannot be accidental.

Paronomasia also has an allusive purpose in the Epic of Baal. When the god Yam sends El a message demanding that he deliver Baal to him so Yam might attack him, Yam prefaces his dictate by labeling the missive thm ym b'lkm "the decree of Yam, your master" (CAT 1.2.i.17). Since Yam's use of b'lkm "your master" usurps Baal's authority by placing him below Yam, the use of b'lkm here belies Yam's intention to harm b'l "Baal."

See too the following Ugaritic incantation against venomous reptiles (*CAT* 1.100.73–76).

<sup>156.</sup> For an additional paronomastic reference to the name Wenamun, see Winand, "Report of Wenamun," 550.

<sup>157.</sup> The meaning "thunderbolt" occurs in the Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.5.v.7) where Baal is commanded to take his clouds, winds, *mdl*, and rains and head for the underworld. Of interest is that, in l. 11, he also is commanded to take his daughter *tly* Tally (i.e., "Dew"). The passage thus similarly joins *mdl* to dew. Cf. *CAT* 1.3.ii.40–41: *tl šmm tskh* [*r*]*bb nskh kbkbm* "Dew which the heavens pour on her (Anat), showers the stars pour on her." The belief that dew came from the stars was widespread. See Erica Reiner, *Astral Magic in Babylonia*, TAPS 85.4 (Philadelphia, PA: American Philosophical Society, 1995).

3. Function 95

```
tn km [mhry] nhšm
Give as my [bride-price] snakes.

yhr tn km mhry
A serpent give as my bride-price,
w bn bin ittny
And the sons of adders as my gift.

ytt nhšm mhrk
I herewith give snakes as your bride-price,
bn bin itnnk
The sons of adders as your gift.
```

Since the charm uses three terms for snake in close succession, two of which repeat, it is difficult not to hear and see in the repeated noun *itnn* "gift" the primordial serpent *tnn* "Tannīn." <sup>158</sup>

The Hebrew Bible contains abundant demonstrations of the allusive function of polysemy and paronomasia. Ezekiel's prophecy against Egypt rails against "the young men of iniquity ( $\bar{a}w\varepsilon n$ )" (Ezek 30:17) in a way that alludes to the city of Heliopolis ( $\bar{a}w\varepsilon n$ ), spelled with the same consonants.

In 1 Kgs 18:4, the narrator informs us that Obadiah had hidden one hundred of Yahweh's prophets from Jezebel, who sought to kill them. The expression way-yaḥbī'ēm "and he hid them" offers a not-so-subtle allusion to Jezebel's husband, king אַתָּאָב 'aḥ'āb "Ahab."

Job's comparison of his brothers to unreliable streams represents another fine allusion: "(they) are dark [הַקּדְרִים haq-qōd̞r̄m], because of the ice, and in which [אַלִימוֹ  $\bar{a}l\bar{e}m\bar{o}$ ] the snow hides itself יִתְעֵּלֶם יִּתְעֵּלֶם vit allem]. At the time they grow warm, they vanish.... The caravans of Tema looked, the companies of Sheba waited for them" (Job 6:16-19). As Moshe Garsiel points out, the toponyms קַּבְּרַ "Qedar" and עֵּיִלְם 'ēlām "Elam" do not appear in the text, but their association with Sheba and Tema elsewhere in the Bible suggests that they are invoked by paronomasia—the former via הַקּדְרִים haq-qōd̞r̄m "dark" and the latter by way of עׁלִימוֹ  $\bar{a}l\bar{e}m\bar{o}$  "in which" and יִתְעֵּלֶם vit allem "hides itself."  $\bar{a}l\bar{e}m\bar{o}$  "in which" and  $\bar{b}l\bar{c}m\bar{c}$  "pit" vit allem "hides itself."

160. Garsiel, Biblical Names, 142-43.

<sup>158.</sup> Wilfred G. E. Watson, "Allusion, Irony and Wordplay in Mic. 1, 7," Bib 65 (1984): 103–5, uses this text to shed light on the similar use of the cognate אָרְאָהְאָ 'צַּּתְּהָּתְּ 'ei̯ft' twice in Mic 1:7. Watson sees the lexeme as an allusion to דְּמִינִי הַ tann̄m "dragon." I would add an additional allusion to the dragon via תַּנִּים tann̄m "jackals" in Mic 1:8. For a similar connection between the two words, see the discussion of Jer 51:34–37 (3.17). I merely have extended Watson's keen insight to the Ugaritic charm. I thank Wilfred Watson for his personal communication on the subject, September 11, 2017. Dennis Pardee, "Ugaritic Liturgy against Venomous Reptiles (RS 24.244)," COS 1:298, translates 'tnn as "wife-price." 159. On allusion in the Hebrew Bible generally, see Ziony Zevit, ed., Subtle Citation, Allusion, and Translation in the Hebrew Bible (Sheffield: Equinox, 2017).

Numerous allusions in the book of Jonah suggest that the fish that swallowed the prophet was none other than Leviathan. He when Jonah cries out: וַתְּשִׁלִיכֵנִי יַמִּים וְנָהֶר יִסֹבְבֵנִי wa-tašlīk̄ēnī mĕṣūlāh bi-lḇaḇ yammīm wĕ-nāhār yĕsōḇĕḇē̄nī "you cast me into the deep, in the heart of the seas, River surrounded me" (Jon 2:4), we hear an identification of the fish with Judge River (i.e., Leviathan) in יְבָּבְנִי יִסֹבְבֵנִי wĕ-nāhār yĕsōḇĕḇē̄nī "River surrounded me." Implicit in the root אַפְבּנִי מִים עַר־נָפֶּשׁ wĕ-nāhār yĕsōḇĕḇē̄nī "River surrounded me." Implicit in the root מבב s-b-b is a twisting, undulating, or encircling motion, as one would use of a watery serpent. Jonah's complaint that אַפְּבּוּנִי מֵיִם עַר־נֶפֶשׁ יִּמְּבְנִי מִיִם עַר־נֶפֶשׁ 'āpāp̄ūnī mayīm 'ad nepēš təhōm yəsōḇəḇē̄nī "waters engulfed me, even to (my) throat, Deep surrounding me" (2:6), employs the noun "Deep," the lair of the tannīn (Isa 51:9–10, cf. Job 41:24, Ps 148:7).

A related form of allusive paronomasia examined recently by Jonathan Kline draws upon earlier textual traditions in order to reconfigure them to meet new theological needs. For example, Mal 1:11–12 employs the idiom מְמִינְרִי שְׁמֶשׁ mim-mizraḥ šɛmɛš wĕ-ʿad məḇōʾō "from the rising of the sun to its setting" found only in Ps 50:1 and 113:3, to evoke the Psalms' context of universal praise for Yahweh's name. However, whereas Ps 113:3 refers to the məḥalləlīm "profaning" it. We may consider such examples generally as also having a referential or hermeneutic function, though their lack of specific reference forces me to classify them as allusions. 163

A later example of an allusion of this kind appears in b. Ketub. 10b, in which R. Abaye shares his mother's advice on the best times to eat dates: תמרי מקמי מקמי tmry mqmy nhm' ky nrg'l-dyqwl' btr nhm' ky 'br'l-dš' "eating dates before 'bread' (a meal) is like an 'axe' to a date palm,' and after 'bread' (a meal) is like a 'bolt to a door.'" Though the advice is in Aramaic, it nonetheless depends on understanding it in Akkadian, though Akkadian is nowhere present. As Markham Geller notes, understanding the advice depends on knowing that the Akkadian aru can mean "frond of a date palm," "to cut branches (of a date palm)," but also "to vomit." In addition, the "door" is here a euphemism for the anus. The bolt, when understood as the Akkadian sikkūru

<sup>161.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel, "Jonah and Leviathan: Inner-Biblical Allusions and the Problem with Dragons," *Henoch* 37 (2015): 236–60, for the complete evidence.

<sup>162.</sup> Jonathan G. Kline, *Allusive Soundplay in the Hebrew Bible*, AIL 28 (Atlanta, GA: SBL Press, 2016), 93–99, based on his dissertation *Transforming the Tradition: Soundplay as an Interpretive Device in Innerbiblical Allusion* (PhD diss., Harvard University, 2014). 163. Benjamin D. Sommer, *A Prophet Reads Scripture: Allusion in Isaiah 40–66* (Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1998), 36–61, sees the allusions in Isa 40–66 as having six main functions: reversal, reprediction, repetition of a promise, fulfillment of earlier prophecies, historical recontextualization, and typological linkage. Each of these is a variant within the broader hermeneutic category.

3. Function 97

"bolt," and derived from *sekēru* "stop up," then becomes a subtle reference to constipation.<sup>164</sup>

## 3.10. APPELLATIVE

Some forms of paronomasia reflect on the name of a god, person, place, or thing. This function also has been called *nomen omen* and *midrashic name derivation*. <sup>165</sup> The former expression is unhelpful, <sup>166</sup> because not all appellative forms of

164. Markham J. Geller, "Akkadian Healing Therapies in the Babylonian Talmud," *MPIW* 259 (2004): 1–60.

165. On nomen omen, see G. B. Gray, Studies in Hebrew Proper Names (London: Black, 1896); J. Fichtner, Die altorientalische Weisheit in ihrer israelitisch-jüdischen Ausprägung, BZAW 62 (Giessen: Töpelmann, 1933); A. Bertholet, Wortanklang und Volksetymologie in ihrer Wirkung auf religiösen Glauben und Brauch, APAWPHK 6 (Berlin: Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1940); J. Fichtner, "Die Etymologische Ätiologie in den Namengebungen der Geschichtlichen Bücher des Alten Testaments," VT (1956): 372-96; Andrezej Strus, Nomen Omen (Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1978); Lester L. Grabbe, Etymology in Early Jewish Interpretation: The Hebrew Names in Philo, BJS 115 (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1988); Beat Weber-Lehnherr, "'Nomen est omen.' Einige Erwägungen zu Gen 32,23-33 und seinem Kontext," BN 61 (1992): 76-83; Shamma Friedman, "Nomen est Omen: Dicta of the Sages Which Echo the Author's Name," in These Are the Names: Studies in Jewish Onomastics, ed. Aaron Demsky (Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1999), 51–77. On the midrashic name derivation, see Yair Zakovitch, "Explicit and Implicit Name-Derivations," HAR 4 (1980): 167-80; Zakovitch, "Status of the Synonymous Word and the Synonymous Name in the Creation of Midrashic Name Derivations"; E. Marino, Etimologia o paronomasia? Il significato dei nomi del libro della Genesi (Lugio, 1993); Yair Zakovitch, "Yabbok, Peniel, Mahanaim, Bethel: Name Midrashim as Reflections of Ideological Struggles" [Hebrew], Ariel 100–101 (1994): 191– 204; Garsiel, Biblical Names; Marks, "Biblical Naming and Poetic Etymology"; Richard S. Hess, Studies in the Personal Names of Genesis 1–11 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2009); Greg Goswell, "Royal Names: Naming and Wordplay in Isaiah 7," WTJ 75 (2013): 97-109.

166. Despite the seminal contribution of Strus, *Nomen Omen*. With regard to classics, paronomasia and polysemy on proper names in Greek and Latin is well known. See, e.g., Eugene S. McCartney, "Puns and Plays on Proper Names," *CJ* 14 (1919): 343–58; Max Sulzberger, "ONOMA ΕΠΩΝΥΜΟΝ: Les noms propres chez Homère et dans la mythologie Grecque," *RdEG* 183 (1926): 381–447; C. J. Fordyce, "Puns on Names in Greek," *CJ* 28 (1932–1933): 44–46; J. Enoch Powell, "Puns in Herodotus," *CR* 51 (1937): 103–5; Nathan A. Greenberg, "Epanastrophe in Latin Poetry," *RO* 2 (1972): 1–17; Barbara Weiden Boyd, "Cydonea Mala: Virgilian Word-Play and Allusion," *HSCP* 87 (1983): 169–74; M. I. Davis, "The Tickle and Sneeze of Love," *AJA* 86 (1982): 115–18; Frederick Ahl, "The Art of Safe Criticism in Greece and Rome," *AJP* 105 (1984): 174–208; Ahl, *Metaformations: Soundplay and Wordplay in Ovid and Other Classical Poets* (Ithaca, NY:

Cornell University Press, 1985); Ahl, "Ars est celare artem (Art in Puns and Anagrams Engraved)," in On Puns: The Foundation of Letters, ed. Jonathan D. Culler (Oxford: Blackwell, 1988), 17-43; D. P. Kubiak, "Piso's Madness (Cic. In Pis. 21 and 47)," AJP 110 (1989): 237-45; K. Sara Myers, "The Lizard and the Owl: an Etymological Pair in Ovid, Metamorphoses Book 5," AJP 113 (1992): 63-68; Anne Helttulla, "Epigraphical Laughter," in Laughter Down the Centuries, ed. Siegfried Jäkel and Asko Tomonen, vol. 2. (Turku: Turun Yliopisto, 1994-1997), 145-59; Nicholas M. Horsfall, "Style, Language, and Meter," in A Companion to the Study of Virgil, ed. Nicholas M. Horsfall, MS 151 (Leiden: Brill, 1995), 217-48; Michael Paschalis, "Names and Death in Horace's Odes," CW 88 (1995): 181-90; Louden, "Categories of Homeric Wordplay"; Kenneth J. Reckford, "Horatius: The Man and the Hour," AJP 118 (1997): 583-612; Howard Jacobson, "Violets and Violence: Two Notes," CO 48 (1998): 314-15; W. H. Keulen, "Significant Names in Apuleius: A 'Good Contriver' and His Rival in the Cheese Trade (Met 1,5)," Mnemosyne 53 (2000): 310-21; Barbara Weiden Boyd, "Arms and the Man: Wordplay and the Catasterism of Chiron in Ovid, 'Fasti' 5," AJP 122 (2001): 67-80; Joan Booth and Robert Maltby, eds., What's in a Name? The Significance of Proper Names in Classical Latin Literature (Wales: Classical Press of Wales, 2006); Richardson, "Devious Narrator of the Odyssey"; Norman Austin, "Name Magic in the Odyssey," in Oxford Readings in Classical Studies: Homer's Odyssey, ed. Lillian E. Doherty (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009), 91-110; Jan Kwapisz, David Petrain, and Mikołaj Szymański, eds., The Muse at Play: Riddles and Wordplay in Greek and Latin Poetry, BA 305 (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2013); Philip Mitsis and Ioannis Ziogas, eds., Wordplay and Powerplay in Latin Poetry, TCSV 36 (Berlin: de Gruyter, 2016); James J. Clauss, "The Near Eastern Background of Aetiological Wordplay in Callimachus," in Callimachus Revisted: New Perspectives in Callimachean Scholarship, ed. J. J. H. Klooster et al. (Leuven: Peeters, 2019), 65–96. Such devices also appear in the New Testament. See Neil J. McEleney, "153 Great Fishes (John 21,11)—Gematriacal Atbash," Bib 58 (1977): 411-17; Earl Richard, "Expressions of Double Meaning and Their Function in the Gospel of John," NTS 31 (1985): 96–112; Karen H. Jobes, "The Function of Paronomasia in Hebrews 10:5-7," TrinJ 13 (1992): 181-91; S. Fisher, "How Many Angels Can Dance on the Head of a Pun?," BARev 19.3 (1993): 19, 76; J. A. Fitzmeyer, "Reply to Charles Abraham's 'The Pun on Peter," BARev 19 (1993): 68, 70; Thomas William Thatcher, The Riddles of Jesus in the Fourth Gospel (PhD diss., Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, 1996); Craig S. Keener, The Gospel of John: A Commentary, vol. 2 (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2003), 1231-33; T. J. Lang, "You Will Desire to See and You Will Not See [It]': Reading Luke 17.22 as Antanaclasis," JSNT 33 (2011): 281–302; Michael P. Knowles, "Serpents, Scribes, and Pharisees," JBL 133 (2014): 165-78. For similar devices found farther afield, see H. Kökeritz, "Rhetorical Word-Play in Chaucer," PMLA 69 (1954): 937-52; Roberta Frank, "Some Uses of Paronomasia in Old English Scriptural Verse," Speculum 47 (1972): 207-26; C. D. Orzech, "Puns on the Humane King: Analogy and Application in an East Asian Apocryphon," JAOS 109 (1989): 17-24.

paronomasia prefigure future moments or destinies. 167 The latter expression derives from the study of rabbinic texts and implies a context and usage that is not applicable to Akkadian and Egyptian materials. It usually also has been treated as a device rather than a function, as it is here. Greenstein employs the taxon *proper names* for what I call *appellative*, and groups them according to whether they provide an etymology (signaling the past), comment on a person's essence (set in the present), or portend someone's destiny (future). 168 Jan Assmann has coined the term *etymography* for this phenomenon in Egyptian texts, and this term has been adopted by some Assyriologists. 169 However, not all appellative paronomasia is based on etymology, nor does it always focus on a proper name. Rather it functions to resound or reflect on the name of a thing and/or its (potential) meaning or essence, or to draw connections—it is correlative in nature. Hence my choice of the adjective appellative, which encompasses both proper names and common nouns.

Informing many kinds of appellative paronomasia in ancient Near Eastern texts is a widespread belief that knowing the name of a thing gave one a degree of power over that thing. This belief lies at the heart of the Mesopotamian tradition of composing vast lexical and omen collections, which Mogens Trolle Larsen observes represent an effort "to present a systematic and ordered picture of the world." Joan Goodnick Westenholz similarly remarks: "On the intellectual

<sup>167.</sup> The etiological nature of such texts also has been called into question. See, e.g., Burke O. Long, *The Problem of Aetiological Narrative in the Old Testament*, BZAW 108 (Berlin: Töpelmann, 1968); Friedemann W. Golka, "The Aetiologies in the Old Testament: Part 1," *VT* 26 (1976): 410–28; Golka, "The Aetiologies in the Old Testament: Part 2," *VT* 27 (1977): 36–47; Petrus J. van Dyk, "The Function of So-Called Aetiological Elements in Narratives," *ZAW* 102 (1990): 19–33. However, see still John Briggs Curtis, "A Folk Etymology of '*Nābî*'," *VT* 29 (1979): 491–93.

<sup>168.</sup> Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," 970. Appellative paronomasia may be related to the literary use of names whose meanings convey information about their characters or destinies. This phenomenon is well known to students of the Hebrew Bible, but it has been studied most closely by Egyptologists, who sometimes refer to such names as "charactonyms." See Steve Vinson, "The Names 'Naneferkaptah,' 'Inhweret,' and 'Tabubue' in the 'First Tale of Setne Khaemwas,'" *JNES* 68 (2009): 283–303, and n. 1, for additional references.

<sup>169.</sup> See Assmann, "Etymographie," 37–63; Eckart Frahm, "Reading the Tablet, the Exta, and the Body: The Hermeneutics of Cuneiform Signs in Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries and Divinatory Texts," in *Divination and Interpretation of Signs in the Ancient World*, 96 n. 9; Enrique Jiménez, "As Your Name Indicates': Philological Arguments in Akkadian Disputations," *JANEH* 5 (2018): 87–105.

<sup>170.</sup> Mogens Trolle Larsen, "The Mesopotamian Lukewarm Mind: Reflections on Science, Divination, and Literacy," in *Language, Literature, and History: Philological and* 

level, knowing the organization of the world made it possible to affect the universe by magical means."<sup>171</sup> Marduk's fifty esoteric names appear to provide him a means of being invulnerable. Egyptian gods are also said to possess secret names, so that the power of sorcerers could not be used against them.<sup>172</sup> In Ugaritic, we see this power deployed when the craftsman god Kothar-wa-Ḥasis names Baal's weapons, thus empowering their flight (*CAT* 1.2.iv.7–27). The belief is manifested in various ways in the Hebrew Bible too. We see it in Yahweh's secret name (Exod 3:13–14), and in the angel's refusal to let Jacob know his name (Gen 32:30).<sup>173</sup> Indeed, regardless of whether one refers to a common object or a divine name, the ancients perceived the name to embody its identity, essence, and power.

There are two kinds of appellative paronomasia in ancient Near Eastern texts. The first reflects on the name of a god, person, place, or thing within a text. The second type, which is far more rare, reflects on the name of the author (or presmed author) of a text.

## 3.10.1. APPELLATIVE PARONOMASIA WITHIN THE TEXT

Appellative paronomasia of the first kind occurs already in Sumerian texts. In Gudea's cylinder B 6.21–22, we find:

IG.GAL DIM ĜÍR.NU.NA GAL₃.LÁ GAL ĜIR.SU<sup>KI</sup> dG.ALIM DUMU KI ÁĜ.ĜÁ.NI

The great door, the post of Girnum, the chief bailiff of Girsu, Igalim, his beloved son.

As Klein and Sefati observe, paronomasia identifies the IG.GAL "great door" with the minor deity  ${}^d$ IG.ALIM "Igalim."  ${}^{174}$ 

In Cylinder A 2.20, the phrase GÙ.DÉ.A.NI ĜIŠ BA.TUKU.ÀM "his call having been heard" echoes the name "Gudea." A praise poem of Shulgi similarly employs the sign ŠUL "young man" instead of the usual ĜURUŠ to echo

Historical Studies Presented to Erica Reiner, ed. Francesca Rochberg-Halton (New Haven, CT: American Oriental Society, 1987), 209–12, refers to lexical lists.

<sup>171.</sup> Joan Goodnick Westenholz, "Thoughts on Esoteric Knowledge and Secret Lore," in Prosecky, *Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East*, 453.

<sup>172.</sup> Pascal Vernus, "Name,"  $L\ddot{A}$  4 (1982): cols. 320–326; Guglielmi, "Wortspiel," col. 1288.

<sup>173.</sup> H. B. Huffmon, "Name שׁם"," DDD, 610–12.

<sup>174.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 56.

<sup>175.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 59.

the name Shulgi in a statement about his name: "your father, who begot you, holy Lugalbanda, called your name: 'Youth (ŠUL)-Whom-Anu-Knows-Among-the-Gods" (Shulgi P b 38–39). 176

Perhaps the most prolonged case of appellative paronomasia in Sumerian appears in the myth of Enki and Ninhursaĝa, which recounts how Ninhursaĝa placed Enki in her vulva and gave birth to eight gods, each from a different part of Enki's body. Thus, dand gave birth to eight gods, each from the connection to the name of the body part. Thus, dand is created from the UGU.DÍLI "brainpan," NIN.SIKI.LÁ from the PA SIKI "top of the hair," dNIN.GIRI<sub>17</sub>. DÚ from the GIRI<sub>17</sub> "nose," dNIN.KA.SI from the KA "mouth," dNA.ZI from the ZI "throat," dÁ.ZI.MÚ.A from Á "arm," dNIN.TI from the TI "rib," and dEN.SA6.AG from the ZAG "side" (Il. 250–268).

An excellent demonstration in Akkadian is the Babylonian treatment of the name Babylon as if it derives from  $b\bar{a}b$   $il\bar{\imath}m$  "gate of the gods," despite it being of substrate origin (written as Pabil or Babil) and of unknown etymology. 179 Elsewhere we find appellative paronomasia providing what William Hallo has referred to as a "scurrilous etymology." 180 This device could be used negatively to shame, lampoon, or invite invective speculation about a person or place. With regard to the way Akkadian scribes wrote the ethnonym  $h\bar{a}biru$ , Hallo observes:

The earlier (Old Babylonian) orthography used a logogram, SA.GAZ, which may be a loanword from Akkadian *šaggāšu* "murderer" and which was also used to express Akkadian *ḥabbātu* "robber." The later (Middle Babylonian) orthography employed logograms like LÚ.GAZ, ERIM.GAZ, and (LÚ).SAG.GAZ, which may be interpreted as "smiter" or "crusher," "peoplesmiter" and "head-crusher," respectively.<sup>181</sup>

<sup>176.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 58.

<sup>177.</sup> See Pascal Attinger, "Enki et Ninhursaĝa," ZA 74 (1984): 27–31, 45–48; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature." 55–56.

<sup>178.</sup> As pointed out by M. Civil, "From Enki's Headaches to Phonology," *JNES* 32 (1973): 57–58, the sign UGU in UGU.DÍLI "brainpan" was pronounced /agwu/, and thus was close in sound to ABU.

<sup>179.</sup> See I. J. Gelb, "The Name of Babylon," *JIAS* 1 (1955): 25–28; William W. Hallo, "Nebukadnezar Comes to Jerusalem," in *Through the Sound of Many Voices: Writing Contributed on the Occasion of the Seventieth Birthday of W. Gunther Plaut*, ed. Jonathan V. Plaut (Toronto: Lester & Orpen Dennys, 1982), 768. The Babylonians also created KÁ.DIĜIR.RA "gate of the gods" as a back translation into Sumerian.

<sup>180.</sup> William W. Hallo, "Scurrilous Etymologies," in *Pomegranates and Golden Bells: Studies in Biblical, Jewish, and Near Eastern Ritual, Law, and Literature in Honor of Jacob Milgrom*, ed. David P. Wright et al. (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1995), 767–76. 181. Hallo, "Scurrilous Etymologies," 776. See similarly, Christopher Rollston, "Ad Nomen Argumenta: Personal Names as Pejorative Puns in Ancient Texts," in *In the Shadow* 

A similar case appears in an Assyrian administrative letter addressed to the king, which refers derogatively to a recent wave of forced immigrants to Nineveh. In that dispatch, the Ninevite native employs the noun *šaglūti* "deportees," so as to suggest *saklūti* "ignorants." <sup>182</sup>

The Akkadian use of polysemy and paronomasia for appellative ends also can make theological points. The *Atra-ḥasis Epic* underscores the divine origins of humankind by imbedding the noun *awīlu* "human being" cryptographically into the name of the god Wê-ila, who is slaughtered in order to create him. <sup>183</sup>

```
223. <sup>d</sup>we-e-i-la ša i-šu-ú ṭe<sub>4</sub>-e-ma
Wê-ila, who had intelligence,
224. i-na pu-úḫ-ri-šu-nu iṭ-ṭa-ab-ḫu
They slaughtered in their assembly. (1.223–224)
```

Note that the sign PI, here read as we, also has the phonetic value aw, <sup>184</sup> thus, producing <sup>d</sup>aw-e-i-la "divine human being." Stephen Geller explains:

The god Wê(ila) was chosen to be slaughtered because his name contained the phoneme /w/ through which the new creature, man [awīlum], was to be distinguished from divinity [ilum]. In the first line of the epic the phrase iluawīlum is to be regarded as a compound term.... It reflects an original unity of humanity and divinity that was sundered by slaughter of the god and the resulting differentiation of ilum and awīlum. 185

Epic," in Riches Hidden in Secret Places: Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Memory of Thorkild Jacobsen, ed. Tzvi Abusch (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2002), 35–40.

-

of Bezalel: Aramaic, Biblical, and Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Honor of Bezalel Porten, ed. Alejandro F. Botta (Leiden: Brill, 2012), 367–86.

182. Observed by Tadmor, "Aramaization of Assyria: Aspects of Western Impact," 451.

<sup>183.</sup> As observed by Karl Oberhuber, "Ein Versuch zum Verständnis von Atra-ḫasīs I 223 und I 1," in *Zikir Šumim*, 279–81; Jean Bottéro, "La Création de l'Homme et sa Nature dans le Poème d'Atrahasîs," in *Societies and Languages of the Ancient Near East: Studies in Honor of I. M. Diakonoff*, ed. M. A. Dandamayev et al. (Warminster, England: Aris & Phillips, 1982), 24–32; followed by Stephen A. Geller, "Some Sound and Word Plays in the First Tablet of the Old Babylonian Atramḥasis Epic," in *Frank Talmage Memorial Volume I*, ed. B. Walfish (Haifa: University of Haifa Press, 1993), 63–70; and Tzvi Abusch, "Ghost and God: Some Observations on a Babylonian Understanding of Human Nature," in *Self, Soul and Body in Religious Experience*, ed. Albert I. Baumgarten, Jan Assmann, and Gedaliahu Stroumsa (Leiden: Brill, 1998), 363–83; Bendt Alster, "*ilu awilum: we-e il-a*, 'Gods: Men' versus 'Man: God': Punning and the Reversal of Patterns in the Atrahasis

<sup>184.</sup> Gelb, "WA = aw, iw, uw in Cuneiform Writing," 194–96.

<sup>185.</sup> Geller, "Some Sound and Word Plays in the First Tablet of the Old Babylonian Atramhasis Epic," 41. Cited also in Abusch, "Ghost and God," 368. Note that just as the human was given an *eţemmu* "spirit," the god We-ila is said to have *tēmu* "intelligence."

Wilfred Lambert has pointed to an excellent example in an exorcistic prayer from the first millennium BCE. The prayer employs the epithet *amaru* TUKUL "deluge weapon" in order to evoke the name <sup>d</sup>AMAR.UD "Marduk." <sup>186</sup>

Some cases in Akkadian demonstrate incredible erudition. In the Song of Erra, we find:  ${}^{d}en-gi_{6}-du-du$   $b\bar{e}lu$  muttallik  $m\bar{u}\check{s}i$   $muttarr\hat{u}$   $ru-b\acute{e}-e$  "O Engidudu, lord who goes about the night, who always is a guide to the prince[s]" (1.21). Steve Tinney has shown how the sign  $gi_{6}$  in the name  ${}^{d}en-gi_{6}-du-du$  "Engidudu" evokes the Sumerian GI<sub>7</sub> (= Akkadian  $ru-b\acute{e}-e$  "prince[s]") by homophony, and how du-du suggests DU.DU (= Akkadian  $muttarr\bar{u}$  "guides"), thus providing an appellative etiology for the name. As he points out,  $ru-b\acute{e}-e$  "prince[s]" is ambiguous as to whether it is singular or plural, and as a singular, it naturally evokes prince Marduk.

When one remembers that Marduk himself is practically always referred to in Erra as *rubû Marduk* it becomes clear that this epithet is a key point in the intertwining of the roles and characters of Išum, Erra and Marduk. <sup>187</sup>

A particularly interesting demonstration appears in the Epic of Gilgamesh in reference to the monstrous Humbaba, about whom the counselors of Uruk ask: *mannu ša igerrūšu ina* <sup>d</sup>*igi*[*gi*] "who is there among the Igigi that can oppose him (Humbaba)?" (2.226).<sup>188</sup> Here the phrase *igerrūšu* "oppose him" (from *gerû*) evokes <sup>d</sup>*girru* (GÌR) "divine fire," which was just said to issue from the monster's mouth (l. 222). Since fire is divinized (and carries the divine determinative), we may see this as paronomasia with an appellative purpose.<sup>189</sup>

On the productive employment of paronomasia connecting these words, see Nils P. Heeßel, *Babylonisch-assyrische Diagnostik*, AOAT 43 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2000), 269.

<sup>186.</sup> Observed by W. G. Lambert and reported by Foster, Before the Muses, 594.

<sup>187.</sup> Steve Tinney, "den-gi<sub>6</sub>-du-du: *muttarû rubē* A Note on Erra I 21," *NABU* (1989): 4. 188. The two lines are repeated by Enkidu to the elders of Uruk in 2.279, 283, and again by the elders to Gilgamesh in 2.293, 296.

<sup>189.</sup> The Epic of Gilgamesh contains numerous cases of appellative paronomasia. See, e.g., the description of the battle against Humbaba in 5.134–135: *ina sârišunu uḥṭappū sirara u labananu iṣṣalim urpatum peṣītum* "in their whirling around Sirara and Lebanon were sundered. White cloud was turned to black." Here *sârišunu* "their whirling around" anticipates the name *sirara* "Sirara." For other cases in Akkadian, see Victor A. Hurowitz, "dNarru and dZulummar in the Babylonian Theodicy (*BWL* 88:276–77)," *JAOS* 124 (2004): 777–78; Hurowitz, "As His Name Is, So Is He: Word Play in Akkadian Texts" [Hebrew], in *Jubilee Volume for Avi Hurvitz*, ed. Steven E. Fassberg and A. Maman (Jerusalem: Hebrew University, 2008), 69–88; Hurowitz, "Name *Midrashim* and Word Plays on Names in Akkadian Historical Writings," in *A Woman of Valor: Jerusalem Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Honor of Joan Goodnick Westenholz*, ed. Wayne Horowitz, Uri Gabbay, and

That such examples were not intended to be literary whimsy can be seen in a commentary to the Gula Hymn of Bulluṭsarabi, which reads: <sup>d</sup>Anum abī kīma šemīšūma im-ba-an-ni "as Anu, my father, according to his name, called me." As Alasdair Livingstone observes: "The phrase 'according to his name' seems to imply a play on Anu's name: that is apparently imbanni, 'he called me,' understood as imbi <sup>d</sup>ani, 'Anu called.'" Indeed, the expression kīma šemīšūma "according to its name" appears also in Akkadian debate poetry, where it serves to reveal the essence and character of gods, people, and objects. Enrique Jiménez explains:

Such endeavors are extremely common in ancient Mesopotamian texts, where etymology is an exploration into the true, hidden nature of the denotata. Thus, an ancient commentary explains that the name of the wisdom god Ea, dissected into its two syllables, means "the creator of the incantation," since /e/ means "to create" and /a/ means "incantation." The purpose of this explanation is not only, and certainly not primarily, to elucidate the linguistic origin of the god's name: rather, it aims to reveal his character and divine functions. <sup>191</sup>

A well-known example involving a common noun appears in the Egyptian Coffin Texts (Spell 1130, §465a), in which the Lord of All proclaims: *iw rmt m rm.wt ir.t=i* "I made humankind from tears," a statement that recalls Spell 80, §33d, in which Atum asserts: *rmt pr.t m ir.t=i* "humankind emerged from my eye." The same paronomasia occurs in the Hymn to Aten, <sup>192</sup> and in the Book of the Cow of Heaven, where *rmt* "humankind" issues from the eye of the solar god. <sup>193</sup>

,

Filip Vukosavovic, PBOA 8 (Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 2010), 87–104.

<sup>190.</sup> Alasdair Livingstone, *Mystical and Mythological Explanatory Works of Assyrian and Babylonian Scholars* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1986), 45. See also W. G. Lambert, "The Gula Hymn of Bulluṭṣa-rabi," *Or* 36 (1967): 105–32. Cf. the statement of Jesus in John 5:43, "I have come in my Father's name," which underscores the fact that Yahweh's name is imbedded in Jesus' name (i.e., Yehoshua, meaning "Yahweh saves").

<sup>191.</sup> Jiménez, "'As Your Name Indicates," 88-89.

<sup>192.</sup> In the Hymn to Aten it appears in column 6, where Aten is characterized as *m-shpr mij m hm.wt irj mw m rmt s nh si m h.t n mw.t=f sgrh sw m tm.t rm.j=f* "one who grows seed in women, who turns semen into people, who sustains the son in the mother's womb, who soothes him, to hush his tears." The same hymn contains another clever use of paronomasia in column 7: *iw ti m swh.t mdw.j m inr di=k n=f ti m n-hnw=s r s nh=f* "the chick in the egg chirps in its shell, you give him breath in it to keep him alive." Note specifically the use of *ti* "chick" and *ti w* "breath."

<sup>193.</sup> Noted also by Miriam Lichtheim, "Destruction of Mankind," *COS* 1:36 n. 5. The same paronomasia also occurs in the Demotic Chronicle 4.21.

In a similar way, P.Westcar [= P.Berlin 3033] etymologizes infant names. When performing birth rituals, the goddess Isis addresses the forthcoming infants: "may you not kick [s3h] in her womb, in this name of yours Sahure [s3h- $r^c$ ] ... may you not stay dark [kkw] in her womb, in this name of yours Keku (kkw)!" (10.16–17, 10.23–24). <sup>194</sup>

In the Poem of Victory in honor of Ramesses III, several cases of paronomasia tie the pharaoh's exploits to his many titles. After referring to Ramesses by his title \$\hat{hq3-iwn.w}\$ "ruler of Heliopolis," the text boasts that \$h3q.n=f\$ \$\hat{h3s.t}[tmh.jw]\$ "he captured the foreign land of Temehu" and \$\hat{in.w} r km.t\$ "carried (them and their spoils) to Egypt" (1.2–2.3). Here the verb \$h3q\$ "capture" echoes \$hq3\$ "ruler," and the verb \$\hat{in.w}\$ "carried" resounds \$\hat{iwn.w}\$ "Heliopolis." The poem later calls the king a \$[m3\hat{i}] \hat{hr} \hat{h}3rw\$ "lion against Hurru" (3.8), an epithet that recalls his name \$wsr-m3^\*.t-r^\*\$ "Powerful One of Maat and Ra" (3.9).\$^{195}\$

The Poetical Stela of Thutmosis III (CM 34010) similarly trumpets the king's exploits by suggesting his name (i.e., <code>dhwtj-mss</code>). Hence the use of <code>mss</code> "totter" in <code>hr mss n shm.w=s</code> "the enemies were tottering before her (the uraeus') might" (1. 10).

Deities too could be referenced by way of paronomasia. Such is the case in a stela of Ramesses II from Abu Simbel (C 20, Il. 9–10), on which the pharaoh's military prowess is inscribed:  $m\hat{i}$  shm.t  $n\tilde{s}n.t\hat{i}$  m-ht  $i\hat{s}d.t$   $i\hat{h}\hat{s}\hat{b}$  ssr.w=f r=sn shm m '.wt=sn "like Sekhmet raging during a plague, he flings his arrows against them, seizing on their limbs." Here the verb shm "seizing" resounds the name shm.t "Sekhmet." 196

The Pyramid Texts of Pepi I also illustrate this: hr r = fti wr pw hr gs = fndi r = fim.i ndi.t "truly this great one has fallen on his side. He who is in Nedyt (i.e., the place where Osiris was killed) was cast down" (Spell 442, §819a). Note how the verb ndi "cast down" serves as an inherent etymology for the toponym ndi.t "Nedyt." 197

<sup>194.</sup> Laura Parys, *Le récit du Papyrus Westcar: Texte, traduction et interprétation*, Textes égyptiens 1 (Brussells: Safran, 2016), 70–73, 123. See similarly the many appellative cases of paronomasia and polysemy studied by H. W. Fairman, "The Myth of Horus at Edfu-I," *JEA* 21 (1935): 26–36. Cf. the Ramesside Hymn to Sobek 1. 53: *dd.n=k sk pn r it=f m rn=f skr* "you said, this one wipes (*sk*) the mouth (*r*) of his father in his name Sokar (*skr*)." Found in Alan Gardiner, "Hymns to Sobk in a Ramesseum Papyrus," *RdE* 11 (1957), 49 and n. 6.

<sup>195.</sup> Found in Kitchen, *Poetry of Ancient Egypt*, 211–12.

<sup>196.</sup> The god Ra exploits the same paronomasia for appellative purposes in the Destruction of Mankind, II. 14–15: iw=i r shm im=sn [im nsw] tw m s 'nd.w st hpr shm.t "'I shall have power (shm) over them as king, diminishing them.' Thus, Sekhmet (shm.t) came into being."

<sup>197.</sup> See similarly the following excerpt from the Book of the Night: hpr m hpri hfd r 3h.t 'q m r3 pr.

Narrative texts like the Tale of Wenamun (P.Moscow 120) also exhibit this function. See, for example, the seemingly banal line *n-sw n-sw-b3-nb-dd.t n-sw hr.î-hr.î* "it belongs to (pharaoh) Smendes (Nesubanebjed), it belongs to Herihor" (1.15), in which the phrase *n-sw* "it belongs" immediately anticipates and follows the first part of Smendes' name.

Similarly, in the Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022), we hear the name *s}-nht* "Sinuhe" ("son of the sycamore"):  $nmi.n=i m s^c.tj m-h sw nh.t$  "I traversed the Seas of Truth in the area of Nahat" (l. 8). Pharaoh's children similarly illustrate their lingual abilities when they refer to Sinuhe as *s}-mh.jt* "son of the northwind," rather than *s}-nh.t* "Sinuhe" (l. 276). <sup>198</sup>

One finds an appellative function for paronomasia in Ugaritic texts as well. In El's report to Shapash, we hear the name of the goddess Anat (*CAT* 1.6.iv.1–3, 12–14):

1. pl 'nt šdm y špš

"Parched are the furrows of the fields O Shapash,

2. pl 'nt šdm [ ] il vštk

Parched are the furrows of the divine fields.

3. b'l 'nt mhrtt

May Baal restore the furrows of the plowed land."

Each of the lines employs the noun 'nt "furrows," which is visually identical to the name 'nt Anat. It is impossible to know how similar the two words' pronunciations were, but it is difficult to think the allusion would have been missed, and in any event, the appellative function is visually obvious.

There are also echoes of the god Yam in CAT 1.6.v.1–4:

1. yihd b'l bn atrt

Baal seizes the sons of Athirat.

2. rbm ymhş b ktp

The mighty he strikes with a mace,

3. dkvm vmhs b smd

The attackers he strikes with a weapon.

4. sgr ym ymsh l ars

The young of Yam he drags back to the earth.

(birth) opening, emerging from the vulva." Observed by Peter F. Dorman, "Creation on the Potter's Wheel at the Eastern Horizon of Heaven," in *Gold of Praise: Studies on Ancient Egypt in Honor of Edward F. Wente*, ed. Emily Teeter and John A. Larson, SAOC 58 (Chicago: Oriental Institute, 1999), 86.

<sup>198.</sup> The appellative *s3-mh.jt* also can be understood "son of Mehit," a lion-headed goddess of Thinis in central Egypt. See also Scott B. Noegel, "Appellative Paronomasia and Polysemy in the *Tale of Sinuhe*," *Lingua Aegyptia* 26 (2018): 233–38.

*3. Function* 107

The name Yam in line 4 is anticipated visually by the two-fold use of *ymhṣ* "(he) strikes" and *dkym* "attackers." The passage is abetted by paronomasia between *ymhṣ* "(he) strikes," *ṣmd* "weapon," and *ymṣḥ* "(he) drags." <sup>199</sup>

In CAT 1.15.v.19–21 we find paronomasia on the name of Kirtu's son Yassib:

```
19. ... şbia špš
... the niche of the sun,
20. b'lny w ymlk
our lord, therefore Yaşşib will reign
21. [y]şb'ln ...
over us.
```

Observe how the noun *sbia* "niche" anticipates the name Yaṣṣib, and how the connection is enhanced by paronomasia between *b'lny* "our lord" and *'ln* "over us."<sup>200</sup>

Paronomasia has an appellative function also in the Tale of Aqhat, in which Yatpan, the Sutean ( $\check{s}t$ ) warrior, informs the goddess Anat that Aqhat has  $\check{s}t$   $\underline{t}rm$  "set (down) a meal" (CAT 1.18.iv.14). In line 17 of the same text, Anat uses the same verb  $\check{s}t$  "set" in her reply to the Sutean:  $a\check{s}tk$  km  $n\check{s}r$  b  $hb\check{s}y$  "I will set you like a raptor in my belt."

A final demonstration in Ugaritic occurs in Anat's threat to El. After warning that she will kill him, she adds: *aqht w ypltk bn* [*dnil* ...] *w y 'drk* "(Then cry) to Aqhat and he will save you, to the son of Danel ... and he will rescue you" (*CAT* 1.18.i.13–14). Note how *ypltk* "he will save you" paronomastically anticipates El's title *ltpn* "Benevolent" in the next line (1. 15).

Biblical scholars have long attributed an appellative function to paronomasia, especially in narratives involving the naming of infants.<sup>201</sup> For example, יַשָּׁקֹב

<sup>199.</sup> Yam's name appears elsewhere in the same text when Yam does battle with Baal. There we are told: 'z ym l ymk "Yam is fierce, he does not sink" (CAT 1.2.iv.17). The Tale of Kirtu also alludes to the names of gods in the description of how Kirtu lost his progeny: "a third, in health they died (tmt), a quarter by disease (zblnm) (CAT 1.14.i.16–17. Here tmt "died" resounds mt "Mot" and zblnm "disease" echoes zbl "prince (Baal)." The two lines immediately following explicitly attribute Kirtu's loss of progeny to "Reshep" and the "Lad of Yam." Note also that the seventh portion of his progeny was felled by šlh "the sword," which could allude to the chthonic deity šlh "Shaleh" (1. 20).

<sup>200.</sup> This pericope contains another example of appellative paronomasia between the noun bhr "lad" and the toponym hbr "Hubur."

<sup>201.</sup> See A. Guillaume, "Paronomasia in the Old Testament," *JSS* 9 (1964): 282–90; Andrew F. Key, "The Giving of Proper Names in the Old Testament," *JBL* 83 (1964): 55–59; Martin Noth, *Die israelitischen Personnenamen im Rahmen der gemeinsemitischen Namengebung* (Hildesheim: Olms, 1966); James Barr, "The Symbolism of Names in the Old Testament," *BJRL* 52 (1969–1970): 11–29; Cherry, *Paronomasia and Proper Names in* 

ya 'aqōb "Jacob" receives his name, because he grabbed the עָקֵב 'āqēb "heel" of his brother during birth (Gen 25:26). However, it is Garsiel's seminal work that has revealed how truly pervasive this device is in biblical texts.<sup>202</sup>

As I have discussed in reference to the name Noah (see 2.5 and 3.3), some cases that have an appellative function are based on an aural connection, but not an etymological one. This is the case also in 1 Sam 1:20, in which Hannah names her son מֵיְהְוָה שִׁאַלֶּהִי "Samuel" explaining: מֵיִהְנְה שִׁאַלֶּהִי  $m\bar{e}$ -YHWH səʾiltīw "I asked Yahweh for him." Though the etymology of the name Samuel is debated, scholars agree that it is unrelated to the verb שַׁאַל sāʾal meaning "ask." Nevertheless, the presence of the sounds /š/, /²/, and /l/ in both "Samuel" and "ask," and in the same order, was sufficient to connect the two.  $^{204}$ 

Lawrence Zalcman has uncovered a particularly pronounced case of paronomasia that serves an appellative function in Zeph 2:4: בָּי עַוָּה תְּהָיָה וֹאַלָּהְ תַּנְיָה וֹאַלָּהְ וֹּצְלְּהְרִים יְגְרְשׁוּהְ וְעֶקְרוֹן תַּעְקַר אַ גְּשְׁדִּוֹד בַּצְּהֲרִיִם יְגְרְשׁוּהְ וְעֶקְרוֹן תַּעְקַר מֹּאַ מְּלוֹן לִשְׁמְהָה אַשְׁדּוֹד בַּצְּהֲרִיִם יְגְרְשׁוּהְ וְעֶקְרוֹן תַּעְקַר מֹּאַ מְּלֹּוֹן לִשְׁמְחָה וֹאַשְּׁקְלוֹן לִשְׁמְחָה אַשְׁדּוֹד בַּצְּהֲרִים יְגְרְשׁוּהְ וְעֶקְרוֹן תַּעְקַרוֹן תַּעְקַרוֹן וּאַשְּׁקְלוֹן לִשְׁמְחָה מֹּאַשְׁהוֹ מֹּאַמָּח וֹאֹי מֹצְּמָבְּר "for Gaza shall be forsaken, and Ashkelon a desolation, they shall drive out Ashdod at noon, and Ekron shall become barren." The name עַּקְרוֹן מִּעְקַר "Gaza" resounds in the verb מִּעְקַר מַבּלּר "śazūb̄ah "forsaken" and עֵּקְרוֹן בּעְקְרוֹן מִּעְקַר "aźūb̄ah "forsaken" addition, the name מַּאַלְּוֹן מַצְּלַה "Ashdod" suggests the verb אַלְּבֹּר "destroy." Moreover, as Zalcman adds:

the Old Testament; David Bivin, "The Pun on Peter Works Better in Hebrew," BARev 19 (1993): 18–19; Robert Řehák, Synchronní metody výkladu hebrejských proprií ve Starém zákoně a v rabínské literatuře [Synchronic Methods of Interpreting of Hebrew Proper Names in the Old Testament and Rabbinic Literature] (PhD diss., Charles University, 2007).

<sup>202.</sup> Garsiel, *Biblical Names*. This work has been taken up by some of his students as well. See, e.g., Jonathan Grossman, *Ambiguity in Biblical Narrative and Its Contribution to the Literary Formation* [Hebrew] (PhD diss., Bar-Ilan University, 2006), 157–59; Grossman, *Esther: The Outer Narrative and the Hidden Reading*, SLTHS 6 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011); Grossman, *Text and Subtext: On Exploring Biblical Narrative Design* [Hebrew] (Tel Aviv: Hakibbutz Hameuchad, 2015); and Grossman, *Ruth*.

<sup>203.</sup> Cf. Klaas Spronk, "Shamgar ben Anat (Judg 3:31)—A Meaningful Name," ZAW 128 (2016): 684–87, argues that the name should be understood as suggesting  $\[ \] \] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\] \$   $\[\]$ 

<sup>205.</sup> Zalcman, "Ambiguity and Assonance at Zephaniah II 4."

<sup>206.</sup> עַּזְּה reflects PS  $\dot{g}azz\bar{a}h$ , whereas עַזְּה represents PS  $\dot{a}z\bar{u}b\bar{a}h$ , so the paronomasia between them is effective primarily visually.

Indeed, the verse comprises an elaborate sequence of *double entendres*, in which the cities of the Philistines are personified as women and consigned to four of the most bitter fates a woman can endure: abandonment, spinsterhood, divorce, and barrenness.<sup>207</sup>

Paronomasia with an appellative purpose also informs the pious boast of the Moabite king Mesha: "I built a high place of salvation [עישע yš ], because Chemosh saved me [השעני] from all kings" (Il. 3–4). Here the highlighted words recall the king's name משע [ $m\check{s}$  ] "Mesha."

The Phoenician inscription of Azitawadda (*KAI* 26C, 1. 7) offers a particularly clever example of paronomasia for appellative ends. After telling how he founded a city that he named after himself, Azitawadda asks Baal of the Mace (בעתריש b'l krntryš) to bless it with prosperity: שבע ותרש b'l krntryš) to bless it with prosperity: שבע ותרש b'l krntryš "and may this city possess grain and new wine" (C, 1. 7). The petition echoes the name Baal in the verb בעלת b'lt "possess" and the noun ברנתריש krntryš "mace" in the words ברנתריש "city" and ברנתריש "" "wine."

<sup>207.</sup> Zalcman, "Ambiguity and Assonance at Zephaniah II 4," 367.

<sup>208.</sup> Isaac Kalimi, "Paronomasia in the Book of Chronicles," *JSOT* 67 (1995): 37; Kalimi, "Utilization of Pun/Paronomasia in the Chronistic Writing," in *An Ancient Israelite Historian: Studies in the Chronicler, His Time, Place, and Writing*, ed. I. Kalimi (Assen, the Netherlands: Royal Van Gorcum Press, 2005), 67–82.

<sup>209.</sup> Kalimi, "Paronomasia in the Book of Chronicles," 38.

<sup>210.</sup> The repeated use of אב 'b "father" in the inscription (II. 2–3) in conjunction with the repeated name אבה "Moab" m'b (II. 1–2) also constitutes an appellative form of paronomasia. Cf. Gen 19:37, in which the author understands the etymology of the name "Moab" pejoratively to mean אַ 'āb + אָב min "from the father," i.e., by way of incest. Zakovitch, "Explicit and Implicit Name-Derivations," 168, notes that "in the Moabite dialect the Hebrew  $\hat{o}$ -vocalization is pronounced  $\bar{e}$ . The name being derived, then is  $M\bar{e}$ '  $\bar{a}b$ ." He compares the forms with p  $m\bar{e}$ - in Gen 19:32, 19:34, 19:36.

<sup>211.</sup> Cf. Prov 3:10.

<sup>212.</sup> On the interpretation "mace-bearer," from the Greek κορυνητήριος, see Philip C. Schmitz, "Phoenician KRNTRYŠ, Archaic Greek \*ΚΟΡΥΝΗΤΗΡΙΟΣ, and the Storm

One also finds an appellative use of paronomasia in the Aramaic Levi Document from Qumran. When the mother of Merari (מררי mrry) recalls his birth, she states:

Amram (עמרם 'mrm) too is given his name in XII, 4, as his grandmother recalls, "for I said [אמרח] when he was born, 'This one will raise up אמרח 'mrt] when he was born, 'This one will raise up אמרח 'm' ' yrym 'm' 'h epeople from the la[nd of Eg]ypt. Accordingly [his name] will be called the exalted pe[ople] עמא ראמא (m' r'm').""<sup>213</sup>

The Aramaic acrostic poem entitled The World Trembled provides a fine example of appellative paronomasia when providing an explanation for the name of the river Nile. In line 6, we read: "the morning [מְּשׁחִרה s̄ḥrh] star shone forth like light [מְשׁחִרה nwhrh], to redeem the black one [מַחֹר s̄ḥwrh] from the land of the Nile [מַחֹר "pool of Horus," the consonants provide ample opportunity for a false etymology that connects it to the "morning," and notions of "blackness," with its connotation of "pollution." Adding to the paronomasia is מֹנ חֹל ווֹנָה מִינ אַרָּה ("light," which repeats the final sound /ra/. The employment of paronomasia for appellative purposes would enjoy continued use by the later rabbis, as we find in the Talmud and various midrashic texts. The employment of paronomasia for the Talmud and various midrashic texts.

God of Aleppo," KUSATU 10 (2009): 119–60, who also surveys previous interpretations of this difficult word.

<sup>213.</sup> See Jonas C. Greenfield, Michael E. Stone, and Esther Eshel, *The Aramaic Levi Document: Edition, Translation, Commentary*, Studia in Veteris Testamenti Pseudepigrapha 19 (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 94–95, 98–99, 191, 198. The first example in the passage about Merari is in Hebrew, not Aramaic. The authors use the expression "name midrash" for the device, which also occurs on the names Kohath, Jochebed, and Gershom in 11:6–7, 11:10, 18:3.

<sup>214.</sup> Alphons S. Rodrigues Pereira, *Studies in Aramaic Poetry (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.):* Selected Jewish, Christian and Samaritan Poems, SSN 34 (Assen: Van Gorcum, 1997), 63.

<sup>215.</sup> This late poem also might constitute paronomasia between the sounds /h/ and /h/, since the gutturals might have been confused or might have lost some of their force by this time

<sup>216.</sup> See J. D. Wynkoop and P. Van den Biesen, "A Peculiar Kind of Paronomasia in the Talmud and Midrash," *JQR* 2 (1911): 2–23; Nachman Levine, "On Midrash on Talmudic Names: A Literary Device and Its Significance" [Hebrew], *JSIS* 11 (2012): 1–21.

# 3.10.2. APPELLATIVE PARONOMASIA ON THE AUTHOR'S NAME

An appellative function appears evident also in cases of polysemy and paronomasia that invoke the name of an author (or supposed author) of a text, which Garsiel has referred to as a "subtle colophon."<sup>217</sup> Enthusiasts of classical music are familiar with this device in the work of Johann Sebastian Bach, who imbedded his name into the notes that comprised his musical compositions.<sup>218</sup>

An excellent demonstration of colophonic paronomasia in Akkadian occurs in the famous code of Hammurapi. Bill Arnold has shown that the king's name ('ammu + rapi = "The [Divine] Kinsman Heals") resounds in the noun ammi "people" in the prologue:  $mu\check{sepi}$   $k\bar{l}n\bar{a}tim$   $mu\check{su}\check{si}$  r ammi "[I am the king] who proclaims truth, who puts the people in order" (4.53–54). In this way, the text subtly avows that "the great 'Kinsman-Heals' has himself healed his people by ordering them with truth and justice."

On the Šitti-Marduk *kudurru* (land grant stone inscription), one finds the epithet *nāṣir kudurrēti* "guardian of the land-grant stones," placed in the center of the inscription. Hurowitz observed that the title is unique to this *kudurru* and that it serves as a colophonic reference to the main subject of the inscription, Nebuchadrezzar (i.e., Nabû-kudurrī-uṣur, lit. "O Nabû, guard my heir/land-grant stone"). <sup>220</sup>

The Egyptian Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor illustrates this function as well. The text's colophon informs us that the text is sš sš.w iqr n db'.w=f imn.j s3 imn-3 "a writing of the writer, clever-fingered, Ameny's son Amenaa" (ll. 188–189). According to Parkinson, the epithet "clever-fingered" recalls the sailor's "cleverness" at the start of the story (l. 1) and the count's dismissal of it at the end

<sup>217.</sup> Moshe Garsiel, "Implicit Puns upon Names as Subtle Colophons in the Bible," in *Haim M. L. Gevaryahu Memorial Volume*, ed. Joshua J. Adler (Jerusalem: World Jewish Bible Center, 1990), 1–8; Garsiel, "Puns upon Names: Subtle Colophons in the Bible," *JBQ* 23 (1995): 182–87.

<sup>218.</sup> For example, the last original page of Bach's *Art of the Fugue* contains the cryptic spelling of Bach's name with musical notation. In music, the B-A-C-H motif is the sequence of notes B flat, A, C, B natural. See Douglas R. Hofstadter, *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid* (New York: Basic Books, 1979), 80.

<sup>219.</sup> Bill T. Arnold, "Wordplay on 'Hammurapi' in CH iv 54," NABU (2016): 72.

<sup>220.</sup> Hurowitz, "Some Literary Observations on the Šitti-Marduk Kudurru (BBSt. 6)," 47–48. Perhaps related to this device is the poet's clever demonstration of the "truth of his title" bin šar dadmī in the Standard Babylonian version of the Anzu Myth. See Marianna E. Vogelzang, "Kill Anzu! On a Point of Literary Evolution," in Keilschriftliche Literaturen Ausgewählte Vorträge der XXXII. Rencontre assyrio-logique internationale, Münster, 8.–12.7.1985, ed. Karl Hecker and Walter Sommerfeld, BBVO 6 (Berlin: Dietrich Reimer Verlag, 1986), 70.

(l. 183), thus creating a distance between the author of the story and its didactic message:

The audience of the Tale can experience the incertainty of reality, and learn of the cataclysmic end of the earth, but at a safe distance: the scribe is distanced from all the cataclysms and is safely assured of his "cleverness", although the narrator cannot be.<sup>221</sup>

In addition, the name Amun, twice contained in *imn.j s3 imn-3* "Ameny's son Amenaa," also recalls the *mni.t* "mooring-post" at the start of the tale.<sup>222</sup>

In Ugaritic, we find the name of the scribe Ilimilku (*ilmlk*) imbedded in the Tale of Kirtu in the queries of El to the grief-stricken hero: "what ails Kirtu that he weeps, the gracious one, heir of El? Is it the kingship of Bull El, his father that he desires?" (*CAT* 1.14.i.40–42). Here the name *il* "El" and the noun *mlk* "kingship" are juxtaposed in a way that allows one to see *il mlk* as a subtle colophon. See also *CAT* 1.4.iv.38–39, where the line *hm yd il mlk yhss* "does the 'hand' of El the king excite you?," permits the reading: "Does the hand of Ilimilku instruct you?"<sup>223</sup>

There are many examples of colophonic paronomasia in the Hebrew Bible. The Song of Songs contains several cases of paronomasia on the name of its supposed author Solomon (שִׁלֹמֹה عִׁ שִׁלֹמֹה שִׁלֹמִה sallāmāh). These occur in the lines "for why sallāmāh) should I be as one who strays" (1:7); "return, return, O Shulammite (שִּׁלֹמִה haš-sūlammīt)" (7:1), and in the noun "peace" (8:10). The name שִׁלֹמֹה salōmōh itself follows the latter paronomasia closely in 8:11. Several lexemes in the Song reference Solomon's other name יְּדִייְדִי yadīdyāh "Beloved of Yah(weh)" (2 Sam 12:25), 224 in particular the repeated forms אַלּמֹל "your love" and דּוֹדִי d̄ōdt "my beloved" (e.g., Song 1:4, 2:9, 2:17, etc.).

Another subtle colophon in Isa 12:2–3 exploits the root ישע y- $\check{s}$ - "triumph, deliver" to echo the name ישַׁעיָהוּ yəša 'yāhū "Isaiah."

<sup>221.</sup> Richard B. Parkinson, "The Dream and the Knot: Contextualizing Middle Kingdom Literature," in *Definitely: Egyptian Literature. Proceedings of the Symposium "Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms," Los Angeles, March 24–26, 1995*, ed. Gerald Moers, LASM 2 (Göttingen: Seminar für Ägyptologie und Koptologie, 1999), 63–82, quotation on p. 78.

<sup>222.</sup> As observed by Rendsburg, "Literary Devices in the Story of the Shipwrecked Sailor," 20.

<sup>223.</sup> I thank my graduate student Corinna Nichols for these observations.

<sup>224.</sup> Garsiel, "Puns upon Names," 187. I add to Garsiel's fine collection paronomasia on Job's name (אַיִּב 'īyyō̄b) in Job 13:24 and 33:10, which suggests אוֹיַב 'ਰ̄yō̄b "enemy." See also Scott B. Noegel, "Another Look at Job 18:2,3," JBQ 23 (1995): 161.

*3. Function* 113

Behold the God of my triumph (יְשׁוּשְׁתִּי yəšū ʿātī]! I am confident, unafraid! For my strength (אָזִי 'ŏzzī') and might is Yah, Yahweh, and he will be my deliverance lī-sū 'āh]. Joyfully shall you draw water, from the fountains of triumph li-su li-su

In addition, the use of אָדִי 'ŏzzī "my strength" (12:2) represents a subtle allusion to King Uzziah (אַדִּיָּהוֹ 'uzzīyyāhū), the king during whose reign Isaiah began prophesying. The counterpart passages in 2 Chr 26:8–16 also demonstrate a knowledge of the paronomasia by repeating the root אָדי 'h-z-q "be strong, strengthen," a synonym for אָד ' $\bar{o}$ z. Garsiel concludes: "These two implicit references to the names of the prophet and the first king of his period seem to constitute a subtle colophon." I add to Garsiel's observations that the repetition of the root אָדי אָר-z-q recalls the name Hezeqiah (אַדְּיִדְּיִּדְיִּ yəḥizqīyyāhū), another king in whose reign Isaiah prophesied.

## 3.11. STRUCTURAL

Some forms of paronomasia function to organize and connect textual units. This category includes Watson's proposed functions "to assist composition" and "to link a poem or its parts." Structural paronomasia differs from referential paronomasia in that the former functions as a reason for a text's organization and composition, whereas the latter invites readers to compare and contrast the use of lexemes within a text.

Paronomasia serves as an organizational principle in some Akkadian lexical series like ERIM. HUŠ = anantu, AN.TA.GÁL =  $šaq\hat{u}$ , and SIG<sub>7</sub>. ALAN =  $nabn\bar{\iota}tu$ . The latter series, for example, places the entry  $er\hat{u}$  "be pregnant" closely before  $er\hat{u}$  "grinding slab,"  $er\hat{u}$  "eagle,"  $er\hat{u}$  "copper," and  $ur\hat{u}$  "to cut a branch." Indeed, as the editors of the series observe: "Any given entry that occurs in a tablet may stimulate the inclusion of an item that is either

<sup>225.</sup> Garsiel, "Puns upon Names," 184.

<sup>226.</sup> Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 245.

<sup>227.</sup> Antoine Cavigneaux, et al., eds., *The Series Erim-huš* = anantu and An-ta-gál = šaqû, MSL 17 (Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1985); I. J. Finkel and M. Civil, eds., *The Series SIG*<sub>7</sub>. $ALAN = Nabn\bar{\iota}tu$ , MSL 16 (Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1982). See now also Nick C. Veldhuis, *History of the Cuneiform Lexical Traditions*, GMTR 6 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2014), 106, 169, 220–22.

<sup>228.</sup> Finkel and Civil, *Series*  $SIG_7$ . $ALAN = Nabn\overline{\imath}tu$ , 32. A similar use of the polyseme  $er\hat{u}$  for "eagle," "nakedness," and "conception," and possibly "copper" occurs in the *Etana* myth. Noted by Abraham Winitzer, "Etana in Eden: New Light on the Mesopotamian and Biblical Tales in Their Semitic Context," *JAOS* 133 (2013): 441–65.

homophonous, or shares at least one, usually two, of its radicals."<sup>229</sup> Paronomasia also links several omens in the omen series for malformed animal births known as *Šumma Izbu*.<sup>230</sup>

A similar strategy appears in some Egyptian texts. The Ramesside dream manual contains several examples. The omen in r. 2.8 reads:  $[hr] \ rdi.t \ n=f \ hmt \ m$  [...]  $[nfr] \ h.t \ [qil]=f \ lm=sn$  "giving him copper as [...]; [good],  $[it \ means]$  something at which he will be exalted." It is followed in r. 2.9 by  $[hr \ ...] \ hm.t=f \ n \ hij \ [nfr] \ hm \ dw.wt \ pw \ lrj=f \ [...]$  "his woman to a married man; [good], it means that the bad things related to him will retreat." The two omens were written in sequence, because hr "upon" and hmt "copper" in the protasis of the first omen resound in hr "upon," hm.t "woman," and hm "retreat" in the second omen.

Some Egyptian poems use paronomasia to connect the first verse to the last in a way that forms an inclusio. Such is the case, as Loprieno has shown, with the love poem in P.Harris 500, which begins with the line "Absynth [s 'm] plants are there, and one feels great [s 'f] in front of them," and concludes, "if I am met by any of your glances, it would be better than food and drink [wm-swf]."

To date no Ugaritic texts have provided evidence for an organizational structure based on polysemy or paronomasia. The scribes of Ugarit did produce lexical texts, wherein one might expect to find such a feature, especially as these are not local traditions, but the result of Mesopotamian influence. Nevertheless, many remain unpublished.<sup>233</sup>

However, the Hebrew Bible contains several examples of paronomasia serving as an organizing principle.<sup>234</sup> In Gen 4:20–22, the narrator states that

<sup>229.</sup> Finkel and Civil, Series SIG7.ALAN = Nabnītu, 31.

<sup>230.</sup> See Nicla De Zorzi, *La Serie Teratomantica Šumma Izbu: Testo, Tradizione, Orizzonti Culturali*, vols. 1–2, HANEM 15 (Padova: S.A.R.G.O.N. Editroce e Libreria, 2014), 194–96; Markham J. Geller, "The Concept of the Semitic Root in Akkadian Lexicography," in *Multilingualism, Lingua Franca and Lingua Sacra*, ed. Jens Braarvig and Markham J. Geller, Studies 10 (Berlin: Max Plank Institute for the History of Science, 2018), 299–306. 231. Noegel and Szpakowska, "Word Play' in the Ramesside Dream Manual."

<sup>232.</sup> Cited by Loprieno, "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian," 15, with some variation. See also Guglielmi, "Zu einigen literarischen Funktionen des Wortspiels," 491–505. This is group C, no. 18, lines A, E in Fox, *Song of Songs and the Ancient Egyptian Love Songs*, 26.

<sup>233.</sup> See Veldhuis, History of the Cuneiform Lexical Traditions, 279-80, 297-99.

<sup>234.</sup> Joel Kaminsky, "Reflections on Associative Word Links in Judges," *JSOT* 36 (2012): 411–34, has shown how certain keywords can serve to link individual stories in Judges. He notes (430) how William L. Holladay once "presented an example of an associational method of scribal editing in Jeremiah 18 in which a passage concerning a potter (Jer. 18.1–12) sits next to an oracle that mentions Lebanon (Jer. 18.13–17). He pointed out that this same juxtaposition of topics occurs in Isaiah 29, in which a potter and his clay are mentioned in 29.16, immediately followed by 29.17, a verse that mentions Lebanon. Of

Some of the legal materials also appear to have been organized according to paronomastic principles. Alexander Rofé has noticed that the law forbidding prostitutes in the temple was placed after the law concerning the ill treatment of runaway slaves (Deut 23:17–19), because the latter contains the noun יצָּהְנֵהְ 'etַחָּמַח "fee" and the former the similar sounding verb אַרְהָנָה tōnɛnnū "oppress him." 236

Cassuto similarly opined that the list of Moses's spies draws upon paronomastic associations of their names. Thus, אַדְיאֵל בֶּן סוֹדִי gaddī el ben sōdī "Gaddiel the son of Sodi" naturally preceded the similar sounding נְּדִיאֵל פָּן סוֹסִי gaddī ben sūsī "Gaddi the son of Susi," and סְתוּר satūr "Sethur" was followed by מַּלְּדִי "Nahbi," because both names bear the meaning "hide" (Num 13:10–11, 13:13–14).

Nachman Levine has argued that paronomasia can function to connect a literary unit or even form an inclusio when carried on vertically through a poem (much like the Egyptian poem cited above). For example, Ps 26 begins, "judge me, O Yahweh, for I have walked in my integrity, and I have trusted in Yahweh without wavering [יְמַתְּדֹח]" (26:1), and concludes: My foot stands [יַמְתָּדָה] 'amdāh] in an even place, in the congregation will I bless Yahweh" (26:12). The

course, one still needs to explain why these two topics sit next to each other in Isaiah 29. It turns out that this juxtaposition in Isaiah is most likely due to the fact that 29.16 and 29.17 each contain the same *niphal* third masculine singular imperfect form of will be accounted or 'will be reckoned'. Once the two oracles in Isaiah were firmly grouped together it seems that the editors of Jeremiah drew on other elements of the already close association between Isa. 29.16 and 29.17. In short, whoever edited Jeremiah remembered that the idea of a potter and his clay creation resided next to a passage invoking Lebanon in Isaiah, whereupon this associative link was used to order some of the random oracles in Jeremiah. Holladay's insight provides evidence of an ancient filing system based on associations, which is exactly what one would expect to find in Israelite scribal culture in which scribes living in a primarily oral culture were seeking to order scrolls of diverse materials in associative ways."

<sup>235.</sup> Garsiel, Biblical Names, 95.

<sup>236.</sup> See Alexander Rofé, "The Arrangement of the Laws in Deuteronomy," ETL 64 (1988): 265–85.

<sup>237. &</sup>quot;Sethur" from the root סחר *s-t-r* and "Nahbi" from חבא *h-b-*'. Cassuto's observations are cited by Rofé, "Arrangement of the Laws in Deuteronomy," 265.

two verbal stems are anagrams and antonyms of each other and form an inclusio.238

He also points to Ps 64:9–10:

```
וַיַּכִשִׁילוּהוּ עַלֵּימוֹ לְשׁוֹנָם יִתְנֹדֵדוּ כַּל־רֹאֵה בַם:
וייראו כַּל־אַדָם וַיַּגִּידוּ פֿעַל אֱלֹהִים וּמַעֲשָׁהוּ הִשְׂכִּילוּ:
```

way-yakšīlūhū 'ālēmō ləšōnām yitnodădū kŏl rō'ēh bām way-yīyrə'ū kŏl 'ādām way-yaggīdū pō'al 'ĕlōhīm ū-ma'ăśēhū hiśkīlū

And they shall cause themselves to stumble on account of their own tongues, they shall shudder, all who see them.

And all men shall fear, and they shall declare the work of God and they shall understand his doing.

The first verb in the first line וַיְבשִׁילוּהוּ way-yakַsīlūhū "and they shall cause themselves to stumble") and the last in the second line (השבילו hiśkīlū "they shall understand") are paronomastic. So too are the penultimate word in the first line ראָה)  $r\bar{o}$  ' $\bar{e}h$  "who see") and the first word in the second line (אַרָּאָד way-yīyrə ' $\bar{u}$ "they shall fear"). 239 To these fine observations I add the inherent paronomasia between יתובדו vitnodădū "they shall shudder" and יתובדו way-yaggīdū "and they shall declare," the former derived from the root נגד *n-w-d* and the latter from נגד n-g-d.

The Aramaic Proverbs of Ahigar similarly employ paronomasia as an organizational principle. In particular, proverbs C1 1:126, 1:128, and 1:129 appear to have been grouped together, because the first proverb contains the noun חט ht "arrow," the second א חט ht "arrow" and ht "sin," and the third חנטתא hntt' "wheat."240

<sup>238.</sup> Nachman Levine, "Vertical Poetics: Interlinear Phonological Parallelism in Psalms," JNSL 29 (2004): 73, notes additional (nonparonomastic) lexical items tying the beginning to the end. He argues for seeing the vertical use of paronomasia as a new device. However, all texts are, in essence, vertical structures in which one finds paronomasia. So I see his examples simply as additional cases of extended paronomasia, as found elsewhere in biblical poetry and prose. See also John S. Kselman, "Semantic-Sonant Chiasmus in Biblical Poetry," Bib 58 (1977): 219–23, for related observations.

<sup>239.</sup> Levine, "Vertical Poetics," 74.

<sup>240.</sup> Proverbs C1 1:127 and 1:129 also have nearly identical endings. On other poetic features in the text, see Wilfred G. E. Watson, Traditional Techniques in Classical Hebrew Verse, JSOTSup 170 (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1994), 72-86.

*3. Function* 117

#### 3.12. MNEMONIC

A mnemonic function has been attributed to some cases of polysemy and paronomasia. Nathan Wasserman has argued that such devices abetted the memorization of proverbs in Mesopotamian culture.<sup>241</sup> Loprieno has suggested that aiding the memory was one of the primary functions of paronomasia in Egyptian texts since it produced a rhythmic effect.<sup>242</sup> Since many poems were sung or enchanted and enjoyed a musical accompaniment, it is likely that the music also helped memorization. Aramaic paronomasia similarly served the later Masoretes as memory aids.<sup>243</sup>

However, the most frequent claims for a mnemonic function relate to the creation of acrostics. Acrostics work by reading vertically the initial letter or sign of each successive word in a poem (see 4.1.12). In the Hebrew Bible, many acrostics proceed through the alphabet. Indeed, the compositional use of the alphabet in some of these same acrostics is so sophisticated that a mnemonic function seems likely.

Others have suggested that biblical acrostics functioned to convey a sense of order, <sup>244</sup> or in the case of the book of Lamentations, to provide readers with a mechanism for interacting with their emotions through reason. <sup>245</sup> Still other acrostics appear to demonstrate the erudition of a scribe or to perform a ritual function (see 4.1.12). Thus, an Akkadian acrostic found in The Dialogue of Saggil-kinam-ubbib reads: "I, Saggil-kīnam-ubbib, the exorcist, am adorant of the god and the king." Other Akkadian acrostics spell out divine names and appear to add power to prayers. <sup>246</sup> One Egyptian acrostic appears equally performative in that it reads in multiple directions and contains a hymn to the goddess Mut. <sup>247</sup> Another from Egypt records the prayer of a man who is deceased. <sup>248</sup> Therefore,

<sup>241.</sup> In Akkadian proverbs, for example. See Wasserman, *Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts*. 171.

<sup>242.</sup> Loprieno, "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian," 15-17.

<sup>243.</sup> See David Marcus, *Scribal Wit: Aramaic Mnemonics in the Leningrad Codex*, TS 10 (Piscataway, NJ: Gorgias, 2013).

<sup>244.</sup> Delbert R. Hillers, *Lamentations: Introduction, Translation, and Notes*, AB 7A (New York: Doubleday, 1992).

<sup>245.</sup> Elie Assis, "The Alphabetic Acrostic in the Book of Lamentations,"  $\it CBQ$  69 (2007): 710–24.

<sup>246.</sup> On biblical and Mesopotamian acrostics, see John F. Brug, "Biblical Acrostics and Their Relationship to Other Ancient Near Eastern Acrostics," in *The Bible in the Light of Cuneiform Literature: Scripture in Context III*, ed. William W. Hallo et al., ANETS 8 (Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen Press, 1990), 283–304.

<sup>247.</sup> H. M. Stewart, "A Crossword Hymn to Mut," JEA 57 (1971): 87–104.

<sup>248.</sup> Jan Zandee, An Ancient Egyptian Crossword Puzzle (Leiden: Ex Oriente Lux, 1966).

while some acrostics likely functioned as *aides-mémoire*, others appear to have been demonstrations of piety and/or devices of ritual empowerment.

## 3.13. HERMENEUTIC

Polysemy and paronomasia can function as hermeneutical tools. One primarily finds them employed this way in four corpora: divinatory accounts, medical diagnoses, commentaries, and riddles, though in some cases, paronomasia for appellative purposes also can serve in this capacity (see 3.10).

## 3.13.1. DIVINATORY

Divinatory texts throughout the ancient Near East demonstrate strong paronomastic connections between their protases and apodoses.<sup>249</sup> Thus, in an Akkadian dream omen compendium one finds: "if one dreams he is eating a raven [ārbu]; it means he will have plenty [irbu]."250 Here the similarity in sound between the two highlighted nouns leads to the dream's interpretation. Nicla De Zorzi has discovered a number of examples in the omen series for malformed animal births known as *Šumma Izbu*. <sup>251</sup> For example, "if a sow gives birth, but (the babies) die [imūtū]: its master will soon receive an order [amāta]." The verb imūtū "they will die" in the protasis connects paronomastically to amāta "order" in the apodosis (22.86). See also omen 6.42: "if there is a malformed birth, and in its belly [ $libbi\check{s}u$ ] there is an egg [ $pel\hat{u}mma$ ], and inside [libbi] the egg [ $pel\hat{i}$ ] there is a chick [atmu]: the throne will change; the lake [tâmtu] will dry up [ibbal]." As De Zorzi notes, paronomasia obtains between atmu "chick" and tâmtu "lake." To her astute observations, I add that the repeated noun libbu "inside, heart" finds a match in ibbal "it will dry up." I further suggest that the change of throne in the apodosis derives from the two-fold mention of the pelû "egg," which suggests palû "reign, length of reign."

<sup>249.</sup> See Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*; Noegel, "Dreams and Dream Interpreters in Mesopotamia and in the Hebrew Bible (Old Testament)," in *Dreams and Dreaming: A Reader in Religion, Anthropology, History, and Psychology*, ed. Kelly Bulkeley (Hampshire, UK: Palgrave-St. Martin's Press, 2001), 45–71.

<sup>250.</sup> Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 11–18; Stefania Ermidoro, "Eating and Drinking in Dreams: Tablet A of the Assyrian 'Dream Book,'" in *Libiamo ne' lieti calici: Ancient Near Eastern Studies Presented to Lucio Milano on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday by Pupils, Colleagues and Friends*, ed. Paola Corò et al., AOAT 436 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2016), 172–74, 177–78.

<sup>251.</sup> De Zorzi, *La Serie Teratomantica Šumma Izbu*, 192; De Zorzi, "The Omen Series *Šumma Izbu*: Internal Structure and Hermeneutic Strategies," *KASKAL*: *Rivista di storia, ambienti e culture del Vicino Oriente Antico* 8 (2011): 43–75.

*3. Function* 119

A more complex example is the following dream omen:

If (in a dream) he seizes a fox (KA.A = Akkadian  $\check{selibu}$ ); he will seize a Lamassu (AN.KAL), but if he seizes a fox in his hand ( $\check{S}U$ ), and it escapes; he will have seized a Lamassu, but it also will escape from his hand ( $\check{S}U$ ).

The interpretation derives from the knowledge that if one writes the word for "fox" syllabically as  $\check{se}_7$ -lib-bu, the same signs also have the values (A).AN.KAL-u, meaning "Lamassu." Though the reading is not exactly equivalent, it provides enough of a correlation to justify the interpretation. Indeed, as I have discussed above (2.5), polysemy need not be grammatically perfect to be effective. Moreover, the dreamer is said to seize the fox in his ŠU (= Akkadian  $q\bar{a}tu$ ) "hand." Elsewhere we find the following identification:  ${}^{d}LAMMA = {}^{d}\check{S}U$ , LAMMA being Sumerian for Lamassu.  ${}^{253}$ 

Not only do Akkadian divinatory texts employ polysemy and paronomasia as a hermeneutic, but literary texts that report divinatory activity do so as well.<sup>254</sup> In the Old Babylonian version of the Epic of Gilgamesh, the interpretations that Gilgamesh's mother offers are linked to the objects in his dream by way of polysemy and paronomasia. He reports his dream as follows (1.i.7–14):

- 7. [kis]-rum ša a-nim im-qú-tam a-na ṣe-ri-ia A [meteor]ite of Anu fell down upon me.
- 8. *aš-ši-šu-ma ik-ta-bi-it e-li-ia* I picked it up, but it was too heavy for me.
- 9. *ú-ni-ís-su-ma nu-uš-ša-šu ú-ul el-ti-'i* I pushed at it, but I could not budge it.
- 10. *uruk*<sup>ki</sup> *ma-tum pa-hi-ir e-li-šu*The land of Uruk gathered around it.
- 11. *et-lu-tum ú-na-ša-qú ši-pi-šu*The young men were kissing its feet.
- 12. *ú-um-mi-id-ma pu-ti* I braced my forehead
- 13. *i-mi-du ia-ti* and they supported me.
- 14. *aš-ši-a-šu-ma at-ba-la-aš-šu a-na ṣe-ri-ki* I picked it up and carried it off to you.

<sup>252.</sup> Scott B. Noegel, "Fox on the Run: Catch a Lamassu by the Pun," *NABU* 73 (1995): 101–2.

<sup>253.</sup> CAD L, s.v. "lamassu."

<sup>254.</sup> For other Akkadian dream accounts, see Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 57-88.

Of particular interest is the noun  $ki\bar{s}ru$ , which in the context of the dream means "meteorite," but also can mean a "steppeland plant" as well as "strength." The word  $s\bar{e}r\bar{i}ya$  appears to be the preposition and suffix, that is, "upon me," though  $s\bar{e}ri$  also can mean "steppeland." His mother draws upon the alternate meanings of these words when she says that his dream portends the coming of one who is Gilgamesh's equal and  $ina s\bar{e}ri iwalidma$  "born of the steppeland."<sup>255</sup>

In the Egyptian dream manual, one finds that if a man dreams of:  $d\hat{t} = tw \ n = f$   $t \ h\underline{d} \ nfr \ h.t \ pw \ h\underline{d} \ hr = f \ [n = sn]$  "white bread being given to him; good, it means something at which his face will brighten" (r. 3.4). Here the appearance of  $t \ h\underline{d}$  "white bread" in the protasis resounds in the verb  $h\underline{d}$  "brighten" in the apodosis. <sup>256</sup> The same device appears in the only extant literary report of a dream interpretation in Egypt. <sup>257</sup>

Examples of polysemy and paronomasia as hermeneutical tools in the Bible abound, especially in prophetic contexts. Thus, in the vision of Amos in 8:1–2, Yahweh shows the prophet a basket of קַיִּץ  $qay\bar{\imath}s$ , "summer fruits," which is interpreted as signaling the קַיִּץ  $q\bar{e}s$ , "end" of Israel. Similarly, in the book of Jeremiah, Yahweh shows the prophet a שָׁקֵד sāqēd "almond-branch," which is decoded to mean that Yahweh will אַקָּד  $s\bar{s}q\bar{e}d$  "watch" to ensure that his word is fulfilled (Jer 1:11–12).

As in Akkadian texts, polysemy and paronomasia can serve a hermeneutical purpose in biblical literary texts that report divinatory practice. Elsewhere I have shown how the interpretation of the Midianite's dream in Judg 7:13 derives from

<sup>255.</sup> The connection to strength is emphasized even more in the Standard Babylonian version of the text where Gilgamesh says of the meteorite aššīšuma dan elīya "it was too strong for me" (l. 249), which his mother interprets as ina māti (KUR) dan emūqi īšu "he is the mightiest in the land, he has strength" (l. 269). Here the noun dannu "strength" ties the omen to its interpretation (contra OB 1.i.8: iktabit elīya "it was too heavy for me"). For a complete discussion of the dreams in the Epic of Gilgamesh, see Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 57–82.

<sup>256.</sup> Noegel and Szpakowska, "Word Play' in the Ramesside Dream Manual," 200. "Wordplay" as a divinatory hermeneutic appears first in the New Kingdom in Egypt. See Scott B. Noegel, "On Puns and Divination: Egyptian Dream Exegesis from a Comparative Perspective," in *Through a Glass Darkly: Magic, Dreams, and Prophecy in Ancient Egypt*, ed. Kasia Szpakowska (Swansea, Wales: The Classical Press of Wales, 2006), 95–119. Such devices also appear in the Demotic dream manual. See Aksel Volten, *Demotische Traumdeutung (Pap. Carslberg XIII und XIV Verso*), AA 3 (Copenhagen: Einar Munsgaard, 1942).

<sup>257.</sup> The dream stela of Tantamani, now housed in the Nubian Museum in Aswan, Egypt. For a discussion, see Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 100–106.

<sup>258.</sup> See Shalom Paul, *Amos*, Hermeneia 30 (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress, 1991), 253–54; Noegel, "Sign, Sign, Everywhere a Sign," 143–62.

the polyvalent meanings inherent in the words used to describe the dream.<sup>259</sup> As we are told, Gideon overheard the man saying: "Listen, I had this dream. (In it) there was a moldy loaf of barley rolling through the Midianite camp. It came to the tent and struck it, and it fell; it turned it upside down, and the tent collapsed." Immediately afterwards, the other soldier interprets his dream: "That can only mean the sword of the Israelite Gideon, son of Joash. God is delivering Midian and the entire camp into his hands" (Judg 7:13–14).

There are several words in the dream that inform its interpretation. First is is \$\frac{\sqrt{g\sqrt{o}}}{\sqrt{o}}\$ [\$\sqrt{\sqrt{o}}\left[]\$ "moldy, stale," which also can mean "quivering" or a "tingling sound" implying terrifying news (e.g., 2 Kgs 21:12, Jer 19:3, Hab 3:16).\frac{260}{\sqrt{o}}\$ The second is the expression \$\frac{\sqrt{o}}{\sqrt{o}}\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ as an echo of barley," which David Yellin brilliantly espied as an echo of \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left[]\$ which Box go of Deborah (Judg 5:8).\frac{261}{\sqrt{o}}\$ Another Hebrew word used in the account of the dream, which also carries military overtones, is the verb \$\frac{\sqrt{o}}{\sqrt{o}}\$ \$\left[]\$ \$\left

The use of polysemy and paronomasia as hermeneutical tools anticipates its later use in Greek oracles and divinatory texts, and rabbinic dream interpretation. <sup>262</sup>

# 3.13.2. MEDICAL DIAGNOSES

Since various physical afflictions were deemed generally to be the result of transgressions, impurity and/or the act of ghosts or demons in the wider Near

<sup>259.</sup> See Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 141–46, which offers additional evidence beyond that provided here. See too now Robin Baker, "Double Trouble: Counting the Cost of Jephthah," *JBL* 137 (2018): 29–50.

<sup>260.</sup> Noted independently by Michael Fishbane, *Biblical Interpretation in Ancient Israel* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1985), 506 n. 1. However, the observation was first made by M. Th. Houtsma, "לחבי־מלחמה", ZAW 22 (1902): 329–31, see 330.

<sup>261.</sup> Yellin, "Polysemy in the Bible," 2. Noted also by Robert G. Boling, *Judges: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*, AB 6A (New York: Doubleday, 1975), 148. 262. See Konrad Ohlert, *Rätsel und Rätselspiele der alten Griechen* (Berlin: Mayer & Müller, 1912); Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 191–251; Simone Beta, *Il labirinto della parola: Enigmi, oracoli e sogni nella cultura antica*, Saggi 956 (Torino: Giulio Einaudi editore, 2016).

East,<sup>263</sup> the difference between divinatory compendia and medical diagnoses may seem subtle to some. However, since medical diagnoses were the result of observation alone and not based on the performance of divinatory acts (e.g., reading oil in water, animal entrails, or celestial bodies), I offer them here as a separate category.

An example from Akkadian will demonstrate:

U<sub>4</sub> GIG SAG-sú NIGIN-šu ri-ta-šu ù GÌR.MEŠ-šu i-ra-ú-ba ŠU ra-bi-ti šà ki šà-aš-šà-tì-ma

If the patient's head seems to spin (and) his hands tremble: 'hand' of the great one who is like tetanus."

Here a connection between the symptom and the cause is based on paronomasia between  $ra \dot{a}bu$  "tremble" and  $rab\hat{u}$  "great one."

The Edwin Smith Surgical Papyrus also employs paronomasia at times to diagnose a symptom:

It is seminal emission [mns] which befalls his penis. (It means) that his penis is erect and has a discharge [nsw] from the end of his penis. It is said, "It remains stationary [mns]" when it cannot sink downward (and) it cannot lift upward. (10.19–21)<sup>265</sup>

Here the *mns* '"seminal emission" and *nšw* "discharge" paronomastically suggest the explanation *mn s* 'w "remains stationary."

# 3.13.3. COMMENTARIES

The use of polysemy and paronomasia as hermeneutical tools also occurs in ancient commentaries. A Babylonian commentary on the creation story Enuma Elish extrapolates many fanciful and sublime interpretations from Marduk's fifty

<sup>263.</sup> See Markham J. Geller, "Akkadian Healing Therapies in the Babylonian Talmud," MPIW 259 (2004): 1–60; JoAnn Scurlock, Magico-Medical Means of Treating Ghost-Induced Illnesses in Ancient Mesopotamia, AMD 3 (Leiden: Brill/Styx, 2006); Scurlock and Burton Andersen, Diagnoses in Assyrian and Babylonian Medicine: Ancient Sources, Translations, and Modern Medical Analyses (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2005); Susanne Beck, Exorcism, Illness, and Demons in an Ancient Near Eastern Context: The Egyptian Magical Papyrus Leiden I 343 +345, PALMA 18 (Leiden: Sidestone, 2018). 264. Examined in Scurlock and Andersen, Diagnoses in Assyrian and Babylonian Medicine, 68, with the "pun" noted on 693 n. 203.

<sup>265.</sup> Adopted from James Henry Breasted, *Hieroglyphic Transliteration, Translation, and Commentary*, vol. 1 of *The Edwin Smith Surgical Papyrus*, OIP 3 (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1930), 329–30, with some minor changes.

names. His first name is "Asari, bestower of civilization, who established surveys, creator of grain and fibrous plants, who causes vegetation to sprout." The commentary explains Marduk's epithets by deriving them from the polyvalent syllabic and logographic components used to write them. Thus, in reference to the name Asari it records that the sign RU (used for RI) = "bestow" (= Akkadian  $\bar{s}ar\bar{a}ku$ ), the sign SAR = "cultivation," and the sign A = "border." SAR also suggests "grain" and "herbs," and when SAR is read as MA4 it means "cause to sprout" (= Akkadian asa). Moreover, SAR can refer to "vegetation." As Hallo explains, the names "represent transparently 'unscientific' etymologies based on the syllabic or logographic orthography of the name, and many others constitute equally imaginative displays of linguistic acrobatics."  $^{267}$ 

One Mesopotamian medical commentary goes a step farther in attempting to explain the word GUDUM2 "ghost." The exorcist's gloss on this word splits the cuneiform sign into two separate signs, in this case BAR "to open" and U (read as BÙR) "ear," and renders it "the one who opens the ears." The same method is applied elsewhere to the sign designating the *gallû*-demon, that is, ḤUL. In this case, the cuneiform sign is read as if composed of ŠI and UR, and understood as pseudo-Sumerian signs that yield IGI TÉŠ meaning "the eye that comes to shame." <sup>269</sup>

Though some Egyptian texts show evidence of hermeneutical glosses based on polysemy and paronomasia, the Egyptians never developed the commentary as a literary genre.<sup>270</sup> The same can be said for the scribes of Ugarit and ancient Israel.

Nevertheless, a tradition of textual commentary does emerge in formative Judaism, as represented in the Dead Sea scrolls. Of particular interest are the

<sup>266.</sup> Jean Bottéro, "Les noms de Marduk, l'écriture et la 'logique' en Mésopotamie ancienne," in *Memoirs of the Academy of Arts and Sciences: Essays on the Ancient Near East in Memory of Jacob Joel Finkelstein*, ed. Maria de Jong Ellis, vol. 19 (Hamden, CT: Archon Books, 1977), 5–28. See similarly in Alan Lenzi, "Scribal Hermeneutics and the Twelve Gates of *Ludlul bēl nēmeqi*," *JAOS* 135 (2015): 733–49.

<sup>267.</sup> Hallo, "Scurrilous Etymologies," 768.

<sup>268.</sup> As espied by Scurlock and Andersen, *Diagnoses in Assyrian and Babylonian Medicine*, 437.

<sup>269.</sup> Scurlock and Andersen, *Diagnoses in Assyrian and Babylonian Medicine*, 437. They also point out the connection between this demon, eye pain, and shame in the following diagnosis for a psychiatric affliction: [DIŠ NA *ma-a]m-ma* IGI-*ma* TÚG-*su it-ta-na-as-suk-ú-rap-pad* IGI<sup>II</sup>-*šú ú-ma-ḫa-aṣ* NA BI ḤUL DIB-*su* "[if a person] sees somebody and continually throws off his garment, he wanders about (and) strikes his eyes, a *gallû* afflicts that person."

<sup>270.</sup> Phillipe Derchain, "Theologie et Littérature," in Loprieno, *Ancient Egyptian Literature*, 351-60.

commentaries on biblical texts known as pesharim. The root פשׁר p-š-r, whence "pesharim," essentially means "interpret," and it has its origins in divination, especially by way of dreams. In fact, as Alex Jassen remarks, "the pesharim seem to reflect a systematic incorporation of several recurring structural and formal aspects found in dream and omen literature."

A fine demonstration of paronomasia in the service of hermeneutics occurs in the Qumranic text known as the Pesher to Habakkuk (1QpHab XIII, 9). In Hab 2:6, the prophet proclaims that the righteous will make of the defiant a מְשֶׁל māšāl "proverb." The Pesher to Habakkuk interprets the passage as alluding to the Priest of Wickedness who became a משל "ruler."<sup>274</sup>

In the Pesher to Nahum (3–4, III, 1–5), שְּׁמְתִּדּי wə-śamtīkַ "I will make you (a spectacle)" in Nah 3:6, is understood to mean אשמחם 'šmtm' "I will make you guilty." As Shani Berrin observes, the interpretation relies on reading the consonant śin (שׁ) in יְשִׁמְתִּדִּיךְ wə-śamtīk̄ as a šin (שׁ), and thus deriving the verb from the root שׁמם š-m-m "be guilty." Elsewhere in the Pesher to Nahum (3–4 III, 8–9), we find the prophet's reference to a חֵל "rampart" (Nah 3:8), interpreted as 'nšy ḥyl "men of power." ''276

\_

<sup>271.</sup> Lou H. Silberman, "Unriddling the Riddle: A Study in the Structure and Language of the Habakkuk Pesher," RdQ 11 (1961): 323–64; Michael Fishbane, "The Qumran Pesher and Traits of Ancient Hermeneutics," in Proceedings of the Sixth World Congress of Jewish Studies: Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 13–19 August, 1973, ed. Malka Jagendorf and Avigdor Shinan (Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1977), 97–114; Robert Eisenman, "Playing on and Transmuting Words—Interpreting 'Abeit-Baluto' in the Habakkuk Pesher," in Mogilany 1989: Papers on the Dead Sea Scrolls Offered in Memory of Jean Carmignac. Part II: The Teacher of Righteousness, Literary Studies, ed. Z. J. Kapera (Krakow: The Enigma Press, 1991), 177–96; G. Doudna, "Wordplay in Pesher Nahum," in 4QPesher Nahum: A Critical Edition (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 2001), 253–65; Alex P. Jassen, "The Pesharim and the Rise of Commentary in Early Jewish Scriptural Interpretation," DSD 19 (2012): 363–98.

<sup>272.</sup> See Isaac Rabinowitz, "'Pēsher/Pittārōn': Its Biblical Meaning and Its Significance in the Qumran Literature," *RdQ* 8 (1973): 219–32; Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 24, 131. 273. Jassen, "Pesharim and the Rise of Commentary in Early Jewish Scriptural Interpretation," 397.

<sup>274.</sup> Noted by William H. Brownlee, *The Midrash Pesher of Habakkuk*, SBLMS 24 (Missoula, MT: Scholars Press, 1979), 133, 143–44.

<sup>275.</sup> Shani I. Berrin, *The Pesher Nahum Scroll from Qumran: An Exegetical Study of 4Q169*, STDJ 53 (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 259 n. 89.

<sup>276.</sup> Berrin, Pesher Nahum Scroll from Qumran, 279.

The hermeneutic application of polysemy and paronomasia would continue in Judaism, often under some degree of Mesopotamian influence, and as such, it appears in the Talmud and later midrashic literature.<sup>277</sup>

# 3.13.4. RIDDLES

Riddles have long been associated with polysemy and paronomasia. The earliest riddles appear in Sumerian tablets from Lagash dating to the twenty-fourth century BCE.<sup>278</sup> A local product, they provide clues to the names of a number of towns by referring to a canal, divine name, name of a fish, and name of a snake. The reader is left to ponder the solution as the text offers none. However, a number of other riddles, perhaps known by the rubric A.DA "contest," and dating to the

<sup>277.</sup> Norman Walker, "The Masoretic Pointing of Jeremiah's Pun," VT 7 (1957): 413; Lieberman, "A Mesopotamian Background for the So-Called Aggadic 'Measures' of Biblical Hermeneutics?"; Jonah Fraenkel, "Paronomasia in Aggadic Narratives," ScrHier 27 (1978): 27–35; R. Brown, The Enjoyment of Midrash: The Use of the Pun in Genesis Rabba (PhD diss., Hebrew Union College, 1980); Jeffrey H. Tigay, "An Early Technique of Aggadic Exegesis," in History, Historiography, and Interpretation: Studies in Biblical and Cuneiform Literatures, ed. Hayim Tadmor and Moshe Weinfeld (Jerusalem: Magnes, 1983), 169-89; Antoine Cavigneaux, "Aux sources du Midrash: L'herméneutique babylonienne," AO 5 (1987): 243-55; H. Eilberg-Schwartz, "Who's Kidding Whom? A Serious Reading of Rabbinic Word Plays," JAAR 55 (1988): 765-88; D. Stern, Parables in Midrash: Narrative and Exegesis in Rabbinic Literature (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1991); S. T. Lachs, "Sexual Imagery in Three Rabbinic Passages," JSJ 23 (1992): 244-48; Daniel Boyarin, "Thoughts on Midrashic Hermeneutics: Manna and Quails in the Mekhilta" [Hebrew], in Moshe Goshen—Gottstein-in Memoriam, vol. 3 of Studies in Bible and Exegesis, ed. Moshe Bar-Asher et al. (Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1993), 41-52; Galit Hasan-Rokem and David Shulman, eds., Untying the Knot: On Riddles and Other Enigmatic Modes (New York: Oxford University Press, 1996); Zvi Malachi, "Creative Philology' as a System of Biblical and Talmudic Exegesis: Creating Midrashic Interpretations from Multi-Meaning Words in the Midrash and the Zohar," in Noegel, Puns and Pundits, 269-87; Chaim Milikowsky, "Rabbinic Interpretation of the Bible in the Light of Ancient Hermeneutical Practice: The Question of the Literal Meaning," in "The Words of a Wise Man's Mouth are Gracious" (Qoh 10,12): Festschrift for Günter Stemberger on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday, ed. M. Perani, SJ 32 (de Gruyter: Berlin, 2005), 7-28; Shamir Yona, "Rhetorical Features in Talmudic Literature," HUCA 77 (2006): 90; Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 235-51; Jonathan Grossman, "The Abarbanel's Stance towards the Existence of Ambiguous Expressions in the Bible" [Hebrew], BM 52 (2007): 126-38; Victor M. Armenteros, "La Creatividad en el Reposo: La Sinagoga como Marco Hermenéutico en el Judaísmo Antiguo," DL 9 (2010): 69-102; Uri Gabbay, "Akkadian Commentaries from Ancient Mesopotamia and Their Relation to Early Hebrew Exegesis," DSD 19 (2012): 267–312.

<sup>278.</sup> Robert D. Biggs, "Pre-Sargonic Riddles from Lagash," JNES 32 (1973): 26–33.

eighteenth century BCE, conclude with the formula KI.BÚR.BI "its solution," and then give the answer.<sup>279</sup> Civil remarks: "Phonological ambiguities, double-entendres, and all kinds of word plays are everywhere essential features of the genre."

- 1. É AN.GIN<sub>7</sub> URU<sub>4</sub> KI.GAR.RA
- 2. É DUB.ŠEN.GIN<sub>7</sub> GADA MU.UN.DU
- 3. É UZ.GIN<sub>7</sub> KI.GAL.LA GUB.BA
- 4. IGI.NU.GÁL BA.AN.KU<sub>4</sub>
- 5. IGI Ì.GÁL BA.AN.TA.È
- 6. KI.BÚR.BI É.DUB.BA.ÀM

A house based on a foundation like the skies, A house one has covered with a veil like a (secret) tablet box, A house set on a base like a "goose,"

One enters in blind,

Leaves it seeing.

Its solution: the school.

The DUB sign in DUB.ŠEN "chest" (l. 2) offers a clue to its interpretation, as does the repetition of É "house" three times (ll. 1–3), for both combine to suggest the É.DUB.BA "school." In addition, several signs are polysemous. AN can mean "heaven," but also "god" or "ear of grain." URU4 "foundation" can be read as ENGAR "farmer" or ABSÌN "furrow." We may understand DUB.ŠEN as "tablet box," but also URUDAŠEN "kettle" or URUDADUR<sub>10</sub> "ax." As a result, we also may read the first line as "a house placed in a furrow like an ear of grain." 281

- 1. HE.EL.ŞU KA [...] Á BÍ.IN.[GAR?]
- 2. GÚ.MU.DA ḤÉ.[IM.DA.LÁ]
- 3. KI.BÚR.BI GAL<sub>4</sub>.LA.[ÀM]

The [...] mouth (?) has vanquished the fortress, so that I can embrace it (?). Its solution: the vagina.

<sup>279.</sup> Civil, "Sumerian Riddles," 17–35; Civil, "Sumerian Riddles, Additional Remarks," NABU (1988): 29–30, adds also the noun I.BIL.U, which  $Izi = i\bar{s}\bar{a}tu$  5.31–32 identifies with the Akkadian hittu and  $t\bar{e}ltu$ , the former cognate with Hebrew חִיִּדָּה  $h\bar{t}d\bar{a}h$  "riddle." The list (1.33) also offers I.BIL.U.DUG<sub>4</sub>.GA = hi-a-du, which appears to be cognate. See also Held, "Marginal Notes to the Biblical Lexicon."

<sup>280.</sup> Civil, "Sumerian Riddles," 17. See pp. 18-19 for the original sources.

<sup>281.</sup> Civil, "Sumerian Riddles," 19-20.

*3. Function* 127

Here the Sumerian ḤE.EL.ṢU is a loanword from Akkadian *ḫalṣu* "fortress" (with a by-form *ḫilṣu*), a noun selected to evoke the Sumerian ḤI.LI.SÙ "full of (sexual) charm."

- 1. ŠUŠKIN<sup>KI</sup>.ŠÈ Ì.DU.DÈ.EN.MA.A
- 2. EĜIR.MU.ŠÈ I.IM.GUR.RE.EN
- 3. [KI].BÚR.BI <sup>GIŠ</sup>NIMBAR.À[M]

I had to go to Susa, and then I have come back. Solution: the date palm.

The solution to this riddle rests on the realization that Susa was in the NIM "upper country," and on the knowledge that one of the words for "back" was BAR. Thus, NIM + BAR = NIMBAR "palm tree." A few other Sumerian riddles are known, but their interpretations are difficult. 284

The production of Sumerian riddles at a time when the language was no longer spoken would suggest that we should find riddles in Akkadian. Indeed, several do exist, though most of them are too fragmentary to know if they employ polysemy or paronomasia. Those from the Old Babylonian period provide their own solutions, albeit without the formula "its solution," whereas the few Kassite exemplars provide the Sumerian formula.<sup>285</sup>

The Egyptians have not left us riddles as a distinct literary genre. <sup>286</sup> However, some texts appear to function like riddles. Michael Fox has suggested some Egyptian love poems as cases in point. Concerning P.Harris 500 B, in which a young girl works bird traps, he explains:

<sup>282.</sup> Civil, "Sumerian Riddles," 26.

<sup>283.</sup> Civil, "Sumerian Riddles," 28.

<sup>284.</sup> A. Cavigneaux, "Miettes de l'edubbâ," in *Tablettes et images aux pays de Sumer et d'Akkad. Mélanges offerts à Monsieur Limet*, ed. Ö. Tunca and D. Deheselle (Liège: Université de Liège, 1996), 11–26. There also are "quasi-riddles" or seemingly impossible challenges imbedded in the plotline of the Sumerian tale of Enmerkar and the Lord of Aratta. See Herman L. J. Vanstiphout, *Epics of Sumerian Kings: The Matter of Aratta*, WAW 20 (Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2003), 49–96.

<sup>285.</sup> Jan J. van Dijk, *Texts of Varying Content*, Texts in the Iraq Museum 9 (Leiden: Brill, 1976), 53; M. Stol, "Malz," *RlA* 7 (1989): 328; Niek Veldhuis, "Kassite Exercises: Literary and Lexical Extracts," *JCS* 52 (2000): 72; Michael P. Streck and Nathan Wasserman, "Dialogues and Riddles: Three Old Babylonian Wisdom Texts," *Iraq* 73 (2011): 123–24. 286. Thus, Guglielmi, "Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur," 495 n. 191, who cites Fox and states: "Die literarische Gattung Rätsel ist nicht belegt; zur Rätselesung in Liebesliedern, die zugleich kühne Metaphorik darstellt."

This song presents a riddle. At the end of the song we learn that the girl did not set her trap today, but at the start we are told that the goose was trapped in a net. How so? To solve a riddle one must look for levels of meaning beyond the obvious, and indeed this song may be understood in two ways.<sup>287</sup>

The solution to the problem is that the "trap" is "love."<sup>288</sup> In a similar way, the capture of the *wd dšr* "red fish" in the Cairo Love Songs (Group A), presents a riddle, to wit: "the fish is identified with the boy's heart. The girl 'captures his heart' as one captures a fish."<sup>289</sup> Another poem poses the following conundrum:

How skilled is she, (my) sister, at casting the lasso, yet she'll [draw in] no cattle!

With her hair she lassoes me,
with her eye she pulls (me) in,
with her thighs she binds,
with her seal she sets the brand.<sup>290</sup>

The reader is forced to ponder how the man's beloved can be skilled with a rope, but can catch no cattle. The answer, which is metaphorically laid out in the next few lines, is that the lover is the catch, and she has captured him with her very being. While such texts certainly force one to explore the meaning of extended metaphors, they do not constitute riddles in a formal sense, as they are not pithy puzzles that possess a formula for alerting the audience to a solution. They are not posed as problems to be solved, and they do not rely on polysemy or paronomasia. On the other hand, the most ancient Sumerian riddles provide no answers to their puzzles and the Old Babylonian riddles offer no formula before providing the answers. Therefore, it would seem that the difference between riddles and metaphorical puzzles may be one of degrees.

Riddles do not appear in Ugaritic texts, but they do appear in the Hebrew Bible. <sup>291</sup> In fact, the start of the book of Proverbs informs us that in order to obtain wisdom and insight into the proverbs one must try: לְּהָבִין מְשֶׁל וּמְלִיצָּה דִּבְּרֵי חֲכָמִים  $l_{\bar{\nu}}$ -hābān māšāl  $\bar{u}$ -mlīṣāh dibrē ḥākāmīm wə-hīdōtām "to understand parables and figures, the words of the wise and their riddles" (Prov 1:6). <sup>292</sup> See

<sup>287.</sup> Fox, Song of Songs and the Ancient Egyptian Love Songs, 18–20, 34, 73, 289.

<sup>288.</sup> I thank Michael Fox for discussing his use of the title "riddle" here, which is not in a formal generic sense. "Sort of riddle" is perhaps more apt. Personal communication, September 22, 2017.

<sup>289.</sup> Fox, Song of Songs and the Ancient Egyptian Love Songs, 34.

<sup>290.</sup> Fox, Song of Songs and the Ancient Egyptian Love Songs, 73.

<sup>291.</sup> James L. Crenshaw, "Riddles," ABD 5:721-23.

<sup>292.</sup> See similarly the Sumerian proverbs examined in Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 55–56.

similarly Samson's famous riddle: מְהָאֹכֵל יָצָא מַאֲכָל וּמֵעֵז יְצָא מְחֹקּה mē-hā-ʾōkēl yāṣā ma ʾākāl ū-mē-ʿaz yāṣā ʾ mātōq "out of the eater, something to eat; out of the strong, something sweet" (Judg 14:14), the answer to which, as Joshua Porter has shown, hinges on the polysemy of אֲרִי ʾarī for both "lion" and "honey." Levine suggests that additional ties to the riddle come from its geographic setting, which the narrator places in Timnah just west of the camp of דְּ dān "Dan," which settled between לִישׁ sŏr ʿāh "Tzorah" and Eshtaol (Jud 13:25). The Danites lived in לִישׁ "Laish" (Judg 18:29), meaning "lion," and the place name Tzorah means "hornet, bee" (Exod 23:28), which evokes the "honey."

It may be that, much like the evidence from ancient Egyptian, some biblical texts themselves appear to function like riddles. They are inherently ambiguous, offer extended metaphors, and demand interpretation that is not readily obtainable without linguistic clues, either explicitly found in the text or implicit to it. In fact, Greenstein argues that we may understand the entire story of Sampson like a riddle. Such also is the account of Daniel's "writing on the wall," which Al Wolters observes, functions like a riddle. Karel Deurloo has made similar arguments for Ps 19. Pror Doug Ingram, the entire book of Qoheleth constitutes a riddle—a deliberate didactic tool to encourage his audience to question the meaning of his words and the ambiguities of life.

It bears stressing that riddles can have very different functions depending on the social setting in which they are posed, many of which are serious affairs—initiations, weddings, funerals, and verbal contests (recall the Sumerian term A.DA). Samson's riddle took place at a wedding. The queen of Sheba presented riddles to Solomon to test him (1 Kgs 10:1, 2 Chr 9:1). Ezekiel and Habakkuk understood the riddle as a synonym to the מְּשָׁל māšāl "proverb," in essence, an extended metaphor (Ezek 17:2, Hab 2:6). Other texts suggest that the riddle has a didactic function (Ps 49:5, 78:2, Prov 1:6). Still elsewhere the riddle is connected

<sup>293.</sup> J. Roy Porter, "Samson's Riddle: Judges XIV, 18," JTS 13 (1962): 106–9. The meaning "honey" for אֲּרִי  $\check{a}r\bar{\imath}$  is not attested in biblical Hebrew, but it does appear in Ugaritic.

<sup>294.</sup> Nachman Levine, "Samson The Riddle: Place Names, Wordplay, Structure, and Meaning" [Hebrew], *Megadim* 45 (2007): 61–72. Levine also argues that the answer to the riddle may be Samson himself.

<sup>295.</sup> See Edward L. Greenstein, "The Riddle of Samson," Prooftexts 1 (1981): 237-60.

<sup>296.</sup> Al Wolters, "The Riddle of the Scales in Daniel 5," HUCA 57 (1991): 155–77.

<sup>297.</sup> Karel A. Deurloo, "Psalm 19: Riddle and Parable," in *Goldene Apfel in silbernen Schalen: Collected Communications to the XIIIth Congress of the International Organization for the Study of the Old Testament, Leuven 1989*, ed. Klaus-Dietrich Schunck and Matthias Augustin, BEATAJ 20 (Frankfurt am Main: Lang, 1992), 93–100.

<sup>298.</sup> Doug Ingram, *Ambiguity in Ecclesiastes*, LHBOTS 431 (New York: T&T Clark International, 2006); Ingram, "The Riddle of Qohelet and Qohelet the Riddler," *JSOT* 37 (2013): 485–506.

to oracles received through indirect means (Num 12:8, Dan 8:23). As a formal literary genre, the riddle continued to have a long life in Judaism and in the Mediterranean world generally.<sup>299</sup>

#### 3.14. CONCEALING

Some forms of "wordplay" were intended to conceal secrets. We find this already in a number of Sumerian texts composed by Akkadian scribes in a highly artificial style best labeled as "Crypto-Sumerian." Thorkild Jacobsen explains: "such a style was considered a proof of supreme learning and that what to us appears as blunders and ignorance, to them was seen rather as profound erudition posing challenging riddles to less acute minds."300 These texts were Sumerian translations of Akkadian. To demonstrate, I turn to a bilingual inscription of Shamash-shum-ukin commemorating his rebuilding of the city wall of Sippar. In line 18, we encounter the Sumerian ZÉ.EB.BI.DA.AŠ HU.MU.NI.IN.RI, which the scribe used to render the Akkadian tābiš lu irme "he comfortably took up residence." Without the Akkadian as a guide, one usually would render the signs ZÉ.EB.BI.DA.AŠ as containing ZEBBED, the EME.SAL dialectal equivalent for DUGUD, meaning "heavy, importantly." Instead, it is read as ZEB.ED.A.Š(E) "become good," and thus, "took up residence that he would enjoy (i.e., be comfortable in)." Moreover, ZEBBED suggests by dint of sound, ZEBED "honored."301

In the same text, the Sumerian KUŠ4.BI MUŠ.GA.E.NE oddly renders the Akkadian *pilludūšunu nussuqūtu* "their choice rituals" (l. 21). Though MUŠ.GA is EME.SAL for MÚŠ.TÚM *naparkû* "cease work," the Akkadian reads *nussuqūtu* "choice." The writing constitutes a learned extrapolation on *naparkû*, for *naparkû* also translates Sumerian SAḤ<sub>x</sub> (ḤA.A), which the author identifies with SUH *nasāqu* "choose, pick out."<sup>302</sup>

<sup>299.</sup> Dan Pagis, A Secret Sealed: Hebrew Baroque Emblem Riddles from Italy and Holland [Hebrew] (Jerusalem: Magnes, 1986); Pagis, "Toward a Theory of the Literary Riddle," in Untying the Knot: On Riddles and Other Enigmatic Modes, ed. Galit Hasan-Roken and David Shulman (New York: Oxford University Press, 1996), 81–108; Galit Hasan-Rokem, "Spinning Threads of Sand': Riddles as Images of Loss in the Midrash on Lamentations," in Hasan-Roken and Shulman, Untying the Knot, 109–24; Dina Stein, "A King, a Queen, and the Riddle Between: Riddles and Interpretation in a Late Midrashic Text," in Hasan-Roken and Shulman, Untying the Knot, 125–47; Kwapisz, Petrain, and Szymański, Muse at Play.

<sup>300.</sup> Jacobsen, "Abstruse Sumerian," 291.

<sup>301.</sup> See Jacobsen, "Abstruse Sumerian," 287.

<sup>302.</sup> Jacobsen, "Abstruse Sumerian," 287.

*3. Function* 131

A number of Akkadian commentaries employ cryptographic writing in order to keep their contents from the non-initiated.<sup>303</sup> Often these involve the use of signs that double as numbers. I treat these in the next chapter under Isopsephy (4.1.10). Elsewhere it involves a sophisticated rendering of Akkadian into Sumerian or vice versa, as we saw in the inscription of Shamash-shum-ukin above. George's comment on cryptography in Mesopotamian texts is worth citing in full:

The purpose of cryptography in the scribal life of ancient Mesopotamia, whether employed in the body of a text ... or, as is more common in the late period, in the colophon, was to restrict understanding to the small band of élite scholars, who were initiated, presumably by a long apprenticeship, into the most esoteric traditions of cuneiform learning. As the scribal commentaries and other expository texts show, the intellectual traditions of Sumero-Babylonian learning were intimately bound up with the inherent ambiguity and flexibility of cuneiform orthography. The invention of Sumerian versions of Akkadian names can thus be seen as a device typical of the learned scribe, who seeks at once to show off his scholarship and to render his work inaccessible to those of lesser learning. The use of this device throughout our story clearly marks the text out as belonging to the world of scholarship.<sup>304</sup>

There are numerous examples of cryptographic writing in Egyptian texts, <sup>305</sup> most notably in later Ptolemaic inscriptions, like those at the temples of Esna and

<sup>303.</sup> Otto Neugebauer, "Unusual Writings in Seleucid Astronomical Texts," *JCS* 1 (1947): 217–18; Ernst F. Weidner, "Geheimschrift," *RlA* 3 (1957): 185–91; Hermann Hunger, "Kryptographische astrologische Omina," in *Lišān mithurti. Festschrift Wolfram Freiherr von Soden zum* 19.4.1968 gewidmet von Schülern und Mitarbeitern, ed. Wolfgang Röllig, AOAT 1 (Kevelaer: Verlag Butzon & Bercker; Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1969), 133–45; Erica Reiner, "Deux cryptogrammes akkadiens," *RA* 63 (1969): 170–71; Henri Limet, "Le secret et les écrits: Aspects de l'ésotérisme en Mésopotamie ancienne," *Homo Religiosus* 13 (1986): 243–54; Livingstone, *Mystical and Mythological Explanatory Works of Assyrian and Babylonian Scholars*; George, "Ninurta-Pāqidāt's Dog Bite, and Notes on Other Comic Tales," 64–65; Eckart Frahm, "The 'Exorcist's Manual': Structure, Language, 'Sitz im Leben,'" in *Sources of Evil: Studies in Mesopotamian Exorcistic Lore*, ed. Greta Van Buylaere et al., AMD 15 (Leiden: Brill, 2018), 9–47.

<sup>304.</sup> George, "Ninurta-Pāqidāt's Dog Bite, and Notes on Other Comic Tales," 64.

<sup>305.</sup> See Étienne Drioton, "Essai sur la cryptographie privée de la fin de la XVIIIe dynastie," *RdE* 1 (1933): 1–50; Drioton, "Une figuration cryptographique sur une stèle du Moyen Empire," *RdE* 1 (1933): 203–29; Drioton, "La cryptographie égyptienne," *CdE* 9 (1934): 192–206; Drioton, "La cryptographie égyptienne," *Revue lorraine d'anthropologie* 6 (1934): 5–28; Drioton, "Les jeux d'écriture et les rébus de l'Egypte antique," *Le Rayon d'Egypte* 8 (1935): 173–75; Drioton, "Notes sur le cryptogramme de Montouemhet," *AIPHO* 3 (1935): 133–40; Drioton, "Un rébus de l'ancien empire," *MIFAO* 46 (1935):

Dendera.<sup>306</sup> While there is consensus that such writing served to conceal, the purpose of the concealment is debated. Some suggest that the writing was intended solely for the gods, and thus hidden from mortal eyes. However, it could have been concealed because it contains the secrets of priests. Alternatively, it

697–704; Drioton, "Le cryptogramme de Montou de Médamoud," RdE 2 (1936): 21–33; Drioton, "Les protocols ornementaux d'Abydos," RdE 2 (1936): 1–20; Drioton, "Note sur un cryptogramme récemment découvert à Athribis," ASEA 38 (1938): 109-16; Drioton, "Deux Cryptogrammes de Senenmout," ASEA 38 (1938): 231-46; Drioton, "Senenmout cryptographe," in Atti del XIX Congresso internazionale degli Orientalisti, Roma, 23-29 settembre 1935-XIII (Roma: Tipografia del Senato, G. Bardi, 1938), 132-38; Drioton, "Cryptogrammes de la reine Nefertari," ASEA 39 (1939): 133-44; Drioton, "Recueil de cryptographie monumentale," ASAE 40 (1940): 305-429; Drioton, "La cryptographie du Papyrus Salt 825," ASEA 41 (1942): 100-134; Drioton, "L'écriture énigmatique du Livre du Jour et de la Nuit," in Le Livre du Jour et de la Nuit, ed. A. Piankoff (Le Caire: Imprimerie de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1942), 81-121; Drioton, "A propos du cryptogramme de Montouemhêt," ASEA 42 (1943): 177-81; Drioton, "Procédé acrophonique ou principe consonantal?," ASEA 43 (1943): 319-49; Drioton, "Chawabtiou à inscriptions cryptographiques," ASEA 45 (1945): 72-81; Drioton, "Le cynocéphalie et l'écriture du nom de Thot," ASEA 45 (1945): 69-72; Drioton, "Plaques bilingues de Ptolémée IV," in Discovery of the Famous Temple and Enclosure of Serapis at Alexandria with an Explanation of the Enigmatic Inscriptions on the Serapeum Plaques of Ptolemy IV, by Alan Bowe, ASEAS 2 (Le Caire: Imprimerie de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1946), 97-112; Drioton, "La cryptographie de la chapelle de Toutânkhamon," JEA 35 (1949): 117–22; Drioton, "Les principes de la cryptographie égyptienne," CRAIBL (1953): 355-64; H. G. Fischer, "Hieroglyphen Cryptography," LÄ II (1977): col. 1196; David Silverman, "Cryptographic Writing in the Tomb of Tutankhamun," SÄK 8 (1980): 233–36; John Coleman Darnell, The Enigmatic Netherworld Books of the Solar-Osirian Unity: Cryptographic Compositions in the Tombs of Tutankhamun, Ramesses VI and Ramesses IX, OBO 198 (Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2004); Ludwig D. Morenz, Bild-Buchstaben und symbolische Zeichen: Die Herausbildung der Schrift in der hohen Kultur Altägyptens, OBO 205 (Fribourg: Academic Press Fribourg, 2004), 42-49, 63-66, 80-84; Benoît Lurson, "Cryptography, the Full Moon Festivals of Min, and the King: Reading the Cryptographic Inscription of the Chapel of Min in the Temple of Ramses II at Abydos," JARCE 53 (2017): 223-41; Valérie Angenot, "Rébus, calembours et images subliminales dans l'iconographie égyptienne," in Rébus d'ici et d'ailleurs: écriture, image, signe, ed. Claire-Akiko Brisset, Florence Dumora, and Marianne Simon-Oikawa (Paris: hémisphères éditions, 2018), 85-103. 306. See generally, Hellmut Brunner, "Änigmatische Schrift (Kryptographie)," in

306. See generally, Hellmut Brunner, "Änigmatische Schrift (Kryptographie)," in Ägyptologie: Ägyptische Schrift und Sprache, ed. Bertold Spuler, HdO 1 (Leiden: Brill, 1959), 52–58; Erich Winter, "Die Rolle der Kryptographie in der ägyptischen Hieroglyphenschrift," ADVGM 8 (1966): 7–10; Erik Hornung, "Die Welt im Spiegel der Zeichen," Eranos-Jahrbuch 55 (1986): 403–38; Sylvie Cauville, "Entre exigance décorative et significations multiples: Les graphies suggestives du temple d'Hathor à Dendara," BIFAO 102 (2002): 91–135.

could have had a ritually performative function or simply could have demonstrated a scribe's expertise. Still others view it merely as visual poetry.<sup>307</sup>

Some Akkadian texts equate the use of polysemy with "the hidden things and secrets of the gods." One finds this especially in hermeneutic texts and those that read cuneiform signs for their numerical values (see 4.1.10). Moreover, hiddenness in itself can constitute a divine attribute, and so concealment via polysemy can provide a means of demonstrating a theological tenet (see 3.15.2).

There is little evidence that Israelite writers employed polysemy in order to conceal secrets, though one cannot rule it out entirely, for as Prov 25:2 relates: רְבָּרְ אַלְהִים הַּסְתֵּר דְּבָּרְ kabōd ʾĕlōhīm hastēr dābār "the glory of God is to conceal a matter (lit. "word")." Indeed, the Israelites appreciated clever speech, as the following proverb informs us: יְבָּרְ דְּבֶּרְ עֵּלִּיאֶפְנָיוֹ הַבֶּּמְשְׁבִּיּוֹת בְּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בְּמֶשְׁבִּיוֹת בְּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בְּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בְּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בְּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בְּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמֶשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמְשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמְשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמְשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמְשְׁבִּיּוֹת וֹנְבְּבְּר בְּבֶּבְ בְּבְּבְּעִבְּיִי זְּהָב בְּמַשְׁבִּיּוֹת בּמְשְׁבִּיוֹת (Prov 25:11). If there is any one type of polysemy in Hebrew that we might consider a form of concealment it is transposition (see 4.1.13). Nevertheless, even these polysemes must be revealed to be understood.

#### 3.15. THEOLOGICAL/DIDACTIC

One often finds polysemy and paronomasia making theological or other didactic points. This usually has one of four primary aims. The first is to show that two things, whether people, cities, divinities, or objects, possess a shared essence in substance and/or character. The second intends to illustrate the ineffable, to express incomprehensibility of a divine text, even the impenetrability of the godhead. The third is to demonstrate the principle of *lex talionis* "the law of retribution" and thus to posit a relationship of cause and divine consequence. The fourth aim is to offer lessons by way of moral precepts, advice on statecraft, or other forms of wisdom.

# 3.15.1. DEMONSTRATE SHARED ESSENCE

In the Atra-ḫasis Epic, the god Nintu creates the first mortal by mixing clay with the flesh and blood of the slain god Wê-ila (1.225–226). In order to demonstrate

<sup>307.</sup> On this form of writing, see especially Morenz, Sinn und Spiel der Zeichen.

<sup>308.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 36-45.

<sup>309.</sup> Erle Leichty, "The Colophon," in *Studies Presented to A. Leo Oppenheim, June 7, 1964*, ed. Robert D Biggs (Chicago, IL: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 1964), 147–54; Laurie E. Pearce, *Cuneiform Cryptography: Numerical Substitutions for Syllabic and Logographic Signs* (PhD diss., Yale University, 1982); Pearce, "The Number-Syllabary Texts," *JAOS* 116 (1996): 453–74.

shared essence, the text draws a paronomastic connection between the divine *eṭemmu* "spirit" of the god Wê-ila and the *ṭemu* "intelligence" of the first *awīlu* "man."<sup>310</sup>

The biblical counterpart to this account is the stream of paronomastic terms that inform how God created the first אדם 'ādām "human" from the אדמה "adāmāh" soil" (Gen 2:7). The paronomastic association between the two, which we also may see as serving an appellative purpose (see 3.10), compels one to infer that the two possess a shared essence. Indeed, the reddish color (i.e., מוֹם 'ādōm') inherent in both terms suggests their fertility. 311 Moreover, the implicit suggestion in both of  $\Box \tau$  dam "blood" (implied, but not present in the text), 312 and the use of אד 'ēd "subterranean water" to form the clay from which God creates Adam (Gen 2:6), together invoke the common Semitic idiom for giving birth: "water and blood."313 The paronomasia between the terms for "human," "soil," "blood," and "subterranean water," naturally places the water used to make clay in contrast with blood, for both are vital to human existence. In fact, man's procreation ultimately depends upon the soil and water as much as it does upon his own lifegiving blood and the blood of menstruation and parturition.<sup>314</sup> Likewise, the land depends on the man to till the field as much as it does upon water to produce the blood of grapes and all other seed-bearing plants. Thus, the land and the man not only share essence, they are codependents.<sup>315</sup>

# 3.15.2. DEMONSTRATE DIVINE INEFFABILITY

The ability of polysemous signs and words to convey multiple meanings also can illustrate the incomprehensibility of the godhead. A Sumerian exemplar occurs in the poem Ninmešarra, composed by Enheduanna, the first poet in the historical record. Annette Zgoll has shown that there are two entirely different ways of reading the opening line of her poem: NIN ME ŠÁR.RA U4 DALLA È.A. The

.

<sup>310.</sup> On the allusive paronomasia in the Akkadian text, see Abusch, "Ghost and God"; Alster, "ilu awilum," 35–40.

<sup>311.</sup> Scott B. Noegel, "Scarlet and Harlots: Seeing Red in the Hebrew Bible," *HUCA* 87 (2017): 1–47.

<sup>312.</sup> The terms for *blood* and *human* are linguistically related. See A. Militarev, "Etimologija i interpretacija drevnepis'mennyh pamjatnikov: Biblejskie terminy 'sem'ja,' 'potomstvo,' 'plemja,' 'narod,' 'čelovečeskij rod,'" *Vestnik Eurejskogo Universiteta* 7 (2002): 7–58.

<sup>313.</sup> On water and blood in reference to births, see M. Stol, *Birth in Babylonia and the Bible: Its Mediterranean Setting*, CM 14 (Groningen: Styx Publications, 2000), 125.

<sup>314.</sup> Gen 2:7 identifies God's breath as giving life to the first human, though elsewhere we find blood as a source of life (e.g., Gen 9:4, Lev 17:11).

<sup>315.</sup> See Noegel, "Scarlet and Harlots."

first underscores the positive aspects of Inanna: "queen of all the MEs, too numerous to count, rising forth as glorious light." The second emphasizes her destructive leanings: "queen of innumerable battles, (as) a rising raging storm." The readings pivot on a double polysemy (see 4.1.6): the sign ME means "divine properties that permit cosmic activity" (= Akkadian parṣu) or "battle" (= Akkadian  $t\bar{a}h\bar{a}zu$ ); and the sign U<sub>4</sub> means "light, day" (= Akkadian  $\bar{u}mu$ ) or "storm" (= Akkadian  $\bar{u}mu$ ). In one line, Enheduanna has portrayed the dual nature of Inanna, a goddess of paradoxes and liminality.

Demonstrating this function in Akkadian are a number of polysemous merisms in Ludlul bēl nēmeqi (Poem of the Righteous Sufferer), which force one to realize the unknowable nature and character of Marduk.<sup>317</sup> Throughout the opening hymn the poet describes Marduk as a god of extreme contrasts. Indeed, we hear that he is *ēziz mūši muppašir urri* "furious at night, relaxed at dawn" (1.2.4). The line does more than characterize the god's fickleness, for as Lambert observes, the Marduk cult held that "all other powers of the universe were but aspects of him."<sup>318</sup> Moreover, many of the merisms are ambiguous and impel one to contemplate the meaning of Marduk's actions. With regard to the aforementioned expression *muppašir urri*, William Moran observes that it:

compels attention, and by leaving us to supply the object it also creates rich ambiguity. The indefiniteness allows us to think not only of Marduk's wrath but of the "loosening" of other things as well—the sins that provoke wrath, the clutch of the demon, disease and pain, the tangle of troubled dreams ... or does (it) depart even further from expectation and make *urru* ... (the) object, the day cleared and the cloudless symbol of Marduk's mercy...?<sup>319</sup>

# The poem continues:

- 8. *mu-us-saḥ-ḥir ka-ra-as-su ka-bat-ta-sú ta-a-a-rat* His mood turns, his emotion pivots,
- 9. šá nak-bat qa-ti-šú la i-na-áš-šu-ú šá-ma- 'u-ú
  The force of whose hand, the heavens cannot hold,

<sup>316.</sup> Zgoll, Der Rechstfall der En-hedu-Ana im Lied nin-me-šara, 2–3, 177.

<sup>317.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel, "Suffering Ambiguity in *Ludlul bēl nēmeqi*: On Erudition, Ideology, and Theology in Tablet I," *BiOr* 73 (2016): 613–36.

<sup>318.</sup> W. G. Lambert, "The Historical Development of the Mesopotamian Pantheon: A Study in Sophisticated Polytheism," in *Unity and Diversity: Essays on the History, Literature, and Religion of the Ancient Near East*, ed. Hans Goedicke and J. J. M. Roberts (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1975), 198.

<sup>319.</sup> William Moran, "Notes on the Hymn to Marduk in *Ludlul Bēl Nēmeqi*," *JAOS* 103 (1983): 256.

- 10. *rit-tuš rab-ba-a-ti ú-kaš-šu mi-i-ta*Whose palm is gentle, it assists the dying.
- dAMAR.UTU šá nak-bat qa-ti-šú la i-na-áš-šu-ú šá-ma-'u-ú Marduk, the force of whose hand, the heavens cannot hold,
- 12. *rab-ba-a-ti rit-ta-šú ú-kaš-šu mi-i-ta* Gentle is his palm, it assists the dying.
- 13. *šá i-na lib-ba-ti-šú up-ta-at-ta-a qab-ra-a-tum*On account of whose wrath, graves are opened. (1.9–13)

Here the poet simultaneously lauds and arraigns Marduk's extreme qualities. Observe the ambiguity of 1.8: *musahhir karassu kabattašu târat* "his mood turns, his emotion pivots." One can read the line positively or negatively; it is impossible to know in which direction Marduk's mood is said to swing. In addition, the verbs saḥāru and târu have semantic parameters that permit the meanings "turn, return, repeat, and transform."<sup>320</sup> Further, since Marduk is the subject of the previous line, it is possible to read him as the subject of *musahhir* rather than his *karašu* "mood." This becomes meaningful when we recognize the paronomasia by which karašu "mood" suggests karašû "catastrophe," and kabattu "passion" suggests kabittu "grievous matter." The allusions characterize Marduk as the one who brings catastrophe and his emotion as a grievous matter. Polysemy also obtains in the repeated verb kâšu (1.10, 12), which means "help, assist" or "delay."<sup>322</sup> When understood as the former, the hymn describes Marduk's care for the dying, but when read as the latter, it casts him as a god who cruelly prolongs the death of the sufferer. The former finds support in Marduk's rittuš rabbât "whose palm is gentle," whereas the latter anticipates the mention of Marduk's wrath and open graves (1.13) and the sufferer's own protracted illness for which others prepare an open tomb (2.114).<sup>323</sup> Note too the two-fold use of *rabbâtu*, which means "gentle, calm" or "large, powerful, grievous, overbearing." 324 One simultaneously hears that the very palm that is gentle can be overbearing, even for the heavens (1.9, 11). The combined polysemes characterize Marduk as the one who brings compassion and understanding, or conversely, catastrophe. His being and actions are unpredictable and incomprehensible; even a master diviner cannot fully know what Marduk intends, whether for weal or woe. The union of all things into his godhead also forces one to contemplate the ultimate source of human suffering.

Pondering the source of human suffering has a biblical analogue in Eliphaz's quip to Job:  $k\bar{t}$  ` $\bar{a}d\bar{a}m$  lə-' $\bar{a}m\bar{a}l$  y $\bar{u}ll\bar{a}d$  "for mankind is born

<sup>320.</sup> CAD S, s.v. "saḥāru"; CAD T, s.v. "târu."

<sup>321.</sup> CAD K, s.v. "karašû"; CAD K, s.v. "kabittu."

<sup>322.</sup> CAD K, s.v. "kâšu A, B."

<sup>323.</sup> Ludlul 2.114: peti kimāḥḥī (KI.MAḤ) ersû šukānūa "open is my tomb, my grave-ornaments prepared."

<sup>324.</sup> CAD R, s.v. "rabābu"; CAD R, s.v. "rabbu A"; CAD R, s.v. "rabâtu (rabbâtu)."

for toil/trouble" (Job 5:7). <sup>325</sup> Typically, scholars suggest repointing the verb to make it a passive (niphal) form אַלֵּד yiwwālēd. However, before the Masoretes added vowels, the verb אַלָּד also permitted the reading אַלִּד yōlēd (qal active participle) or אָלַד עּלַּד עִּלַּד a causative (hiphil) conjugation, thus allowing us to render the line with quite the opposite sense: "humankind begets trouble." In fact, many manuscripts also read אָלִד, which would permit a reading אַלִּד yulad (a qal or hophal passive) or אָלִד yolūd (hiphil). <sup>327</sup> Thus, the pre-Masoretic text leaves ambiguous whether humans are born into a divinely created world of suffering or if they cause their own suffering. Meshel's comment with regard to Hebrew polysemy is apposite:

It could be viewed as an art of subversive writing in the face of intellectual persecution, or it could be viewed as reflecting the authors' fundamental doubt with regard to the nature of the divine. Alternatively, it may be viewed more generously as reflecting a religious experience that encapsulates the tension between diametrically opposite understandings of the workings of Yhwh.<sup>328</sup>

Perhaps the most well-known biblical example of polysemy to express divine ineffability is the divine name that God reveals to Moses: אֶּהְיֶה אֲשֶׁר אֶּהְיֶה 'ɛhyeh 'ձšer 'ɛhyeh (Exod 3:14). Given the modal possibilities of the Hebrew imperfect verb, one may render variously as "I am who I am," "I will be who I will be," "I am who I will be" or "I will be who I am." It also is ambiguous whether אָּהְיֶה אֲשֶׁר 'ɛhyeh is part of the name or the explanation the deity offers for why his name is הַּלְּהְיֶה אַ 'ɛhyeh. 'ap, 'ehyeh. 'ap

# 3.15.3. DEMONSTRATE LEX TALIONIS

Lex talionis is a legal, theological, and literary principle sometime called "measure for measure" or "poetic justice." It is operative in law, e.g., "an eye for an eye" (Hammurapi's Code 196–201; Exod 21:23–25), and in prophecies and

<sup>325.</sup> See Seow, "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job," 77.

<sup>326.</sup> Suggested already by Ferdinand Hitzig, *Das Buch Hiob, übersetzt und ausgelegt* (Leipzig: Winter, 1874), 35.

<sup>327.</sup> The alternative readings are widely discussed in commentaries on Job and require no citation here.

<sup>328.</sup> Meshel, "Whose Job Is This?," 73.

<sup>329.</sup> For this latter observation I thank one of the publisher's anonymous reviewers.

narratives that seek to link cause and consequence. As such, this category incorporates the function "to denote reversal," suggested by Watson. 330

Sennacherib's Annals (2.24–25) offer a fine demonstration.<sup>331</sup>

- 1. UN.MEŠ KUR.KUR *ki-šit-ti* ŠU.II-*ia* INA *lìb-bi ú-še-šib* I populated the land with those that I had conquered.
- 2. UN.MEŠ KUR <sup>LÚ</sup>kaš-ši-i ú KUR <sup>LÚ</sup>ia-su-bi-gal-la-a-a
  The people of the land of the Kassites and the land of the Yasubigallai ...

Here the name  $ka\check{s}\check{s}i$  "Kassites" echoes <sup>LÚ</sup> $ki\check{s}itti$  "I conquered," thus drawing a connection between the peoples and their punishment. Reinforcing the paronomasia is the word order of the two verses, which both begin with UN.MEŠ KUR =  $ni\check{s}\bar{i}$   $m\bar{a}t$  ( $m\bar{a}t\bar{a}ti$  for KUR.KUR). This particular example also serves an appellative function (see 3.10).

Paronomasia in the service of *lex talionis* also occurs in the Akkadian Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur. When the poor man is wronged by the mayor, he threatens him by saying: "For the single offense that you inflicted on me (*piltu tēm*[*id*]*anni*), I, for one, will pay you back three-fold" (ll. 67–68). Here the noun *piltu* is a by-form of *pištu* "offense, insult." Its appearance here with the verb *emēdu* suggests that we also read *piltu* as *biltu* "tribute, load" (cf. the idiom *bilta emēdu* "impose tribute"). 332 The polysemy reinforces the connection between the tribute that the mayor exacts unfairly on the poor man and the offense that will justify his punishment.

In Egyptian, the concept of *lex talionis* appears in the Prayer of Paheri in his tomb in El Kab:  $\frac{\partial w}{\partial b} = k m \ sk = k m \ sd = k n \ sh.t \ \frac{\partial r}{\partial s} = k m \ r.t.n = k$  "your heart rejoices as you plow in your plot in the Field of Reeds. You are rewarded for what you have done" (ll. 15–16). In the Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022), Sinuhe boasts the principle after felling the foreign champion: "what he planned to do to me, I did to him" (ll. 144–145).

We see the concept of *lex talionis* expressed positively in paronomastic form in the Poetical Stela of Thutmosis III (CM 34010), in which Amun specifically credits the pharaoh with building his sanctuary:  $ir \ n=i \ mrr.t \ nb.t \ k \ge i \ s \ h \ n=k \ iwnn=i \ m \ k \ge t \ nhh$  "who does for me all that my ka desires. You have built my

<sup>330.</sup> Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 246.

<sup>331.</sup> Grayson and Novotny, Royal Inscriptions of Sennacherib, 3.

<sup>332.</sup> Noegel, "Word Play in the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur," 173–74. See also Nicla De Zorzi, "Literature as Scholarship: Some Reflections on Repetition with Variation and the Construction of Meaning in Šamaš Hymn 112–117," *KASKAL: Rivista di storia, ambienti e culture del Vicino Oriente Antico* 16 (2019): 159–82.

<sup>333.</sup> Sethe, Urkunden der 18. Dynastie, 116.

*3. Function* 139

sanctuary as a work of eternity" (l. 24). Note how the connection is realized by way of paronomasia between k3 "ka-spirit" and k3.t "work."

The demonstration of *lex talionis* is intimately related to the use of polysemy and paronomasia to interpret omens of all kinds. We have seen several instances of Akkadian and Egyptian omens connected to their apodoses by way of a similarity of sounds and/or the learned readings of individual signs used to record the omens (3.13.1).<sup>334</sup> This is because omens are divine messages and their interpretations are divine judgments. They must in fact demonstrate a causal relationship between an omen and its consequence.

In the Hebrew Bible, prophets often draw attention to the punishment that Yahweh will mete out by tying it paronomastically to the people's transgression.<sup>335</sup> Often this serves, with Watson, to denote reversal.<sup>336</sup> Jeremiah's prophecy illustrates this well:

Make known in Noph [בְּבֹרְף] and in Tahpanes [יּבְּבַּרְחָשׁ  $\bar{u}$ -<u>b</u>-tahpanḥēs]; say, "stand forth and prepare yourself, for the sword has devoured round about you. Why is your strong one overthrown [מְסִתְּף]? He did not stand, because Yahweh thrust him down." (Jer 46:14–15)

The sounds /n/ and /p/ in נְּסְתַּף "overthrown" appear in the toponym Noph, and all of its consonants occur in the toponym Tahpanes, thus creating a link between the transgressors and their shared punishment.

Isaiah similarly declares:

But as for you who forsake Yahweh and forget my holy mountain, who spread a table for Fortune [גָּד] and fill bowls of mixed wine for Destiny [אָנָין mənī], I will destine [אָנִיקִי mānīt̄t̄] you for the sword, and you will all bend down for the slaughter. (Isa 65:11-12)

Two cases of paronomasia obtain here. The first connects the worship of מָנִיתִי mānīt̄ "Destiny" with Yahweh's promise מְנִיתִי mānīt̄ "I will destine." The second is the punishment by "sword," which reminds us of the audible connections between

<sup>334.</sup> See Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers.

<sup>335.</sup> On *lex talionis* as a literary device, see Marcus, "David the Deceiver and David the Dupe"; Yael Shemesh, "Measure for Measure in Biblical Narrative" [Hebrew], *BM* 158 (1999): 261–77; Shemesh, "Measure for Measure in the David Stories," *SJOT* 17 (2003): 8–10; Noegel, "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats."

<sup>336.</sup> Levine, "Vertical Poetics," 65–82, also examines cases of paronomasia that demonstrate reversal.

<sup>337.</sup> See Shalom M. Paul, *Isaiah 40–66: Translation and Commentary* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2012), 600.

גַד gad "Fortune" and the verb גָּד קּ $g\bar{a}dad$  "cut off," even though the latter does not appear (see 3.9).

Francis Landy has drawn attention to similar use of paronomasia and polysemy in Hos 10:5:

The inhabitants of Samaria feel dread (יְגוּדֹּבְיִ  $y\bar{a}\bar{g}\bar{u}r\bar{u}$ ] for the calves [אָנְלוֹת] of Beth-aven. Its people mourn for it, and so do its idolatrous priests—those who rejoiced (יְגִילֹּדִּן  $y\bar{a}\bar{g}\bar{r}l\bar{u}$ ] over it and over its glory—for it has departed [אָנָלֹה] from them.

The paronomasia that obtains between אָלָיִ  $y\bar{a}g\bar{\imath}l\bar{u}$  "rejoiced" and אָלָּה "departed" underscores a connection between the act (idolatrous worship) and consequence (exile). Moreover, as Landy remarks, the verb אַלָּה  $\bar{g}\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$  "also may mean 'to uncover'. In that case, the motif of exposure, associated in the Hebrew Bible with sexual shame, combines with that of captivity." To his observations, I note the presence of additional paronomasia between these words, the verb אָגוּדוּ "feel dread," and noun אַגּוּדוּ "feel dread," and noun עַּגָּלוֹת "calves."

Paronomastic demonstrations of *lex talionis* in biblical narratives often illustrate how characters receive measure-for-measure for what they do to others. The biblical stories of Jacob are replete with this use of paronomasia. To cite one example, one hears the name  $|\vec{t}| = l\bar{a}b\bar{a}n$  "Laban" echoed in the narrative involving Jacob's manipulation of Laban's flocks (Gen 30:25–43), when Jacob selects all the animals that have  $|\vec{t}| = l\bar{a}b\bar{a}n$  "white" on them (Gen 30:35), and collects fresh rods of  $|\vec{t}| = l\bar{a}b\bar{a}n$  "poplar" (Gen 30:37), in which he peels  $|\vec{t}| = l\bar{a}b\bar{a}n\bar{o}t$  "white streaks" in order to reveal their  $|\vec{t}| = l\bar{a}b\bar{a}n$  "whiteness" (Gen 30:37). The reader will note that each of the examples cited in this group simultaneously functions appellatively. The use of polysemy and paronomasia to demonstrate *lex talionis* would enjoy a long life in later Jewish texts as well.

Illustrating *lex talionis* in Aramaic is a wisdom saying in the Proverbs of Ahiqar: "[if] you have [dr]awn your bow and shot your arrow (אָת אָל ) at a more righteous man than yourself, it is a sin (אָל חטא ) against the gods" (C1 1:128). Here paronomasia connects the act of shooting the arrow and the sin.

<sup>338.</sup> Francis Landy, Hosea, 2nd ed. (Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix, 2011), 128.

<sup>339.</sup> Noegel, "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats."

<sup>340.</sup> Note the rabbinic expression: במדה שאדם מודד בה מודדין לו b-mdh š-'dm mwdd bh mwddyn lw "by the measure that a man measures, by it he is being measured." See also Ishay Rosen-Zvi, "Measure for Measure as a Hermeneutical Tool in Early Rabbinic Literature: The Case of Tosefta Sotah," JJS 58 (2006): 269–86.

*3. Function* 141

#### 3.15.4. DEMONSTRATE LESSONS

Polysemy and paronomasia also can serve didactic purposes that are less theologically motivated, whether to demonstrate moral precepts, offer advice on statecraft, or impart wisdom to apprentices. Such is the case in the Akkadian Counsels of Wisdom, where a wise man warns his son against getting into disputes by saying: saltumma suttatum set tum "disputes are a covered pit" (1. 38). 341 The repetition of the t/t, t/m, and t/s sounds, combined with the two emphatics (t/s and t/s), strengthens the connection between disputes and a covered pit. Later, we also hear:

- 81. *ma-ri lu-u lìb-ba-šú-ma šá ru-bé-e at-ta*My son, if it be the wish (lit. "his heart") of the prince that you are his,
- 82. *na-aṣ-ra-am-ma* <sup>ZÁ</sup>KIŠIB (*kunukka*)-*šá lu al-lat* If you attach his closely guarded seal (around your neck),
- 83. *pi-ti-ma na-ṣir-ta-šú e-ru-ub ana lìb-bi* Open his treasury, enter within.<sup>342</sup>

Note how the sounds /b/ and /š/ in *libbašu* "his heart," repeat in *ša rubê* "of the prince" (l. 81). Echoes of *rubû* (l. 81) are heard again in *erub* "enter" (l. 83), and *libbu* (l. 83). Moreover, the first *libbu* (l. 81) is used of the king's mind (lit. "heart"), while the second refers to the inside of the treasury (l. 83). The advice also offers a subtle twist in that by guarding (*naṣramma*) the seal, the son will have access to the *naṣirtu* "treasury."

In the Egyptian Instructions of Ptahhotep (P.Prisse 9.9–9.13 [Il. 281–297]), Ptahhotep urges against having illicit sex with women of the household.<sup>343</sup>

Be mindful of getting near the women.

No place in which it is done can be good.

No face can be sharp while splitting it open [hr ph3 st],

For a thousand men are diverted from what is best for them:

A short moment, the likeness of a dream;

One attains death by experiencing it [hr rh st].

It is a wretched liaison [t3s], an inimical shooting [st],

One emerges from doing it with the mind of rejecting it.

As for him who fails by lusting for it,

No plan can succeed with him.

<sup>341.</sup> BWL, 100-1.

<sup>342.</sup> BWL, 102-3.

<sup>343.</sup> The versification of P.Prisse is that of Zbynëk Zába, *Les Maximes de Ptahhotep* (Prague: Éditions de l'Académie Tchécoslovatique des Sciences, 1956).

According to James Allen, a number of polysemes present in the text comprise sexual innuendos.<sup>344</sup> The expression *hr ph3 st* "splitting it open" can refer to the private area of the house and also female sexual organs. The words *hr rh st* "experiencing" (lit. 'knowing') it can mean "have sexual relations." The *t3s* "liaison" (lit. 'knot') too can mean "sex." Finally, we may understand the verb *st* "shooting" as "ejaculation" as well. For similar examples of Egyptian polysemy, see 4.1.2).

A didactic use of polysemy that similarly informs matters of statecraft occurs in the biblical book of Proverbs, in which one is instructed on how to deal with the shifting moods of a king (Prov 16:14–16).

The king's wrath is a messenger of death,
But a wise man can appease it.
By means of the light of the king's face there is life.
His favor is like a rain cloud in spring.

In Prov 22:22, we find polysemy and paronomasia used for delivering moral precepts: יְבָּלְיבָּל שָנִי בַּשְּׁעַר ʾal tiḡzāl dāl kā dal hū ʾwə-ʾal tədakē ʾ ʾanī ḇaš-šā ʾar "Do not rob the poor for he is poor/a door, and do not crush the needy at the gate." Of interest is the word דָּ dāl used here for its dual meaning "poor" and "door." When read as the former it emphasizes the poverty of the victim. When read as the latter, it offers a fitting parallel for שָׁעֵר "gate." G. R. Driver explains:

<sup>344.</sup> The translation is also that of James P. Allen, *Middle Egyptian Literature: Eight Literary Works of the Middle Kingdom* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015), 191–92.

The meaning is that a poor man must not be robbed simply because he is poor and helpless and, having little or nothing to lose, does not take the trouble to shut the door of his house when he goes out, thus leaving it open as invitation to a thief to enter and take what he can find 345

We find a similar purpose behind such devices in the Hebrew text of Ben Sira, which Eric Reymond has shown, "evinces numerous expressions whose impact depends, to some extent, on ambiguity created through various kinds of wordplay and metaphor, the purpose of some of which seems to be increasing the linguistic dexterity of his students." Indeed, as Ben Sira (8:8; Ms A) instructs us:

אל תטש שיחה חכמים ובחידתיהם התרטש כי ממנו תלמ[ו]ד להח להתיצב לפני שרים

'l tṭš śyḥh ḥkmym w-b-ḥydtyhm htrṭš ky mmnw tlmwd lqḥ lhtyṣb lpny śrym

Do not forsake the meditation of the wise, In their riddles abandon yourself. For from it you will learn understanding, To stand before princes.

# 3.16. DISPLAYING ERUDITION

Scholars also have suggested that some forms of polysemy and paronomasia serve to demonstrate an author's mastery of the scribal arts—what Watson categorizes as "lending authenticity." Throughout the ancient Near East, scribal expertise in polyvalent readings belonged to a privileged few who kept their knowledge secret. We may characterize this as an ideology of privilege and erudition.<sup>347</sup>

This ideology wielded a great deal of perceived social and cosmological power. When the decoding of divine omens is involved, the act of interpretation

<sup>345.</sup> G. R. Driver, "Playing on Words," in *Proceedings of the Fourth World Congress of Jewish Studies, Papers*, vol. 1 (Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1967), 123.

<sup>346.</sup> Eric D. Reymond, "The Wisdom of Words in the Wisdom of Ben Sira," *Bib* 95 (2014): 226. See also Nicolas Seger, *L'Utilisation de la polysémie des racines hébraïques chez Ben Sira* (PhD diss., Université Strasbourg, 2005).

<sup>347.</sup> Note the similar view of Chaim Cohen and Jacob Klein, "Akkadian *Hapax Legomena*: Scribal Ego and Foreign Words," *Maarav* 21.1–2 (2014): 103–25, who note concerning *hapax legomena* in cuneiform lexical texts: "Thus the rationale for such massive usage of *hapax legomena* in the lexical lists (including the large percentage of foreign words therein) can only be the scribes' desire to take pride in and exhibit their vast erudition" (105). On the ideology of Egyptian scribes, see Ragazzoli, *Scribes*, 467–89.

shapes behaviors and beliefs. By harnessing the performative power of a divine message, interpreters determined an individual's fate. Thus, the interpretation of signs by way of polysemy and paronomasia functioned also as a form of social control. Since one must go to the experts to obtain an interpretation, the display of erudition empowers the interpreter while demonstrating and promoting his/her cosmological and ideological systems.<sup>348</sup> It is possible that such a function also lies behind the polysemy and paronomasia that appear in colophons and acrostics (see 4.1.10 and 4.1.12).

Moreover, when literary figures decode divine messages, they typically do so with great success. Their abilities therefore justify the divinatory or insider establishment that they represent, and reify the ideologies that support the notion that such work demands well-trained and divinely inspired experts. In this way, figures like Utnapishtim, Joseph, and Daniel are not merely literary characters in a story, but embodiments of the divinatory establishment. We find this already in the Sumerian Tale of Enlil and Namzitarra. There we learn of a *gudug*-priest named Namzitarra who, while hurrying home from serving in Enlil's temple, meets a raven that asks: "where (are you coming) from Namzitarra?" (l. 3).<sup>349</sup> Though he hears the message in Sumerian, the context clarifies that the raven was croaking.

- 12. dEN.LÍL.LE IGI.NI MU.NI.IN.GI4 Enlil had changed his appearance:
- 13. UGA<sup>mušen</sup>-AŠ Ū.MU.NI.IN.KU<sub>4</sub> having turned into a raven,
- 14. GÙ AL.DÉ.DÉ.E he was croaking.

Namzitarra then realizes that this is no ordinary raven, but the god Enlil in disguise, and he immediately replies: "you are not a raven, you are Enlil!" (l. 15). Amazed, the raven asks: "how did you recognize that I am Enlil, the one who decrees the fates?" (l. 16). 350 Namzitarra responds:

- 17. U<sub>4</sub> <sup>d</sup>EN.ME.ŠÁR.RA ŠEŠ AD.DA.ZU EŠE<sub>5</sub>.DA.A When Enmesharra, your uncle, was captured,
- 18. NAM. dEN.LÍL BA.E.DE6.A U4.DÈ EN.GIM NAM GA.ZU.E.ŠÈ

<sup>348.</sup> See Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 50–55, 176–82; Noegel, "'Sign, Sign, Everywhere a Sign."

<sup>349.</sup> See M. Civil, "Enlil and Namzitarra," *AfO* 25 (1974–1977): 65–71. Bendt Alster, *Wisdom of Ancient Sumer* (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2005), 327, classifies the text as a fable, but I see no personification here.

<sup>350.</sup> W. G. Lambert, "A New Interpretation of *Enlil and Namzitarra*," *Or* 58 (1989): 508–9, translates this line: "How do you know that I, Enlil, am the one who decrees the fates?"

And you carried Enlilship away (from him), (you) said: "Now I shall surely know the fates, like a lord."

Civil points out that Namzitarra's discovery derives from his ability to decode the croaking.<sup>351</sup> Specifically, Namzitarra's statement in line 18 evokes the words UGA ZU, that is, "to know the raven."<sup>352</sup> Vanstiphout also observes that the last few signs in line 18 permit the reading NAM.GA.ZU meaning "I surely know this/you."<sup>353</sup> Namzitarra's special ability to understand the language of the animal kingdom is a literary trope in ancient Near Eastern texts that is founded in *bona fide* divinatory praxis.<sup>354</sup> It marks his wisdom and priestly status. Indeed, as a reward for his wisdom, Namzitarra receives a hereditary prebend.<sup>355</sup>

# 3.17. PERFORMATIVE

The ubiquity of polysemy and paronomasia in so many diverse textual contexts tells us much about the perceived utility that it had in erudite circles. It also underscores the importance of recognizing the underlying conceptual framework that informs them, for it evidences the existence of a perception in which written signs and words have the potential to be a great deal more than what they signify. Lying behind this is a belief in the performative or "illocutionary" power of words, a concept made familiar by more theoretical works on "magic." Scholars who discuss this concept (also known as "speech act theory"), point out that words can function at times not merely as expressions, but as vehicles of performance, in that they themselves affect a particular action. Thus, in a more contemporary context, saying "I do" in a wedding ceremony constitutes the very means by which a wedding becomes legal. Though often discussed in juridical and ritual contexts (like the wedding), the performative dimension of words was

<sup>351.</sup> Civil, "Enlil and Namzitarra." See also Bendt Alster, "Scribes, Sages, and Seers in Ancient Mesopotamia," in *Scribes, Sages, and Seers: The Sage in the Eastern Mediterranean World*, ed. Leo G. Purdue (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2008), 47–63.

<sup>352.</sup> Civil, "Enlil and Namzitarra," 67, and Herman L. J. Vanstiphout, "Some Notes on 'Enlil and Namzitarra," *RA* 74 (1980): 67–71, attribute l. 18 to Enmesharra, whereas Alster, *Wisdom of Ancient Sumer*, 329, and Lambert, "A New Interpretation of Enlil and Namzitarra," 508–9, attribute the line to Enlil.

<sup>353.</sup> Vanstiphout, "Some Notes on 'Enlil and Namzitarra," 68.

<sup>354.</sup> See Noegel, "When Animals Speak," 107-35.

<sup>355.</sup> See Vanstiphout, "Some Notes on 'Enlil and Namzitarra," 68; Cooper, "Puns and Prebends."

<sup>356.</sup> See Noegel, "'Sign, Sign, Everywhere a Sign," and the essays in Magali De Haro Sanchez, ed., *Écrire la magie dans l'antiquité: Actes du colloque international (Liège, 13–15 octobre 2011*), PL 5 (Liège: Presses Universitaires de Liège, 2015).

far more pervasive in antiquity. In ancient Mesopotamia, the very act of writing was credited with divine origins, and so the illocutionary element of language naturally played a more significant role. Georges Contenau explains:

Since to know and pronounce the name of an object instantly endowed it with reality, and created power over it, and since the degree of knowledge and consequently of power was strengthened by the tone of voice in which the name was uttered, writing, which was a permanent record of the name, naturally contributed to this power, as did both drawing and sculpture, since both were a means of asserting knowledge of the object and consequently of exercising over it the power which knowledge gave.<sup>357</sup>

This mindset has been used to explain the paronomastic connections between protases and apodoses in divinatory contexts, as Jean Bottéro remarks:

In Mesopotamia, where nouns were not considered to be arbitrary epiphenomena and consequently subjective elements, but were thought to be the real objective expression of the proper essence of things, each phonetic similarity was to be considered serious and very significant: two realities whose names coincided were bound as closely together as their designations.<sup>358</sup>

Given such a context, we should not be surprised to find polysemy and paronomasia operative in Akkadian magical texts. Indeed, as Wasserman observes, incantations are "the richest genre in rhetorical inventiveness." Witness for example the following potency incantation: *a-kan-nu* MIN *re-mu* MIN *man-nu* ú-[ram-me-k]a ki-ma qi-i ra-mu-ti "Wild ass, wild ass, wild bull, wild bull! Who made you as limp as untied cords?" The spell connects rēmu "wild bull" with ramû "untie" and ramūti "slack."

-

<sup>357.</sup> George Contenau, Everyday Life in Babylon and Assyria (London: Edward Arnold, 1955), 164.

<sup>358.</sup> J. Bottéro, Mesopotamia: Writing, Reasoning, and the Gods (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1992), 121.

<sup>359.</sup> Wasserman, Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts, 178.

<sup>360.</sup> Robert D. Biggs, ŠA.ZI.GA: Ancient Mesopotamian Potency Incantations, TCS 2 (Locust Valley, NY: Augustin, 1967), 19.

<sup>361.</sup> It is of some note that Akkadian and Babylonian scholars made productive use of the similarity in sound between "untie" (CAD R, s.v. "ramû"), rīmu "love" (CAD R, s.v. "râmu"), "wild bull" (CAD R, s.v. "rīmu"), rēmu "womb, pity" (CAD R, s.v. "rēmu"), and ramû "set, bestow, occupy" (CAD R, s.v. "ramû"). A few examples will demonstrate. In a Hymn to Sin, we find: rīmu ālid napḥari ša itti šiknat napišti šubtu elleti ramû "womb that gives birth to all things, that has occupied a holy residence among mankind." The line ties rīmu "womb" to ramû "set, bestow, occupy" Cited in CAD R, s.v. "ramû." The text appears in Edmund Guthrie Perry, Hymnen und Gebete an Sin (Leipzig:

An Akkadian ritual for pacifying a crying baby offers the following instructions: "in a tavern, where the beer barrels  $[hub\bar{u}ru]$  are, during the silent time  $[q\bar{u}ltu]$  of night you sweep together dust from between them." The dust from the vats of  $hub\bar{u}ru$  "beer" linguistically assists in making a salve to silence  $(q\bar{u}ltu)$  the infant's  $hub\bar{u}ru$  "noise."

See also the Akkadian anti-witchcraft series Maqlû 1.126–130, which contains twenty-five consecutive words that derive either from the root *kašāpu* "bewitch" or *epēšu* "do (magic)":

kaššāpu ikšipanni kišipšu kaššāptu takšipanni kišipšu kaššāptu takšipanni kišipši ipšū īpušanni epussu ēpištu tēpušanni ipšū tēpušanni epussi muštēpištu tēpušanni ipšū tēpušanni epussi

The (male) witch who bewitched me,

bewitch him with the witchcraft with which he bewitched me.

The (female) witch who bewitched me,

bewitch her with the witchcraft with which she bewitched me.

The hexer who hexed me,

hex him with the hex with which he hexed me.

The hextress who hexed me,

hex her with the hex with which she hexed me.

The sorceress who hexed me,

hex her with the hex with which she hexed me. 363

The repetition of the sounds /p/, /š/, or /s/ evokes the verb  $pas\bar{a}su$  "break, annul, cancel," while  $mu\check{s}t\bar{e}pi\check{s}tu$  suggests the  $pi\check{s}tu$  "revilement" of the witch. 365

Hindrichs, 1907), 1. In the Epic of Gilgamesh 1.35–36, the hero is described as:  $r\bar{t}mu$  (AM)  $\delta a$  dlugalbanda dGIŠ-gimma $\delta s$  gitmālu emūqi ēniq arhi  $\delta s$  irit rimat-dninsun "wild bull of Lugalbanda, Gilgamesh, perfect of strength, suckling of the exalted cow, Wild-Bull-Ninsun!" Concerning  $\delta s$  rimu, which could mean "beloved" or "wild bull," George, Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic, 783, notes: "There may be intentional ambiguity in the expression  $\delta s$  rim Lugalbanda," even though the meaning "wild bull" takes preference. 362. Observed by Farber, "Associative Magic," 448; Farber, Schlaf, Kindlein, Schlaf!: Mesopotamische Baby-Beschwörungen und Rituale (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1989), 44–45.

<sup>363.</sup> On this series, see Tzvi Abusch, *The Witchcraft Series Maqlû*, WAW 37 (Atlanta: SBL Press, 2015), 50–53.

<sup>364.</sup> CAD P, s.v. "pasāsu."

<sup>365.</sup> The latter was suggested by Victor A. Hurowitz, "Alliterative Allusions, Rebus Writing, and Paronomastic Punishment: Some Aspects of Word Play in Akkadian Literature,"

Daniel Schwemer's remark with regard to first millennium Akkadian incantations is apposite:

The marked word order and parallelisms are often further augmented by phonetic figures of speech; especially common are the repetition of vowel patterns (assonance) or the repetition of sounds in the stressed syllables of words or at the end of words (alliteration; homoioteleuton).<sup>366</sup>

According to James Ford, polysemy empowers an Akkadian incantation against the demoness Lamaštu. 367 The pertinent passage reads: ušēṣiaši a-pa-ni ušaḥlipašši ṣé-er-re-nim (YOS 11:19.13–14). It is ambiguous whether one should read a-pan-ni as "window" (appānu) or "cane-brake" (apu), and ṣé-er-re-nim as "door-pivot" (ṣerru), "snake" (ṣerru), or "steppe" (ṣēru). Therefore, the incantation permits multiple options: "they made her [Lamashtu] go out through the window/to the cane brake, they made her slip (out) through the door-pivot/like a snake/to the steppe." Ford summarizes the performative impact of the multiple readings: "Lamaštu is not only expelled from the house but at the very same time is also sent back to the place from which she came, thus ensuring a far more effective exorcism." 368

The Egyptian conception of text was very similar, as David Frankfurter points out: "Egyptian letters were the chief technology of a hierocratic scribal elite who preserved and enacted rituals—and by extension the cosmic order itself—through the written word."<sup>369</sup> In fact, there is good reason to see many of the so-called "literary devices" of Egyptian scribes as possessing a performative function. Not only did Egyptian scribes conceive of their writing system as divine in origin, they were particularly concerned with being 3½ r3 "effective in speech," spd d3ts.w "clever of sayings," and mn½ tpj.w-r3 "excellent of utterances," notions grounded in the proper performance of magical texts.<sup>370</sup> As the text known as the Immortality of Scribes (P.Chester Beatty IV, BM 10684, verso 2.5–3.11) informs us: tmn=st ½k3.w=sn r t3 tmm šd m sb3.jt "they (scribal masters) hid their magic from the whole land, to be read in (their) instructions" (8.6–7). According to the

in Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 86–87, who also opined that the repetition of the consonants might have served like the spitting sounds "pooh pooh" to ward off evil.

<sup>366.</sup> Daniel Schwemer, "Form Follows Function"? Rhetoric and Poetic Language in First Millennium Akkadian Incantations," *WdO* 44 (2014): 281.

<sup>367.</sup> J. N. Ford, "Wordplay in the *Lamaštu* Incantations," in Cohen et al., *Birkat Shalom*, 585–95.

<sup>368.</sup> Ford, "Wordplay in the Lamaštu Incantations," 595.

<sup>369.</sup> David Frankfurter, "The Magic of Writing and the Writing of Magic: The Power of the Word in Egyptian and Greek Traditions," *Helios* 21 (1994): 192.

<sup>370.</sup> See, e.g., Richter, *Theology of Hathor of Dendera*, 17–19. See also Ragazzoli, *Scribes*, 506–10.

*3. Function* 149

Memphite Theology, the entire world is essentially a hieroglyphic text that records the god Ptah's concept of creation. Therefore, we may understand polysemy and paronomasia in Egyptian texts as a manipulation of the cosmos through the written word.<sup>371</sup> This perceived power explains a Coffin Text spell ( $\S647$ ) that invokes Ptah by referring to him as "creative" (pth) and "strong" (ph.ti), adjectives that evoke his name.<sup>372</sup>

On a situla in the Louvre is an inscription that ritually connects Osiris with Khnum of Elephantine by employing the following words:  $\underline{h}nm=s$  n=k  $\underline{h}nm.w$  "she (Sothis) associates you with Khnum." Here the ritual of identification takes place in the very word  $\underline{h}nm$  "associate," which anticipates  $\underline{h}nm.w$  "Khnum."

One finds paronomasia in the service of magic also at Ugarit. Consider the following incantation, in which the deity Horon removes the poison of a snakebite  $(CAT\ 1.100,\ 65-67)$ .

'r'rm yn'rnh
With the tamarisk, he scatters it,
ssnm ysynh
With the date-palm branch, he slashed it,

<sup>371.</sup> Assmann, "Etymographie: Zeichen im Jenseits der Sprache," 54–56.

<sup>372.</sup> A connection between execration texts and the Tale of Sinuhe demonstrates well the difficulty in distinguishing performative from the literary. See the keen observations of Hans-Werner Fischer-Elfert, "The Hero of Retjenu: An Execration Figure (Sinuhe B 109–113)," *JEA* 82 (1996): 198–99.

<sup>373.</sup> Additional paronomasia obtains between sn.wt "sisters" and sn "them." A similar paronomastic use of hr appears in the Tale of Sinuhe (P. Berlin 3022), ll. 277–278, where pharaoh's children say of Sinuhe: rwi.n=fti n hr.(it)=k nn ijt hr n mi hr=k "he abandoned the land for dread of you. There will be no destruction for the face that sees your face." Here hr is used for "dread," then twice for "face," each time using the sign  $\circ$ .

<sup>374.</sup> Cited in Martin Bommas, *Der Temple des Khnum der 18. Dyn. auf Elephantine* (PhD diss., Ägyptisches Institut, 2000), 8. From Paul Pierret, *Recueil d'inscriptions inédites du musée égyptien du Louvre*, vol. 2 (Paris: F. Vieweg, 1878), 116.

<sup>375.</sup> Sheldon W. Greaves, "Wordplay and Associative Magic in the Ugaritic Snake-Bite Incantation RS 24.244," *UF* 24 (1994): 165–67.

```
'dtm y'dynh
With a flowing current, he made it disappear,
ybltm yblnh
With a stream, he took it.
```

Note how the spell embeds the sounds of the noun r r "tamarisk" in the verb n r "shake," the ssn "date-palm" in the verb nsy "slash," the 'dt "current" in the verb 'dy "cause to disappear," and the yblt "stream" in the verb ybl "took." In essence, the success of the charm depends on the sympathetic connection between the names of the ritual objects and the purpose they effect.

A similar use of paronomasia occurs in the following Ugaritic performative charm.

- 1. *ydy dbbm d ģzr ... tg htk r*[] (Baal) shall drive off the young man's accuser, the affliction of your staff[].
- 2. b'l tg htk w tşu lpn ql t'y
  Baal, the affliction of your staff. So, you shall depart before the voice of the incantation priest,
- 3. *k qtr urbtm k b<u>t</u>n 'mdm*Like smoke through an aperture, like a snake up a pillar,
- 4. *k y 'lm zrh k lbim skh*Like goats to a rock, like lions to a lair.
- 5. *hṭ nqh u qrb hṭ thṭa l gbk*Staff, attention! Draw near, staff! May it harm your back
- 6. w trš 'l mntk tlḥm lḥm
  And waste your figure. (CAT 1.169)<sup>376</sup>

It is unclear whether the spell aims to protect one from sorcery or to heal impotence, because the noun *ht* "staff" serves elsewhere in Ugaritic texts as a euphemism for "penis" (e.g., *CAT* 1.23, 37–49). Nevertheless, the performative nature of the text obtains by way of voice-empowered similes that involve polysemy and paronomasia. The first identifies the removal of the pain of a *ht* "staff" with *yhta* "harm." The second relies on reading *tg htk* "the affliction of the staff" as a single word *tghtk* "may you cast out!" The latter echoes the start of the spell: "(Baal) shall drive off [*ydy*]!"

Polysemy and paronomasia can have a performative function in the Hebrew Bible as well. This occurs in two different ways. Either an author can make reference to a performative act and employ it in a way that captivates the transformation, or a text can represent a speech act itself and embody

<sup>376.</sup> Adopted from Daniel Fleming, "Ugaritic Incantation Against Sorcery," in COS 1:301–2, with some variation.

<sup>377.</sup> See *DULAT*, s.v. "ght."

*3. Function* 151

transformation via polysemy and paronomasia. For an example of the former, I turn to Ps 107:33, where Yahweh's power is described: יְשֵׁם נְהָרוֹת לְמִדְבָּר וֹמִצְּאֵי יִשֵּׁם נְהָרוֹת לְמִדְבָּר וֹמִצְּאֵי יִשֵּׁם נְהָרוֹת לְמִדְבָּר וֹמִצְּאֵי יִשֵּׁם נְמִים לְצְבָּאוֹן יִשְּׁם נְהָרוֹת לְמִדְבָּר וֹמִצְּאֵי יִשְׁם נְהָרוֹת לְעָבְּאוֹן יִשְׁ יִשְׁרוֹת יִשְׁרִי יִשְׁרוֹת לְצָבְּיוֹת יִשְׁרִי יִשְׁרוֹת לִצְּיִי יִשְׁרוֹת לִצְאָי יִשְׁרוֹת יִשְׁרִי יִשְׁרוֹת לִצְאָי יִשְׁרִי יִשְׁרוֹת מִצְאַי יִשְׁרוֹת moṣã è "flowing" to create מִצְאֵי sɨmmā ʾōn "drought-land."<sup>378</sup>

For an example of an extended speech act that embodies the performative transformation via polysemy and paronomasia, I refer to Jer 51:34–37, a prophecy of Yahweh's judgment against Babylon.<sup>379</sup>

- 34. Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon has devoured us:
  He has thrown us into confusion; he has made us an empty jar.
  Like the primordial dragon [אַרָּק tannīn] he has swallowed us and filled his stomach with our delicacies, and then he has spewed us out.
- 35. "May the violence done to us and our children be upon Babylon," say the dwellers of Zion.
  - "May our blood be on those who live in Babylonia," says Jerusalem.
- 36. Therefore, this is what Yahweh says:"See, I will defend your cause and avenge you;I will dry up her sea, and make her fountain run dry.
- 37. Babylon shall become rubble heap [תַּנִּים] gallīm], a den of jackals [תַּנִּים] tannīm], an object of horror and hissing, without inhabitant."

In this prophecy a series of powerful devices serves as the ritual instruments by which the spoken word enacts Babylon's violent reversal of fortunes. The first is אַלִּים gallīm in line 37, a polyseme that can mean "rubble heap" or "water waves." Since God has just stated that he will dry up Babylon's waters, gallīm first suggests the meaning "waves." It is only when we hear the remainder of the passage and its reference to wasteland that we realize it must mean "rubble heap." The prophecy has transformed Babylon's abundant "waters" into "rubble" simply by changing the linguistic context of the word—the transformation happens in the recitation.

Bolstering these connections in line 37 is the noun תְּבִּים  $tann\bar{\imath}m$  "jackals." Just previously, Yahweh had described the king as a תַּבִּין  $tann\bar{\imath}n$ , i.e., "the primordial dragon," who was swallowing Jerusalem (1. 34). By altering one consonant, the prophet transforms the dragon of chaos into wasteland jackals.

The prophecy continues with performative language in l. 44 where Yahweh issues his sentence: "I shall punish Bel (בָּל  $b\bar{e}l$ ) in Babylon (בְּבָבֶ  $b\partial$ - $b\bar{a}b\varepsilon l$ ), and I will make him disgorge what he has swallowed (נְּל bil bil bil)." The paronomasia

<sup>378.</sup> Ps 107:33 is nearly identical to Isa 41:18. Only the person is different: the former in third person, the latter in first person.

<sup>379.</sup> See also Noegel, "Literary' Craft and Performative Power in the Ancient Near East."

between "Bel," "Babylon," and "swallow," reminds us of the primordial dragon, while providing a talionic tie between the nation's crime and God's verdict against its national god (see 3.15.3).

The combined impact of these cases of paronomasia and polysemy, like those in divinatory texts, is more than literary or rhetorical style. It constitutes the ritual means by which divine judgment is put into effect and by which the divine word is understood to transform one reality into another. In this case, the prophet's words quite literally transform Babylon the dragon into a lair for jackals and its abundant water into wasteland rubble. 380

# 3.18. COMPLEXITIES

It is important to recognize that many of the proposed functions surveyed here are not mutually exclusive and depend to some degree on perspective. A device in a prophetic utterance may have a rhetorical effect, demonstrate *lex talionis*, draw attention to erudition, and also pack a performative punch. Paronomasia can be both appellative and allusive.<sup>381</sup> Acrostics may help in the memorization of texts, but also demonstrate erudition and serve as a ritual means of giving order to the cosmos. Applicable here is Yuri Lotman's observation: "Texts, as a rule, are multifunctional: the same text fulfills not one, but several (sometimes many) functions."<sup>382</sup>

Scholars often draw a sharp distinction between the literary and the performative, but the ancients did not share this view.<sup>383</sup> Wasserman's comment with regard to the "literary" aspects of Old Babylonian incantations is on point:

There was no such intrinsic Mesopotamian concept of "literary corpus," or of *belles lettres* at all, and the modern concept of the "belletristic text" is totally alien to the Mesopotamian literary system. This does not mean, however, that we should deprive the Mesopotamian mind from admiring and enjoying aesthetically their own literary tradition.<sup>384</sup>

<sup>380.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel, "The Ritual Use of Linguistic and Textual Violence in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near East," in *State, Power, and Violence*, ed. Margo Kitts et al., RDSR 3 (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2010), 33–46.

<sup>381.</sup> See Sheree E. Lear, "The Daughter of a Foreign God: Wordplay as an Interpretive Key in Malachi 2:11," VT 65 (2015): 467–73.

<sup>382.</sup> Yury Lotman, *Analysis of the Poetic Text*, trans. D. Barton Johnson (Ann Arbor, MI: Ardis, 1976), 6. Quoted by Wasserman, *Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts*, 183.

<sup>383.</sup> On this point, see Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers.

<sup>384.</sup> Wasserman, Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts, 183.

I concur with Wasserman that literary approaches to ancient Near Eastern texts have contributed profoundly to our understanding of their subtleties and complexities, but I also contend that our modern conceptions of "literature" and the impact of literary and rhetorical criticism (especially in biblical studies) sometimes deter us from deriving the full import of many Near Eastern textual devices. Elsewhere I have shown how "wordplay" served as a *techne* of performative power and how it reveals mantic preoccupations and anxieties.<sup>385</sup> I aver that it might be worthwhile to consider whether performative functions lie behind the early use of other "poetic" devices such as parallelism, chiasmus, and keywords. Perhaps we similarly should see intertextual references as efforts to embed the power of one text or tradition into another. Such are very real possibilities given the interdisciplinarity of ancient literati and their ontological understanding of speech and script.

<sup>385.</sup> Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*; Noegel, "Literary' Craft and Performative Power in the Ancient Near East"; Noegel, "Augur Anxieties in the Ancient Near East."

# 4

# **TAXONOMY**

In this chapter, I provide a taxonomy for the study of "wordplay" in ancient Near Eastern texts. This taxonomy divides the phenomenon into two major classes. The first is that of polysemy, of which there are fourteen types, and the second is paronomasia, of which there are twelve types. All forms of polysemy involve multiple meanings and/or readings in a *single* context. All forms of paronomasia operate *across* word divisions and involve a *dissimilarity in meaning*. Thus, many (but not all) cases of polysemy are effective on a purely visual register, whereas all cases of paronomasia (in a consonantal writing system) are effective both aurally and visually. If accomplished in a nonconsonantal writing system, some cases of paronomasia may not operate simultaneously on aural and visual registers. With Casanowicz, I treat alliteration and assonance not as types of paronomasia, but as the audible effects of many different devices.

Since we lack an emic terminology, I have elected to use terms from ancient Greek for those devices that have counterparts in that language. In addition to the rubric terms, polysemy and paronomasia, these include: acrostic, amphiboly, anagram, anastrophe, antanaclasis, epanastrophe, homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, homonymy, isopsephy, and *notariqon*. The fact that these terms accurately identify the Near Eastern devices makes their adoption wholly felicitous. Moreover, my use of Greek terminology serves to clarify that the devices are far more ancient and Eastern than Greek usage might suggest. It is likely that they made their way west along with the itinerant seers and diviners who transmitted so many other aspects of their craft. Wherever possible, I

<sup>1.</sup> The Greek terms are attested from the fifth century BCE–fifth century CE, depending on the device. In fig. 3, I list all of the devices and their first attested textual references in chronological order.

<sup>2.</sup> Literature on the transmission of Near Eastern thought to the Mediterranean world is voluminous. Representative publications include: Walter Burkert, *Greek Religion*, trans. John Raffan (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1985); Burkert, "Homerstudien und Orient," in *Zweihundert Jahre Homer-Forschung: Rückblick und Ausblick*, ed.

demonstrate each type of polysemy and paronomasia as it appears in Akkadian, Egyptian, Ugaritic, Hebrew, and/or Aramaic, and less often in Sumerian and Northwest Semitic epigraphic remains.

#### 4.1. TYPES OF POLYSEMY

To date scholars have identified fourteen types of polysemy. These include: contronymic polysemy, *double entendre*, antanaclasis, unidirectional polysemy, multidirectional polysemy, double polysemy, bilingual polysemy, polysemy clusters, numerical polysemy, isopsephy, *notariqon*, acrostics (also telestichs and menostichs), transposition, and amphiboly. Each of these may perform different functions depending on its context. Moreover, these types and their functions can, and often do, overlap. Thus, a case of double polysemy or of amphiboly may also constitute a multidirectional polysemy, and be part of a polysemy cluster; unidirectional polysemy and antanaclasis also can serve as *double entendres*, and so on. There appears to be no limit to the sophistication of ancient writers.

# 4.1.1. CONTRONYMIC POLYSEMY

A word that bears its own meaning and its opposite is called a contronym (also called auto-antonym or enantiosemy). Those familiar with Semitic languages

Joachim Latacz, ColR 2 (Stuttgart: de Gruyter, 1991), 155-81; Scott B. Noegel, "Greek Religion and the Ancient Near East," in The Blackwell Companion to Greek Religion, ed. Daniel Ogden (London: Blackwell, 1993), 21-37; Martin L. West, "Ancient Near Eastern Myths in Classical Greek Religious Thought," in Civilizations of the Ancient Near East, ed. Jack M. Sasson (New York: Scribner, 1995), 33-42; Stanley M. Burstein, "Greek Contact with Egypt and the Levant, ca. 1600-500 B.C.: An Overview," Ancient World 27 (1996): 20-28; Stephanie Dalley, "Occasions and Opportunities: 1. To the Persian Conquest," in The Legacy of Mesopotamia, ed. by Stephanie Dalley (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998), 9-33; Dalley and A. T. Reyes, "Mesopotamian Contact and Influence in the Greek World: 1. To the Persian Conquest," in Dalley, Legacy of Mesopotamia, 85-106; Robert Rollinger, "The Ancient Greeks and the Impact of the Ancient Near East: Textual Evidence and Historical Perspective (ca. 750-650 BCE)," in Mythology and Mythologies: Methodological Approaches to Intercultural Influence: Proceedings of the Second Annual Symposium of the Assyrian and Babylonian Intellectual Heritage Project Held in Paris, France, October 4-7, 1999, ed. Robert M. Whiting (Helsinki: Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project, 2001), 233-64; Christopher A. Faraone, "From Magic Ritual to Semiotic Game: The Transformation of Neo-Assyrian Love Spells in Classical and Hellenistic Greece," in Ideologies as Intercultural Phenomena: Proceedings of the Assyrian and Babylonian Intellectual Heritage Project, Chicago, October 27-31, 2000, ed. A. Panaino and G. Pettinato, MelS 3 (Helsinki: Associazione Culturale Mimesis, 2002), 61–74; Jan N. Bremmer, Greek Religion and Culture, the Bible and the Ancient Near East, JSRC 8 (Leiden: Brill, 2008).

sometimes refer to the phenomenon as اضداد 'aḍḍāḍ, a term that derives from medieval Arabic parlance.³ An example of a contronym in English is "cleave," which means both "join" and "separate." Contronymic polysemy occurs in ancient texts when writers exploit contronyms for their opposing meanings. For the present purpose, it does not matter whether contronyms are the result of two unrelated words that have assimilated or a widening semantic range of a single lexeme. Such linguistic matters mattered little to the ancients.

Contronyms appear to be a rare phenomenon in Mesopotamia. In Sumerian, I can think only of one possible example, GALAM.MA. Usually, the signs carry a positive meaning as "artful, exalted one," but in the Instructions of Shuruppak (l. 255), they take on the negative meaning "despot." Nevertheless, GALAM.MA simply may be an oxymoron meaning something like "clever fool."

To my mind, the only possible case of contronymic polysemy in Akkadian occurs in a hymn to the god Shamash, in which the poet employs the noun *arnu* for its meanings "crime" and "punishment": "you give the unscrupulous judge experience of fetters. Him who accepts a present and yet lets justice miscarry, you make bear his *arnu*" (Il. 97–98).<sup>6</sup> Here the mention of fetters in the previous line suggests that we understand it as "punishment." However, the reference to bribery and injustice forces us to understand it also as "crime." As such, the contronymic polysemy underscores the notion of *lex talionis* (see 3.15.3).

Contronyms are difficult to locate in Egyptian texts. <sup>7</sup> Indeed, the appearance of contronyms is sometimes the unintended result of translation. For example, one

<sup>3.</sup> The first major work on the subject is that of Abū Bakr ibn al-Anbāri (885–940 CE), *Kitāb 'al-Addād.* See also Carl Abel, "Über den Gegensinn der Urworte," in *Sprachwissenschaftliche Abhandlungen* (Leipzig: Verlag Wilhelm Friedrich, 1885); Theodor Nöldeke, *Neue Beiträge zur semitischen Sprachwissenschaft* (Strassburg: Trübner, 1910), 67–108; Robert Gordis, "Studies in Hebrew Roots of Contrasted Meaning," *JQR* 27 (1936–1937): 33–58; David Cohen, "*Addad* et ambiguïté linguistique en arabe," in *Études de linguistique sémitique et arabe* (Paris: Mouton, 1970), 79–100; Cohen, "Ambivalence, indifférence et neutralization de sèmes," in *Études de linguistique sémitique et arabe*, 101–4; Rudolf Meyer, "Gegensinn und Mehrdeutigkeit in der althebr. Wort- und Begriffsbildung," *UF* 11 (1979): 601–12; Hans-Peter Müller, "Polysemie im semitischen und hebräischen Konjugationssystem," *Or* 55 (1986): 365–89; John H. Hospers, "Das Problem der sogenannten semantischen Polarität im Althebräischen," *ZAH* 1 (1988): 32–39.

<sup>4.</sup> Observed by Alster, "Paradoxical Proverbs and Satire in Sumerian Literature," 203.

<sup>5.</sup> See Bendt Alster, *The Instructions of Shuruppak: A Sumerian Proverb Collection*, Mesopotamia 2 (Copenhagen: Akademisk Forlag, 1974), 113.

<sup>6.</sup> See W. G. Lambert, *Babylonian Wisdom Literature* (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1996), 132–33, who does not discuss the contronym.

<sup>7.</sup> I would like to thank Thomas Schneider for his insights on contronyms in Egyptian, all of which inform this section. Schneider has pointed out to me that the proposed cases of

might think that the common Egyptian lexeme tm is a contronym since it can mean "complete, whole," but also serve as a verb of negation. However, the basic meaning of tm may simply be "exhaustion," and thus it can have positive and negative applications. Consider the similar case of the lexeme nfr "perfection, goodness," which also is employed for "zero" and for negation. It too may simply express ineffability. Some have considered the nouns hm "majesty" and hm "servant" a single contronym, but they are unrelated homonyms. In any event, I know of no case in which hm is exploited for both potential meanings in a single context. The two nouns  $\S w$  "light" and  $\S w$  or  $\S w.t$  "shade" are perhaps contronyms, but again, I know of no place where they are employed polysemously in a single context. Moreover, since we do not know whether any of the proposed contronyms were pronounced the same, at most they would function paronomastically and/or visually.

Ugaritic texts also give no evidence of contronyms. In addition, the Ugaritic script records no vowels, so the same restrictions apply concerning visual contronyms as in Egyptian.

Contronyms do exist in biblical Hebrew and sometimes they are employed for paronomastic purposes. We already have seen a strictly visual Hebrew contronym in Job 26:12, in which Yahweh both stilled and stirred the sea, thus creating a type of merism (see 2.4.5). A contronym that operates aurally appears in the account of Saul, who tells Samuel that he rushed to perform the sacrifice in his absence: "אָפָּל wā-ʾet ʾappaq and I offered the burnt-offering" (1 Sam 13:12). As Jonathan Grossman observes, the verb אָפַל ʾāpaq, here in the reflexive conjugation, can mean "I compelled myself" or "I restrained myself." In one strike, the author has captured in Saul's own words his impetuousness and his attempt to soften his statement upon realizing that he had usurped Samuel's cultic role.

Targum Pseudo-Jonathan captures well the latter contronym in Aramaic by translating the verb with another contronym אָאָתְחָסְנֵית wə-ʾiṯḥasnēṯ, which

Egyptian contronyms found in Abel, "Über den Gegensinn der Urworte," 311-67, are all erroneous.

<sup>8.</sup> See Thomas Schneider, "Contextualizing the Tale of the Herdsman," in *Egyptian Stories: A British Egyptological Tribute in Honour of Alan B. Lloyd on the Occasion of His Retirement*, ed. Thomas Schneider and Kasia Szpakowska, AOAT 347 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2007), 311–12 n. 12.

<sup>9.</sup> Unless the one is a *nisba* form of the other, in which case "shade" is simply "that which belongs to the light."

<sup>10.</sup> See already David Yellin, "The Full Contronym in the Bible" [Hebrew], *Leshonenu* 5 (1938): 276–94.

<sup>11.</sup> Grossman, Ambiguity in the Biblical Narrative and Its Contribution to the Literary Formation, 154–57.

similarly means both "I strengthen myself" and "I restrained myself." On the rendering of polysemy in the textual witnesses, see chapter 5 (5.3.7).

Due to its inherent polarity, contronymic polysemy has a particularly unsettling effect on readers/listeners, for it is one thing for a sign or word to have multiple meanings, but quite another for those meanings to be opposite to each other. For traditional exegesis, such divergent readings are impossible. Yet the tension between contradictory meanings is precisely the point of the device. It can encapsulate conflicting actions and intents, as in the case of Saul, or embody all actions, as a type of merism, as in the case of Yahweh and the sea. Contronymic polysemy can be effective visually and/or aurally.

# 4.1.2. DOUBLE ENTENDRES

A double entendre is an idiom or other figure of speech that may be understood in two ways. The first is straightforward and innocuous, whereas the second is usually risqué. This is what Arab grammarians referred to as iequive tawriya or iequive tawriya or

Often *double entendres* serve as euphemisms.<sup>14</sup> Consider the following Sumerian proverb.

[NU.UM.ME.D]A.NÁ AL.PEŠ<sub>4</sub>.A [EN?.E.Š]E NU.KÚ.DA.AN.NI [A]L.KUR<sub>4</sub>.RE.EN.E.ŠE

Can she be pregnant without having had sex? Without having eaten Can she be fat?

Here the verb for "eat" (KÚ) constitutes a sexual euphemism for sex.

See also the poem Ninmešarra, which describes the cessation of all lovemaking that resulted when the goddess Inanna forsook her city: "its (the city's) woman no longer speaks of love with her husband. At night she does not 'speak' (AD NA.AN.DI.NI.IB.GI<sub>4</sub>.GI<sub>4</sub>) with him" (Il. 55–56). As Hallo and Van

<sup>12.</sup> Jastrow, p. 489.

<sup>13.</sup> *Tawrīya* is the use of a word with multiple meanings by an author in order to exploit its secondary ("hidden") meaning. S. A. Bonebakker, "*Tawriya* (a.)," *EncIs* 10:395.

<sup>14.</sup> Though note the comment of Guglielmi, "Wortspiel," col. 1289. "Ein amphibolischer Gebrauch als Euphemismus, etwa für 'Tod', ist selten." On euphemisms in Egyptian generally, see Guglielmi, "Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur," 490–91. See also Antonio Loprieno, "Sprachtabu,"  $L\ddot{A}$  V (1984): cols. 1211–1214.

Dijk point out, the highlighted Sumerian can be read as referring to "speaking" or "intercourse." Indeed, Sumerian is rich in sexual euphemisms.

A first millennium Mesopotamian incantation for increasing the prosperity of a tavern keeper offers several examples of the device. It prescribes a series of rituals and incantations that employ several types of polysemy and paronomasia in an effort to secure Ishtar's aid. Addressing the goddess, the charm reads:

Come, enter our house! With you, may the sweet one, who sleeps with you, enter your seducer and your paramour. Let my lips be *lallaru*-syrup, let my hands be a sexual charm! Let the lip of my "ring" be a lip of date syrup. Like a snake, going out from a hole, and birds twittering over it. (ll. 28–33)<sup>16</sup>

Here the "ring" and "snake" constitute *double entendres* for "vulva" and "penis." Moreover, at the end of one of the incantations, the tavern keeper is instructed to say "may the malt-baskets ( $kurupp\bar{u}$ ) become plentiful!" (l. 34). As Walter Farber informs us, the statement's use of the rare noun  $kurupp\bar{u}$  "malt-baskets" evokes the verb  $qar\bar{a}bu$  "draw near," and thus, functions as a *double entendre* that is tantamount to a proposition for sex.<sup>17</sup> Mesopotamian poets often employ rare words to make their devices effective (see 5.2.6).<sup>18</sup>

<sup>15.</sup> William W. Hallo and J. J. A. van Dijk, *The Exaltation of Inanna*, YNER 3 (New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1968), 22, and 53 n. 20. See also Markham J. Geller, "Discourse or Intercourse Revisited," *NABU* (2005): 86–87.

<sup>16.</sup> Adopted with slight changes from Strahil V. Panayotov, "A Ritual for a Flourishing Bordello," *BiOr* 70 (2013): 285–310, who also provides the text's publication history.

<sup>17.</sup> See Farber, "Associative Magic," 449.

<sup>18.</sup> See Cohen and Klein, "Akkadian Hapax Legomena."

<sup>19.</sup> Double entendres are not restricted to belles-lettres in Egypt. See Steven Blake Shubert, "Double Entendre in the Stela of Suty and Hor," in Egypt, Israel, and the Ancient Mediterranean World: Studies in Honor of Donald B. Redford, ed. Gary N. Knoppers and Antoine Hirsch, PÄ 20 (Leiden: Brill, 2004), 143–65.

<sup>20.</sup> David Marcus, "A Famous Analogy of Rib-Haddi," *JANES* 5 (1973): 281–86; Stefan Schorch, *Euphemismen in der Hebräischen Bibel*, OBC 12 (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2000), 121–122; Shalom M. Paul, "Plowing with a Heifer' in Judges 14:18—Tracing a Sexual Euphemism," in *Sacred History, Sacred Literature: Essays on Ancient Israel, the Bible, and Religion in Honor of R. E. Friedman on His Sixtieth Birthday*, ed. Shawna Dolansky (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008), 163–67.

her lord" (I. 330).<sup>21</sup> Note too that the scribe has written the noun "plant(s)" as  $\equiv$  (i.e., dr) instead of  $\equiv$  (i.e., rd). Scholars usually note this and add sic!, assuming it to be a textual error.<sup>22</sup> However, as we have seen, non-normative orthography often draws attention to the presence of cleverness. In this case, it is particularly fitting that the hand-sign ( $\equiv$ ) appear first, since the noun "hand" (i.e., dr.t), can serve as a euphemism for "penis."<sup>23</sup>

The Egyptian Tale of Setna I also employs a sexual euphemism as a *double entendre*. In the dialogue between Tabubu and Setna, Tabubu thrice repeats her promise that  $\hat{i}w=k r ph p\hat{j}=k$  ' $wj p\hat{j} n.tj \hat{i}w \hat{i}w=k n-\hat{i}m=f$ " (you will reach your house, the thing that you are in" (II. 5.19, 5.23, 5.25). According to Ritner, the verb ph "reach" is rich in sexual connotation also meaning "to penetrate" and "attain orgasm." Steve Vinson observes that 'wj means "house," but that entering a woman's house is a euphemism for sexual penetration. Moreover, as he notes, 'wj paronomastically intimates 'wj "two arms, two hands," thus suggesting that Setne will reach orgasm only by masturbating. Moreover, as Pieter Pestman has discussed, the nouns mr "love" and sdm "sleep" take a phallus determinative (=) in the text, contrary to typical usage, thus nuancing said lexemes to mean "sexual desire" and "sleeping with a woman," respectively. Sexual desire" and "sleeping with a woman," respectively.

<sup>21.</sup> Günter Burkard, *Textkritische Untersuchungen zu ägyptischen Weisheitslehren des Alten und Mittleren Reiches*, ÄgAbh 34 (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1977), 250, treats this passage as a case of amphiboly.

<sup>22.</sup> Noted by Burkard, Textkritische Untersuchungen zu ägyptischen Weisheits-lehren des Alten und Mittleren Reiches, 250.

<sup>23.</sup> See already Siegfried Schott, *Altägyptische Liebeslieder: Mit Märchen und Liebesgeschichten* (Zürich: Artemis, 1950), 56. This also is the case in Hebrew and Akkadian. See conveniently in Schorch, *Euphemismen in der Hebräischen Bibel*, 127–30; Shalom M. Paul, "The 'Plural of Ecstasy' in Mesopotamian and Biblical Love Poetry," in Zevit, Gitin, and Sokoloff, *Solving Riddles and Untying Knots*, 593 n. 30. The use of *dr.t* "hand" for "penis," is also suggested in the *Memphite Theology* (Shabaka Stone, BM No. 498, 1. 55): *psd.t=f m bih=f m ibh.w sp.t(i) mtw.t dr.tj itm.w* "his Ennead is before him as teeth and lips. They are the semen and hands of Atum" (but lit. "his Ennead is before him as teeth, semen, lips, two hands of Atum"). Note that the phrase *bih=f* "before him" employs the sign —, and that "hands" appears as —. Teeth and semen are equated by reason of color and their connection to the skeleton, whereas the lips and hands are associated by their duality. The line implicitly connects "hands" with the "penis." On the connection between semen and the skeleton, see Carleton T. Hodge, "Egyptian Beliefs about the Bull's Spine: An Anatomical Origin for ANKH," *AL* (1982): 445–79.

<sup>24.</sup> Robert K. Ritner, "The Romance of Setna Khaemuas and the Mummies (Setna 1)," in Simpson, *Literature of Ancient Egypt*, 464 n. 31. Noted also by Jay, *Orality and Literacy in the Demotic Tales*, 103.

<sup>25.</sup> Vinson, "Ten Notes on the First Tale of Setne Khaemwas," 457–58, 460–61.

<sup>26.</sup> Pestman, "Jeux de déterminatifs en Démotique," 27–28. Cited also by Jay, *Orality and Literacy in the Demotic Tales*, 103–4 n. 73.

At Ugarit we find a similar use of the word "hand" in El's advance to Athirat: hm yd ilmlk yhssk ahbt tr t'rrk "does the 'hand' of El, the king, excite you, the love of the Bull arouse you" (CAT 1.4.iv.38–39)? The same usage appears in The Birth of the Gracious Gods in the narrator's description of the god's "prowess" (CAT 1.23, 33–35):

```
tirkm yd il kym
El's "hand" grows long like the sea,
wyd il kmdb
Indeed, El's "hand," like the flood,
ark yd il kym
El's "hand" is long like the sea,
wyd il kmbd
Indeed, El's "hand," like the flood.
```

El then lowers his *ht* "scepter" and is "generous with the 'staff' (*mt*) in his hand" (*CAT* 1.23, 37). After charming two maidens, they cry out: "O husband! Husband! Lowered is your 'scepter,' generous is the 'staff' in your hand" (*CAT* 1.23, 40). We then learn that "the pair became his wives, wives of El, his wives forever" (*CAT* 1.23, 48–49).

A double entendre in Hebrew occurs in the command of David to Uriah, whom he has just summoned from the battle field: רְבִיתְּדְּ וּרְחֵץ רַגְלֶיף רְבִּלְּהַ יִּחָלְ בִּיתְּדְּ וּרְחֵץ רַגְלֶיף רְבִּלְּהַ "go down to your house and wash your feet" (2 Sam 11:8). Though one could read his command literally—after all Uriah had just come from the campaign—Uriah understands it to mean "go down to your house and have sex with your wife." This is clear by his reply the next morning. When David asks him why he did not return to his home, Uriah responds: וַאַנִי אָבוֹא אֶל־בֵּיתִי אַשְׁהִי אַבּוֹא אֶל־בֵּיתִי אַשְׁהִי אַשְּרִי בִּיתִי אַבּוֹא אָל־בֵּיתִי אַבּוֹא אֶל־בֵּיתִי אַבּוֹא אַל־בֵּיתִי אַבּוֹא אָל־בֵּיתִי אַבּוֹא אַלּיבַ עִם־אִשְׁהִי הַּאַשָּׁהִי יֹנוּ בְּיִבְּיִתְי "and I should go to my home to eat and drink and sleep with my wife!" (2 Sam 11:11). \*\*

27. Gary A. Rendsburg, "Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible: An Eclectic Collection," in

28. The pericope about Tamar and Amnon that follows this story is equally loaded with double entendres. See Pamela Tamarkin Reis, "Cupidity and Stupidity: Woman's Agency and the 'Rape' of Tamar," *JANES* 25 (1997): 43–60.

Noegel, *Puns and Pundits*, 152–53. On other euphemistic cases of *double entendre*, see S. H. Smith, "'Heel' and 'Thigh': The Concept of Sexuality in the Jacob-Esau Narratives," *VT* 40 (1990): 464–73; Shalom M. Paul, "Polysemous Pivotal Punctuation: More Janus Double Entendres," in *Texts, Temples, and Traditions: A Tribute to Menehem Haran*, ed. Michael V. Fox et al. (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1996), 369–74; Paul, "A *Double Entendre* in Job 15:32 in the Light of Akkadian," in *Emanuel: Studies in Hebrew Bible, Septuagint and Dead Sea Scrolls in Honor of Emanuel Tov*, ed. Shalom M. Paul et al. (Leiden: Brill, 2003), 755–57; Paul, "Plowing with a Heifer' in Judges 14:18," 163–67.

John Kselman has discovered a sophisticated double entendre in Ps 59:7 (repeated in 59:15): יְשִׁרְבּוֹ לְּעֶרֶב יְהֵמוֹּ בַּבְּלֶב וְיִסוֹבְבוֹ שִׁיר יִּמִּבּ עִּשׁר יִּמִּבּ עִּיִּה עִּיִּר יִּמָּבּ יִּמִּר בַּבְּלֶב וִיסוֹבְבוּ שִׁיר יִּמִּבּ עִּשׁר עִּיר יִּמָּבּ עִּיִּר יִּמִּבּ עִּיִּר יִּמִּר בַּבְּעָב וּ יִיסוֹבְבוּ שִׁיר יִּמִּבּ עִּמִּר בּבּּ עַּשִּׁר שִׁיר יִּמִּר בּבּ עִּיִּב יִּהְמוֹּ עַּמִּר בּּבּ עִּיִּר יִּבְּי עִּיִּר יִּבְּי עִּמִּר בּּבְּעַ עִּיִּר בְּּבְּעַ עִּיִּר יִּמְּבּ עִּמְּר בְּבָּעָ בִּיְ יִּיִּחְבּוּ עִּמִּר בְּבְּעָב וְיִסוֹבְּבוּ עִּיִּר יִּבְּי עִּבְּר עִּיִּב יְּבָּעָ עִּיִּר בְּבְּעָ עִּרְ בְּיִבְּי עִּיִּב יִּבְּעָ עִּבְּי בְּיִבְּי עִּיִּב יִּבְּעָ עִּבְּ בְּיִבְּי עִּיִּב יִּבְּבְּע יִיִּכְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי בְּיִבְּי עִּבְּי עִּיִּב יִּבְּעָּב וּיִייִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּיִּב יִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּיִּב יִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּיִּב יִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּיִּי עִּיְּבּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּיי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּיי עִּיי עִּבּי עִיבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּי עִבְּיי עִבְּיי עִבְּי עִּבְּי עִבְּיי עִּבְּי עִבְּיי עִּבְּי עִבְּיי עִּבְּי עִבְּי עִבְּיי עִבְּיי עִבּיי עִּבְּיי עִבְּיי עִבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִבְּי עִּבְּי עִּבְּיי עִּיִּיי עִבְּיי עִבְּיי עִבְּי עִבְּיי עִּבְּיבְּיי עִיבְּיִי עִּבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִבְּיי עִבְּיי עִּבְּיבּי עְבִּיי עִּבְּיי עִּיבְּיבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִּיבְּיי עִּיבְּיי עִּבְּיי עִבְּיי עִּבְּיי עְבִּיי עִּבְּיי עִּיבְּיי עִּבְּייבְּייבּיי עִּיבְּיי עִבְּייבּיי עִּירְ עִּבּיי עִבּייבּיי עִּיּבְּייבּיי עִּיבְּיבּייבּיי עִּיבְּיבּייב עּיִבּייב עּיּבּייב עּיִבּיי עִבּיבּיי עִבּייבְּייבְי

A final case of *double entendre* occurs in Hebrew text of Ben Sira from Qumran (Sir 51:19, 11Q5 XXI, 17, Ms A):

ידי פתח[ה שעריה] [וב]מערמיה אתבונון

ydy pth[h š 'ryh]
[w-b]-m 'rmyh 'tbwnwn

My hand open[ed her gates], [That] I could consider hidden things.

Here the nouns "hand," "opened," "gates," and "hidden things" all function as sexual euphemisms. As Reymond put it: "The effect of this language is, in the context of Sir 15:13–30, an emphasis on the idea that Wisdom should be pursued with the enthusiasm one might (but perhaps should not) show in the pursuit of a human bride."<sup>30</sup>

Double entendres need not always be sexual in import. In the Phoenician inscription of Azitawadda (KAI 26), the king brags that he brought peace to the peoples under his rule by saying לדנים לל בימתי l-dnnym ll bymty "As for the Danunians, there was no night in my days" (KAI 26B, 16–17). Rather than use the term for "evil," the king elected to employ the word לל "night," which creates a perfect fit for בימתי bymty "my days," which follows.

<sup>29.</sup> See also Kselman, "Double Entendre in Psalm 59," 187. See also K. Fullerton, "Double Entendre in the First Speech of Eliphaz," *JBL* 49 (1930): 320–74; Shalom M. Paul, "An Overlooked *Double Entendre* in Jonah 2:5," in *The Honeycomb of the Word: Interpreting the Primary Testament with André LaCoque*, ed. W. Dow Edgerton (Chicago: Exploration Press, 2001), 155–57.

<sup>30.</sup> Eric D. Reymond, "Wordplay in the Hebrew to Ben Sira," in *The Texts and Versions of the Book of Ben Sira: Transmission and Interpretation*, ed. Jean-Sébastien Rey and Jan Joosten, JSJSup 150 (Leiden: Brill 2011), 41. For additional *double entendres* see Reymond, "Sirach 51:13–30 and 11Q5 (=11QPs<sup>a</sup>) 21.11–22.1," *RdQ* 23 (2007): 207–31.

An example of a *double entendre* in Aramaic occurs in the description of Belshazzar's fearful reaction to the mysterious writing on the wall.<sup>31</sup> The narrator relates: "then the king's color changed, and his thoughts alarmed him, his limbs gave way, and his knees knocked together" (Dan 5:6). Wolters has shown that the line "his limbs gave way" (תַרְעֵּה מִשְּׁתְּרֵייִ חַרְעֵּה מִשְּׁתְּרֵייִ harṣēh mištārayīn) literally means "the knots of his loins were loosened (or untied)," and refers euphemistically to the king soiling himself as his sphincter muscle loosens out of fear. The tale recalls this event again when Daniel is said to have the power to fear. The tale recalls this event again when Daniel is said to have the power to mystara again" in "loosen the knots," that is, "solve enigmas" or "break spells" (Dan 5:12).<sup>32</sup>

Double entendres offer gentler ways of conveying matters that otherwise might be too explicit, offensive, or discomfiting. They also permit poets an escape from potential censure should they cross the line between decorum and taboo. Accordingly, double entendres can be as subversive as they are elusive. Since they primarily serve as euphemisms, they often blur the boundary between polysemy and metaphor. While poets often convey the literal or surface meaning of double entendres with exquisite literary artistry, they do not encourage listeners/readers to focus upon that reading, but instead compel them to entertain the euphemistic or risqué meaning. Thus, double entendres differ from other forms of polysemy that prompt one to contemplate both meanings simultaneously. They operate aurally and visually.

#### 4.1.3. ANTANACLASIS

Antanaclasis is the repetition of the same sign, word, or expression, each time with a different meaning.<sup>34</sup> It can be obtained by use of homonyms or by way of

<sup>31.</sup> For an example of *double entendre* from later Aramaic, see Matthew Morgenstern, "A Rather Risqué Pun in Jewish Babylonian Aramaic," in Cohen, *Birkat Shalom*, 881–90, who discusses the use of מורתא *pwrt* in a Yemenite midrashic text for "a little bit" and "a turd." 32. On the latter meaning, see Shalom M. Paul, "Decoding a 'Joint' Expression in Daniel 5:6, 16," *JANES* 22 (1993): 121–27.

<sup>33.</sup> Cf. the realization of the sailor in the Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor:  $r \check{s}.wy \, s \underline{d} d \, dp.t = n = f \, sn \, \rlap/b.t \, mr$  "how happy is the man who relates what he has tasted after a bitter thing passes" (1. 124).

<sup>34.</sup> ἀντανάκλασις "antanaclasis" refers originally to a reflection of light or echo. It did not become a literary term until much later. Quintilian, uses "contraria significatio" in Inst.

signs, words, and expressions of a single etymological derivation, but with a wide enough semantic range to provide sufficiently different meanings. Therefore, while antanaclasis can have a paronomastic effect, it belongs more properly to the realm of polysemy. It differs from homonymic paronomasia in that the signs, words, and expressions employed do not merely sound alike, but appear identical (see 4.2.6).

Antanaclasis occurs in the Sumerian text known as the Self Praise of Shulgi (Shulgi D 216–218).

GAL.GAL.BI ŠU.GI<sub>4</sub>.TA GA.ÀM.GI<sub>4</sub> NU.MU.Ù.GI<sub>4</sub>.ÉŠ NU.MU.Ù.DAĜAL.E.ŠA.A U<sub>4</sub> LA.BA.DA.AB.SUD.RÁ.AŠ LUGAL.ME.ÈN ŠU URU.ĜÁ GA.GÀM.GI<sub>4</sub>

Its (the foreign land's) adults, I will kill in revenge. Those whom I will not kill, those whom I will not disperse, they will not live long!
I, the king, will avenge my city.

As Klein and Sefati note, the scribe has used the sign GI<sub>4</sub> "return," along with ŠU "hand," to mean "kill in revenge" (= Akkadian *gimilla turru* "return vengeance"). However, in line 218, he used GI<sub>4</sub> to mean "smite (Akkadian *dâku*)."<sup>35</sup>

A similar case occurs in The Return of Ninurta (ll. 94-97), as Cooper observes:

UR.SAĜ KUR SAĜ UM.MA.AB.GI<sub>4</sub>.A.AŠ ZÀ.ZU A.A.ZU DIĜIR.DIŠ NU.UM.MA.ŠI.IN.GI<sub>4</sub>.GI<sub>4</sub>

Warrior, because you have smitten the mountains, Your father need send out no other god beside you.

In this passage, the sign GI<sub>4</sub> means "smite" in the first line, but reduplicated as GI<sub>4</sub>.GI<sub>4</sub> in the second line, it means "send."<sup>36</sup>

An excellent demonstration of antanaclasis in Akkadian occurs in the Gilgamesh Epic 1.65–66, in which the poet exploits two meanings of the verb  $teb\hat{u}$  in close succession:  $ul~\bar{\imath}si~\bar{s}aninamma~teb\hat{u}~kakk\bar{u}su~(TUKUL.MEŠ)~ina~pikk\bar{\imath}su~teb\hat{u}~r\bar{u}~\bar{\imath}usu~$  "the attack of his weapons verily has no equal, on account of

<sup>9.3.68 (</sup>first century CE). The Greek term occurs in the Scholiast to Apollonius Rhodius, *Argonautica* 1.746 (fifteenth century CE).

<sup>35.</sup> Observed by Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 31.

<sup>36.</sup> Cooper, Return of Ninurta, 72; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 31.

his ball (game) his companions are (constantly) aroused!"<sup>37</sup> The first occurrence of  $teb\hat{u}$  means "attack," whereas the second means "arouse."<sup>38</sup>

Enuma Elish also contains antanaclasis. In 1.14, the noun *mummu* means "creator": *mummu tiāmat muallidat gimrišun* "the creator Tiamat, who gave birth to them all." However, later it refers to "thunder": *mummu erpēti lištakṣibamma* "may the cloud's thunder diminish" (7.121).<sup>39</sup>

Given the polysemous values of Akkadian signs, it is not surprising that erudite scribes often obtained antanaclasis by exploiting the signs' multiple values within the same text. An excellent demonstration of this appears in the Descent of Ishtar, where the sign GAB occurs eighteen times, most often with the logographic reading Lúatû "gatekeeper," where it is read as DU8 (i.e., LÚ.Í.DU8). However, we first encounter it with the phonetic value *kap* in line 10 in the noun *kap-pi* "wings." In line 21, it possesses the value *qab* in *i-qab-bi* "he spoke" (repeated 1. 66). In line 40, the same sign is again read logographically as DU8, but this time in the name of the city of the underworld "Kutha" (i.e., GÚ.DU8.A.KI). Ten lines later, the same sign appears logographically as GABA meaning *irtu* "breast" (ll. 50, 52, 122). The last time it occurs it is read as *gab* in *gab-bi-šá-ma* "all of her" (l. 75). There are numerous cases of this device in the Descent of Ishtar and other cuneiform masterpieces.

Since hieroglyphic Egyptian does not record vowels we cannot know whether examples of antanaclasis were pronounced the same way. Nevertheless, we can note cases of visual antanaclasis.<sup>41</sup> An excellent example appears in the Tale of Wenamun (P.Moscow 120). In this text, the author has employed the verb  $\mathcal{B}i$  "take" cleverly in multiple contexts in order to exploit its rather wide semantic range. We first hear it in 1.10 and 1.13 in reference to the thief who  $\mathcal{B}i$  "stole" Wenamun's gold and silver. Soon afterwards, Wenamun asks the king of Byblos to  $\mathcal{B}i$  "take" him back to Egypt (1.36). Two lines later we hear about a Byblian god who  $\mathcal{B}i$  "possesses" a seer in the harbor (1.38). Then in 2.15, the prince rhetorically asks Wenamun to give him the sails and ropes he brought so that he

<sup>37.</sup> See Jacob Klein, "A New Look at the 'Oppression of Uruk' Episode in the Gilgameš Epic," in Abusch, *Riches Hidden in Secret Places*, 187–201.

<sup>38.</sup> See *CAD* T, s.v. "*tebû*." Mesopotamian scribes were acutely aware of homonyms, as their lexical texts attest. For example, Miguel Civil, Margaret W. Green, and Wilfred G. Lambert, *Ea A = nâqu, Aa A = nâqu, with their Forerunners and Related Texts*, MSL 14 (Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1979), 194 (1.342–343), list two near homonyms for the Sumerian sign ŠÚ: *erēbu ša šamši* "entering of the sun (i.e., 'setting')" and *erēpu ša ūmi* "darkening of the day."

<sup>39.</sup> On the various meanings of *mummu*, see already Alexander Heidel, "The Meaning of *Mummu* in Akkadian Literature," *JNES* 7 (1948): 98–105; *CAD* M/2, s.v. "*mummu* A"; s.v. "*mummu* B"; s.v. "*mummu* C."

<sup>40.</sup> The title occurs in Il. 13, 14, 21, 25, 37, 39, 43, 46, 49, 52, 55, 58, 61.

<sup>41.</sup> Antanaclasis has been treated extensively with regard to the inscriptions in Hathor's temple by Richter, *Theology of Hathor of Dendera*.

might  $t\vec{s}i$  "move" (lit. "take") his ships. We find the lexeme again in the prince's words, "take  $(t\vec{s}i)$  him to see the tomb wherein they (previous merchants from Egypt) lie" (2.52). The verb  $t\vec{s}i$  appears yet again in 2.69, when the prince sends Wenamun an Egyptian singer and tells her to sing for him and not to let his heart be anxious (i.e.,  $t\vec{s}i$   $h\vec{s}.t\vec{i}=fshr.w$ , lit. "let his heart take plans"), which is repeated in 2.70 by the singer. Such visual antanaclasis lends the story greater coherence by providing a Leitwort.

Visual antanaclasis occurs in P.Westcar. The papyrus contains several pericopes concerning high-ranking priests who demonstrate their expertise in magic by performing miraculous acts. One of these involves the transformation of a wax crocodile made into a living one of seven cubits (mh) that promptly seizes (mh) a man from the shore (3.13-14). The text exploits the semantic range of the root mh for two different meanings. The relationship is underscored visually; the arm sign that comprises part of the word "cubit" (\_) grasps a stick in the verb "seize" (\_). In addition, the same consonants resound in the nouns msh "crocodile" and mnh "wax" (3.13).

Another case of visual antanaclasis appears in the Pyramid Texts of Unas. <sup>45</sup> In Spell 217, §152a, we read: 3tm.w ii n=k wnis pn 3h.i ihm sk "Atum says, he comes to you this Unas, an akh-spirit of the circumpolar stars." Yet, a few lines later (§152d) the text lauds: wbn=tn m 3h.t m bw 3h.n=tn im "you shine in the horizon in the place that is beneficial to you." Observe how 3h is first used for "akh-spirit" and then 3h "shine." It also resounds paronomastically in 3h.t "horizon." Moreover, all three words employ the 3h-sign 3h-46

The scribes of Ugarit employed antanaclasis in the Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.5.i.7–15). In the persiflage between Mot and Baal, Mot first tells his messengers to tell

<sup>42.</sup> A cubit is the length of the elbow to the fingertip, thus its relationship to "seize."

<sup>43.</sup> For antanaclasis in the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant, see the treatment of the noun *zp* (i.e., *sp*) "time," "occasion," "fortune," "moment," etc., discussed by Foster, "Wordplay in *The Eloquent Peasant*," 67 (though Foster does not use the term "antanaclasis").

<sup>44.</sup> Parys, Le récit du Papyrus Westcar, 30-31.

<sup>45.</sup> There are numerous cases of antanaclasis in the Pyramid Texts of Unas. So, for example, in Spell 217, §155b we read:  $dw^3$  is hr.(i)  $h(^\circ)p$  "like the morning star above Hapi," and in §155c:  $dw^3$  sw  $^3h$ .w  $^im$ .w  $^im$ .w  $^im$ . who, the spirits of the water worship," where  $dw^3$  "morning" appears as  $dw^3$  "worship" in the next line; both words suggesting  $dw^3$ .t "the Duat." Similarly, in §160c d.t occurs for both "yourself" and "forever" (i.e.,  $s^3=k$  pw n d.t "he is your [Ra-Atum] son, of yourself, forever"). In Spell 440, §815a (Pyramid Texts of Pepi I) we find:  $nmr^2=k$  np=k nr.w nr. np.t=n.t nr.t "If you love life, O Horus, upon his staff of truth." Note the use of "np" "life" and nr.np.t "staff," also observed by Miriam Lichtheim, Ancient Egyptian Literature (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1973), 1:45 n. 1, as a "wordplay."

<sup>46.</sup> In §153a, the text instructs Seth and Nephthys to proclaim the arrival of Unas to the gods of lower Egypt and their 3h.w "spirits" (repeated §156a, §156d, §157a with Thoth to the gods of the West and also elsewhere with different gods and cardinal directions).

Baal the following: *lyrt bnpš bn ilm mt* "surely you will descend into the throat of the son of the gods, Mot" (6–7). Baal then responds by asking: *pnp* [] *š npš lbim thw* "Is my appetite the appetite of a lion in the wasteland?" (14–15). In Mot's remark *npš* means "throat," but in Baal's response it means "appetite."

Note too the goddess Anat's threat to Aqhat in the Tale of Aqhat: *km qtr baph u ap mprh ank laḥwy* "like smoke from his nose, indeed, (in) his convulsing, I shall take his life" (*CAT* 1.18.iv.25–26). The passage is not without its difficulties,<sup>47</sup> but it is clear that it uses *ap* first as "nose" and then as the particle "indeed."

Gary Rendsburg has spotted a particularly clever example of antanaclasis in the prose account of the fifth plague בָּבֶּר "pestilence" (Exod 9:3, 9:15). As he observes, the story differs here with regard to the verb that Yahweh uses to address Moses. Instead of using the usual אָמַרְבָּרְ "and you shall say," he uses יְּבְּרְתְּ "and you shall speak" (Exod 9:1). <sup>49</sup> In addition, the author employs the related form בַּדְּבֶּר "the thing (lit. 'word')" three times in the brief account (Exod 9:4, 9:5, 9:6), but nowhere else in the lengthy saga of the plagues. <sup>50</sup> Thus, the author has employed antanaclasis to connect Yahweh's word with the fifth plague.

An example of antanaclasis in poetry occurs in Qoh 4:1.

<sup>47.</sup> Just how qtr "smoke" would come from a nose in unclear. The *u-aleph* also lacks explanation. Furthermore, the word *mprh* is difficult. I relate it to the Egyptian np3p3 "convulsion," with Richard M. Wright, "Egyptian np3p3: A Cognate for Ugaritic mpr 'convulsion," UF 26 (1994): 539–41.

<sup>48.</sup> David Marcus, "Lifting up the Head': On the Trail of a Word Play in Genesis 40," *Prooftexts* 10 (1990): 17–27.

<sup>49.</sup> Rendsburg, "Alliteration in the Exodus Narrative," 89–90.

<sup>50.</sup> The use of the same root for "speak" and "thing" derives from the ontological conception of language that informs the Israelite view of speaking and script (a general ancient Near Eastern view). See Isaac Rabinowitz, *A Witness Forever: Ancient Israel's Perception of Literature and the Resultant Hebrew Bible* (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1993).

וְהִנֵּה דִּמְעַת הָעֲשָׁקִים וְאֵין לָהֶם מְנַחֵם וּמִיַּד עשָׁקִיהֵם כֹּחַ וְאֵין לַהֵם מְנַחֵם:

wə-hinnēh dim ʿat hā- ʿāšuqīm wə- ʾēn lā-hem mənaḥēm ū-miy-yad ʿōšqēhem kōaḥ wə- ʾēn lā-hem mənaḥēm

Behold the tears of the oppressed with no one to comfort them; And the power of their oppressors with no one to avenge them.

As Sasson has pointed out, Qoheleth employs the same phrase twice: יְאֵק מְנַחֵם wa- 'ēn lā-hem mənaḥēm. The first time it means "no one to comfort them," but the second time we must translate "no one to avenge them." This example of antanaclasis is in step with other uses of the device in this work.  $^{52}$ 

A final, well-known demonstration of antanaclasis in the Bible occurs in 2 Sam 7, in which  $\exists bay\bar{t}t$  is used for "palace" (7:2), "temple" (7:5–7), and "dynasty" (7:11–16)—a flexible semantic parameter attested also in the Aramaic stela of Panammuwa (ca. 730 BCE).<sup>53</sup>

In the Hebrew text of Ben Sira from Qumran, we also find cases of antanaclasis. See, in particular, Sir 13:10 Ms A:

אל התקרב פן התרחק ואל התרחק פן תשנא

'l htqrb pn htrhq w-'l htrhq pn tśn'

Do not bring yourself forward lest you become a stranger. But do not keep far off, lest you are hated.

As Reymond observes, the verb רחק rhq, "in the *Hithpael* implies in its first occurrence a passive notion, 'to be made far off,' and in its second implies a reflexive notion, 'to make oneself far off." As such, Ben Sira demonstrates "that an utterance made in one context can have a different meaning or significance in

<sup>51.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," 970.

<sup>52.</sup> See A. R. Ceresko, "The Function of Antanaclasis (*mṣ*," "to Find"// *mṣ*," "to Reach, Overtake, Grasp") in Hebrew Poetry, Especially in the Book of Qoheleth," *CBQ* 44 (1982): 551–69; Scott B. Noegel, "'Wordplay' in Qoheleth," *JHS* 7 (2007): 21–23. See also Michael Carasik, "Qohelet's Twists and Turns," *JSOT* 28 (2003): 192–209.

<sup>53.</sup> See K. Lawson Younger, "Panammuwa and Bar-Rakib: Two Structural Analyses," *JANES* 18 (1986): 91–103. It also occurs in 2 Kgs 22:7. I examine these in Scott B. Noegel, "The Women of Asherah: Weaving Wickedness in 2 Kgs 22:7," *CBQ* 83 (2021): 208–19, and also treat the Panammuwa inscription.

<sup>54.</sup> Reymond, "Wordplay in the Hebrew to Ben Sira," 43.

another context. That is, the same words uttered at two different times and/or by two different people can have two entirely different meanings and effects."55

The Aramaic text of Daniel contains several cases of antanaclasis. See, for example, the use of the verb שָׁרָא śr ʾ for "dwell" (Dan 2:22), "loosen" (Dan 3:25), and "solve" (Dan 5:12, 5:16). Similarly exploited is the root "counsel" (Dan 2:14), "decree" (Dan 3:10, 3:29), "regard" (Dan 3:12), "eat, taste" (Dan 4:22, 4:29, 5:21), and "account" (Dan 6:3). The root גּזְרָהָא gāzrayyā "astrologers," but in Dan 4:14 for gəzērāh אַזְרָהָּא "decree." See also the use of שַּׁבּרְפָּרָא שָׁבּר "pleased" to introduce Dan 6:2, and בּיִּלְהָּה שׁׁבּר בּּרָה שׁׁבַּר שָׁבּרְפָּרָא bi-śparpārā "in the morning" in Dan 6:20. The text also employs the verb שָׁבּ ba ʿāh antanaclastically for "seek" to harm (Dan 6:5), "pray" (Dan 6:8, 6:14), and "make a petition" (Dan 6:12).

Since antanaclasis operates across text it generally invites comparison. When readers/listeners encounter it, they naturally place the two or more signs or lexemes in mental juxtaposition, which results in a differentiation of literary contexts. The comparison and contrast that ensues prompts readers/listeners to

<sup>55.</sup> Reymond, "Wisdom of Words in the Wisdom of Ben Sira," 226.

<sup>56.</sup> See Collins, "Kilamuwa Inscription." O'Connor, "Rhetoric of the Kilamuwa Inscription," raises doubts concerning some of Collins' examples of alliteration, as they are more aptly considered cases of repetition. The devices illustrated here are of a different nature.

<sup>57.</sup> The personal name also occurs at the end of the inscription in 1. 15.

<sup>58.</sup> Antanaclasis on this root appears also in the Bible (e.g., Hos 2:18–19).

<sup>59.</sup> The closeness between the phonemes /b/ and /p/ in the dialect of the stela is clear also in the repeated nouns מות ובש nbš "affection, life, appetite," instead of the more usual איז npš (1. 13 [2x]). The same form appears also in other Yaudi inscriptions and in the Aramaic inscriptions from Sefire. Noted by Avishur, *Phoenician Inscriptions and the Bible*, 168. 60. See Bill T. Arnold, "Wordplay and Narrative Technique in Daniel 5 and 6," *JBL* 112 (1993): 483–84. For a general discussion of literary features in biblical Aramaic, see Stanislav Segert, "Aramaic Poetry in the Old Testament," *ArOr* 70 (2002): 65–79.

draw mutual inferences concerning the literary figures, plots, and/or circumstances involved. The effect, therefore, is connective, referential, and contrastive, and it is both aural and visual.

### 4.1.4. UNIDIRECTIONAL POLYSEMY

Unidirectional polysemy occurs when a polyseme produces two meanings that both face a single direction, either back to a previous line or ahead to one that follows.

An early demonstration occurs in a Sumerian proverb, in which we find: UR.GI<sub>7</sub> MÁŠ.ĜI<sub>6</sub> MUD<sub>5</sub>.ÀM "to a dog a dream means joy." Klein and Sefati have shown that the signs MÁŠ.ĜI<sub>6</sub> can mean "dream" or "black goat." In addition, the sign MUD<sub>5</sub>, suggests by way of paronomasia the sign MUD (= Akkadian *gilittu*) "fright, terror." The devices leave us with the following interpretations: "to a dog a black goat/dream means joy/fear." Both polysemes face back to the dog.

The following Sumerian proverb takes advantage of the sign KUR, meaning "mountain" or "underworld":

# NÌ Ú NU.GU<sub>7</sub> AM.KUR.RA.KA NÌ A NU.NAĜ MAŠ.DÀ.KUR.RA.KA

That which does not eat food is a wild bull of the mountain/underworld. That which does not drink water is a gazelle of the mountain/underworld.<sup>62</sup>

When read as mountain or as underworld, the meanings face back to the animals of the liminal steppe that do not eat or drink.

For an Akkadian example, I refer to the case of contronymic polysemy I discussed above (4.1.1), in which a hymn to Shamash used the noun *arnu* for both "crime" and "punishment." In that case, both meanings face backwards, the former to "fetters" and the latter to the description of bribery and injustice.

I demonstrate unidirectional polysemy in Egyptian by returning to P. Westcar. In that story the pharaoh's son tells him about a chief priest named Djedi, who is great of "magic":  $iw=frh.w rdi.t \ šm m ihr-s i=frh. sim frh. sim$ 

<sup>61.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 30; Bendt Alster, *Proverbs of Ancient Sumer: The World's Earliest Proverb Collections* (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1997), 135.

<sup>62.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 28; Alster, *Proverbs of Ancient Sumer*. 12.

hr-s3=f = f hr r t3 "the bull stood up behind him, its r fallen upon the ground" (8.26–9.1). The active polyseme in these lines is the untranslated hieroglyphic sign r. We can read it either as  $s\bar{s}d$  "rope," which seems fitting, or as fh "loosen, unleash," in which case we must render the phrase r=f hr r t3 "its restraint expelled." The scribe's use of r draws attention to the polysemy, because it is not the usual sign used for "rope" or "bind" (which is r). In its meaning "rope," it points back to the similar statement about the lion. As "release" it also points back, but to the previous tale in which the priest Webaoner enchants a crocodile to release a man it had seized (3.24). Moreover, the text contains an additional paronomastic reference back to the number sfh "seven," used of the crocodile's size (i.e., seven fingers [wax] and seven cubits [real], 2.22–23, 3.13) and the seven-day detention of the pharaoh (3.15).

Another case appears in the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant in the peasant's charge: *mk tw m hwr.w n rht.j 'wn-ib hr hd.t hnms* "look, you are a wretch of a washerman, an envious one who destroys a friend" (P.3023 + P.Amherst I, ll. 199–201). The determinative for *hd.t* is , suggesting that we translate it "destroy." However, the existence of an unrelated word *hd.t* meaning "white linen," allows us to entertain the reading: "envious of fine clothes." Both readings face backwards. As "white linen" the polyseme looks to the washerman, and as "destroy," it faces *nht-hr* "violent" in the prior verse.

Another Egyptian example appears in the Admonitions of Ipuwer (P.Leiden 1.344), in Ipuwer's pondering: lw pr-hd r m.w m hm.t n b k.w=f nfr ls lb n.(l) nsw lwl n=f m l t "what is the treasury for, without its revenues? For the heart of the king is happy when truth comes to him" (recto 3.12). Here Ipuwer employs <math>m l l l both as "truth," which faces back to lb l l n nsw "the heart of pharaoh," and as "tribute," which faces back to ll l the treasury."

A particularly involved example occurs in the Demotic Chronicle. In 2/11 we read:  $l'h phr^3 p^3 mtre r p^3 hry r ir t^3 qtyt (n) p^3 t^3 dr=f$  "the moon phr's the water; the ruler will make the circuit of the entire land." As Johnson and Ritner conclude, the verb phr has three meanings in the text, each of which fits the context that

<sup>63.</sup> See Christopher J. Eyre, "Yet Again the Wax Crocodile: P. Westcar 3, 123ff.," *JEA* 78 (1992): 281 n. 13; Parys, *Le récit du Papyrus Westcar*, 48–49, 106.

<sup>64.</sup> There is a lacuna here, but Eyre, "Yet Again the Wax Crocodile," plausibly suggests that the verb for "release" here is *sfh*.

<sup>65.</sup> This same paronomasia occurs elsewhere in Egyptian. See Ramses Moftah, "Ära-Datierungen, Regierungsjahre und Zahlwortspiele," *CdE* 39 (1964): 51, 54–57.

<sup>66.</sup> Even though the determinative that usually goes with hd.t "white linen" is  $\neg$ .

<sup>67.</sup> The heart is naturally connected to  $m^{3c}$ .t, because, according to Egyptian belief,  $m^{3c}$ .t is weighed against the heart in the afterlife.

<sup>68.</sup> Noted also by Parkinson, *Tale of Sinuhe and Other Ancient Egyptian Poems*, 1940–1640 BCE, 192 n. 24.

follows.<sup>69</sup> The first is "circumambulate, surround," which anticipates "make the circuit." Yet, since the verb appears with the hand-to-mouth determinative (△) and not the usual legs determinative (△), one can interpret "enchant" and "control." The former evokes water spells, while the later faces ahead to the ruler.

An example of unidirectional polysemy in Ugaritic appears in El's dream speech to Kirtu (*CAT* 1.14.ii.45–46). Since I have discussed the dream in the previous chapter (3.8), suffice it to note here that the noun *zbl*, which is used ambiguously in El's dream message, is understood first as a "sick man" conscripted into Kirtu's military (*CAT* 1.14.iv.23–24). Yet, later we learn that *zbl* also could mean "ruler, prince," when Kirtu finds himself on his death bed (*CAT* 1.16.vi.35–36). Since both realizations represent successive fulfillments of the divine dream, El's statement constitutes a case of unidirectional polysemy.

I have had occasion to discuss Yahweh's stilling/stirring of the sea in Job 26:12-13 twice in different contexts (2.4.5, 4.1.1). I add here that this form of homographic polysemy also constitutes a case of unidirectional polysemy. Whether read as "stilled" or "disturbed,"  $r\bar{a}ga$  faces forward to both the calming of the heavens and the smashing of Rahab. An example of unidirectional polysemy, this time facing backwards, appears in the Song of the Sea (Exod 15:1–2):

אָשִׁירָה לַיהוָה כִּי־גָאה גָּאָה סוּס וְרֹכְבוֹ רָמָה בַיָּם: עַזִּי ווִמָרַת יָה וַיִהִי־לִי לִישׁוּעָה

'āšīrāh la-YHWH kī-ḡā 'ōh gā 'āh sūs wə-rōkḇō rāmāh ḇay-yām 'ŏzzī wə-zimrāt yāh wa-yəhī lī lī-yšū 'āh

I will sing to Yahweh, for he has triumphed gloriously, horse and rider he hurled into the sea.

My strength ווְמַרָת יָה wə-zimrāt yāh, he is my deliverance.

Here the phrase מְּלְתְּרֶת יְשְׁ wə-zimrāt yāh can mean "and Yah(weh) is (my) might" (PS dmr) or "and Yah(weh) is (my) song" (PS zmr). As "Yah(weh) is (my) might" אָנְיִרָת יָה wə-zimrāt yāh faces back to יָּנְיִ 'oੱzzī "my strength." As "Yah(weh) is (my) song" it looks back to אָנִייִרה 'ašīrāh "I will sing."

<sup>69.</sup> Johnson and Ritner, "Multiple Meaning and Ambiguity in the 'Demotic Chronicle," 498.

ambiguous. Both meanings face ahead, the former to תְּנַפְּצֵם *tənappṣēm* "you will shatter them," and the latter to בּשָׁבֶּט bə-šēbɛṭ "with a staff."

Unidirectional polysemy is not limited to poetry. Jeremy Schipper has drawn attention to the polysemous nature of Mephibosheth's self-debasing speeches to David (2 Sam 9:6–8, 19:25–31). For example, when David decrees that Mephibosheth and Ziba divide their inherited property, Mephibosheth declares: "Let him take it all, as long as my lord the king has come בְּשֶׁלוֹם bə-šālōm. The Hebrew expression can mean "safely" or "in peace." The former expresses concern for David, while the latter reveals his relief that the king has not come to kill him as a political opponent. As Schipper concludes:

The reader cannot easily discern whether or not he is loyal to David. He or she cannot be sure of Mephibosheth's intentions based on his speech. Rather than clarifying his position, his exchanges with David only add to the ambiguity of the situation and the complexity of his character.<sup>70</sup>

A final demonstration of unidirectional polysemy comes from the Hebrew text of Ben Sira from Qumran (Sir 6:22 Ms A).

כי המסור כשמה כן הוא ולא לרבים היא נכוחה

ky hmswr k-šmh kn hw' w-l'l-rbym hy'nkwhh

For discipline, like its name, so it is. It is not obvious to many.

The polysemy here relies on reading מוסר mwsr either as a noun derived from 'y-s-r meaning "discipline," or as the identically pronounced hophal participle from סור s-w-r "withdrawn," as Reymond describes:

Presented with only the first colon of 6:22, a reader might be forgiven for connecting מוסר ("discipline") to the common verb יסר "to discipline," and expecting in the next colon to read something about how it causes instruction (meyasser, the Piel participle) or how it causes someone to become a chastened person (meyassar, the Pual participle). But, in the second colon, the understanding of מוסר as discipline no longer seems entirely satisfactory, and the reader must search for another meaning. This disruption of sense and the reader's expectation complements the message of the verse; reading the text demonstrates the dedication one must have in order to acquire wisdom.<sup>71</sup>

\_

<sup>70.</sup> Jeremy Schipper, "'Why Do You Still Speak of Your Affairs?' Polyphony in Mephibosheth's Exchanges with David in 2 Samuel," VT 54 (2004): 351.

<sup>71.</sup> Reymond, "Wordplay in the Hebrew to Ben Sira," 42.

Since both meanings of מוסר mwsr face forward to contents following the passage, this again is a case of unidirectional polysemy.

The effect of unidirectional polysemy upon the reader/listener is both aural and visual. It is one of discovery as well, though the means of discovery differs depending on whether it faces forwards or backwards. When facing backwards, one cannot discover the polysemy until one reaches the polyseme, whereas when facing forwards, one potentially could perceive it when first coming to the polyseme, but its multiple meanings would not be reified until afterwards. The difference may appear subtle, but it is meaningful, because it determines when one is capable of discerning polysemy. In the former case, the process is one of thinking back to the matching material that found realization in the polyseme; in the latter, it involves thinking back to the polyseme when reaching the matching text. Thus, the textual foci are different. Nevertheless, whether facing forwards or backwards the polysemy creates textual instability, since multiple meanings must be considered either way.

## 4.1.5. MULTIDIRECTIONAL POLYSEMY

Multidirectional polysemy, frequently called "Janus parallelism" or less often "pivotal polysemy," is distinguished from unidirectional polysemy in that it

<sup>72.</sup> For "Janus parallelism," see Gary A. Rendsburg, "Janus Parallelism in Gen. 49:26," JBL 99 (1980): 291-93; Eduardo Zurro, "Disemia de brh y paralelismo bifronte en Job 9,25," Bib 62 (1981): 546-47; Duane L. Christensen, "Anticipatory Paronomasia in Jonah 3:7-8 and Genesis 37:2," RB 90 (1983): 261-63; David Toshio Tsumura, "Janus Parallelism in Nah 1:8," JBL 102 (1983): 109-11; Shigeo Takeuchi, "The 'Kakekotoba' in Hebrew Poetry: Janus Parallelism" [Japanese], BSNESJ 31 (1988): 75-86; Amos Frisch, "ועניתם (I Reg 12,7): An Ambiguity and Its Function in the Context," ZAW 103 (1991): 415–18; Shin'ichi Hisamatsu, "A Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story," ASJ 13 (1991): 419-21; Gary A. Rendsburg, "Notes on Genesis XV," VT 42 (1992): 266-72; Karrar Husain, "An Asymmetrical Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story," ASJ 16 (1994): 307-8; Scott B. Noegel, "An Asymmetrical Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story," ASJ 16 (1994): 10-12; Noegel, "Janus Parallelism Clusters in Akkadian Literature," NABU (1995): 33-34; W. Horowitz and Sh. Paul, "Two Proposed Janus Parallelisms in Akkadian Literature," NABU (1995): 11-12; Ceresko, "Janus Parallelism in Amos's 'Oracles Against the Nations,' (Amos 1:3-2:16)"; Jun Ikeda, "Another Janus Parallelism in the Atrahasis Epic," ASJ 17 (1995): 342-44; Ikeda, "A Possible Case of Janus Parallelism in the Epic of Gilgamesh XI, 130," ASJ 17 (1995): 338-42; Scott B. Noegel, "Janus Parallelism in Job and Its Literary Significance," JBL 115 (1996): 313–20; Noegel, Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job; Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream"; Paul, "Polysemous Pivotal Punctuation"; Meir Malul, "Janus Parallelism in the Hebrew Bible: Two More Cases (Canticles 4,9.10)," BZ 41 (1997): 246-49; Shigeo Takeuchi, "A Polysemous Phrase (kakekotoba) in Psalm 100:3" [Japanese], Exegetica 10 (1999): 107-

exploits a single word that has two meanings, one of which faces back to a previous line, while the other faces forward to one that follows. Since the initial discovery of the device in the Hebrew Bible,<sup>73</sup> dozens more have been found in ancient Near Eastern texts. There are two types of multidirectional polysemy: symmetrical and asymmetrical.<sup>74</sup> The former obtains in three stichs of poetry while the second over one or two.

Illustrating the device in Sumerian is the following hymn to Inanna (ll. 14–17):

DIĜIR BURU5.ME.EŠ ME.E MU.TIN.MÈN DIĜIR.A.NUN.NA DI.DA.ME.EŠ ME.E SÚN.ZI.MÈN SÚN.ZI A.A <sup>d</sup>EN.LÍL.LÁ.MÈN Ù.SÚN.ZI SAĜ.ĜÁ DI.A.NI

The gods are mere sparrows,
I, I am a falcon,
The Anunna-gods merely wander about,
I, I am a rampant/true wild cow
I am the rampant/true wild cow of Enlil,
His rampant/true wild cow, who leads the way.

Here we may derive the adjective ZI from ZI(G) "be high, rise" or ZI(D) "true, faithful." As the former, it faces back to DI.DA.ME.EŠ "wander about," and as the latter it looks ahead to SAĜ.ĜÁ DI.A.NI "leads the way." This is a symmetrical case.

An Akkadian example of symmetrical multidirectional polysemy occurs in Ludlul bēl nēmeqi in a passage that describes the god Marduk.<sup>76</sup>

<sup>13;</sup> John S. Kselman, "Janus Parallelism in Psalm 75:2," *JBL* 121 (2002): 531–33; David Toshio Tsumura, "Janus Parallelism in Hab. III 4," *VT* 54 (2004): 124–28; Herb Basser, "Did Rashi Notice a Janus Parallelism in Ezek 20:37?," *JHS* 8 (2008): 2–4; Carasik, "Janus Parallelism in Job 1:20."

The term *pivotal polysemy* is used by Daniel Grossberg, "Multiple Meaning: Part of a Compound Literary Device in the Hebrew Bible," *EAJT* 4 (1986): 77–86; Grossberg, "Pivotal Polysemy in Jeremiah XXV 10–11a," *VT* 36 (1986): 481–85; Paul, "Polysemous Pivotal Punctuation."

<sup>73.</sup> Cyrus H. Gordon, "New Directions," BASP 15 (1978): 59-66.

<sup>74.</sup> Cyrus H. Gordon, "Asymmetric Janus Parallelism," EI 16 (1982): 80-81\*.

<sup>75.</sup> Willem H. Ph. Römer, "Eine sumerische Hymne mit Selbstlob Inannas," *Or* 38 (1969): 97–114; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 27–28, refer to this example as a *double entendre*. See similarly Zackary M. Wainer, "Janus Parallelism in Šulgi V," *Bible Lands e-Review* (2013/S2): 1–7.

<sup>76.</sup> See D. J. Wiseman, "A New Text of the Babylonian Poem of the Righteous Sufferer," *AnSt* 30 (1980): 101–7; Takayoshi Oshima, *Babylonian Poems of Pious Sufferers: Ludlul* 

- 18. *ik-kar-ra[t]-ma za-mar-ma x x a-lit-tuš*Yet quickly takes pity ... on the one who begets,
- 19. *id-du-ud-ma ri-ma-s*[*a*] *ú-kan-ni*He acts quickly and assigns (bad fortune) on the one he loves,
- 20. ù ki-i a-ra-aḥ bu-ú-ri it-ta-na-as-ḥa-ra EGIR-šú
  Yet, like a cow with a calf, he keeps turning back to him.

As Benjamin Foster has noted, ri-ma- $\tilde{s}[a]$  in line 19 can be understood as if derived from  $r\hat{a}mu$  "love," but it also resonates  $r\bar{t}mu$  "wild bull." The former follows nicely upon ikkarra[f]ma "takes pity" and the latter anticipates arah  $b\bar{u}ri$  "cow with a calf."

An asymmetrical example, first discovered by Kilmer, occurs in the Atrahasis Epic 3.viii.9–17.

- 9. *ki-ma ni-iš-ku-nu* [*abūba*]
  How we have brought about [the flood],
- 10. *a-wi-lum ib-lu-tu i-na* [*karaši*] yet a man survived [the cataclysm].
- 11. *at-ta ma-li-ik i-li ra-bu-ti*You, a counselor of the great gods,
- 12. *te-re-ti-iš-[ka]* at [your] command,
- 13. ú-ša-ab-ši [qabla]
  I caused the [destruction].
- 14. *ša-ni-it-ti-iš-ka* For your praise,
- 15. *an-ni-a-am za-ma-ra* this song
- 16. *li-iš-mu-ma* <sup>d</sup>*I-gi-gi* let the Igigi-gods hear!
- 17. *li-iṣ-ṣi-ru na-ar-bi-ka*Let them make famous your greatness!

The form *ša-ni-it-ti-iš* in line 14 can derive either from *šanittu*, in which case it means "praise," or from *šanītu*, in which case we render it "hostility." The former faces ahead to "song," while the latter looks back to the "flood," "cataclysm," and "destruction."

Bēl Nēmeqi and the Babylonian Theodicy, ORA 14 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2014), 78-79.

<sup>77.</sup> Called a "word play" by Foster, Before the Muses, 311 n. 1.

<sup>78.</sup> The double meaning was espied by Anne D. Kilmer, "Fugal Features of Atra-Hasis: The Birth Theme," in Vogelzang and Vanstiphout, *Mesopotamian Poetic Language*, 138, and then classified as a Janus parallelism by Scott B. Noegel, "Another Janus Parallelism in the Atra-hasis Epic," *ASJ* 17 (1995): 342–44.

Another asymmetrical form of multidirectional polysemy occurs in the Epic of Gilgamesh 11.14: šakān abūbi ubla libbāšunu ilānī rabûti "the great gods, their hearts wanted to bring about the deluge." Polysemous here is ubla (from wabālu). It can mean "want, desire, yearn for," or "carry off, sweep away" in the context of flooding. The former anticipates libbāšunu "their hearts," whereas the latter relates back to abūbu "flood."

For a symmetrical case in Egyptian, I turn to a love poem found in P.Chester Beatty I 2.10–3.1.

```
sw tfj m mk=tw=f

It (my heart) has leapt from its place.
bw di=f_i3j=i mss

It does not allow me to don a tunic.
bw wnh=i p3j=i bhn

I cannot put on my over-garment.
```

Of note is the noun *mss* "tunic," here written have the cloth determinative 8. The consonants *mss* are polysemous and also can be read "totter, leap." In addition, the semantic range of *Bj* (here rendered "don") includes "take, seize." This allows us to render the line "it does not allow me to seize my tottering." In its meaning "totter" *mss* faces back to *tfj* "leap," but as "tunic" it faces forward to *bhn* "over-garment."

The Epic of Baal demonstrates the same device in Ugaritic (CAT 1.4.iv.14–18). I have examined this text above (2.4.4), but not as a case of multi-directional polysemy. Here I add that the causative verb  $\check{s}b$   $\hat{r}$  can mean "shine (like a star)" or "leave." The former anticipates kbkb "star" and the latter reiterates the movement of the caravan just prior.<sup>80</sup>

Another example from Ugaritic appears in the message of the god El to Kirtu in his dream (*CAT* 1.14.ii.23–27).<sup>81</sup>

```
23. ša ydk
Raise your hands
24. šmm dbh l tr
to heaven. Sacrifice to Bull,
24. abk il šrd b 'l
your father, El. Adore Baal
```

<sup>79.</sup> Though one expects the determinatives  $\Lambda \Re$ , the consonants imply the connection.

<sup>80.</sup> Noegel, "Janus Parallelism in the Baal and 'Anat Story." The narrator's use of ambiguity in the Ugaritic texts anticipates similar devices in Homer's *Odyssey*. See Richardson, "Devious Narrator of the *Odyssey*."

<sup>81.</sup> On the close relationship of polysemy to dreams and their interpretations, see Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*. However, the passage here is not included in that book.

- 25. *b dbhk bn dgn* with your sacrifice, the son of Dagan
- 26. *bm şdk w yrd* with your offering. And let (Kirtu) descend
- 27. *krt l ggt* from the rooftops.

Notable here is the lexeme  $\check{s}rd$  in line 24. We may derive it from the root  $\check{s}$ -r-d "adore" or take it as a causative of y-r-d "descend" and render the line "adore Baal with your sacrifice" or "cause Baal to descend to the sacrifice." As the former,  $\check{s}rd$  faces back to "raise your hands," and as the latter it faces forward to "let Kirtu descend." The polysemy is likely strictly visual since the two presumably would be pronounced slightly differently (the former as  $\check{s}arid$  and the latter as  $\check{s}\bar{a}rid$ ), though admittedly the sound difference appears negligible. 82

I offer one more demonstration from the Ugaritic Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.4.vii.49–52). After Baal is enthroned, he boasts:

- 49. *ahdy d ym*I myself am the one who reigns
- 50. *lk 'l ilm l ymru* over the gods, indeed, who commands
- 51. *ilm w nšm d yšb* gods and men, who satisfies
- 52. [ '] *hmlt arş* the multitudes of earth.

In line 50, the verb *ymru* can mean "who commands" or "who fattens." As the former it parallels *ymlk* "who reigns," and as the latter it faces ahead to *yšb* "who satisfies."

A Hebrew example of symmetrical multidirectional polysemy was detected by Rendsburg in God's promise to Abram in Gen  $15:1.^{84}$ 

אַל־תִּירָא אַבְרָם אָנֹכִי מָגַן לָדְ שִׂכַרִדְּ הַרְבָּה מִאֹד:

'al-tīrā' 'aḇrām 'ānōॡī māḡēn lāॡ śəॡarॡā harbē mə'ō₫

<sup>82.</sup> See Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream," 303-4.

<sup>83.</sup> Though only the nominal form *mru* "commander" is attested at Ugarit, the flexibility of the Semitic root system permits such derivations. Cf. the title *mru mlk* "commander of the king" cited in *DULAT*, 572.

<sup>84.</sup> Rendsburg, "Notes on Genesis XV," 266-68.

Fear not, Abram! I am a מֵגן *māgēn* to you. Your reward shall be very great!

The noun מָגֵן māgēn bears the meaning "shield" (if derived from the root גנן g-n-n) or "gift" (if from the root מגן m-g-n). As "shield" it faces back to God's protective command to "fear not," and as "giver, donor" it faces ahead to שכרך śakarkā "your reward" (cf. Exod 2:9, 1 Kgs 5:30). The polysemy is highlighted for the reader, because Melchizedek had just blessed Abram in Gen 14:20 saying, "blessed is El Elyon who has given (מגנ miggēn) your enemies into your hands." This polysemy functions also like antanaclasis, though in this case the two Hebrew roots are not identical.85

An example of an asymmetrical type in biblical Hebrew appears in Song 1:7.

הַגִּידָה לִּי שֶׁאָהֲבָה נַפְשִׁי אֵיכָה תִרְעֶה איכה תרביץ בצהרים

haggīdāh lī še-'āhăbāh napšī 'ēkāh tir'eh 'ēkāh tarbīş baş-şŏhărāyīm

Tell me, O whom my inner-being loves, where do you תַרְעָה tir 'ɛh? Where do you cause-(them)-to-lie-down at noon?

At first blush, the verb תרעה tir 'Eh appears to mean "pasture," as if derived from the verb רעה  $r\bar{a}'\bar{a}h$  (PS r-'-v). This meaning anticipates the mention of reposing flocks at midday in the next line. However, the verb also may represent the Aramaic phonemic reflex  $/d/ > /^c/$ , and thus serve as a dialectical equivalent of the Judahite Hebrew form רַצָּה rāṣāh (PS r-d-y) "desire." Read in this way, the lexeme follows nicely upon the mention of אהבה 'āhābāh "loves." The former reading finds support in Isa 27:10, where the roots רבץ r-'-h "shepherd" and רבץ r-b-s "lie down" constitute a word pair. Reinforcing the latter reading are the word

gifts," and that these gifts are often wine or food (in Ugaritic yn and lhm). Hence, the fitting parallel with s'd "sustain," which essentially means "feed" (Gen 18:5, Judg 19:5). In Ps

104:15, the root סעד s-'-d is used of bread and wine.

<sup>85.</sup> I add that the same roots create a multidirectional polysemy in Ps 18:35–36: "he trains my hands for battle, my arms can bend a bow of bronze. You give me your מָגָן māgēn of victory, and your right hand sustains me; you stoop down to make me great." As "shield," מגן māgēn goes with bow in the previous line. As "gift" it follows nicely the verb מָּנֵן titten "you give" (cf. the related noun מְהַן mattān "gift") and goes with יִימִינְךָּ תַּסְעָדֵנִי wī-ymīnkā tis 'ādēnī "your right hand sustains me." Note that in Ugaritic, mgn means "entreat with

pairs רצה r-s-h "desire" and אהב '-h-b "love" in Prov 3:12.86 As this polyseme operates within two poetic stichs, it constitutes an asymmetrical multidirectional parallelism.87 The pronunciation of the two readings was likely identical.

This device was not lost on the Jewish exegetes of the Middle Ages, though they did not provide a term for it. In the previous chapter (3.8), I made reference to Job 7:6, in which הַּקְּהָה tiqwāh was used for both "thread" and "hope," the former facing the previous stich and the latter looking to the next. This observation was made already by Abraham ibn Ezra (1089–1164 CE). Note similarly Ps 33:9, in which יְּמָשֶׁלִי "and it endured" can also mean "and it put to an end." As Nahum Ben-Yehuda observes, <sup>88</sup> David Qimḥi (1160–1235 CE) already saw this as a case of multidirectional polysemy: "If you desire, you can interpret it according to the meaning of that which precedes: concerning the creation of the world. Or according to the meaning that comes after it: "the L(ord) destroys the plans of nations." <sup>89</sup>

Multidirectional polysemy operates both aurally and visually. It differs from unidirectional polysemy in that it allows one to realize the meanings of the polyseme only when reaching the supporting lines that follow it. The text that precedes the polyseme matches only one of its meanings, so unless listeners/readers catch the potential for a double meaning when coming to the polyseme, they cannot fully realize its second meaning until the lines that follow make it possible. It is only then that the full polysemy is achieved. The effect, then, is one of delayed comprehension, and unlike unidirectional polysemy, this device creates a false sense of textual stability until after the polyseme is realized. Therefore, the device encourages one reading, only to destabilize it afterwards. In essence, it is a form of retrospective patterning. 90

# 4.1.6. DOUBLE POLYSEMY

Double polysemy exploits two words in successive stichs, each of which projects multiple meanings. 91 I have drawn attention to double polysemy in the Sumerian

<sup>86.</sup> Typically one prefers to find the polyseme in parallelism in each of its meanings with lexemes that precede and follow, or to have a word pair in common with them, but this is not always possible. Sometimes the context makes the connection obvious.

<sup>87.</sup> Noegel, Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job, 154–55.

<sup>88.</sup> I thank Nahum Ben-Yehuda for sharing this with me via personal communication on December 31, 2019.

<sup>89.</sup> The Hebrew reads: אם תרצה הפרש אותו על הענין שקדם: על בריאת העולם. או על הענין הצא אחרו שקדם: על בריאת העולם. או על הענין הבא אחרו של בריאת העולם. או הפיר עצת גוים ה' m trṣh tprš 'wtw 'l h 'nyn šqdm: 'l bry't h 'wlm. 'w 'l h 'nyn hb' 'ḥryw: ḥ' hpyr 'ṣt gwym. See similarly Basser, "Did Rashi Notice a Janus Parallelism in Ezek 20:37?" 90. See Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 64.

<sup>91.</sup> Gary A. Rendsburg, "Double Polysemy in Genesis 49:6 and Job 3:6," *CBQ* 44 (1982): 48–51; Rendsburg, "Double Polysemy in Proverbs 31:19," in *Humanism, Culture, and* 

poem Ninmešarra in the previous chapter, where it functioned to demonstrate the divine ineffability of Inanna (3.15.2).

To illustrate double polysemy in Akkadian, I turn to Ea's command to Utnapishtim in the Epic of Gilgamesh 11.25–27:

- 25. *muš-šir mešrām-ma* (NÍG.TUKU) *šeʾ-i napšāti* (ZI.MEŠ) Reject riches and seek life!
- 26. [*m*]*a-ak-ku-ru ze-er-ma na-piš-ti bul-liţ* Spurn property and save life.
- 27. [š]u-li-ma zēr nap-šá-a-ti ka-la-ma a-na lib-bi eleppi (MÁ)
  Put the seed of all living creatures into the heart of the boat.

Elsewhere I have discussed the polysemous dimension of Ea's secret warning. P2 Specifically, I noted that the line "spurn property, keep living beings alive" employs two polysemes: zērma "spurn," which can be read as ṣêrma "construct"; and makkūru "property," which suggests makūru "boat" (from Sumerian MÁ.GUR<sub>8</sub>), thus reinforcing the two central messages of Ea's instructions. As "spurn property" the double polysemy faces backwards. As "construct a boat" it faces forward to the mention of the elippu "boat." Therefore, this case of double polysemy is multidirectional as well.

Representative of double polysemy in Egyptian is a well-known love poem in P.Chester Beatty I (C 4.10–5.1), in which the lover extols his beloved as follows:

```
p3 nty s 'nh ib=i 3h n=i sn.(t)=i r phr.wt nb.wt wr sw n=i r t3 dmd.jt p3j=i wd3.(t) p3j=s(t) 'q.w n bnr ptr st k3 snb wn=s(t) ir.t(j)=s(t) rnpj h 't=i
```

that is what will revive my heart, the spirit of me, my sister, more than any medicine. Greater is she to me, than the compendium.

The eye of Horus is her entering from the outside. Seeing her, then, is health. She opens her eyes, rejuvenating my body.

The polysemes in these two lines offer a veritable cornucopia of meanings. The noun  $dm\underline{d}.t$  can mean "amulet" or a "compendium" of medical spells. The noun  $w\underline{d}s(.t)$  is written simply as  $\mathcal{F}$ , and is typically understood as the "eye of

Language in the Near East: Studies in Honor of Georg Krotkoff, ed. Asma Afsaruddin and A. H. Mathias Zahniser (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1997), 267–74.

<sup>92.</sup> Scott B. Noegel, "A Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story," *ASJ* 13 (1991): 419–21.

<sup>93.</sup> The passage is also rich in paronomasia, especially in the repeated sounds /b/, /l/, and /m/, and the phrases *muššir mešrê* "abandon wealth," *libbi elippi* "heart of the boat," and the words *zērma* "spurn" and *zēr* "seed."

Horus," but it also can mean an "amulet" depicting the healing power of the eye of Horus. Thus,  $dm\underline{d}.jt$  faces back to  $p\underline{h}r.t$  "medicines" and forward to  $w\underline{d}\beta.(t)$ , in both its senses; and  $w\underline{d}\beta.(t)$  looks back to  $dm\underline{d}j.t$  in both its senses, but as an eye, it faces forward to the verb ptr "see." The polysemes gain added reference in the last stich, when the lover states that "seeing her" is snb "health" and then describes the opening of her eyes (the verb with the determinative as having the power to rejuvinate his body. 94

For another demonstration of double polysemy in Egyptian, I point to the beginning of the later Book of Thoth (P.Vienna V01), which describes the bas of Ra, an esoteric metaphor for "sacred books":  $st \ n \ nb.[w \ dn]h \ l-ir=w \ hl \ r \ p^3 \ rh \ ... \ mh \ p^3j \ p^3 \ sh \ n^3j=f \ d[w.w ...] \ n^3 \ dm$  "they are possessors of wings. They fly to the Wise-One (Thoth).... The document is a nest. The books are its/his young ones" (col. 3, 1. 14–15). Pi Richard Jasnow has shown that the line contains two polysemes. The first is  $sh \ (= ss)$ , meaning both "nest" and "document," and the second is dm, both "papyrus-roll" and "generation."

A wonderful example of double polysemy in Ugaritic occurs in the Tale of Aqhat (*CAT* 1.19.i.36–42). In this pericope the hero Danel learns of his son Aqhat's death and mourns by tearing his mantle (Il. 36–37). Afterwards, the narrator informs us that Danel:

- 39. *yṣly 'rpt b*Curses the clouds in the
- 40. *hm un yr 'rpt* grievous heat, the (early) rain. "Let the clouds
- 41. *tmtr b qz tl ytll* rain in the summer. Let the dew lay dew
- 42. *l gnbm* upon the grapes."

Here both un and qz are polysemous. The first we may understand as "grievous," in the sense of a "grievous heat." The context of the story is, after all, a drought. Yet at the same time, we may read it as a reference to Danel's grief for

<sup>94.</sup> Noegel, Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job, 179–80.

<sup>95.</sup> The fragments of this Demotic text date to the first–second centuries CE. See Richard Jasnow and Karl-Th. Zauzich, *The Ancient Egyptian Book of Thoth: A Demotic Discourse on Knowledge and Pendant to the Classical Hermetica*, 2 vols. (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2005), 153–54, 158. On the *bas* of Ra, see Louis V. Žabkar, *A Study of the Ba Concept in Ancient Egyptian Texts*, SAOC 34 (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1968), 49. 96. Richard Jasnow, "Caught in the Web of Words'—Remarks on the Imagery of Writing and Hieroglyphs in the Book of Thoth," *JARCE* 47 (2011): 300. He also notes that the same polysemes occur in the Nag Hammadi Coptic tractate, The Discourse on the Eighth and the Ninth.

his son, that is, "in the heat of (his) grief." The former meaning points forward to the absence of Baal and the lack of rain (ll. 44–46), whereas the latter points back to Danel's mourning ritual. In addition, qz can mean "summer" or "figs" (i.e., "summer fruit"). As the former, qz faces back to the hm "heat" in line 40, and as the latter, it faces forward to gnbm "grapes." It is thus a case of multidirectional double polysemy. <sup>97</sup>

Illustrating double polysemy in Hebrew is a ingenious case, spotted by Rendsburg, in the last testament of Jacob (Gen 49:6).<sup>98</sup>

בְּסֹדָם אַל־תָּבֹא נַפְּשִׁי בִּקְהָלָם אַל־תַּחַד כְּבֹדִי

bə-sōdām 'al-tābō' napšī bi-qhālām 'al-tēhad kəbōdī

Let not my person תְּבֹא  $t\bar{a}\underline{b}\bar{o}$  'their council, Let not my being תְּחֶד  $t\bar{e}had$  in their assembly.

Two polysemes are active here—the verbs אָבָה  $t\bar{a}b\bar{o}$  and תַּחָד  $t\bar{e}had$ . The former is vocalized as if it derives from the verb אַבָּה  $b\bar{o}$  meaning "enter." However, we also can derive it from the verb אָבָה ' $\bar{a}b\bar{a}h$  meaning "desire." The verb יחד  $t\bar{e}had$  is pointed as if it derives from the root ייד y-h-d, in which case it means "unite with, be one with." However, it also could derive from the root ייד שווע ייד שווע ייד ווע ווע ייד ווע ווע ייד ווע ייד ווע ווע ייד ווע ייד ווע ייד ו

Demonstrating the Israelites' keenness for combining polysemous devices is Job 14:7, in which the poet achieves a double polysemy by way of two contronyms. The line reads: בֵּי יֵשׁ לְעֵץ תִּקְוָה אָּם־יִבְּרֵת וְעוֹד יַחֲלִיף וְיֹנֵקְתוֹ לֹא תֶחְדָּל hi vēš lā-'ēṣ tiqwāh 'im yikārēt wə-'ōd yaḥālīp wə-yōnaqtō lō' teḥdāl "at least there is hope for a tree. If it is cut down it will renew (itself), and its new shoots will not fail." The verbs in question are יְחַלִיף yaḥālīp and יַחְיִּלְיף and יַּחַיְלִיף teḥdāl. The former can mean "renew" or "pass away" and the latter "cease" or "survive." Thus, we can render the same line more pessimistically: "indeed, there is hope for a tree. If it is cut down it might pass away, and its new shoots might not survive" (cf. Ps 90:5–6).

<sup>97.</sup> I owe this discovery to my former student Katherine Burge.

<sup>98.</sup> Rendsburg, "Double Polysemy in Genesis 49:6 and Job 3:6," 48–51.

<sup>99.</sup> On these meanings, see Gordis, "Studies in Hebrew Roots of Contrasted Meaning," 38–41, 50–51.

Daniel's prayer of thanksgiving offers a fine case of double polysemy in Aramaic. After God discloses Nebuchadnezzar's dream to Daniel, the prophet proclaims, "he reveals the deep and secret things, he knows what is in the darkness, and the light dwells with him" (Dan 2:22). In Aramaic, the line rendered "light dwells with him" is עמה שרא [ונהורא] ונהירא  $\bar{u}$ -nh $\bar{t}$ r $\bar{a}$  '  $[\bar{u}$ -nh $\bar{o}$ r $\bar{a}$  ' imm $\bar{e}$ h *šərē* '. It contains two polysemes. The first is נהירא *nəhīrā* '"light" or "insight." See, for example, נהירו  $nah\bar{\imath}r\bar{\imath}$  in Dan 5:11, 5:14, which is identified with ושכלתנו יחַכְמָה wə-śŏklətanū wə-ḥŏkmah "understanding and wisdom," and is closer in form to the Kethib. The second is שָׁרֵא sərē "dwells," which also means "loosen, (dis)solve," as in knots or dreams (Dan 3:25, 5:12, 5:16). Moreover, the double polysemy here demonstrates multidirectional polysemy as well. As "the light dwells within him," the line looks back to "he knows what lies in darkness" in the previous stich. As "insight is solved with him," it faces forward to "you have given me wisdom and power" and "you have made known to us the matter of the king" in the next two lines. The multidirectional double polysemy combines God's ability to decode dreams and disclose deep things from darkness.

Double polysemy has a destabilizing effect on readers/listeners. In addition to forcing one to consider the multiple meanings of each polyseme, one must contemplate the relationship of one polyseme to the other. Consequently, double polysemy has a halting effect on the exegetical process. While it might be possible for readers to engage the text by pausing, focusing, and arriving at interpretive options, it is virtually impossible for a listener, especially in cases that require a change in vocalization. Double polysemy is a natural extension of unidirectional and multidirectional polysemy.

## 4.1.7. BILINGUAL POLYSEMY

Bilingual polysemy occurs when a word or signs may be read as reflecting more than one language in a single context. Such cases illustrate the multilingual environments of the ancient literati. Inherent in the writing of Akkadian is the use of Sumerian. Throughout the history of Akkadian, scribes retained a knowledge of Sumerian, even centuries after it had ceased to be a living language. Moreover, As Frahm explains:

Mesopotamian scholars regarded these two languages, in spite of their great differences, as closely related and, unlike other idioms, capable of conveying essential truths. These beliefs provide the foundation for their strategy to interpret individual elements of Akkadian words (as well as names and logograms) in the light of Sumerian. <sup>100</sup>

<sup>100.</sup> Frahm, Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries, 72.

Therefore, it is not surprising that we should find examples of bilingual polysemy in Akkadian texts involving Sumerian. The thirty-third name that the gods bestow upon Marduk in Enuma Elish 7.83–84 demonstrates this well. Here we read: dA.GILIM.MA šaqû nāsiḥ agî āšir šalgi bānu KI-tim eliš A.MEŠ mukīn elâti "dA.GILIM.MA, the lofty, who drives out waves, who marshals snow. Creator of the earth above the waters, establisher of the on-high." An ancient commentary to this text reads the Sumerian sign MA in the name dA.GILIM.MA as the equivalent of MÚ meaning banû "create, build," and thus also phonetically as mû, that is, the Akkadian word for "water." Moreover, the Sumerian A also means "water." Thus the commentary sees the name dA.GILIM.MA as meaning bānu erṣetim eliš mê "the creator of Earth on top of the waters." As Lambert reminds us, "such explanations are often spoken of as folk etymology or word play in the modern world, but this may trivialize what was serious to the ancients. To understand their thought we must take these matters seriously." 102

Less expected, yet attested, is a case of bilingual polysemy between Akkadian and Egyptian noted by Rykle Borger. In the annals of Sargon II, we find the following account in the campaign against rebel Syrians: MSIPA- $\dot{e}$   $k\hat{i}$  LúSIPA  $\dot{s}a$   $\dot{s}\bar{e}na\dot{s}u$   $\dot{h}abta$   $\bar{e}d\bar{a}nu\dot{s}\dot{s}u$   $ipar\dot{s}idma$  "SIPA fled alone like a SIPA whose flock has been stolen." The Sumerogram SIPA appears twice in the passage. Typically it means  $r\bar{e}$   $\dot{u}$  "shepherd" in Akkadian, and certainly this is the sense it bears in the second instance. However, the first SIPA is different. The determinative informs us that it must be a name or title, and as Borger has shown, 103 it is a case of learned paronomasia that understands the Akkadian  $r\bar{e}$   $\dot{u}$  as the Egyptian name Ra  $(r^{c})$ , the sungod pharaoh. This permits us to translate the line "Re fled alone like a shepherd  $(= r\bar{e} \dot{u})$  whose flock has been stolen." Since the Egyptian pharaoh was regarded as the "shepherd" of his people, the barb is particularly apt. This case is effective both visually, since the scribe used the Sumerogram SIPA in both instances, and aurally, since the polysemy would be realized when recited in Akkadian.

A possible second case of Sumerian/Akkadian and Egyptian polysemy appears in a Late Assyrian commentary to the exorcist text known as Marduk's Address to the Demons. In particular, Frahm has questioned whether the signs <sup>d</sup>MES used to designate Marduk reflect the Egyptian *msi* "give birth," since the context is one of Marduk's auto-creation.<sup>104</sup>

<sup>101.</sup> Discussed by A. R. George, *Babylonian Topographical Texts*, OLA 40 (Leuven: Peeters, 1992), 387. For other forms of bilingual polysemy, see Michalowski, "Where's Al?"

<sup>102.</sup> W. G. Lambert, "Etymology," in *A Dictionary of Biblical Interpretation*, ed. Richard J. Coggin and Jamie Leslie Houlden (London: SCM Press, 1990), 215.

<sup>103.</sup> R. Borger, "Das Ende des Ägyptischen Feldherrn SIB'E = סוֹא," JNES 19 (1960): 49–53.

<sup>104.</sup> Frahm, Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries, 358 n. 1708.

Egyptian texts have not yielded any evidence of bilingual polysemy. A likely reason for this is the Egyptians' relative early geographic isolation from the other cultures of the Near East. This isolation fostered a negative attitude toward non-Egyptian cultures and languages that also informed its cosmic borders. Indeed, Egypt's closest neighbors, the Libyans, Ethiopians, and Levantine Semites, are typically portrayed as subjugated enemies on pharaoh's footstool and are directly identified as embodiments of *isf.t* "chaos," the opposite of *m³.t* "truth, justice." Moreover, even in the fourteenth century BCE, when Egyptians at Amarna learned Akkadian, they did so with a select purpose, as Veldhuis observes: "The main and perhaps only use of cuneiform in this context was international correspondence—there was little virtue in collecting traditional cuneiform scholarly literature for its own sake."

Additional evidence for Egyptian knowledge of other languages appears in at least three texts that capture foreign tongues for the purpose of style switching. In each case, the language is Semitic. In the famous Merneptah ("Israel") stela, the king boasts: *nb.w phd hr dd š3rm* "all the princes (of Syro-Canaan) lie prostrate saying, 'peace'" (1. 26). Here *š3rm* renders the Semitic *šalām* "peace" in Egyptian. The author chose to use this term, and not the ordinary Egyptian word *htp* "peace," in order to capture the foreigners' pleas. The Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022, l. 219) also makes reference to the *mki* of Qedem (or perhaps Qaṭṇa), which renders the Semitic noun *mlk* "king." Similarly, in the satirical letter in P.Anastasi I, a scribe demonstrates his mastery of the scribal arts over his superior by describing numerous cities and other topographical features in the land of Syro-Canaan. At one point (17.7–17.8), he satirizes his opponent by addressing him as *twp3r yd's*, the Egyptian reflection of Northwest Semitic *spr yd'* "learned scribe." <sup>108</sup>

<sup>105.</sup> Nevertheless, since the Amarna period, the Egyptians appeared to have developed a complex universalistic theological view concerning foreigners and their languages. See the comment of Serge Sauneron, "La différenciation des languages d'après la tradition égyptienne," *BIFAO* 60 (1960): 41: "Au delà de cette question 'technique' des languages, un point intéressant ressort aussi de cette recension. Dans un monde stable, les différences, comme les similitudes, ne sont pas des caractères fortuits, apparaissant à des moments donnés de l'histoire: elles sont éternelles, et prévues dès la création."

<sup>106.</sup> Veldhuis, *History of the Cuneiform Lexical Traditions*, 302. It appears that Hittites introduced Akkadian to the Amarna scribes, though the texts also show Mesopotamian influence. For additional evidence of knowledge of Semitic in the Bronze Age, see Ariel Shisha-Halevy, "An Early North-West Semitic Text in the Egyptian Hieratic Script," *Or* 47 (1978): 145–62; Richard C. Steiner, "Northwest Semitic Incantations in an Egyptian Medical Papyrus of the Fourteenth Century B.C.E.," *JNES* 51 (1992): 191–200.

<sup>107.</sup> See Thomas Schneider, "Sinuhes Notiz über die Könige: Syrisch-anatolische Herrschertitel in ägyptischer Überlieferung," ÄL 12 (2002): 261–63, who suggests that the text also refers to the Luwian term for "king" (*hntìwš* "Ḥantawattish").

<sup>108.</sup> See Hans-Werner Fischer-Elfert, *Die satirische Streitschrift des Papyrus Anastasi I: Übersetzung und Kommentar*, ÄgAbh 34 (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1986), 152; Edward

Nevertheless, despite evidence that some Egyptians possessed a working knowledge of other languages, <sup>109</sup> we lack examples of bilingual polysemy. <sup>110</sup>

— Е

109. Additional evidence for knowledge of Semitic in Egypt includes a number of execration texts that transcribe Semitic proper names. See Kurt Sethe, Die Ächtung feindlicher Fürsten, Völker und Dinge auf altägyptischen Tongefäßscherben des Mittleren Reiches: Nach den Originalen im Berliner Museum herausgegeben und erklärt, mit 33 Tafeln (Berlin: Verlag der Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1926); Georges Posener, Princes et pays d'Asie et de Nubie Textes hiératiques sur des figurines d'envoûtement du Moyen Empire, suivis de Remarques paléographiques sur les textes similaires de Berlin par B. can der Wall (Bruxelles: Fondation égyptologique Reine Élisabeth, 1940). See also the papyrus discussed by Thomas Schneider, "Die semitischen und ägyptischen Namen der syrischen Sklaven des Papyrus Brooklyn 35.1446 verso," UF 19 (1987): 255-82. In the New Kingdom, the Egyptian script also was used to write several words and phrases. See Shisha-Halevy, "Early North-West Semitic Text in the Egyptian Hieratic Script"; also P.Anastasi I, studied by Fischer-Elfert, Die satirische Streitschrift des Papyrus Anastasi I, 198–200; James E. Hoch, Semitic Words in Egyptian Texts of the New Kingdom and Third Intermediate Periods (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1994), no. 6: Thomas Schneider, "Mag.pHarris XII,1-5: Eine kanaanäische Beschwörung für die Löwenjagd?," GM 112 (1989): 53-63.

110. In the Achaemenid period there is evidence that some elite Egyptian scribes had a working knowledge of Aramaic, and of course, still later, the PGM texts and several archives reveal that some scribes knew Greek as well. See Sven Peter Vleeming and J. W. Wesselius, "An Aramaic Hymn from the Fourth Century B.C.," BiOr 39 (1982): 502-9; Richard C. Steiner and Charles F. Nims, "You Can't Offer Your Sacrifice and Eat It Too: A Polemical Poem from the Aramaic Text in Demotic Script," JNES 43 (1984): 89–114; Steiner and Nims, "Ashurbanipal and Shamash-shum-ukin: A Tale of Two Brothers from the Aramaic Text in Demotic Script," RB 92 (1985): 60-81; Steiner, "The Aramaic Text in Demotic Script: The Liturgy of a New Year's Festival Imported from Bethel to Syene by Exiles from Rash," JAOS 111 (1991): 362-63; Steiner, "Papyrus Amherst 63: A New Source for the Language, Religion, and History of the Aramaeans," in Studia Aramaica: New Sources and New Approaches, ed. M. J. Geller, J. C. Greenfield, and M. P. Weitzman, JJSSup 4 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995), 199-207; Steiner, "The Scorpion Spell from Wadi Hammamat: Another Aramaic Text in Demotic Script," JNES 60 (2001): 259-68; Katelijn Vandorpe, The Bilingual Family Archive of Dryton, His Wife Apollonia and Their Daughter Senmouthis (P. Dryton), CH 4 (Brussels: Peeters, 2002); Vandorpe and Sofie Waebens, Reconstructing Pathyris' Archives: A Multicultural Community in Hellenistic Egypt, CH 3 (Brussels: Peeters, 2009); Joachim Friedrich Quack, "The Interaction of Egyptian and Aramaic Literature," in Judah and the Judeans in the Achaemenid Age: Negotiating Identity in an International Context, ed. Oded Lipschits,

F. Wente, Letters from Ancient Egypt, WAW 1 (Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1990), 110 n. 10. The Egyptian text employs the scribe and man determinatives ( $\mathbb{A}$ ) after the first word, and the hand to mouth determinative ( $\mathbb{A}$ ), after the second. The scribe also uses group writing to spell other Semitic words including  $m'rk\bar{s}bit$  (= Semitic mrkbt "chariot," 19.7; 26.1),  $b\bar{s}k\bar{s}$  "balsam tree" (= Semitic b'k, 23.7), and possibly  $w\bar{s}b$  usually meaning "respond," but here (20.4) for "dwell" (= Semitic  $w/y\bar{s}b$ ).

Ugarit was an international port in which no less than seven different languages are attested, thus it should not surprise us to find cases of bilingual polysemy in Ugaritic texts. <sup>111</sup> A particularly adept example in the Tale of Kirtu also constitutes a case of double polysemy: 'db akl l qryt htt l bt hbr "prepare food for the city, wheat for the house of Khubur" (CAT 1.14.ii.27–29). <sup>112</sup> Here qryt means "city," <sup>113</sup> but also reflects the Akkadian qarītu "granary." <sup>114</sup> Similarly, bt hbr means "house of Khubur," but also renders the Akkadian bīt hubūri "beer room," that is, a room devoted to the storage and fermenting of grains. <sup>115</sup> Thus, we have a case of double bilingual polysemy. <sup>116</sup>

Gary N. Knoppers, and Manfred Oeming (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011), 375–401; Marja Vierros, *Bilingual Notaries in Hellenistic Egypt: A Study of Greek as a Second Language*, CH 5 (Brussels: Peeters, 2012).

<sup>111.</sup> See Anne-Sophie Dalix, "Exemples de bilinguisme à Ougarit. Iloumilkou: La double identité d'un scribe," in *Mosaïque de langues, mosaïque culturelle: Le bilinguisme dans le Proche Oriente ancien, Actes de la table ronde du 18 novembre 1995 organisée par l'URA 1062*, ed. Françoise Briquel-Chatonnet, AnS 1 (Paris: Librairie d'Amérique et d'Orient, 1996), 81–90. On the multilingual erudition of Ugarit's scribes, see Ignacio Márquez-Rowe, "Scribes, Sages, and Seers in Ugarit and Syria," in *Scribes, Sages, and Seers: The Sage in the Eastern Mediterranean World*, ed. Leo G. Perdue (Göttingen; Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2008), 95–108.

<sup>112.</sup> See Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream," 304-5.

<sup>113.</sup> DULAT, s.v. "grvt."

<sup>114.</sup> *CAD* Q, s.v. "*qarītu*."

<sup>115.</sup> CAD H, s.v. "hubūru A."

<sup>116.</sup> The passage also constitutes unidirectional polysemy, since *qryt* as both "granary" and "city" points ahead to the next line, the former to *htt* "wheat" and *bt \hbr* "beer room," and the latter to *bt \hbr* as "house of Khubur."

<sup>117.</sup> Cassuto, *A Commentary on the Book of Exodus*, 72; Gary A. Rendsburg, "Bilingual Wordplay in the Bible," *VT* 38 (1988): 357–62; Rendsburg, "The Egyptian Sun-God Ra in the Pentateuch," *Henoch* 10 (1988): 3–15; Rendsburg, "Targum Onqelos to Exod 10:5, 10:15, Numb 22:5, 22:11," *Henoch* 12 (1990): 15–17.

mss meaning "infant" (Exod 2:10). It also has been suggested that we read the name מְּחָה h̄ām "Ham" in Gen 9–10 bilingually as the Hebrew name and the Egyptian noun h̄m "servant." The latter underscores his role as the progenitor of the Egyptians (Gen 10:6) and it anticipates Noah's curse that Ham will become a " $\varepsilon b \varepsilon d$ " "servant" to his brothers (Gen 9:25). It A final example of a Hebrew-Egyptian polyseme discovered by Christopher Hays occurs in Isa 14:19. Here the prophet describes the fallen king: "you are cast forth away from your grave, like a defiled נֵּבֶּר [ $n\bar{e}ser$ ]." As Hays shows, the Hebrew noun meaning "shoot," here also reflects the Egyptian ntr "divinized dead." Hays also has suggested that we understand Isaiah's rebuke of Judah's covenant with מְּשֶׁת mawet "death" (Isa 28:15) as a polysemous reference to the Egyptian goddess mwt "Mut," and therefore, as a reference to the Egyptian alliance. Isain the suggestion of the Egyptian alliance.

.

<sup>118.</sup> The narrative of Moses's birth encourages one to connect the meaning of his name with the statement of pharaoh's daughter, אַרָּהַמִּים מְשִׁיתָהוֹ min ham-mayīm məšitīhū "I drew him from the water" (Exod 2:10). However, we then should expect the Hebrew form of his name to be מְשִׁי māšūy (masculine singular passive participle), meaning "he was drawn (from the water)." It is not until the events at the Reed Sea that the grammatical form of the name (singular masculine active participle) is realized, as he draws the Israelites through to dry land. Cherry, Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament, 41, sees no paronomasia in the passage, since the daughter's words "were not intended as a play on the name, but as an explanation of the name." Nevertheless, Cherry was unaware of the bilingual polysemy inherent in his name.

<sup>119.</sup> Rendsburg, "Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible," 144–45. On the Egyptian conception of peoples that underlies the portrayal of Ham's sons, see Abraham Malamat, "The Conception of Ham and His Sons in the Table of Nations (Gen 10:6–20)," in Knoppers and Hirsch, Egypt, Israel, and the Ancient Mediterranean World, 359–60.

<sup>120.</sup> He also reads נְצוֹרִים nɔṣūrīm in this way in Isa 65:4. See Christopher B. Hays, "An Egyptian Loanword in the Book of Isaiah and the Deir 'Alla Inscription: Hebr. nṣr, Aram. ngr, and Eg. ntr as '[Divinized] Corpse," JAEI 4 (2012): 17–23.

<sup>121.</sup> Christopher B. Hays, "The Covenant with Mut: A New Interpretation of Isaiah 28:1–22," VT 60 (2010): 212–40.

<sup>122.</sup> Peter Machinist, "Assyria and Its Image in the First Isaiah," JAOS 103 (1983): 734–35. 123. William Morrow, ""To Set the Name' in the Deuteronomic Centralization Formula: A Case of Cultural Hybridity," JSS 55 (2010): 365–83, has proposed that the Deuteronomic expression אַ בְּשַׁבֶּן שְׁבֵּן לִּשְׁבֵּן וּ לִּבּבּוּ (Deut 12:11, 14:23, 16:2, 16:6, 16:11, 26:2) constitutes bilingual polysemy on the Akkadian phrase suma sakānu "to set the name." A departure from the usual Hebrew expression לְשִׁבּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ שְׁבִּוֹ בְּבַּבְּוֹ שִׁבְּוֹ בְּבַּבְּבִּוּ בְּבַּבְּבִּוּ בְּבַּבְּבִּוּ (Deut 12:21, 14:24), Morrow suggests that the polyseme has a subversive function: "In the very

More recently Shira Golani has advanced the proposal that the famous images of swords made into plowshares and spears into pruning hooks (or vice versa) found in Isa 2:4, Mic 4:3, Joel 4,10, constitute cases of bilingual Hebrew-Akkadian polysemy. In particular, she suggests that מַּרְבּוֹתְה "swords" can be understood as "plows" or as a part of a plow (as in m. Kelim 21:2 and in Syriac), and that מַּרְבּׁתְּה "pruning hooks" echoes the Akkadian azmarû "spear, lance." According to the taxonomy I offer here, one also can understand this as a case of double polysemy. Golani concludes that the device here "serves for more than just aesthetics, and is not a mere 'play' on words. Rather, it is a rhetoric device, enhancing the prophetic message, revolving around the theme of reversal of war and peace." I add that we also can understand it as performative in function. In the process of grasping the polysemy, the weapons are transformed.

An example of Hebrew-Aramaic polysemy appears in Eliphaz's query (Job 4:2–3):

הָגִפָּה דָבָר אֵלֶידְּ תִּלְאֶה וַעְצֹר בְּמִלִּיז מִי יוּכְל: הָגָּה יִפַּרתָּ רַבִּים וְיָדִים רָפוֹת תִּחַזַּק:

hă-nissāh dābār 'ēlekā til'eh wa- 'şōr bə-millīn mī yūkāl hinnēh yissartā rabbīm wə-yādayim rāpōt təḥazzēq

If one tries a word with you, will it be too much? But who can withhold words? See, you have admonished many, you have strengthened weakened hands.

Of note in this passage is the verb יַּסְרְּת יִּטְּר vissartā. Typically, exegetes derive it from the Hebrew verb יָּסֵר yāsar and translate "you have admonished." However, numerous Aramaic features in the book of Job (including מִּלִּין millīn "words" in this passage), permit us to read it as if derived from the Aramaic verb יְסֵר yasar meaning "bind, strengthen." Supporting the reading is the parallel מְּחַלֵּל "you have strengthened," and the fact that these two roots and meanings appear together elsewhere (Isa 8:11, Hos 7:15). Thus, the bilingual polyseme casts Eliphaz as offering an encouraging word that acknowledges Job's

act of mimicking the dominating culture's linguistic forms, there is an effort to make an ideological expression that serves the interests of the colonized, not the colonizer.... The expression *lškn šmw* simultaneously acknowledges the reality of Neo-Assyrian hegemony while also subverting it" (382). The difficulty in seeing the expression as a case of bilingual polysemy derives from the fact that the Hebrew root; "-2-v" š-k-n" (set, establish," while indeed cognate with the Akkadian verb šakānu, is well attested in Hebrew. Therefore, while it might constitute an allusion to an Akkadian idiom, it cannot be considered polysemy, since the expression in Hebrew means the same as it does in Akkadian.

<sup>124.</sup> Shira J. Golani, "Swords that are Plowshares: Another Case of (Bilingual) Wordplay in Biblical Prophecy?," *Bib* 98 (2017): 432–33.

prior support of others, while simultaneously suggesting that it is now his turn for chastisement.<sup>125</sup>

Another case of Hebrew-Aramaic polysemy appears in Exod 16:15, where the Aramaic query מָן הוּא  $m\bar{a}n\ h\bar{u}$  "what is it?" serves as an exegesis for the name of the מַן  $m\bar{a}n$  "manna" in Exod 16:33.  $^{126}$ 

A third case of Hebrew-Aramaic polysemy appears in the story of Jacob and Laban when Jacob swears an oath to him by the "בַּחַד pahad of Isaac" (Gen 31:53). Here one can read בַּחַד pahad as Hebrew for "terror," and thus as an epithet of Yahweh, or as Aramaic for "flock," "tribal clan," or "thigh" (PS phd). 127 The polyseme would have operated solely on a visual level. It fits well the Aramaean setting of the story and draws attention to key elements in the cycle (cf. Gen 31:47). 128

Wolters has discovered a fascinating case of Hebrew-Greek polysemy in reference to Lady Wisdom in Prov 31:27. There we hear that צּוֹפִיָּה הֲלִיכוֹת בֵּיתָה  $s\bar{o}\bar{p}\bar{i}yy\bar{a}h$  hălī $k\bar{o}t$  bē $t\bar{a}h$  "she oversees the ways of her household." Here צּוֹפִיָּה  $s\bar{o}p\bar{i}yy\bar{a}h$  can be understood as Hebrew for "she oversees" or as a bilingual reference to the Greek noun  $\sigma o \phi la so p\bar{i}a$  "wisdom."

An Aramaic-Akkadian bilingual polyseme appears in Dan 2:41, in reference to the feet of the statue in Nebuchadnezzar's dream, which Daniel describes as composed of קַּהְ  $\bar{p}eh\bar{a}r$  "clay." When the king recounted his dream for Daniel he did not use this term, but rather the synonym אָסְהָּחְ  $hasp\bar{a}$  "clay" (Dan 2:33–34). Daniel's switch of lexemes provides him with the means for interpreting this part of his dream as portending a "divided kingdom" (Dan 2:41). The mantic interpretation is akin to Mesopotamian omen texts, 130 and rests on the reading of  $\bar{p}eh\bar{a}r$ , not as the Aramaic word for "clay," but as the Akkadian puhru "assembly (of nations)." 131

The Aramaic Proverbs of Ahiqar also contain a fine example of bilingual Aramaic-Akkadian polysemy: אריה לא איתי בימא על כן יקראון לקפא לבא 'ryh l' 'yty b-ym' 'l kn yqr'wn l-qp' lb' "there is no lion in the sea, therefore they call the qp'-fish a lb'" (C1 1:165). Here the name לבא lb' means both "lion" (in Aramaic

<sup>125.</sup> Noegel, Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job, 43-44.

<sup>126.</sup> Greenstein, "Wordplay, Hebrew," 971.

<sup>127.</sup> The same bilingual polysemy may be active in Job 3:25, Job 4:14.

<sup>128.</sup> Noegel, "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats," 171.

<sup>129.</sup> Al Wolters, "Şopîyyâ (Prov 31:27) as a Hymnic Participle and Play on Sophia," JBL 104 (1985): 577–87.

<sup>130.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers.

<sup>131.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 148-49.

and Akkadian) and also the mythological sea dragon *labbu* (in Akkadian). <sup>132</sup> As James Lindenberger remarks, the bilingual polysemy

would indicate an original audience of quite erudite character, able to get the point of a rather arcane bilingual pun. The professional scholars of the Neo-Assyrian court (to whose number Ahiqar belonged, according to both the Aramaic narrative and Mesopotamian tradition) were just such a group, and it is plausible to attribute the saying to that milieu.<sup>133</sup>

It is likely that additional examples of bilingual polysemy remain to be discovered in Near Eastern texts. The device certainly continued to be employed well after the Second Temple Period in rabbinic texts, <sup>134</sup> as well as in Greek and Latin literature. <sup>135</sup>

Bilingual polysemy is a device of high learning and it can operate both aurally and visually depending on how it is achieved. It differs from other types of polysemy in that it inherently constitutes a cultural statement about the Other. Depending on the cultures involved, these statements can be very different. Polysemy between Akkadian and Sumerian represents the adoption of Sumerian learning and culture by Akkadian speakers. As Piotr Michalowski explains:

that for pedagogical purposes serious language play was a useful tool for instilling a sense of the living authority of Sumerian by means of the polyglottic simultaneous presence of the vernacular Akkadian embedded in the classical tongue. In some respects this would serve a didactic purpose, as it would rehearse once again, if on a more profound level, the lessons learned earlier in the study

<sup>132.</sup> See James M. Lindenberger, "Ahiqar," *OTP* 2:502 n. i; Bezalel Porten and Ada Yardeni, *Textbook of Aramaic Documents from Ancient Egypt*, vol. 1 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1986), C1.1: frag. 1, l. 3; recognized as a "bilingual play on words."

<sup>133.</sup> James M. Lindenberger, *The Aramaic Proverbs of Ahiqar* (Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1983), 105, see also 247 n. 299.

<sup>134.</sup> Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 235–44; Hasan-Rokem, "Spinning Threads of Sand," 109–24; Hasan-Rokem, "An Almost Invisible Presence: Multilingual Puns in Rabbinic Literature," in *The Cambridge Companion to the Talmud and Rabbinic Literature*, ed. Martin S. Jaffee and Charlotte Elisheva Fonrobert (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 222–39.

<sup>135.</sup> See F. Cairns, "Horace's First Roman Ode (3.1)," *PLLS* 8 (1995): 91–142; Cairns, "M. Agrippa in Horace Odes 1.6," *Hermes* 123 (1995): 211–17; David Petrain, "Hylas and 'silva': Etymological Wordplay in Propertius 1.20," *HSCP* 100 (2000): 409–21; Philip Hardie, *Ovid's Poetics of Illusion* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002), 253; Alessandro Barchiesi, ed., *Ovidio: Metamorfosi, Volume I (Libri I–II). Traduzione di Ludovica Koch* (Milan: Fondazione Lorenzo Valla/Arnoldo Mondadori Editore, 2005), 221; John Moles, "Reconstructing Plancus (Horace, C. 1.7)," *JRS* 92 (2009): 99; Robert Cowan, "Alas, Poor Io! Bilingual Wordplay in Horace *Epode* 11," *Mnemosyne* 65 (2012): 753–63.

of lexical texts. However, we should not underestimate the use of interlingual puns and games as a means of developing a sense of Mesopotamian learned cultural identity and historical consciousness among the children of elites in Old Babylonian times, inscribing Akkadian and Sumerian into one another and thereby creating one scholarly world with an ancient pedigree. <sup>136</sup>

On the other hand, when the text describing Sargon II's campaigns employs polysemy on the Egyptian title "shepherd," it does so to cast him in a pejorative light, as a shepherd in flight.

Ugaritic texts that polysemously reflect Akkadian appear as displays of erudition. Far from being disparaging, they represent the high esteem in which the scribes of Ugarit held Mesopotamian learning. In much the same way that Akkadian-speaking scribes demonstrated their learning of Sumerian by way of bilingual polysemy, the scribes of Ugarit employed the device to illustrate their mastery of Akkadian.

Hebrew texts represent the widest use of bilingual polysemy, because the Israelites lived in a geographical location that saw the pervasive influence of Mesopotamian, Egyptian, Aramaean, and later Greek cultures. Interestingly, the cases of bilingual polysemy that demonstrate knowledge of Akkadian and Egyptian occur in polemical contexts that rhetorically debase the dominant cultures. Whether one looks to Isaiah's sally that transforms Mesopotamian kings to counselors or Ham's name that makes of him an Egyptian servant, the contexts are derogatory. Even Moses's bilingual name finds true meaning later in the Exodus saga, when he draws the people through the Reed Sea, thus shedding any former association with Egyptian origins.

Quite a different situation obtains in cases of Hebrew polysemy on Aramaic. They represent neither a lauding nor a disdain for Aramaean culture, but rather the increasing influence of Aramaic as the lingua franca of the region. They demonstrate the partial or complete bilingualism of the authors and the cultural world in which they lived.

The sole case of Hebrew polysemy on the Greek word for "wisdom" may also serve a polemical end. It occurs in a literary context that describes proper behavior by reliance on the fear of Yahweh. Thus, one could see the device as reconfiguring Greek wisdom in an Israelite theological context.

The two cases in Aramaic texts of bilingual polysemy upon the Akkadian language are informative when brought into comparison. The one that occurs in the biblical story of Daniel takes place in a context that demonstrates the Israelite's mastery of mantic wisdom over and against the Babylonian king and his retinue of magicians, sorcerers, and astrologers. The polyseme here serves to interpret the king's dream, and thus seal his fate. However, the same device in the

<sup>136.</sup> Michalowski, "Where's Al?," 199.

Proverbs of Ahiqar aims to display Ahiqar's erudition as a member of the Assyrian court.

The combined evidence shows that both the absence and presence of bilingual polysemy reveal cultural attitudes toward other peoples and their languages. In the case of Egyptian texts, the absence of the device indicates the Egyptians' perceived superiority of their language and script and their low esteem for non-Egyptian cultures. Polysemes that evoke Sumerian in Akkadian texts, Akkadian in Ugaritic texts, and Akkadian in Aramaic texts, do the opposite. They reflect the perceived superiority of cultures to which the respective authors felt culturally indebted. The Akkadian text that contains Egyptian polysemy portrays the pharaoh, but not Egyptian culture, in a negative light. This case belongs more accurately to the boastful nature of military propaganda. All of the bilingual polysemes found in Hebrew serve polemical ends, with the exception of those on Aramaic, which signify the changing linguistic landscape of the authors. The polysemy involving Akkadian, Egyptian, and Greek manifests negative and/or polemical attitudes towards the dominant cultures that the Israelites found invasive and oppressive.

It is worth noting that, with the exception of Akkadian authors who created polysemes with Sumerian, the words selected in each case were common enough that a generally educated audience probably would know them (e.g., pharaoh, Ra, servant, king, assembly, wisdom). The authors did not select arcane terms in the target language, because they would have fallen on deaf ears. This speaks to the intended audience of the texts, which was likely urban and at least partially educated, and it contrasts with cases of polysemy in Akkadian based on Sumerian, which were produced in highly educated scribal environments for other erudite elites.

#### 4.1.8. POLYSEMY CLUSTERS

When multiple polysemes appear in close proximity they constitute a polysemy cluster. I adopt the term *cluster* from Jonas Greenfield, who used it to describe the poetic strategy found at Ugarit and Israel of culling from the repertoire of word pairs and associations to create new meaningful contexts. So, to use his examples, at Ugarit spn "Zaphon" is the name of Baal's sacred mountain, which poets sometimes modify with mrym "summit" or srrt "remote parts." These lexemes are used by Hosea, but transformed, when he proclaims: "Ephraim's guilt is bound up (אַבּוֹנָה"), his sin stored away (אַבּוּנָה sap̄ūnāh)" (Hos 13:12). Note how one hears the sounds of srrt in sārūr, and those of spn in sap̄ūnāh. See similarly Ps 27:5: "He will hide me 'אַבּוּנָה yiṣpənēnī) in his pavilion on an evil day, grant me the protection of his tent, raise me (יְדוֹהְמֵנִי yərōməmēnī) upon a

<sup>137.</sup> Jonas C. Greenfield, "The 'Cluster' in Biblical Poetry," *Maarav* 55–56 (1990): 159–68.

rock (γις  $g\bar{u}r$ )." In addition to hearing gpn in  $yig\bar{p}an\bar{e}n\bar{i}$  and mrym in  $yar\bar{o}mam\bar{e}n\bar{i}$ , the noun  $g\bar{u}r$  "rock" recalls Baal's mountain by allusion to grrt and in its Ugaritic cognate gr "mountain." Such examples demonstrate that the Israelite bards were working with constellations of words and their associations that long had been in circulation (see 5.2.5, 5.2.6). They were picking from "clusters." I find Greenfield's label especially fitting for describing the general ancient Near Eastern poetic strategy of clustering several cases of the same literary device within a single work. In this section, I examine only polysemy clusters, but below I treat geminate clusters as well (4.2.12).  $^{138}$ 

A classic illustration of a polysemy cluster in Sumerian is the Song of the Hoe. 139 Indeed, Michalowski has shown that the entire text is filled with homonymic polysemy on the noun GIŠAL "hoe" and numerous uses of the sound /al/ (and /ar/). It is a polyglottal masterpiece that cannot be understood properly without knowledge of Akkadian. Just a few examples from many will demonstrate. In lines 26–27, we find only one AL sound, but many more lurk in the underlying Akkadian.

EREŠ EN Ù.TU.DÈ LUGAL Ù.TU.DÈ dNIN.MEN.NA.KE4 TU.TU AL.ĜÁ.ĜÁ

The mistress, to create sovereigns, to create kings, Nin-mena established birthing.

In addition to the sound /al/ in AL.ĜÁ.ĜÁ "birthing," we find TUD = Akkadian  $wal\bar{a}du$  "bear," EREŠ =  $b\bar{e}ltum$  "mistress," EN =  $b\bar{e}l$  "sovereign," and dNIN.MEN.NA =  $B\bar{e}let$ - $il\bar{i}$  "mistress of the gods." In line 61, we find: URU EN.ŠÈ NU.ŠE.GA ŠU.ŠÈ AL.ĜÁ.ĜÁ "(Ninurta) subdues any city that is disobedient to its lord." Not only do we find the sign AL, here as a prefix indicating habitualness, the sign URU, when read as Akkadian, is  $\bar{a}lum$  "city." Similarly, line 98 reads: GIŠAL GIŠTUBŠIK NÍĜ URU DÙ.DÙ.DAM "The hoe and corvée basket, those are for building cities." Here GIŠAL "hoe" is followed by URU =  $\bar{a}lum$  "city." Moreover, lines 83–84 constitute a latent "riddle."

.

<sup>138.</sup> See Noegel, "Geminate Ballast and Clustering," 1–18; Noegel, "Bodily Features as Literary Devices in the Hebrew Bible" [Hebrew], in *Studies in Bible and Exegesis Presented to Samuel Vargon*, ed. Moshe Garsiel et al., SBE 10 (Ramat-Gan: Bar Ilan University Press, 2011), 509–31; Noegel, "More Geminate Ballast and Clustering," in *History, Memory, and Hebrew Scriptures: Studies in Honor of Ehud Ben Zvi on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*, ed. Ian Wilson and Diana Edelman (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2015), 417–32; Noegel, "The Shame of Ba'al: The Mnemonics of Odium," *JNSL* 41 (2015): 69–94.

<sup>139.</sup> See Michalowski, "Where's Al?," for the examples used here.

# AN.ŠÈ AL.TI.RÍ.GU7 MUŠEN DIĜIR.RA.ÀM KI.ŠÈ $^{\rm GIS}$ AL.LÀM ĜIŠ.GI.A UR.RA TIR.RA UŠUMGAL.ÀM

As for the heavens—the wren is the divine bird. As for the earth it is the hoe, it is a beast in the canebrake, a lion in the forest.

See how the text employs the sound /al/ in the name of the wren (ALTIRI), thus anticipating the  $^{\rm GIS}$ AL in the next line. Plus, the paronomasia between ALTIRI and DIĜIR = *ilum* "divine" explains its identification as a divine bird. <sup>140</sup> In turn, this encourages us to read the remainder of the passage as a riddle, which one might pose as follows: "if one finds /al/ in the heavens in the (name of the) divine wren, where does one find /al/ on earth?" The answer: in the UR = *kalbu* "dog, beast" and UŠUMGAL = *labbu* "lion, dragon."

An excellent demonstration of a polysemy cluster in Akkadian is the Epic of Gilgamesh 11.43–47. In the previous chapter, I described how Ea instructed Utnapishtim to deceive the villagers by telling them that Ea: *eli kâšunu ušaznanakkunūši nuḥšamma* ... [*ina šer*] *kukkī* ... *ina līlâti ušaznanakunūši šamūtu kibāti* "shall rain upon you abundance ... in the morning, cakes (*kukkū*), and in the evening, he shall rain down a pouring of wheat (*kibātu*)," thus masking the coming of *kukkû* "darkness" and *kibittu* "heaviness." However, equally polysemous in the passage are the verb *zanānu*, which can mean "provide with food" or "rain down," and the noun *nuḥšu* "abundance," which can refer to "agricultural yield" or "flood waters." The result is a polysemy cluster.

Also representing polysemy clusters in Akkadian are the ends of Enuma Elish and Ludlul bēl nēmeqi, which list the fifty names of Marduk and the twelve gates of Babylon, respectively. <sup>142</sup> Both texts offer erudite exegetical readings of the names, employing many of the various types of polysemy and paronomasia surveyed here. Since I already have commented on the fifty names, I limit my examples here to the gates in Ludlul.

According to Alan Lenzi, the gates through which the sufferer passes at tale's end bear names that are exegetically reflected in the sufferer's experience. Thus, in 5.49, we find: *ina bāb dalīli* (KÁ KA.TAR.RA) *ištāla pīya* "in the 'Gate of Praise' my mouth inquired." Not only does the sign KÁ (= Akkadian  $b\bar{a}b$  "gate") also mean  $p\hat{u}$  "mouth, but the sign KA (in Sumerian KA.TAR for Akkadian  $dal\bar{l}lu$  "praise") does the same by way of homophony on KÁ. In addition, the sign TAR

<sup>140.</sup> To Michalowski's observations I add the paronomasia between ALTIRI "wren" and TIR "forest."

<sup>141.</sup> Scott B. Noegel, "Raining Terror: Another Wordplay Cluster in Gilgamesh Tablet XI (Assyrian Version, Il. 45–47)," *NABU* (1997): 39–40.

<sup>142.</sup> See Bottéro, "Les noms de Marduk, l'écriture et la 'logique' en Mésopotamie ancienne"; Lenzi, "Scribal Hermeneutics and the Twelve Gates of *Ludlul bēl nēmeqi*."

can mean *šâlu* "inquire, ask." Hence the line: *ištāla pīya* "my mouth inquired."<sup>143</sup> The listing of each of the gates in subsequent lines, each with a polysemous and/or paronomastic connection to what follows, constitutes a polysemy cluster.

As seen many times above, the Egyptian literati relished their abilities to create polysemous readings. Nevertheless, there does not appear to have been great interest in creating long, sustained polysemy clusters. Instead, Egyptians restricted the use of polysemes to one or two in sequence, and placed them prominently for particularly heightened effect, such as at the beginning and ends of lines. One exception to this is a sustained case of amphiboly in the Instructions of Ptahhotep that employs ambiguous grammatical structures. Since I discuss amphiboly below, I refer the reader to that section (4.1.14.1). Other rather pronounced exceptions to the dearth of polysemy clusters are the so-called "crossword" inscriptions, which provide two entirely different readings when read vertically or horizontally. Since these belong properly to the category of acrostics, I direct the reader to that section (4.1.12). Suffice it to say here that the inscriptions are quite remarkable for their linguistic and scriptorial skill.<sup>144</sup>

Though the scribes of Ugarit employed many kinds of polysemy, including a few cases of double polysemy (see 4.1.6 and 4.1.7), there is no evidence that they ever gathered them into sustained clusters, with the exception of idioms involving body parts, which I distinguish below (4.1.8.1). Even the polysemes that enhance El's speech to Kirtu in his dream do not follow closely upon each other.<sup>145</sup>

A classic polysemy cluster in Hebrew appears in Jacob's last testament: בַּן bēn pōrāt yōsēp bēn pōrāt 'alē 'āyin bānōt ṣā 'adāh 'ālē šūr "Joseph is a fruitful son by a spring, daughters run over the wall" (Gen 49:22). There are multiple polysemes in this line. The first are בַּ bēn and "த் bānōt, which literally mean "son" and "daughters," respectively, but also can be used figuratively for offspring or the offshoots of plants. The noun deța pōrāt can mean "fruitful" or "wild ass." Thus, we also may translate the line "Joseph is a wild ass, a wild ass by a spring, wild colts on a hillside" or "Joseph is a fruitful bough, a fruitful bough by a spring. Its branches run over a wall." When we also consider that we may render 'ayin as "well" or "eye" and 'būr as "wall" or "gaze," "de we can only be dazzled at the author's dexterity with words.

Equally adept is Isaiah's famous prophecy against the "drunkards of Ephraim" in Isa 28:13. After indicting them for their gluttony and drunkenness (28:1) and likening them to wilted flowers (28:1, 28:4), he castigates the priests and prophets as being so muddled by liquor that they mistake their hallucinations

<sup>143.</sup> Lenzi, "Scribal Hermeneutics and the Twelve Gates of Ludlul bel nemeqi," 739.

<sup>144.</sup> See J. J. Clère, "Acrostiches et mots croisés des anciens égyptiens," CdE 13 (1938):

<sup>35-58;</sup> Stewart, "Crossword Hymn to Mut."

<sup>145.</sup> See Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream."

<sup>146.</sup> These meanings were noted already by Rashi.

for divine visions. They even have befouled their tables with vomit and excrement (28:8). He then rhetorically asks to whom might Yahweh offer instruction, suggesting perhaps an infant who has just stopped breast feeding (28:9). Thereupon follows one of the most enigmatic lines in the Bible (28:10–11).

כִּי צַו לָצָו צַו לָצָו קַו לָקָו קַו לְקָו זְעֵיר שָׁם זְעֵיר שָׁם: כִּי בְּלַעָגֵי שְׁפָה וּבְלָשׁוֹן אָחֶרֶת יְדַבֵּר אֶל־הָעָם הַזָּה:

kī ṣaw lā-ṣāw ṣaw lā-ṣāw qaw lā-qāw zə ʿēr šām zə ʿēr šām kī bə-la ʿāgē śāpāh u-b-lāšōn ʾaheret yədabbēr ʾel hā-ʿām haz-zeh

<sup>147.</sup> Halpern, "Excremental Vision," offers a convenient survey of previously proposed options.

<sup>148.</sup> Vincent Tanghe, "Dichtung und Ekel in Jesaja XXVIII 7–13," VT 43 (1993): 235–60. Tanghe also observes that the LXX's θλῖψιν ἐπὶ θλῖψιν "affliction upon affliction" suggests that we render אַ גָּמש as אַב גָּמּד "affliction." He further proposes that עַ גָּמש in v. 13 be rendered יַנְי "rock," since a measuring line and stone are found elsewhere as a word pair (e.g., Isa 34:11, though there the word used is אַבָּן צֹּרַבּיּבּח).

<sup>149.</sup> See William W. Hallo, "Isaiah 28,9–13 and the Ugaritic Abecedaries," *JBL* 77 (1958): 324–38.

<sup>151.</sup> Thus, Joseph Blenkinsopp, *Isaiah 1–39: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*, AB 19 (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2000), 389, who sees the line as quoting the opposition by turning their own words against them. In effect they are presented with the sounds of another language: "such as the (to them) unintelligible Akkadian they are destined to hear in due course from their Assyrian conquerors."

 $q\bar{\imath}$ '), <sup>152</sup> thus referring back to the tables of filth (28:8); and (8) an imitation of the chirping sounds that necromancers made when practicing their craft. <sup>153</sup> Adding additional food for thought in 28:17, is Yahweh's promise to apply judgment "as a plumbline [קְּלָּקוֹ lɔ-qāw]." Moreover, it remains debated whether the passage continues Yahweh's castigation of the city's elite or if it constitutes the words of Isaiah's detractors against him.

Choosing a single interpretation is made impossible by verse 11, which bolsters each of the options: "Indeed, with a stammering lip and in a foreign (lit. 'another') tongue, is one who speaks to this people." The difficulty of verse 10 has encouraged J. J. M. Roberts to translate the line: "Doo-doo to doo-doo, doo-doo to doo doo, Yuk-yuk to yuk-yuk, yuk-yuk to yuk-yuk." It is no wonder that Isaiah states that the people cannot understand it (28:10). In fact, at least some members of the Qumran community as well as some early Christians understood the line as evidence of glossolalia. As a polysemous cluster, the passage leaves us spinning in contemplation. We must consider multiple options while deciding upon none.

Another exquisite polysemy cluster occurs in Job 29:20–23.

בְּבוֹדִי חָדָשׁ עִמְּדִי וְקְשְׁתִּי בְּיָדִי תַחֲלִיף: לִי־שָׁמְעוּ וְיִחֲלּוּ וְיִדְמוּ לְמוֹ עֲצֶתִי: אַחֲבִי דְבָרִי לֹא יִשְׁנוּ וְעֲלֵימוֹ תִּטֹּף מִלְתִי: וִיחֲלוּ כַמַּטֵר לִי וּפִיהֵם פַּעֵּרוּ לִמַלְקוֹשׁ:

kəbōdī ḥādāš ʿimmādī wə-qastī bə-yādī taḥălīp lī šāmʿū wə-yiḥēllū wə-yiddəmū ləmō ʿăṣātī ʾaḥărē dəbārī lōʾ yisnū wə-ʿālēmō tiṭṭōp̄ millātī wə-yiḥălū kam-māṭār lī ū-pīhem pā ʿărū lə-malqōš

My vigor refreshed, my bow תְּחֵלִיף <u>taḥǎlīp̄</u> in my hand. Men would listen to me and יְּחֲמֵלוּ wə-yiḥēllū. At my counsel they would wə-yidəmū.

<sup>152.</sup> J. A. Emerton, "Some Difficult Words in Isaiah 28:10 and 13," in *Biblical Hebrew, Biblical Texts: Essays in Memory of Michael P. Weitzman*, ed. Ada Rapoport-Albert and Gillian Greenberg, JSOTSup 333 (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 2001), 51–54; see also Hays, "Covenant with Mut," 234. Note that 1QIsa<sup>a</sup> reads צֵי לַצֵי לַצִי לַצִּי לַצִי לַצִי לַצִי לַצִי לַצִי לַצִּי לַצִי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִי לַצִי לַצִי לַצִי לַצִּי לַצִי לַצִּי לַצִי לַצִּי לַצִי לַצִּי לַּצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַצִּי לַּצִּי לַּי לַצִּי לַּצִּי לַּצִּי לַּי לַּצִּי לַּי לַצִּי לַּצִּי לַּצִּי לַבְּי לַּצִּי לַּצִּי לַּי לַּי לַּצִּי לַּצִּי לַּצִּי לַּצִּי לַצִּי לַּצְּי לְצִי לַּצְי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִי לַּי לִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִי לְצִּילְי לְצִּי לְצִּי לַבְּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לְצִּי לַבְיי לַבְּי לְצִּי לְצִילְ לְּילִּי לְּילִּי לְּילִּי לְצִּילְ לִּילְּילִּי לְּילִּילְ לִּילְּילִּי לְּילִּילִּי לְּילִּילְיי לְּילִּילִּי לְּילִּי לְּילִּילְיילִּילְּיילְיילִּילְיי לְּילִּילְילִּילְילִּיי לְּילִּילְיילִּילְיילִּילי

<sup>154.</sup> J. J. M. Roberts, *First Isaiah: A Commentary*, Hermeneia (Minneapolis, MN: Fortress, 2015), 348.

<sup>155.</sup> See O. Betz, "Zungenreden und süsser Wein: Zur eschatologischen Exegese von Jesaja 28 in Qumran und im Neuen Testament," in *Bibel und Qumran: Beiträge zur Erforschung der Beziehungen zwischen Bibel- und Qumran-wissenschaft: Hans Bartke zum 22.9.1966*, ed. S. Wagner (Berlin: Evangelische Haupt-Bibelgesel-schaft, 1968), 20–36.

After I spoke they did not ישנו  $yišn\bar{u}$ . My words אול  $titt\bar{o}\bar{p}$  upon them. They waited for me as for rain, for the late rain, their mouths open wide.

Representing the polysemy cluster in Aramaic is the famous "writing on the wall" that Belshazzar saw appear in his palace: מְנֵא מִנְא מִנְי מִנְי מְנְי מְנְא מִנְא מִנְא מִנְי מְנְי מִנְי מְנְי מִנְי מְנְי מִנְי מְנְי מְנְיּי מְנְיּי מְנְי מְנְיּי מְנְי מְנְי מְנְיּי מְנְיּי מְנְי מְנְיּי מְנְיּי מְנְיּי מְנְיּי מְנְיי

Encountering a polysemy cluster has an even more dizzying effect on the reading/listening process than double polysemy, since it multiplies exponentially the text's meanings and the relationships between the polysemes. The cluster

<sup>156.</sup> One of the publisher's anonymous reviewers has suggested the possibility that the *dagesh* in the *lamed* might reflect the Masoretes' attempt to preserve both meanings by creating an ungrammatical form. See similarly the remarks concerning Job 42:6 by Naphtali S. Meshel, "Dramatic Irony and Double Entendre in the Book of Job" [Hebrew], *Shnaton* 25 (2017): 134–35 and n. 80.

<sup>157.</sup> See Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*, 160–62; Marian Broida, "Textualizing Divination: The Writing on the Wall in Daniel 5:25," *VT* 62 (2012): 1–13.

<sup>158.</sup> The root פרס ברס p-r-s also could reflect the Akkadian  $par\bar{a}su$  "interpret, render (divine) judgment."

<sup>159.</sup> C. Clermont-Ganneau, "Mané, Thécel, Pharès, et le festin de Balthasar," *JA* 8 (1886): 36\_67

<sup>160.</sup> Wolters, "Riddle of the Scales in Daniel 5"; Wolters, "An Allusion to Libra in Daniel 5," in *Die Rolle der Astronomie in den Kulturen Mesopotamiens; Beiträge zum 3. Grazer Morgenländischen Symposium, 23.–27. September, 1991*, ed. Hannes D. Galter, GMS 3 (Graz: GrazKult, 1993), 291–306.

destabilizes the text to such a degree that it produces an enigma; hence its use in a divine missive to Utnapishtim and in Daniel for the cryptic writing on the wall. The clusters that produce the names of Marduk in Enuma Elish and gate names in Ludlul bēl nēmeqi also constitute divine mysteries. The polysemy cluster in Job reflects well Job's destabilized life and search for meaning. It is curious that Egyptian and Ugaritic texts have yielded no examples of the device, since they do contain cases of double polysemy. In the former, it may simply be that examples await discovery. In the latter, it may be that the device did not suit the extant corpus, which itself is rather small. In general, polysemy clusters can be effective aurally and/or visually.

### 4.1.8.1. POLYSEMY CLUSTERS OF BODY PARTS

A special type of polysemous cluster exploits the literal meanings of idioms containing body parts by using them in tandem with literal references to body parts as well as polysemes that suggest body parts.<sup>161</sup> The result is an assembly of human features that provides a subtext that reinforces key themes.<sup>162</sup>

In her study of a cuneiform oracular text from Ishchali, Maria de Jong Ellis observed a series of idiomatic and paronomastic references to different parts of the human body. <sup>163</sup> The oracle, which purports to transmit a promise of the goddess Kititum (Ishtar) to King Ibalpiel, reads as follows:

- 1–2 O King Ibalpiel! Thus the goddess Kititum!
- 3–4 The secrets  $[ni_5$ - $i_5$ -re-tum] of the gods are placed before me,
- 5–7 (and) because you even have the words [zi-ik-ru-um] of my name in your mouth [pi-ka],
- 7–8 I continually reveal the secrets of the gods for you.
- 9–13 At the advice of the gods, (and) by the command [ši-ip-ti] of Anu, the country is given you to rule
- 14–15 You will *loosen/ransom* [*ši-in ma-tim*] the *x* of the upper and lower country
- 16–17 (and) you will *amass/ransom* the riches of the upper and lower country.
- Your economy [ma-hi-ir-ka] will not diminish.

<sup>161.</sup> See Noegel, "Bodily Features as Literary Devices in the Hebrew Bible," 509–31; Karolien Vermeulen, "Hands, Heads, and Feet: Body Parts as Poetic Devices in Judges 4–5," *JBL* 136 (2017): 801–19.

<sup>162.</sup> For an outward use of body parts in a list, see the description of idols in Ps 115:4–8, where we hear of a mouth, eyes, ears, nose, hands, feet, and throat.

<sup>163.</sup> Maria de Jong Ellis, "The Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel: Oracle Texts from Ishchali," *MARI* 5 (1987): 235–61. I adopt her transliteration and translation in what follows. I note that de Jong Ellis credits William Hallo with some of the observations (245 n. 47). The text is in the Free Library of Philadelphia (= FLP 1674).

- 19–21 Whenever in the land your hand [qa-at-ka] has laid hold, the "food of peace" will be secure (for you/for it) [or: will enjoy the "food of peace."]
- 22–24 (And) I, Kititum, will strengthen the foundation of your throne.
- 24b-25 I have established a protective spirit for you.
- Be ready to hear me! (lit. "May your ear be available [li-ib-ba-ši-a-am] to me"!)

Though she did not discuss the feature in depth, de Jong Ellis drew attention to the oracle's use of paronomasia as a means of cataloging body parts. <sup>164</sup> For example, the text employs the noun *šipţu* "command" in line 10, which echoes *šaptu* "lip" and constitutes an orthographic reflection on *šipţu* "incantation." <sup>165</sup> In addition, the oracle mentions "your mouth" (*pīka*) in line 6, "your hand" (*qātka*) in line 19, and "your ear" (*uzunka*) in line 26. The phrase "loosen/ransom the land of" (*šēn mātim*) also contains a "(hidden) reference to 'teeth' on one and 'food' in the other, thus reinforcing the conceptual framework with concrete imagery." <sup>166</sup> To these references I add several more: the seven-fold use of the syllabic sign *ka* (appearing four times as the pronominal suffix *-ka*), which itself is a Sumerogram (KA) meaning "mouth," the allusion to *zikru* "penis" found in *zikrūm* "words" in line 5, and the use of *libbu* "inner body, heart," resounding in *libbašiam* "be available" (from *bašû*) in line 26.

The oracle is filled with orthographic and paronomastic devices that extend beyond the mention of body parts. For example, it treats  $mah\bar{u}rka$  in line 18 ambiguously as "your economy" or "your rival," and it offers an inclusio that connects by way of paronomasia nis-is-re-tum "secrets" (Il. 3, 7) and na-se2-er-tam "protective" (I. 25). In addition, the word for "secrets" in the phrase "secrets of the gods" employs a peculiar form (i.e., the arcane plural  $nisr\bar{e}tu$ ) and non-normative orthography in order to draw attention to the polysemous readings of the signs used to write it. De Jong Ellis notes that by writing the noun with the cuneiform sign nis = NE, the diviner also hints by way of allusion at the more common reading of nis as bi2, thus suggesting bisru ("physician's bag, commentary"), that is, "the tools (used in the activity) of the diviner." Indeed, throughout the oracle the diviner alludes to his craft.

It may be no accident that the person who composed the text chose to describe the source of the knowledge for the goddess' message by a term which in meaning and in spelling can be thought to contain allusions to the arts of the diviner. <sup>169</sup>

<sup>164.</sup> de Jong Ellis, "Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel," 263.

<sup>165.</sup> de Jong Ellis, "Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel," 245 n. 47.

<sup>166.</sup> de Jong Ellis, "Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel," 245.

<sup>167.</sup> Noted by de Jong Ellis, "Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel," 242.

<sup>168.</sup> de Jong Ellis, "Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel," 242.

<sup>169.</sup> de Jong Ellis, "The Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel," 243.

The inclusion of bodily features in Akkadian texts may be related to the Mesopotamian scribal practice of creating exhaustive lexical lists. Indeed, one such list, an Old Babylonian catalogue that lists parts of the human body, contains 270 entries.<sup>170</sup> This practice would provide a background for the oracle's sophisticated referencing (see 5.2.6). As de Jong Ellis observes, the oracle "draws on a number of different aspects of Mesopotamian scholarship and textual tradition," which serve "to validate the authenticity of the message itself." The oracle's hidden references to body parts, therefore, underscore the diviner's erudition, and thus establish his credentials. Moreover, the goddess' urging that the king make his ear available to her (i.e., listen closely to her words) in line 26 may draw attention to the presence of polysemy while establishing the rhetorical nature of her plea (see 2.1). 172

The Egyptian script makes wide use of body parts as consonants, ideographs, and determinatives. As a result it is difficult to know whether the clustering of body parts in Egypt is being manipulated for effect by the ancient scribes or simply the way a word or expression is naturally written. Nevertheless, some idiomatic clusters do appear deliberate. For example, in the Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor (l. 103), the sailor prefaces his remarks by saying tp-'s3h "before we could reach (land)." One sees in the phrase, which is written head, an arm, toes, and legs. The clever use of signs reinforces the loss of bodies that the ship would experience, as the sailor recalls: "then the ship died. Of those on board, not one survived" (ll. 106–107).

<sup>170.</sup> Indeed some omen collections use bodily features as an organizing principle. This has been observed, for example, in CT 38.1, where "the arrangement of omens 13–16 is based on logographic writings for parts of the body: 13: KA, 14: IGI.MEŠ 15: SAĜ, 16: ŠU.SI." Noted by Ann Guinan, "The Perils of High Living: Divinatory Rhetoric in *Šumma Ālu*," in *DUMU-E*<sub>2</sub>-*DUB-BA-A*: Studies in Honor of Ake W. Sjöberg, ed. Hermann Behrens et al., OPSNKF 11 (Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Museum, 1989), 234 n. 38.

<sup>171.</sup> de Jong Ellis, "Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel," 241, 243.

<sup>172.</sup> Calls to listen closely often comprise clues to the presence of polysemy and paronomasia, because they are intimately tied to ancient Near Eastern conceptions of divine wisdom. This was first suggested by Cyrus H. Gordon, "New Light on the Hebrew Language," *HebAbst* 15 (1974): 29. For a more complete discussion of the evidence, see Noegel, *Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job*, 136–39.

<sup>173.</sup> See Richter, Theology of Hathor of Dendera, 198.

foresection of a horse dangling its two legs ( $\hat{l}^{\text{lum}}$ ). Moreover, the leg of Osiris is associated with the inundation, which is alluded to further by the fish sign in *bs.t* and the lexeme *stj*, which paronomastically suggests *stl* "pour out."<sup>174</sup>

The Ugaritic corpus also contains examples of this device. In the Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.16.i.41–42, 46–48), we read:

- 41. *qḥ apk b yd*Take your nose in your hand,
- 42. [b]rltk bm ymn
  Your gorge in your right hand ...
- 46. *apnk ģzr ilḥu*Thereupon, the hero Ilḥu
- 47. [m]rḥh yiḥd b yd took his spear in his hands,
- 48. [g]rgrh bm ymn his lance in his right-hand.

As Watson espied, following the ritual in which the deity touches his nose and throat are mrh "spear," which suggests rh "nose," and grgr "lance," which also means "throat." To his observations, I add the clever use of apnk "thereupon," which resounds ap "nose." When considered in conjunction with the two-fold use of both yd "hand" and ymn "right hand," the clustering of body parts becomes obvious.

<sup>174.</sup> See Richter, Theology of Hathor of Dendera, 174–75.

<sup>175.</sup> Watson, "Puns Ugaritic Newly Surveyed," 124.

<sup>176.</sup> See Exod 4:1–17, Judg 3:12–30, 7:1–25, 1 Sam 5:1–6, Jon 2:3–10, Prov 6:1–35, and Prov 8:1–36, in Noegel, "Bodily Features as Literary Devices in the Hebrew Bible."

<sup>177.</sup> It appears in Judg 7:2 (2x), 7:6, 7:7, 7:8, 7:9, 7:11, 7:14, 7:15, 7:16, 7:19, 7:20 (2x).

(7:8). The combined impact of the numerous body parts, and the audible connection between יָד yāḍ "hand" and מִדְיָן miḍyān "Midian" intensifies the narrative's central theme that Yahweh has promised Gideon that he would deliver the Midianites into his hand (7:7).

In Aramaic, polysemy clusters involving body parts are best represented by the court tale in Dan 5. The account provides the perfect literary context for assembling body parts as it centers around the appearance of a man's hand that writes a mysterious cipher upon a wall. The first time the narrator introduces it as אַצבען דִי יִד־אָנִשׁ 'ɛṣbə ʿān dī yad 'ĕnāš "the fingers of the hand of a man" (5:5). Nevertheless, we are told that the king perceived it as פַס יָדָה pas yədah "the palm of the hand" (5:5). Later, it is again called פַּסָא דִי־יִדָא passā' dī yədā' "the palm of the hand" (5:24). A close look at the pericope reveals a number of other body parts that set the stage for the polysemes. These include: קָּטְרֵי חַרְצָה qiṭrē ḥarṣēh "joints of his loins" and ארכבתה 'arkubātēh "his knees" (5:6), repeated mention of אַנאָרָה sawwə rēh "his neck" (5:7, 5:16, 5:29 ["your neck"]) and יַיִּיהָי  $z\bar{\imath}w\bar{o}h\bar{\imath}$ "his face (lit. 'countenance')" (5:6, 5:9, 5:10), לבבה libəbēh "his heart" (5:20, 5:21, 5:22 ["your heart"]), and נְשֶׁמֶה gišmēh "his body" (5:21). Building upon these are קטרין "knots, enigmas" (5:12, 5:16), which recalls the "joints," לשניא  $li\check{s}\check{s}\bar{a}nayy\bar{a}$  "languages" (lit. "tongues") (5:19), and בידה  $b\bar{i}d\bar{e}h$  "in his power" (lit. "hand") (5:23). Note too how the verb שניק šānayīn "changed" in Dan 5:9 suggests "teeth" (cf. יַשְׁנַק šinnayīn "teeth" in 7:7), and how the verb יַשְטַבַּע yiştaba "wet" (5:21) recalls the noun "fingers." Given the concatenation of so many body parts and allusions to them, one cannot help but hear בידין bīdavīn "in hands" in the repeated introductory particle באדין bē 'dayīn "then" in various forms (5:3, 5:6, 5:8, 5:9, 5:13, 5:17, 5:24, 5:29). In addition, there are two other Aramaic words in the story that evoke body parts in Hebrew. The first is the verb רָשִׁים rašīm "writing" (5:24, 5:25), which resounds the noun ראַשִּים rā 'šīm "heads." The second is מאונים mo zanyā "scales" (5:27), which echoes the Hebrew אָנניִם *ŏznayīm* "ears." Given the bilingual nature of the book, such interplay between Aramaic and Hebrew should not surprise us. 180 The use of so many body parts and allusions to them adds importance to, even as it embodies, the notion of a man's hand writing hidden code.

The clustering of body parts differs from polysemy clusters in that it does not create an enigma. In fact, this kind of polysemy is unique in that only one of the meanings of each polyseme operates in the text, often as part of an idiom or metaphor, while the other, the literal meaning of the body part, is significant only as part of the collective. Thus, it is the cluster itself that is the most relevant

<sup>178.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 158.

<sup>179.</sup> The Aramaic term for "ear" is אָדְנָה 'ɛdnāh or 'ūdnā'.

<sup>180.</sup> See Bill T. Arnold, "The Use of Aramaic in the Hebrew Bible: Another Look at Bilingualism in Ezra and Daniel," *JNSL* 22 (1996): 1–16. On bilingual Aramaic-Akkadian polysemy in Daniel, see 4.1.7.

feature. Since readers/listeners cannot perceive the cluster without moving through a pericope, recognition of polysemy is delayed. Nevertheless, the purpose of the device may differ depending on the text. In the Akkadian exemplar, the litany of body parts underscores the erudition and authority of the author. In the Egyptian, Ugaritic, and biblical texts, the device reinforces key themes, some of which focus on body parts or their actions. Of course, one cannot rule out the notion that these same texts also represent a display of literary skill. In general, the device is effective aurally and visually.

#### 4.1.9. NUMERICAL POLYSEMY

Numerical polysemy occurs when the names of numbers are exploited for non-numerical meanings. Retrainly, the scribes of antiquity were as adept with numbers as they were with letters, as cases of isopsephy illustrate (see 4.1.10), and in many of the writing systems covered in this study, signs or consonants had numerical values. Moreover, throughout the ancient Near East one finds a "literary" interest in numbers. Retrained to the script of the s

The Mesopotamian literati sometimes exploited the numerical value of cuneiform signs to encode and empower names (see 4.1.10). They also used numerals to write the names of some of the main gods in their pantheon. Thus, the number 10 = Adad, 15 = Ishtar, 20 = Shamash, 30 = Sin, 40 = Ea, 50 = Enlil, and 60 = Anu (also 21). Arguably the most famous demonstration of the relationship between numbers and divine names is the tradition found in Enuma Elish that Marduk possessed fifty names, the last of which was *hanša* "Fifty" (7.143–144). Since the number fifty also represented the name Ea, Marduk's name represents the subsuming of his nature and power. Thus, at the end of Enuma Elish, Ea proclaims: "let him (Marduk) control the sum of all my rites, let him administer all my decrees" (7.141–142). Aside from the numerical values of gods' names, I know of no cases of numerical polysemy in Akkadian texts.

Egyptian scribes used specific signs for numbers one through ten, but for larger decimals they employed signs that also had phonetic and logographic values. Thus, the number one hundred (•) also could be read as the consonant w, 1000 as  $\frac{1}{2}h^3$  "lotus plant," 10,000 as  $\frac{1}{2}db$  "finger," 100,000 as  $\frac{1}{2}hh$ , one of eight hh-gods who holds the sky aloft. Nevertheless, while the name of the number and the object used to represent it glyphically were

<sup>181.</sup> Moshe Garsiel, "Punning upon the Names of the Letters of the Alphabet in Biblical Acrostics" [Hebrew], *BM* 39 (1994): 326.

<sup>182.</sup> See, e.g., Yitzhak Avishur, *Comparative Studies in Biblical and Ugaritic Languages and Literatures* (Tel Aviv-Jaffa: Archaeological Center, 2007), 84–107.

<sup>183.</sup> On "One" as a name of God in the Hebrew Bible (e.g., Deut 6:4, Zech 14:9, Job 23:13), see Cyrus H. Gordon, "His Name Is 'One," *JNES* 29 (1970): 198–99; Gordon, "The Seventh Day," *UF* 11 (1979): 299–301.

based on a similarity of sound, to my knowledge, authors did not have an interest in exploiting the numerical value of words or the logographic value of numbers. <sup>184</sup> On the other hand, they do show a great interest in numerical paronomasia, as I show below (4.2.7). <sup>185</sup>

A textbook example of numerical polysemy in Ugaritic appears in the Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.5.vi.18–20). When El first learns that Baal has died, the narrator describes his mourning ritual of self-mutilation:

- 18. *ydy psltm b y 'r*He cuts two incisions with a razor,
- 19. *yhdy lḥm w dqn*He cuts cheeks and beard.
- 20. *ytlt qn dr'h*He furrows the measure of his arm.

Here the poet follows the dual form *psltm* "two incisions" with two objects, *lhm w dqn* "cheeks and beard," and then with the verb *ytlt* "furrow," which derives from the word *tlt* "three." Thus, he deftly combines numerical polysemy with graded numerical parallelism known from other Ugaritic and biblical texts (e.g., *CAT* 1.5.v.8–9, Hos 6:2).<sup>186</sup>

An even more sustained demonstration occurs in the Ugaritic Epic of Kirtu. <sup>187</sup> Here again the device appears near numbers and/or numbered sequences, which creates a textual environment that the poet exploits for numerical polysemy. For instance, El commands Kirtu to make provisions that will last five (*lmš*) or six (*tdt*) months (*CAT* 1.14.ii.30–31). He then describes Kirtu's army as "soldiers beyond number, archers beyond count" (*CAT* 1.14.ii.37–38), who "march by the thousand [*alpm*] (like) a downpour, in myriads [*rbt*] like the early rains" (*CAT* 1.14.ii.39–40). Following the description is the counting of marchers: "after two

<sup>184.</sup> One possible exception to the former is the cryptographic writing of numerals on cubit rods that date to at least the New Kingdom. See G. Priskin, "Cryptic Numerals on Cubit Rods," *GM* 192 (2003): 61–66. The number seven also appears to have been of cryptic interest to the Egyptians for unknown reasons. See Warren R. "Dawson, The Number 'Seven' in Egyptian Texts," *Aegyptus* 8 (1927): 27–107.

<sup>185.</sup> See, e.g., the Prayer to Thoth for Skill in Writing (P.Anastasi V 9.2), which cleverly begins "Come to me, Thoth, noble ibis, the god who desires Hermopolis, the letter-scribe of the Ennead." Here the site of Hermopolis, written as 8-nw (i.e., hmnw), is followed by the Ennead (psd.t), which means "9," thus providing a well-attested parallelism in which the B-line contains a larger number than the A-line. Hermopolis (lit. "Eight-town") was named after the Ogdoad, a group of eight primordial deities whose cults were centered there. The Ennead was a group of nine primordial deities associated with Heliopolis. While this line exploits the numerical value of the Ennead, it does not constitute numerical polysemy.

<sup>186.</sup> On graded numerical parallelism, see Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 145.

<sup>187.</sup> Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream," 299-316.

[tn], two [tn] will march, after three [tlt], all of them" (CAT 1.14.ii.41–42). A numerical theme continues in column iii with the description of the march according to a seven day typology: "march a day, and then a second [tn], a third [tlt], and a fourth [rb'], a fifth day [tmš], and a sixth [tdt], then at sunrise on the seventh [šb']" (CAT 1.14.iii.2–4). After attacking the environs of Udum, El then instructs Kirtu to "halt, a day and a second [tn], a third [tlt] day, and a fourth [rb'], a fifth [tmš] day, and a sixth [tdt] ... then (to proceed) at sunrise on the seventh [šb']" (CAT 1.14.iii.10–12, 14–15).

The concatenation of so many numerical references within such a short pericope offers a rich context for numerical polysemy. Thus, in *CAT* 1.14.ii.2–3, Kirtu mentions a "charioteer [tlt, lit. 'third'] with chariot horses," a phrase that repeats twice more within the narrative frame (*CAT* 1.14.iii.24, 36). Note similarly the description of Kirtu's army as containing tlt mat rbt "a million charioteers" (*CAT* 1.14.ii.36). After describing the march of the soldiers, El tells Kirtu that "the sole survivor will lock his house," using yhd (lit. "only") for "sole survivor," but when the narrator describes the fulfillment of this event (*CAT* 1.14.iv.21), he uses ahd "one." The archers are referred to as tnn, a noun that naturally evokes the number tn "two," as the second man in the chariot. Note too the use of tn for "another man" (lit. "second") in *CAT* 1.14.ii.48, which, as noted above, also serves a polysemous function. The use of numerical polysemy gives the orthographic impression that the text's soldiers, like its numbers, are beyond count.

Numerical polysemy in Hebrew appears in Qoh 4:8–12.

יֵשׁ אֶחֶד וְאֵץ שַׁנִי גַּם בֵּן וְאָח אֵין־לוֹ וְאֵין קֵץ לְכָל־עֲמָלוֹ גַּם־בֵּינְיוֹ [עִינוֹ] לא־תִשְׂבֵּע עשֶׁר וּלְמִי וּ אֲנִי עָמֵל וּמְחַפֵּר אֶת־נַפְשִׁי מִטוֹבְה גַּם־יָה הָבֶל וְעִנְיַן רָע הוּא: טוֹבִים הַשְּׁנִים מַן־הָאֶחָד אֲשֶׁר יֵש־לְהֶם שָּׁכָר טוֹב בַּעֲמְלְם: כִּי אִם־יִפְּלוּ הָאֶחָד יָקִים אֶת־חֲבִרוֹ וְאִילוֹ הָאֶחָד שֻׁיִּפּוֹל וְאֵין שֵׁנִי לְהָקִימוֹ: גַם אִם־יִשְׁכְּבוּ שְׁנַיִם וְחָם לְהֶם וּלְאֶחָד אֵיךְ יֵחָם: וְאֵם אִם־יִתְּקְפּוֹ הָאֶחָד הַשְׁנִים יַעַּמְדוּ נָגְדּוֹ וְהַחוּט הַמְשַׁלְשׁ לֹא בִמִהַרָה יִנָּתַק:

yēš ʾeḥād wə-ʾēn šēnī gam bēn wā-ʾāḥ ʾēn lō
wə-ʾēn qēṣ lə-kol ʿămālō gam ʿēnāw [ʿēnō] lōʾ tiśbaʿ ʿōšer
ū-l-mī ʾănī ʿāmēl ū-mḥassēr ʾeṭ-napšī miṭ-ṭōbā gam-zeh hebel wə-ʿinyan rāʿ hūʾ ṭōbām haš-šənayīm min-hā-ʾeḥād ʾăšer yēš lā-hem śākār ṭōb ba-ʿămālām kī ʾim yippōlū hā-ʾeḥād yāqīm ʾeṭ ḥăbērō wə-ʾīlō hā-ʾeḥād šey-yippōl wə-ʾēn šēnī la-hăqīmō
gam ʾim yiškəbū šənayīm wə-ḥam lāhem ū-lʾeḥād ʾēk yēḥām
wə-ʾim yitqəpō hā-ʾeḥād haš-šənayīm ya ʿamdū negdō wə-ha-ḥūṭ ha-məšullāš lōʾ

The case of one person [אֶּחְדּ °ɛḥād], with no companion [שַׁנִּי šēnī], who has neither son nor brother.

<u>b</u>i-mhērāh yinnā<u>t</u>ēq

Yet he amasses wealth without limit, and his eye is never sated with riches [-לאר] אַ וּ תַּשְּׁבֵּע עִשֶּׁר  $i\bar{\delta}$  '  $i\bar{\delta}$ '

For whom, now, is he amassing it while denying himself enjoyment. That too is a futility and unhappy business.

The two [הְשָׁנֵים  $ha\check{s}-\check{s}anay\bar{t}m$ ] are better off than the one [הָאֶחָד  $h\bar{a}-\check{\epsilon}h\bar{a}d$ ], in that they have greater benefit from their earnings.

For should they fall, the one [דּהָאֶּקְה h̄a-ʾɛh̄ād] can raise his friend; but woe to the one who is alone [אַנָּין h̄a-ʾɛh̄ād] and falls with no companion [שַׁנִּי š̄ēnī] to raise him!

Further, when two [שְׁנֵיִם s̄ənaȳm] lie together they are warm; but how can one alone [אַתָּד] get warm?

The different uses of numerical polysemy depend upon the writing system employed. In Akkadian, cuneiform signs used for writing divine names also had numerical values, so that when one wrote a certain number one could evoke the name of a god. In Ugaritic and Hebrew, the device takes advantage of the names of numbers for nonnumerical meanings. In both, authors exploit literary contexts that contain literal references to numbers. In Akkadian, numerical polysemy is ensconced in a scribal tradition of secrecy and the knowledge of divine wisdom. Only informed readers could access the meaning of the device; listeners would only hear the name of the god when the text was recited. In Ugaritic and Hebrew, the effect on the reader and listener is the same—a sense of numerical abundance, as if the text is multiplying numbers as it unfolds. It is primarily a visual device.

<sup>189.</sup> Noegel, "Wordplay' in Qoheleth," 1–28.

### 4.1.10. ISOPSEPHY

Another form of polysemy that involves numbers is isopsephy (called gematria in early Jewish parlance). 190 Isopsephy involves reading the consonants that comprise a word for their numerical values (and in Greek and Latin, also the vowels). Nevertheless, some Akkadian signs doubled as numbers, and there is a great deal of interest among Mesopotamian scribes in line and tablet counts<sup>191</sup> much like the Israelite סופרים sōparīm "scribes" (lit. "counters"). 192 Indeed, some Akkadian scribes encoded their names numerically in colophons. <sup>193</sup> For example, one text records the signs 21.35.35.26.44.A.21.11.20.42. This permits the following equations: 21 = Anu, 35.35 = abu, 26 = GUR (=  $t\hat{a}ru$ ), and 44 = ri. In total, the numerical values constitute isopsephy for the name Anu-abu-uttirri. 194 Another case of isopsephy in an Akkadian colophon reads: NU.MUD. 21.33.20. LID.30. NAGAR. Decoded, the line reads NU = Akkadian la,  $MUD = p\bar{a}lih$ , 21 = Anu,  $33 = z\bar{e}ru$ ,  $20 = \tilde{s}u$ , LID.30.NAGAR = lihliq, thus producing the reading: la pālih Anu zēršu lihliq "may Anu destroy the seed of the irreverent." 195 These are just two examples from several that Erle Leichty has brought to our attention, and since his seminal publication additional examples of numerical cryptography

<sup>190.</sup> For the earliest uses of ἰσόψηφος "isopsephos," see the epigrams of Leonidas of Tarentum (third century BCE), found in *Anthologia Graeca* 6.321. The term was later used in dream interpretation; see Artemidorus Daldianus, *Oneirocritica* 3.34, 4.24 (second century CE). The rabbinic term *gemaṭria* derives from the Greek γεωμετρία "geometry," and relates to the manipulation of numbers. See Franz Dornseiff, *Das Alphabet in Mystik und Magie* (Leipzig: Tübner, 1925), 91–118; Shmuel Sambursky, "On the Origin and Significance of the Term *Gematria*," *JJS* 29 (1978): 35–38.

<sup>191.</sup> Note similarly, the observation by Collins, "Kilamuwa Inscription," 188, that the Phoenician inscription has a verse structure of twenty-two lines in accordance with number of letters in the alphabet. Also representative is the end of some of Sennacherib's historical inscriptions, e.g., [1 UŠ 11.TA.ÀM] MU.DIDLI MU.SAR-e ITI.si-bu-ti li-mu MUATI-ZU LÚ.GAR.KUR URU.LÍMMU-DIĜIR "the line count of the inscription is [71] (lines). Sibūti, eponymy of Nabû-lē'i, governor of the city of Arbela." See Grayson and Novotny, Royal Inscriptions of Sennacherib, 47, 1. 72.

<sup>192.</sup> Jacob Bazak, "Numerical Devices in Biblical Poetry," *VT* 38 (1988): 333–37, offers several examples of biblical texts that exploit the number of words in a passage.

<sup>193.</sup> Leichty, "Colophon," 152-53.

<sup>194.</sup> See, e.g., Leichty, "Colophon," 152.

<sup>195.</sup> Leichty, "Colophon," 152-53.

have come to light.<sup>196</sup> As Hermann Hunger remarks: "The preferred place for scribes to play around with the writing system is the colophon." <sup>197</sup>

Mesopotamian scribes did not restrict such devices to colophons. It is by way of isopsephy that Sargon II (727–707 BCE) could claim that he built the walls of the city of Khorsabad to reach 16,283 cubits so that its size corresponded to the numerical value of his name. Moreover, isopsephy was employed as a hermeneutic in commentaries. 199

While Egyptian hieroglyphic signs had both alphabetic and logographic values, they did not do double duty to render numbers, and therefore, one does not find isopsephy in Egyptian texts. Similarly, there is no evidence that Ugaritic signs doubled as numbers. Hence, the lack of evidence for isopsephy in Ugaritic.

As discussed above, the alphabet and numbers were intimately connected in ancient Israel. Thus the first letter aleph = one, bet = two, and so on up to ten; subsequent numbers hold values of ten, that is, twenty, thirty, forty, et cetera, and then of hundreds after one-hundred. Yet, how ancient was this correlation? The earliest discussion of isopsephy in the Hebrew Bible occurs in rabbinic texts, where the device is called gematria. Consequently, scholars debate whether the proposed cases represent later eisegetical readings or exegetical traditions passed on from an earlier age. Evidence for the latter comes from Akkadian texts, like those I discussed above, that employ isopsephy as an exegetical tool. Additional evidence comes from some biblical acrostics, which appear to reflect an awareness of the consonants' numerical values, in addition to an abecedary and

\_

<sup>196.</sup> Kurt Jaritz, "Geheimschriftsysteme im alten Orient," AM 8 (1966): 11–15; C. J. Gadd, "Omens Expressed in Numbers," JCS 21 (1967): 52–63; Hermann Hunger, Babylonische und assyrische Kolophone, AOAT 2 (Kevelaer: Butzon u. Berker; Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag des Erziehungsvereins, 1968); Hunger, "Kryptographische astrologische Omina," 133–45; Pearce, Cuneiform Cryptography; Andrew R. George, "Babylonian Texts from the Folios of Sidney Smith, Part Two: Prognostic and Diagnostic Omens, Tablet I," RA 85 (1991): 137–67, esp. 147; Matthew T. Rutz, "Textual Transmission between Babylonia and Susa: A New Solar Omen Compendium," JCS 58 (2006): 86; Jeremiah Peterson, "A New Source for Diri Tablet 7," NABU (2007): 5–6.

<sup>197.</sup> Hermann Hunger, "Playful Writings in Cuneiform Colophons," in *Haim M. I. Gevaryahu: Memorial Volume*, ed. Joshua J. Adler, vol. 2 (Jerusalem: World Jewish Bible Center, 1990), 34.

<sup>198.</sup> Weidner, "Geheimschrift"; Andreas Fuchs, *Die Inschriften Sargons II. aus Khorsabad* (Göttingen: Cuvillier Verlag, 1993), 42:65, pp. 294–295: ŠÁR ŠÁR ŠÁR ŠÁR GÉŠ + *u* GÉŠ + *u* GÉS + *u* 1 UŠ 3 *qa-ni* 2 KÙŠ (*ammati*) *nibīt šumīya mišīḥti dūrīšu aškunma eli aban šadê zaqri ušaršidma temmēnšu* "I made the measure of its wall 16,283 cubits, (equaling) my name, and established the foundation platform upon the bedrock of the high mountain."

<sup>199.</sup> Frahm, Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries, 76–79.

<sup>200.</sup> Lieberman, "A Mesopotamian Background for the So-Called Aggadic 'Measures' of Biblical Hermeneutics?"; Tigay, "Early Technique of Aggadic Exegesis."

the names of the consonants (see the discussion of Prov 31:21 below [4.2.7]). Nevertheless, the acrostics do not offer definitive proof. Indeed, solid evidence for isopsephy does not exist in Israel until the start of the first century BCE, where it appears on coins. <sup>201</sup> During the monarchic period, evidence from ostraca shows that the Israelites used the Egyptian hieratic numeral system. <sup>202</sup> Later the Mesopotamian sexigesimal system was adopted. This has suggested to some that Hebrew isopsephy represents an adaptation of later Greek practice. <sup>203</sup> P. R. Weiss suggested the existence of isopsephy in the War Scroll from Qumran, <sup>204</sup> but his proposal has been held in reservation or rejected. <sup>205</sup>

On the other hand, it is possible that in early Israel isopsephy was purely an exegetical device employed in elite circles, and so perhaps we should not expect to find it on common objects. <sup>206</sup> Indeed, the most ancient proposals for isopsephy in the Bible are exegetical in nature. Thus, b. Ned. 32a states that the 318 soldiers who battled with Abram against the kings of the east (Gen 14:14) is an isopsephy

<sup>201.</sup> See the coins of Alexander Jannaeus minted in the twenty-fifth (i.e., כה) year of his rule in paleo-Hebrew script.

<sup>202.</sup> Yohanan Aharoni, "The Use of Hieratic Numerals in Hebrew Ostraca and the Shekel Weights," *BASOR* 184 (1966): 13–19; Ivan Tracy Kaufman, "New Evidence for Hieratic Numerals on Hebrew Weights," *BASOR* 188 (1967): 39–41. There also is an ostracon found in Israel that contains only Egyptian numerals and some hieratic logograms with Hebrew words, which suggests that the entire text was read in Hebrew. See Shemuel Yeivin, "Studies in Comparative Egypto-Semitic," *Kêmi* 6 (1969): 63–80.

<sup>203.</sup> See Georges Ifrah, From One to Zero: A Universal History of Numbers (New York: Viking Penguin, 1985), 267–70.

<sup>204.</sup> Pinkas R. Weis, "The Date of the Habakkuk Scroll," JQR 41 (1950): 149 n. 79. The proposal entails reading the three highlighted consonants in 1QM IV, 3–4: מעמד בעבורת hdl m'md rš ym bgbwrt "ceased is the stand of the wicked by the might (of God)," as 8 + 40 + 2 = 50, and thus as an isopsephy for the company of fifty that goes to war against the sons of darkness. However, isopsephy is typically not so selective with regard to which letters count across word boundaries but instead counts all the consonants of a single word. For additional suggestions of isopsephy in the texts from Qumran, see Driver, "Playing on Words," 127.

<sup>205.</sup> For reservations, see William H. Brownlee, "Biblical Interpretation among the Sectaries of the Dead Sea Scrolls," BA 14 (1951), 71 n. 44; Fishbane, "Qumran Pesher and Traits of Ancient Hermeneutics," 97–114. Rejections include: Shani I. Berrin, "Qumran Pesharim," in Biblical Interpretation at Qumran, ed. Matthias Henze (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2005), 110–33; Daniel A. Machiela, "The Qumran Pesharim as Biblical Commentaries: Historical Context and Lines of Development," DSD 19 (2012): 313–62. 206. Note the observation of Abraham Winitzer, "Assyriology and Jewish Studies in Tel Aviv: Ezekiel among the Babylonian Literati," in Encounters by the Rivers of Babylon: Scholarly Conversations between Jews, Iranians and Babylonians in Antiquity, ed. Uri Gabbay and Shai Secunda, TSAJ 160 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2014), 163–216, that the numerical value of the consonants in the expression high space with the sexpectation of Siege" in Ezek 4:8 adds up to 390, the very figure appearing in Ezek 4:9.

for Abram's servant Eliezar, whose name equals 318.<sup>207</sup> Another proposal has been the name Gad in Gen 46:16, because its numerical value is seven, and the name appears in a chapter replete with sevens (including the number of his sons) and multiples of sevens.<sup>208</sup>

In more recent times there have been additional proposals. Addison Wright has drawn our attention to a number of cases in Qoheleth,  $^{209}$  though they have been met with some skepticism.  $^{210}$  Rüdiger Heinzerling has argued that "using a key layed down in the context and a number of statistical observations," one can decode the exaggerated census totals in Num 1 and 26 by isopsephy to produce the formula: "Yahweh is One," as well as the number forty, a reference to the years of Israel's wandering.  $^{211}$  Casper Labuschagne and Israel Knohl have suggested that some texts, particularly psalms, contain stanzas of twenty-six words (or fifty-two, its double) in order to reflect the name Yahweh (i.e., Y+H+W+H=10+5+6+5=26).  $^{212}$ 

Whether one sees isopsephy at work in the Hebrew Bible depends to a large extent on how one weighs the comparative evidence from Mesopotamia and the limited evidence from biblical acrostics, as well as to what degree one sees the practice in rabbinic texts as a continuance of older hermeneutic traditions.

Isopsephy in Aramaic is represented by the well-known proclamation in Rev 13:18 concerning the name of the beast (666, var. 616). Lying behind the New

<sup>207.</sup> Tigay, "Early Technique of Aggadic Exegesis," 179–80; Fishbane, *Biblical Interpretation in Ancient Israel*, 464; Gideon Bohak, "Bereshit Reshit in Gematria: New Sources for the Study of the Jewish Esoteric Tradition in the Talmudic and Gaonic Periods" [Hebrew], *Tarbiz* 83 (2015): 513–29. The notion that 318 represents a similar case of isopsephy in the Epistle to Barnabas, has been refuted by Reidar Hvalvik, "Barnabas 9.7–9 and the Author's Supposed Use of *Gematria*," *NTS* 33 (1987): 276–82.

<sup>208.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," 969.

<sup>209.</sup> For example, דְּבְּרֵי "words of" has the numerical value of 216, the number of verses in the book, excluding the epilogue. For this and others, see Addison G. Wright, "The Riddle of the Sphinx: The Structure of the Book of Qoheleth," *CBQ* 30 (1968): 313–34; Wright, "The Riddle of the Sphinx Revisited: Numerical Patterns in the Book of Qoheleth," *CBQ* 42 (1980): 38–51; Wright, "Additional Numerical Patterns in Qoheleth," *CBQ* 45 (1983): 32–43.

<sup>210.</sup> See Choon Leong Seow, *Ecclesiastes: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*, AB 18C (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1997), 44.

<sup>211.</sup> Rüdiger Heinzerling, "Bileams Rätsel: Die Zählung der Wehrfähigen in Numeri 1 und 26," ZAW 111 (1999): 415. Cf. Ps 136, which contains twenty-six verses, thus totaling the numerical value of the name Yahweh.

<sup>212.</sup> Casper Labuschagne, "Significant Compositional Techniques in the Psalms: Evidence for the Use of Number as an Organizing Principle," *VT* 59 (2009): 583–605. See also Ronald Youngblood, "Divine Names in the Book of Psalms: Literary Structures and Number Patterns," *JANES* 19 (1989): 171–81; Israel Knohl, "Sacred Architecture: The Numerical Dimensions of Biblical Poems," *VT* 62 (2012): 189–97.

Testament passage is the Aramaic form of the name נרון קסר "Neron Caesar," i.e., 50+200+6+50+100+60+200=666 (var. נרו קסר "Nero Caesar," i.e., 50+200+6+100+60+200=616). Isopsephy would live on as a hermeneutical practice and would continue to be adopted by later Jewish, Samaritan, and Christian exegetes, who would expand its applications. The same statement of the s

Generally speaking, isopsephy is a visual, not an aural, device. One cannot readily unpack the numerical values of signs and words when a text is recited. Indeed, isopsephy is a learned device, hermeneutical and/or didactic in purpose, and at home in scholarly circles where such matters could be contemplated and discussed. It is essentially a form of cryptography.

# 4.1.11. *NOTARIQON*

Notariqon (also called acronymy or etymography) is the practice of explaining or deriving the meaning of a word by reading the first consonant of each word (or signs used to write the word) successively so that they spell out an entirely different word or sentence.<sup>215</sup> As Stefan Maul observes, one finds *notariqon* in Akkadian texts mainly as a hermeneutical tool, and often working across languages, that is, Akkadian and Sumerian.<sup>216</sup> Thus, one commentary interprets the Sumerian KISIM<sub>5</sub> "sour milk" (= Akkadian *kisimmu*) as meaning a "shepherd's pen," by breaking it up into the Sumerian signs KI "deep place" (=

<sup>213.</sup> The variant is derived by using the Hebrew/Aramaic alphabet to render the Latin form of his name. Josef Schmidt, "Die Rätselzahl 666 in Offb 13:18 Ein Lösungsversuch auf der Basis lateinischer Gematrie," *NT* 44 (2002): 35–54, argues that the isopsephy here spells the name Claudius when based on the Latin numeral system.

<sup>214.</sup> See Dornseiff, *Das Alphabet in Mystik und Magie*, 91–118; Robert K. Johnston, *The Christian at Play* (Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983); Joel Kalvesmaki, *Formation of the Early Christian Theology of Arithmetic Number Symbolism in the Late Second Century and Early Third Century* (PhD diss., Catholic University of America, 2006); David Derovan, et al., "Gematria," *EncJud* 1:424–27; Mark Kiley, "Three More Fishes (John 21:11)," *JBL* 127 (2008): 529–31.

<sup>215. &</sup>quot;Notariqon" derives from the word νοτάριος "shorthand writer, secretary," which in turn derives from Latin *notarius* "notary." See Athanasius, *Apologia ad Constantium imperatorem* 2035.011 (fourth century CE); Johannes Chrysostum, *Ad Innocentium papam* 2062.094 (fourth–fifth century CE). On the use of "etymography" see Assmann, "Etymographie," 37–63; Frahm, *Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries*, 70.

<sup>216.</sup> See Stefan M. Maul, "Das Wort im Worte, Orthographie und Etymologie als hermeneutische Verfahren babylonischer Gelehrter," in *Commentaries—Kommentare* ed. Glenn W. Most, AKSP 4 (Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1999), 15–18; Illya Vorontsov, "Material und Wesen des Mittleren Himmels," *NABU* (2008): 27, has discovered *noṭariqon* in *KAR* 307 in reference to the stone named *saggilmud*. The *noṭariqon* associates it with Middle Heaven.

Akkadian *tarbaṣu* "pen") and SI (= Akkadian *rē ʾûti*) "sheep."<sup>217</sup> In another commentary, we find the following interpretation, again based on *noṭariqon*: *ḫurda-ti: ḫur-ri da-di, da-du: ma-ra* "(the term) 'Vulva' (*ḫurdatu*) means 'cavity of the loved-one' (*ḫurri dādi*), where 'loved-one" (*dādu*) means 'son' (*māru*)."<sup>218</sup>

In some cases, a Sumerian reading of a logogram was used to provide an Akkadian etymology. Thus, in a magico-medical commentary, we find:

SAḤAR: eperi: SAḤAR: saḥar u ṣaḥar ištēnma "(the sign read as) SAḤAR (in Sumerian means) 'dust' (in Akkadian, but note also concerning) SAḤAR (that) saḥar and (the Akkadian) ṣaḥar 'small child' are one." (N11-T3)

Here the commentary uses the similarity in sound between the Sumerian reading of the logogram for "dust" (SAḤAR) and the Akkadian *ṣaḥar* "small child" to suggest that dust played a role in bringing forth the baby.<sup>219</sup>

Jiménez has pointed out that *notariqon* also is employed in Akkadian disputation poems, where: "decoding the origin of a word is not simply an exercise in etymology: inasmuch as the names are related to the inner nature of things, etymology is a true epistemological endeavor." In this context, *notariqon* has an appellative function that also seeks to ascertain the true essence of a person, place, or thing.

In chapter 2, I presented a particularly striking case of *notariqon* in Egyptian (see 2.4.3). However, such cryptic writing was rather widespread, especially on monumental inscriptions of later periods. The Egyptian temple of Esna offers a number of fine examples, each of which offers a cryptographic reading of the name of a god, for example, Heka, Isis, Khnum, Menhyt, Nebetu, Neith, and Osiris. For example, the name of the god Khnum is written as The On the surface, one may read the inscription as hpr.w ntr mrj "the beloved divine being." However, if one reads just the first consonant of each of the logographic signs it yields h + n + m = hnm "Khnum."

To date, no examples of *notariqon* have been found in Ugaritic texts. Either examples await discovery or the device was not employed.

<sup>217.</sup> The commentary focuses on the forty-first pirsu-section of Aa (= Ea 8.3), 11. 3-4.

<sup>218.</sup> The commentary elaborates the meaning of the text entitled ÉN MUNUS Ù.TU.UD.DA.A.NI "Incantation for a Woman in Labor," Il. 42–43. See now Gabbay, "Akkadian Commentaries from Ancient Mesopotamia and Their Relation to Early Hebrew Exegsis," 287–89; discussed also by Frahm, *Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries*, 71.

<sup>219.</sup> Found in Frahm, Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries, 73.

<sup>220.</sup> Jiménez, "As Your Name Indicates," 88.

<sup>221.</sup> Cited in Jochen Hallof, "Esna," in *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*, ed. Willeke Wendrich (Los Angeles, CA: UCLA, 2011), 10. By this time, the phonemes h and h had become interchangeable.

Notariqon in the Hebrew Bible has been proposed for Jeremiah's use of the phrase "temple of Yahweh," which he repeats verbatim three times followed by הַּמְּה  $h\bar{e}mm\bar{a}h$  "these" (Jer 7:4). The odd line has encouraged some to conclude that the three consonants that comprise הַמְּה  $h\bar{e}mm\bar{a}h$  (i.e., h+m+h) are an acronym for הַמְּקוֹם הַנָּוֹף [h]am-[m] $aq\bar{o}m$  [h]az-zeh "this place." Like isopsephy, notariqon is more common in later rabbinic texts and talismans, where it bears a performative and/or ritual function. $^{223}$ 

Another example appears in Esther's statement to the Persian king: יְבוֹאּ  $[y]\bar{a}\underline{b}\bar{o}$  [h]am-mɛlɛkַ [w] $\partial$ -hāmān [h]ay-yōm "let the king and Haman come today" (Esth 5:4). The initial consonants of each of these words (i.e., יהוה yhwh) spell out the divine name Yahweh, which otherwise appears nowhere in the book. 224

Some authors use notariqon for appellative purposes. Thus, Gen 16:11 combines שָׁמָע  $\check{s}\bar{a}ma$  "he heard" and יהוה "Yahweh" to name יִּשְׁמְעֵאל  $\check{v}\check{s}\bar{m}a$  " $\check{e}$  "Ishmael," Gen 17:4–5 reads אַבְּרָהָם ' $\check{a}b\bar{r}a\bar{h}\bar{a}m$  "Abraham" as the conjunction of  $\check{a}b$  "father" and הְּמוֹן hamōn "many (nations)," Gen 31:48 explains the place name אָב gal "gal" gal" gal

Notariqon continued in Aramaic as an exegetical tool. Thus, b. Shab. 77b explains the difficult Aramaic word מתוכילתא מתוכילתא mtwkylt' by way of notariqon: אימתי תכלי דא 'ymty tkly d' "When will it end?" Elsewhere the rabbis use notariqon to render the Hebrew word אַלֶּם "illēm "dumb" in Ps 38:14 into Aramaic: אַלֶּם 'yštqyl mylwlyh "his speech has been removed" (b. Ḥag. 2b [= Git. 71a]).

In Akkadian and Egyptian texts, *notariqon* is primarily a visual device, because it operates on the level of the sign. Indeed, it constitutes a form of cryptography. However, in Hebrew and Aramaic texts, one can detect *notariqon* visually, but aurally as well, especially if one is attentive to the paronomastic rendering that derives from the device. This is because *notariqon* employed in these scripts operates on the level of the consonant. The visual and aural effect of *notariqon* generally is one of metonymization. Its function is primarily hermeneutic or perhaps didactic.

<sup>222.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T."; though Alan D. Corré, " $\bar{e}lle$ ,  $h\bar{e}mma = sic$ ," Bib 54 (1973): 263–64, argues that הַּמְּה  $h\bar{e}mm\bar{a}$  is an equivalent to sic.

<sup>223.</sup> See Lieberman, "Mesopotamian Background for the So-Called Aggadic 'Measures' of Biblical Hermeneutics?"; Tigay, "Early Technique of Aggadic Exegesis."

<sup>224.</sup> B. Beitzel, "Exodus 3:14 and the Divine Name: A Case of Biblical Paronomasia," *TrinJ* n.s. 1 (1980): 7–8.

<sup>225.</sup> These examples of *notariqon*, and many more from talmudic and midrashic texts, appear in Waldburg, *Methods of (Hermeneutical) Transformations*, article 4, section 1.

# 4.1.12. ACROSTICS (ALSO TELESTICHS, MESOSTICHS, AND MENOSTICHS)

An acrostic is a form of polysemy that works by reading vertically the initial letter or sign of the first word in successive lines. 226 Since each of the lines also bears meanings horizontally, we may consider an acrostic a structural form of polysemy. A telestich is an acrostic that reads the final letters or signs of successive lines. A mesostich is an acrostic that reads the middle consonants of a word (on this form see below under Transposition 4.1.13). When an acrostic, telestich, or mesostich spells out a name, word, or sentence it is called a menostich. Though telestichs and menostichs are more frequently attested in Akkadian and Egyptian texts than in the Hebrew Bible, 227 a few do appear. The examples illustrated here anticipate the long history that acrostics would have in later Greek and Latin literature. 228

<sup>226.</sup> ἀκροστιχίς "acrostic" first occurs in Dionysius of Halicarnassus, *Ant. Rom.* 4.62 (first century BCE–first century CE). Cicero, *Div.* 2.54.111 (first century BCE), does not employ the word, but describes the device.

<sup>227.</sup> Clère, "Acrostiches et mots croisés des anciens égyptiens"; Stewart, "Crossword Hymn to Mut"; William Michael Soll, "Babylonian and Biblical Acrostics," *Bib* 69 (1988): 305–22.

<sup>228.</sup> See, e.g., Ralph Marcus, "Alphabetic Acrostics in the Hellenistic and Roman Periods," JNES 6 (1947): 109-15; Martin L. West, "Magnus and Marcellinus: Unnoticed Acrostics in The Cyranides," CQ 32 (1982): 480-81; Don P. Fowler, "An Acrostic in Vergil (Aeneid 7.601–4)?," CO 33 (1983): 298; James J. Clauss, "An Acrostic in Vergil (Eclogues I 5–8): The Chance that Mimics Choice?," Aevum Antiquum 10 (1997): 267-87; Clauss, "Theriaca: Nicander's Poem of the Earth," SIFC 4 (2006): 160-82; Denis C. Feeney and Damien Nelis, "Two Virgilian Acrostics: Certissima Signa?," CQ 55 (2005): 644-46; Jeffrey Gore and Allan Kershaw, "An Unnoticed Acrostic in Apuleius Metamorphoses and Cicero de Divinatione 2.111-12," CQ 58 (2008): 393-94; Alexei A. Grishin, "Ludus in undis: An Acrostic in Eclogue 9," HSCP 104 (2008): 237-40; Grishin, Acrostics in Virgil's Poetry: The Problem of Authentication (Master's Thesis: Harvard University, 2009); Selina Stewart, "'Apollo of the Shore': Apollonius of Rhodes and the Acrostic Phenomenon," CO 60 (2010): 401-5; Ted Somerville, "Note on a Reversed Acrostic in Vergil Georgics 1.429-33," CP 105 (2010): 202-9; Cristiano Castelletti, "A 'Greek' Acrostic in Valerius Flaccus (3.430-4)," Mnemosyne 65 (2012): 319-23; Robert Colborn, "Solving Problems with Acrostics: Manilius Dates Germanicus," CQ 63 (2013): 450–52; Mathias Hanses, "The Pun and the Moon in the Sky: Aratus' ΛΕΠΤΗ Acrostic," CQ 64 (2014): 609-14; Jan Kwapisz, "Behaghel's Club," CQ 64 (2014): 615-22; E. Giusti, "Caesar Criss-Crossing the Rubicon: A Palindromic Acrostic in Lucan (1.218–22)," CO 65 (2015): 892-94; Jerzy Danielewicz, "One Sign after Another: The Fifth ΛΕΠΤΗ in Aratus' Phaen. 783-4?," CQ 65 (2015): 387-90; Stephen M. Trzaskoma, "Further Possibilities Regarding the Acrostic at Aratus 783-7," CO 66 (2016): 785-90; Neil Adkin, "Valerius Flaccus' Laniabor-Acrostic (Argonautica 4.177–84)," CQ 67 (2017): 327–28; Evelyn Patrick Rick, "Cicero Belts Aratus: The Bilingual Acrostic at Aratea 317–20," CQ

Since Akkadian is a syllabic system, it lends itself well to these devices.<sup>229</sup> Perhaps the most well-known acrostic in Akkadian occurs in the colophon to Ludlul bēl nēmeqi, wherein each of the twenty-seven stanzas begins with a different sign, which it repeats for eleven lines, before moving to a new sign. In total, the beginning signs of all the stanzas spell out *a-na-ku sa-ag-gi-il-ki-[i-na-am-u]b-bi-ib ma-áš-ma-šu ka-ri-bu ša i-li ú šar-ri* "I, Saggil-kīnam-ubbib, the exorcist, am adorant of the god and the king."

Two learned prayers from Khorsabad, one to Marduk and another to Nabu, also contain acrostics that read "Nabu-ušebši, the exorcist."<sup>231</sup> Moreover, both prayers contain telestichs. The telestich in the prayer to Marduk reads: "the servant who proclaims your lordship." The one in the prayer to Nabu records: "the suppliant servant who reveres you."<sup>232</sup> Moreover, the author, presumably Nabu-ušebši, alerts the reader to the presence of the acrostic by noting that the *rēš miḥilti u qīt miḥilti ana šinīšu iššassû* "one can read the start and end of each line in two (directions)."<sup>233</sup> Another lengthy acrostic in an Assyrian hymn to Marduk reads: "I am Assurbanipal, who has called out to you. Give me life, Marduk, and I will praise you."<sup>234</sup>

An exorcistic prayer from the first millennium BCE contains thirty-six lines that are grouped into sections of four. Each of the sections begins and ends with the same sign, which allows one to read it vertically as spelling  $\dot{u}$ - $\dot{s}$ -ab-du-du ma-ru- $u\dot{s}$ -tu "I will cause him to pity the distress" on the left and right of the incantation. The imbedding of words and use of script is especially applicable to this text since it calls upon Nabu, the patron god of scribes.

<sup>69 (2019): 222–28;</sup> Matthew Robinson, "Looking Edgeways. Pursuing Acrostics in Ovid and Virgil," *CQ* 69 (2019): 290–308.

<sup>229.</sup> See William W. Hallo, "New Viewpoints on Cuneiform Literature," *IEJ* 12 (1962): 14–15. For a comparative look at acrostics, see Brug, "Biblical Acrostics and Their Relationship to Other Ancient Near Eastern Acrostics," 283–304; Klaas Spronk, "Acrostics in the Book of Nahum," *ZAW* 110 (1998): 209–22.

<sup>230.</sup> See Lambert, Babylonian Wisdom Literature, 63; Oshima, Babylonian Poems of Pious Sufferers, 121–23.

<sup>231.</sup> W. G. Lambert, "Literary Style in First Millennium Mesopotamia," *JAOS* 88 (1968): 131–32; R. F. G. Sweet, "A Pair of Double Acrostics in Akkadian," *Or* 38 (1969): 459–60; Oshima, *Babylonian Poems of Pious Sufferers*, 473–77.

<sup>232.</sup> For another acrostic hymn to Nabu, see S. A. Strong, "A Hymn of Nebuchadnezzar," *PSBA* 20 (1898): 154–62.

<sup>233.</sup> As noted by Foster, *Before the Muses*, 620, but not by Lambert, "Literary Style in First Millennium Mesopotamia," 130, who suggested it meant to recite the prayers twice. 234. A. Livingstone, *Court Poetry and Literary Miscellanea*, SAA 3 (Helsinki: Helsinki University Press, 1989), 6–10.

<sup>235.</sup> Published with a number of similar acrostics and telestichs already by S. A. Strong, "On Some Babylonian and Assyrian Alliterative Texts-I," *PSBA* 17 (1895): 131–51. See too the crossword-like inscriptions on two sixth century BCE Babylonian cylinders

Since many cuneiform signs have multiple values, authors may use them with one value to create the vertical reading of the acrostic, while exploiting them for a different phonetic value when read horizontally. Thus, for example, in the Babylonian Theodicy, we find:

```
140. bi-it-bi-ti-iš lu-ter-ru-ba lu-nu-'i bu-bu-ti
From house to house I will go (lit. "enter"), I will drive away hunger.
141. bi-ri-iš lu-ut-te-'e-lu-me su-le-e lu-ṣa-a-[a-ad]
I will roam around from one place to another/hungrily, I will pr[owl] the streets.<sup>236</sup>
142. pi-is-nu-qiš ana qer-bi lu-t[er-ru-ba ...]
Wretchedly, I will en[ter] inside [...]
```

Note that when read vertically, the first sign in each line is read as bi, even though one must read it horizontally as pi in the third line. This occurs quite often in the poem, <sup>237</sup> and it is a feature shared by lexical lists organized acrographically. <sup>238</sup>

Egyptian scribes often exploited their script for its ability to communicate in multiple directions, sometimes with stunning results. An astonishing hymn to the goddess Mut inscribed on a limestone stela bears two entirely different readings

discussed by Paul-Alain Beaulieu, "Divine Hymns as Royal Inscriptions," *NABU* (1993): 69–71.

<sup>236.</sup> Oshima, *Babylonian Poems of Pious Sufferers*, 141, reads *bīriš* as "side, ridge" rather than "hungrily." This creates a case of unidirectional polysemy.

<sup>238.</sup> Thus, one lexical text lists successive entries that begin with same cuneiform sign, HAR, though one must read each with the following phonetic values: hur, mur,  $ur_5$ ,  $ara_3$ , and  $kin_2$ . See Veldhuis, *History of the Cuneiform Lexical Traditions*, 166–68.

when read vertically or horizontally. Though partly damaged, the vertical reading runs eighty lines and the horizontal one extends to sixty-seven lines.<sup>239</sup>

The lack of vowels in the Egyptian script and existence of homophones in the language help to create the flexibility required for such linguistic feats. For example, in another Egyptian "cross-word," the poet uses the sign  $\mathbb R$  for *mwt* "mother," when read horizontally, but as the goddess "Mut," when read vertically. Similarly, when read in one direction, the signs  $\mathbb R$  are understood as iw meaning "to be," but when read in another, with the determinative  $\mathbb R$ , they are understood as i(3)w "adoration." Such incredible creativity is much more difficult to achieve in purely phonetic scripts. 240

The Ugaritic corpus has yielded no alphabetic acrostics, though the system for ordering the alphabet is known.<sup>241</sup> However, the texts do offer cases of what Watson has called "quasi-acrostics" or "anaphoric alliteration," in which a poem begins successive lines and/or stichs with the same consonant."<sup>242</sup> Thus, *CAT* 1.14.iv.19–22:<sup>243</sup>

- 19. atr tn tn hlk
  After two, two went,
- 20. *atr tlt klhm*After three, all of them.
- 21. *aḥd bth ysgr* A bachelor closed up his house.
- 22. *almnt škr tškr* A widow became a mercenary.

Each successive line starts with an *a-aleph*. See similarly *CAT* 1.6.ii.30–35:

tihd bn ilm mt

She seizes divine Mot.
b hrb tbq'nn

With a sword she splits him,

<sup>239.</sup> See Stewart, "Crossword Hymn to Mut."

<sup>240.</sup> See similarly the bilingual Demotic and Greek inscription on the Stela of Moschion in Rachel Mairs, "'Proclaiming It to Greeks and Natives, Along the Rows of the Chequer-board': Readers and Viewers of Greek, Latin and Demotic Acrostich Inscriptions," *CQ* 67 (2017): 228–46. For an image of the object, see Jeffrey Spier, Timothy Potts, and Sara E. Cole, eds., *Beyond the Nile: Egypt and the Classical World* (Los Angeles, CA: Paul Getty Museum, 2018), 151.

<sup>241.</sup> Aaron Demsky, "Abecedaries," in COS 1:362-65.

<sup>242.</sup> W. G. E. Watson, "Quasi-Acrostics in Ugaritic Poetry," *UF* 12 (1980): 445–47; Watson, *Traditional Techniques in Classical Hebrew Verse*, 89–91, 431–34; Yogev and Yona, "Opening Alliteration in Biblical and Ugaritic Poetry," 108–13.

<sup>243.</sup> Watson, Traditional Techniques in Classical Hebrew Verse, 432.

```
b htr tdrynn
     With a sieve she winnows him,
b išt tšrpnn
     With fire she burns him.
b rhm tthnn
     With a millstone she grinds him,
```

b šd tdr'nn

In a field she sows him.

This stanza employs the preposition b at the start of each line after the first. Sometimes the device builds upon successive uses of the same verbal construction. This occurs in CAT 1.15.iv.14–16:<sup>244</sup>

```
14. tšm ′ mtt [h]ry
    The woman Hurray obeyed:
15. ttbh šmn [m]rih
    She slew the fattest of her fatlings,
16. tpth rhbt vn
    She opened flagons of wine.
```

# Watson suggests that

it is probable that the quasi-acrostic, which is basically an extension of initial alliteration, was originally just a by-product of pervasive parallelism and that it was only made overt when the oral poetry of ancient times was committed to writing. 245

Nevertheless, I suggest that at least four cases in Ugaritic constitute meaningful menostichs. The first occurs in the Tale of Kirtu (CAT 1.16.i.12–17):

```
ybky wyšnn
     He cries, and gnashes his teeth,
ytn gh bky
     He makes his voice heard while crying,
b hyk abn [n]šmh
     "In your life, our father, we delighted.
bl mtk ngln
     In your not-dying we rejoiced.
k klb b btk n'ta
     Like a dog you pass into your (eternal) house,
```

<sup>244.</sup> Observed by Yogev and Yona, "Opening Alliteration in Biblical and Ugaritic Poetry,"

<sup>245.</sup> Watson, Traditional Techniques in Classical Hebrew Verse, 434.

```
k inr a[p] hštk
Like a cur into your grave."
```

Here the quasi-acrostic reads *y-y*, *b-b*, *k-k*, thus twice spelling *ybk* "he cries," the central theme of the stanza and the very verb that chiastically starts and ends the first two lines.<sup>246</sup> When the stanza is repeated in the third person feminine imperfect in apparent reference to Thitmanit, it fittingly spells *t-t*, *b-b*, *k-k*, that is, *tbk* "she cries" (*CAT* 1.16.ii.35–39).

A second possible acrostic appears in the *Epic of Baal (CAT* 1.1.iii.24–25):

```
ygly dd i[l w ybu]
He enters E[l]'s mountain [and comes]
[qrš mlk] ab šnm
[To the tent of the king], the Father of Years.
l [p'n il yhbr wql]
A[t El's feet he bows down and falls].
```

Here the entrance of the god Kothar-wa-Ḥasis is marked by a partial acrostic that spells yql "he falls," thus perfectly matching the subject matter. In fact, the same verb concludes the third line. The passage is repeated in CAT 1.2.iii.5–6, and again in 1.4.iv.23–25 and 1.6.i.34–36, where it refers to Anat, that is, tql "she falls."

A third case occurs in the same text, in the mouth of Mot (CAT 1.6.vi.14–16):

```
ahym ytnt b'l spuy
"My brothers, O Baal, you gave as my food,
bnm umy klyy
My mother's sons for my consumption!"
```

The brief acrostic spells *ab* "father," in a context that employs the words "brothers," "mother," and "sons." <sup>247</sup>

Immediately afterwards, we find yet another menostich in the description of the battle between Baal and Mot (*CAT* 1.6.vi.16–22):

```
yt 'n k gmrm

They eye each other like fighters,
```

<sup>246.</sup> Long after catching this acrostic, I came upon Yogev and Yona, "Opening Alliteration in Biblical and Ugaritic Poetry," 113 n. 16, who arrived at this observation independently. They do not note that it repeats in a different person later in the text.

<sup>247.</sup> Cf. Song 8:2 אָבִיאָּך אֶל־בֶּית אָמִי <u>"ăb</u>ī '<u>ăkā</u> 'ɛl bēt 'immī "I would bring you into my mother's house." Here the phrase אָבִיאַ '<u>ab</u>ī '<u>akā</u> "I would bring you" suggests אָבִי '<u>ab</u>ī "my father." Such devices evince a desire to cluster terms for family members.

```
mt 'z b'l 'z

Mot is fierce, Baal is fierce,
ynghn k rumm

They gore each other like aurochs,
mt 'z b'l 'z

Mot is fierce, Baal is fierce,
yntkn k btnm

They bite each other like serpents,
mt 'z b'l 'z

Mot is fierce, Baal is fierce,
ymshn k lsmm

They drag each other like runners,
mt ql b'l ql

Mot falls, Baal falls.
```

When read acrostically, the passage offers a four-fold repetition of the name *y-m* "Yam." The subtle integration of Yam into the battle with Mot forces us to recall Baal's previous deathmatch with Yam, from which he emerged victorious (*CAT* 1.2.iv.15–27). The parallel fights and the nature of the conflicts reveal aspects of Baal's character. Mark Smith explains:

Yamm and Mot are cosmic figures, and they show Baal's heroism in equally cosmic stature and proportions. Furthermore, as Yamm represents the chaotic waters and Mot signifies death in its cosmic proportions, Baal embodies order and life in equal, if not greater, universal proportions.<sup>248</sup>

Most acrostics in the Hebrew Bible proceed alphabetically from the first letter (*aleph*) to the last (*taw*), but there are a variety of ways this is achieved.<sup>249</sup> A new letter can commence with every line (Pss 25, 34, 145, Prov 31:10–31, Lam 1, 2, 4), couplet (Ps 37), or even every stich/half-line (Pss 111, 112).<sup>250</sup> The acrostic in Lam 3 moves to a new alphabetic letter every fourth verse, repeating the acrostic

<sup>248.</sup> Mark S. Smith, *Introduction with Text, Translation and Commentary of KTU 1.1–1.2*, vol. 1 of *The Ugaritic Baal Cycle* (Leiden: Brill, 1994), 19.

<sup>249.</sup> See K. C. Hanson, *Alphabetic Acrostics: A Form Critical Study* (PhD diss., The Claremont Graduate School, 1984); David Noel N. Freedman, "Acrostic Poems in the Hebrew Bible: Alphabetic and Otherwise," *CBQ* 48 (1986): 408–31; Freedman and David Miano, "Non-Acrostic Alphabetic Psalms," in Flint and Miller, *Book of Psalms*, 87–96; N. M. Sarna, "Acrostics," *EncJud* 1:368–69; Thomas Renz, "A Perfectly Broken Acrostic in Nahum 1?," *JHS* 9 (2009): 2–26; Roland Meynet, *Les huit psaumes acrostiches alphabétiques* (Rome: Gregorian & Biblical Press, 2015).

<sup>250.</sup> Paul W. Gabelein Jr., "Psalm 34 and Other Biblical Acrostics: Evidence from the Aleppo Codex," in *Sopher Mahir: Northwest Semitic Studies Presented to Stanislav Segert*, ed. Edward M. Cook (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1990), 127–43.

letter in each of the three successive verses. Some broken or fragmentary alphabetic acrostics are also present in Nah 1:2–8, $^{252}$  Prov 24:1–22, $^{253}$  and Prov 29:22–27. $^{254}$  It is worth noting that while Israelite poets typically start the twenty-second verse of an acrostic with the letter  $\dot{v}$   $\dot{s}$ , they also could use a  $\dot{v}$   $\dot{s}$ , because the diacritic that distinguishes the two consonants was an invention of a later age (e.g.,  $\dot{v}$   $\dot{v}$   $\dot{v}$   $\dot{s}$   $\dot{t}$  "rejoice" in Lam 4:21, "princes" in Ps 119:161,  $\dot{v}$   $\dot{v}$   $\dot{s}$   $\dot{t}$  "rejoice" in Ps 119:162,  $\dot{v}$   $\dot{v}$   $\dot{t}$   $\dot{t}$ 

Various functions have been proposed for acrostics. Some might have been employed as mnemonics<sup>255</sup> or to convey a sense of order.<sup>256</sup> Those in Lamentations might have provided readers with a tool for interacting with their emotions through reason.<sup>257</sup>

Scholars have pointed out the presence of several menostichs as well, each of which is imbedded in an acrostic. After moving through the entire Hebrew alphabet, the poet of Ps 34 adds an extra line that begins: "Yahweh redeems the life of his servants." The addition allows the poet to start the last verse with the verb מַּדְה pōdeh "redeems," and thus with the letter pe. This produces an inner acrostic and menostich in which the first, center, and final lines of the poem read acrostically as אָלֵף "learn." A nearly identical menostich using the same verb appears in Ps 25. It also has been suggested that Ps 145 contains a reverse mini-acrostic in lines 11–13. Here the initial consonants of the first words (i.e., kəbōd "glory," בּוֹדְי עַ "lə-hōdī'a "to make known," and תַּוֹלְבוֹתְּדָּ malkַūtk̄d̄ "elearn."

<sup>251.</sup> J. Renkema, "The Meaning of the Parallel Acrostics in Lamentations," VT 45 (1995): 379–82. Mitchell First, "Using the Pe–Ayin Order of the Abecedaries of Ancient Israel to Date the Book of Psalms," JSOT 38 (2014): 471–85, argues that the earlier order of the alphabet explains a number of acrostics including Lam 2–4, Prov 31 (LXX), and Pss 9–10, 25, 34, 37.

<sup>252.</sup> Duane L. Christensen, "The Acrostic of Nahum Once Again: A Prosodic Analysis of Nah 1, 1–10," *ZAW* 99 (1987): 409–14; Spronk, "Acrostics in the Book of Nahum"; Aron Pinker, "Nahum 1: Acrostic and Authorship," *JBO* 34 (2006): 97–103.

<sup>253.</sup> Victor A. Hurowitz, "An Often Overlooked Alphabetic Acrostic in Proverbs 24:1–22," RB 107 (2000): 526–40.

<sup>254.</sup> Victor A. Hurowitz, "Proverbs 29:22–27: Another Unnoticed Alphabetic Acrostic," *JSOT* 92 (2001): 121–25.

<sup>255.</sup> Soll, "Babylonian and Biblical Acrostics."

<sup>256.</sup> Hillers, *Lamentations*. O. Palmer Robertson, "The Alphabetic Acrostic in Book I of the Psalms: An Overlooked Element of Psalter Structure," *JSOT* 40 (2015): 225–38, argues that the acrostics in Psalms organize the poems into smaller sections.

<sup>257.</sup> Assis, "Alphabetic Acrostic in the Book of Lamentations."

<sup>258.</sup> Brug, "Biblical Acrostics and Their Relationship to Other Ancient Near Eastern Acrostics."

"your kingdom") in each verse offer a reverse spelling of מֶּלֶד "king," a keyword throughout the poem. Though the line starting with the letter ב nun is lacking in Ps 145, it appears in the version from Qumran (11QPsa). When one reads the first two words of Lam 5:1–3 in conjunction with a half-line acrostic, the text yields the menostich: יְבְּבְיֹא בְּבֶּרְא בְּבִּרִיאָהוֹ הְבָּבְיֹא "Zechariah the prophet." ("Zechariah the prophet." Philippe Guillaume has suggested that this is followed in Lam 5:19–22 by an acrostic, telestich, and menostich that produces: אֶּלֹהֶיךְ רֶם מְּדֹּר מְּם מְּלֵּר בְּם מְּלֵּר בְּם מְּלַר יִים מְּל יִים מְּל "your God is exalted greatly." Other menostichs have been suggested, but with varied success (e.g., Ps 2, 262 Ps 9, 263 Ps 10, 264 and Lam 5:17–18265).

The quasi-acrostics known to Ugaritic texts also appear in the Hebrew Bible, and some of them show an awareness of alphabetical arrangement.<sup>268</sup> Thus, Prov 22:2–3 reads:

<sup>259.</sup> Watson, "Reversed Rootplay in Ps. 145."

<sup>260.</sup> Siegfried Bergler, "Threni V, Nur ein alphabetisierende Lied? Versuch Einer Deutung," VT 27 (1977): 304–20; A. Rosenfeld, "An Acrostic in Lamentations 5" [Hebrew], Sinai 110 (1992): 96.

<sup>261.</sup> Philippe Guillaume, "Lamentations 5: The Seventh Acrostic," JHS 9 (2009): 1-6.

<sup>262.</sup> Marco Treves, "Two Acrostic Poems," VT 15 (1965): 81–90, refuted by Barnabas Lindars, "Is Psalm 2 and Acrostic Poem?," VT 17 (1967): 60–67.

<sup>263.</sup> Patrick W. Skehan, "A Broken Acrostic and Psalm 9," CBO 27 (1965): 1-5.

<sup>264.</sup>Treves, "Two Acrostic Poems," refuted by Lindars, "Is Psalm 2 and Acrostic Poem?" 265. Bergler, "Threni V, Nur ein alphabetisierende Lied?"; but supported in part by Guillaume, "Lamentations 5."

<sup>266.</sup> See M. Garsiel, "Punning upon the Names of the Letters of the Alphabet in Biblical Acrostics" [Hebrew] *BM* 39 (1994): 313–34.

<sup>267.</sup> Anthoy R. Ceresko, "The ABCs of Wisdom in Psalm XXXIV," VT 35 (1985): 99–104. See also Garsiel, Story and History of David and His Kingdom, 104–8, who finds the device at work in the lyrics reported in 1 Sam 18:7. See also Victor A. Hurowitz, "Additional Elements of Alphabetical Thinking in Psalm XXXIV," VT 52 (2002): 326–33.

<sup>268.</sup> See Patrick W. Skehan, "Strophic Patterns in the Book of Job," CBQ 23 (1961): 125–42.

The rich [אַשִּׁיה'] and the poor meet together. The maker [אַשָּׁיה'] of them all is Yahweh. A prudent man [עָרוּם]  $\dot{a}r\bar{u}m$ ] sees the evil and hides himself, But the fools [עַרוּם]  $u-\bar{p}t\bar{a}yy\bar{t}m$ ] pass on and are punished.

The first three lines begin with the consonant y 'and the last with a p, the very next consonant in the Hebrew alphabet. See similarly Exod 15:11–13:

Who [יִח mī] is like you, among the mighty, O Yahweh?
Who [יְח mī] is like you, glorious in holiness?
Fearful [אַ יְח n̄orā] in praises, doing wonders?
You stretched out [יְיִיתְּ n̄āt̄t̄t̄a] your right hand the earth swallowed them.
You have led [יְּיִיתָּ n̄āt̄t̄t̄a] the people with your love whom you have redeemed, You have guided [יְּהַיֹתַ n̄c̄halt̄t̄a] them with your strength to your holy habitation.

This passage repeats the consonant n m at the start of the first two lines, and then the consonant n n at the start of the next four. The latter consonant follows the former in alphabetical order. n

The Israelite writers' attention to the alphabet also appears in Zeph 3:8.

לֶכֵן חַפּוּ־לִי נְאֻם־יְהוָה לְיוֹם קוּמִי לְעַד כִּי מִשְׁפָּטִי לָאֱסֹף גּוֹיִם לְקָבְצִי מִמְלְכוֹת לִשְׁפַּדְ עֲלֵיהֶם זַעְמִי כֹּל חֲרוֹן אַפִּי כִּי בְּאֵשׁ קַנְאָתִי תַּאָבֵל כָּל־הָאָרֵץ

lākēn ḥakkū lī nə'um YHWH lə-yōm qūmī lə-'ad kī mišpāṭī lɛ-'ĕsōp̄ gōyīm lə-qŏbṣī mamlākōṭ li-špōk️ 'ălēhɛm za 'əmī kōl ḥărōn 'apī kī bə-'ēš qin'ātī tē'ākēl kŏl hā-'āres

Therefore, wait for me says Yahweh, for the day when I arise as a witness. For my decision is to gather nations, to assemble kingdoms, To pour out upon them my indignation, all the heat of my anger; For in the fire of my passion all the earth shall be consumed.

As noted in the Masora, this brief passage is one of twenty-six pangrams in the Hebrew Bible. A pangram is a passage that contains every letter of the alphabet.<sup>271</sup> However, this passage also includes the five *sophît* or "final" forms,

<sup>269.</sup> Found in Yogev and Yona, "Opening Alliteration in Biblical and Ugaritic Poetry," 111. 270. Yogev and Yona, "Opening Alliteration in Biblical and Ugaritic Poetry," 111, cite the passage, but do not observe the alphabetic order of the consonants.

<sup>271.</sup> The other passages include Exod 16:16, Deut 4:34, Josh 23:13, 2 Kgs 4:39, 6:32, 7:8, Isa 5:25, 66:17, Jer 22:3, 32:29, Ezek 17:9, 38:12, Amos 9:13, Hos 10:8, 13:2, Zech 6:11, Song 3:8, Dan 2:45, 3:22, 4:20, 7:19, Qoh 4:8, Esth 3:13, Ezr 7:28, 2 Chr 26:11. There are

and three of the six *begadkepat* letters (i.e.,  $\bar{p}$   $\bar{q}$   $\bar{q}$ ,  $\bar{q}$ ,  $\bar{q}$ ,  $\bar{q}$ ,  $\bar{q}$ ,  $\bar{q}$ , that retain their aspirated and non-aspirated pronunciations (i.e., *beth*, *kaph*, and *taw*).

Elsewhere, Israelite authors appear to have delighted in including the same consonant in every word of a particular verse. Thus, each word in 2 Kgs 14:12 contains the consonant ' yod: [לְאֹהְלִיוֹ [לְאֹהְלִיוֹ [לְאֹהְלִיוֹ [לְאֹהְלִיוֹ [לְאֹהָלִי ִשְׁרְאֵל וַיְּנֶסוּ אִישׁ לְאָהְלוֹ [לְאֹהְלִי ִישְׁרְאֵל וַיְּנֶסוּ אִישׁ לְאָהְלוֹ [לְאֹהְלִי ִישְׁרְאֵל וַיְּנֶסוּ אִישׁ לְאָהְלוֹ [לֹי way-yinnāḡɛp̄ yəhuḍāh li-p̄nē̄(y) yiśrā ʾēl way-yānusū ʾīš (ʾyš) lə-ʾahŏlō [lə-ʾohālāw] "and Judah was struck before Israel; and they fled every man to his tent." Though the yod in יְאַהְלִי and יְאַהְלִי lə-ʾohālāyw are not consonantal, they are visually present. Such devices, most of which the Masora records, appear to represent a display of erudition. <sup>272</sup>

The acrostic that concludes the Hebrew text of Ben Sira from Qumran (51:13–30 = 11QPs<sup>a</sup> XXI, 11–17, XXII, 1) represents a continuation of earlier traditions.<sup>273</sup> Though only a little more than the first ten verses remain, it stands as a fitting crown for a text that contains many other examples of polysemy and paronomasia in the name of wisdom.<sup>274</sup>

Acrostics also appear in Aramaic in late antiquity, and many are found imbedded in Targumic manuscripts.<sup>275</sup> However, scholars debate whether they represent a continuation of practice in biblical times or the influence of a tradition

\_

also three passages that contain every letter of the alphabet except the  $\mathfrak D$  *samekh*, which appears at the end of the verses as the abbreviation for the *setumah*: Isa 17:11, Dan 5:7, Neh 3:15.

<sup>272.</sup> Thus, the Mp to 2 Kgs 14:12 states that there are six other verses that contain seven words, each containing the consonant 'yod. Actually, there are ten others: 2 Sam 22:49, Ezek 14:1, 30:19, Hos 6:2, Ps 3:6, 68:2, Job 7:13, Song 1:2, 1 Chr 4:36, 2 Chr 25:22. I thank David Marcus for this information. In his Mm 729, Gerard Weil, ed., Massorah Gedolah, 2nd ed. (Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 2001), 88 lists eleven verses that start and end with the consonant 1 nun (Lev 13:9; Num 32:32; Deut 18:15; Jer 50:8; Pss 46:5, 77:21, 78:12; Prov 7:17, 20:27; Song 4:11; 1 Chr 12:2). See also Israel Yeivin, Introduction to the Tiberian Masorah, trans. E. J. Revell (Missoula, MT: Scholars Press, 1980), 73–74, for similar observations. At the same time, one must be cautious when counting letters and verses due to variations in the manuscript traditions. See the sobering study of Sheldon Epstein, Bernard Dickman, and Yonah Wilamowsky, "Symmetrically Designed Sifrei Torah: A Quantitative Analysis," Hakira: The Flatbush Journal of Jewish Law and Thought 5 (2007): 171–225.

<sup>273.</sup> See Isaac Rabinowitz, "The Qumran Hebrew Original of Ben Sira's Concluding Acrostic on Wisdom," *HUCA* 42 (1971): 173–84.

<sup>274.</sup> See Reymond, "Wordplay in the Hebrew to Ben Sira."

<sup>275.</sup> See Pereira, Studies in Aramaic Poetry (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.), 58–109; Michael Sokoloff and Joseph Yahalom, eds., Jewish Palestinian Aramaic Poetry from Late Antiquity [Hebrew] (Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, 1999); Yosef Ofer, "Acrostic Signatures in Masoretic Notes," VT 65 (2015): 230–46.

that was more widespread during this period. Functions proposed for these acrostics range from the didactic and the liturgical to the mystical.<sup>276</sup>

Since an acrostic is an organizational form of polysemy, it is primarily a visual device. This is especially the case when a text is written on a scroll, for it is the acrostic that first meets the eye in the process of unscrolling.<sup>277</sup> One also must view the Akkadian and Egyptian acrostics as visual devices, since they depend on polyvalent readings of individual signs. One cannot access these acrostics aurally without reading them aloud vertically. Whether this was done before or after reciting the text or at all, is impossible to know, but either way one cannot recite the text in both directions simultaneously. The same can be said of Hebrew pangrams, which one discovers only through study. On the other hand, Hebrew acrostics may have been as accessible to listeners as to readers, since they depend on the order of the Hebrew alphabet, which any literate Israelite would have committed to memory as a child. Moreover, some Hebrew acrostics also employ the name of the consonant or a paronomastic reflection of it in the line to which it belongs, thus offering clues to the letters of the acrostic. For both readers and listeners the effect that acrostics have is one of delayed fulfillment, or in the Akkadian and Egyptian texts, delayed comprehension. Israelite poets composing alphabetic acrostics structurally convey the notion that their poem is instructive, perhaps even successively pedagogical, since the alphabet is the foundation of education. Thus, one learns successive lessons from the poem as one learns consecutive letters of the alphabet. This differs from the Akkadian and Egyptian acrostics, which appear to be displays of piety and erudition.

#### 4.1.13. TRANSPOSITION

Devices of transposition involve replacing one letter with another based upon a recognized standard of order or value. To demonstrate transposition in Akkadian, I turn to an inscription of Esarhaddon in which Marduk shortens the period of Babylon's abandonment from seventy to eleven years simply by reversing the cuneiform signs that comprise the numerals:

He had written seventy years as the number for its abandonment, but the compassionate Marduk quickly softened his heart and, reversing the order, pronounced eleven years (as the period) of its resettlement.<sup>278</sup>

<sup>276.</sup> See Pereira, Studies in Aramaic Poetry (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.), 107-8.

<sup>277.</sup> I thank Julia Hejduk for this observation.

<sup>278.</sup> See R. Borger, *Die Inschriften Asarhaddons, Königs von Assyrien* (Graz: Weidner, 1956), 15, episode 10; Paul Beaulieu, "An Excerpt from a Menology with Reverse Writing," *ASJ* 17 (1995): 5.

The fate of the city was changed simply by reversing the ligature from  $(G\acute{E}\breve{S} + U = 60 + 10)$  "seventy" to  $(U + DI\breve{S} = 10 + 1)$  "eleven." The cuneiform signs  $G\acute{E}\breve{S}$  and DIŠ are identical, but read with different values when preceding or following the U sign.

Paul Beaulieu has pointed to a similar transposition of the numerical values of Akkadian signs that produces different results in a philological commentary that focuses on sacred numbers. Here the signs  $U + DI\check{S}$  (i.e.,  $G\check{E}\check{S}$ ) "eleven," which also may be read as the god "Nergal," are reversed and multiplied to produce the equation  $G\check{E}\check{S}$  x U (60 x 10) "six hundred." In this way, the commentary is able to correlate the chthonic god Nergal with the six hundred denizens of the underworld known as the Anunakki.<sup>279</sup>

Since Egyptian distinguishes numerals from other signs, a reversal of the type known in cuneiform is impossible. Moreover, while there is some evidence for Egyptian abecedaries, it is incomplete, and there is no way to know if such an order was standardized across time and across all of Egypt. Thus, there is no way to know how the available Egyptian signs might have provided opportunities for learned readings when metathesized. Consequently, we cannot demonstrate the presence of transposition in Egyptian.

The Ugaritic corpus provides no evidence of alphabetic transposition, despite the existence of abecedaries that inform us as to how they ordered their alphabetic script.  $^{280}$ 

We are far better off in biblical Hebrew, since the order of the alphabet is well known. However, most of the proposed cases of transposition in the biblical corpus have come from periods long after the biblical texts were composed. Even the term for transposition in Hebrew, "atbash"  $\underline{t}\underline{b}$ " $\underline{s}$ , derives from a later period.<sup>281</sup> Still, the evidence for literary transposition is compelling.

In Hebrew, "atbash" operates by replacing the first letter of the alphabet with the last, the second with the penultimate, the third with the antepenultimate, and so on. Hence, the name "atbash," which juxtaposes the first and last, and second and penultimate letters of the alphabet, that is,  $aleph(\aleph)$ ,  $taw(\Pi)$ ,  $bet(\Pi)$ , and  $šin(\varPsi)$ . Though some consider atbash an exegetical device of a later generation who imposed such readings on biblical texts, the clear use of atbash on a twelfth century BCE abecedary from 'Izbet-Ṣarṭa shows it to be in use well before the Israelite monarchy. <sup>282</sup> Moreover, scholars often treat atbash as if it functions as a

<sup>279.</sup> See Beaulieu, "Excerpt from a Menology with Reverse Writing," 5.

<sup>280.</sup> Demsky, "Abecedaries," COS 1:362-65.

<sup>281.</sup> In later rabbinic circles, atbash was considered a form of *gematria*. See Derovan et al., "Gematria."

<sup>282.</sup> Aaron Demsky, "A Proto-Canaanite Abecedary Dating from the Period of the Judges and Its Implications for the History of the Alphabet," *Tel Aviv* 4 (1977): 19–20; M. Köszeghy, "Zur ששר-Frage," *ZAW* 117 (2005): 616–20.

cipher,<sup>283</sup> though there is limited evidence that it served such a purpose.<sup>284</sup> I have argued elsewhere that atbash was employed as a performative device of illocutionary power.<sup>285</sup>

There are three types of transposition in the Hebrew Bible. The first employs a word that makes little sense unless it is transposed. In this group, I place Jer 25:26, 51:1, 51:41, and a possible fourth case spotted by Cyrus Gordon in 1 Kgs 9:1. The most famous of them appears in Jeremiah's prophecy that a number of nations will drink the wrath of Yahweh: "and last of all, the king of מָשׁשָׁ צֹּפַּצֹׁמֹּׁ shall drink" (Jer 25:26). As the Targum translates and medieval Hebrew commentators observe, the consonants in the word שֵׁשֶׁ צֻׁ פַּצַּמֹׁ are a transposition for שֵׁשֶׁ  $b\bar{a}b\bar{e}l$  "Babylon." Here the meaning Babylon is the only one that makes sense. Jeremiah encapsulated the destruction of Babylon by turning its name into a meaningless heap of letters.

A second type of transposition makes perfect sense both as it appears and when transposed. All of these occur in Jeremiah (Jer 18:2–4, 20:8, 22:10, 25:20–26, 25:30, 25:38; 34:14, 48:2).<sup>287</sup> See, for example, Jer 48:2, where the prophet declares:

Moab's glory is no more.

In Heshbon they have planned evil against her (saying):

"Come, and let us cut her off [א ונבריתנה wə-nakrītennāh] as a nation!"

You too, Madmen, shall be silenced.

The sword is going [תֵּלֶדְ tēlek] after you.

When transposed, תֵּלֶּדְ 'tēlek' "going" becomes אָבֶל 'ākal "devour," which also is used in reference to swords (e.g., Deut 32:42, 2 Sam 2:26). The devouring sword is anticipated nicely by putting the ironic statement אַפּא יְבַּרְיֹתֶגָּה wə-nakrītennāh "let us cut her off" into the Moabites' mouths. The device thus offers a linguistic tie that underscores the lex talionis inherent in the Moabites' punishment. Moreover, the end of this prophecy offers an inclusio of sorts by using יֻּakal "devour" again, this time to describe a fire that will destroy the Moabites (Jer 48:45).

<sup>283.</sup> See Mark Leuchter, "Jeremiah's Seventy-Year Prophecy and the ששך/לב קמי Atbash Codes," *Bib* 85 (2004): 503–22; Richard C. Steiner, "The Two Sons of Neriah and the Two Editions of Jeremiah in Light of the Two Atbash Code-Words for Babylon," *VT* 46 (1996): 83–84.

<sup>284.</sup> Marjo C. A. Korpel, "Kryptogramme in Ezechiel 19 und im 'Izbet-Ṣarṭa-Ostrakon," ZAW 121 (2009): 70–86.

<sup>285.</sup> See Noegel, "Ritual Use of Linguistic and Textual Violence in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near East."

<sup>286.</sup> Noted in Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T.," 969.

<sup>287.</sup> Scott B. Noegel, "Atbash in Jeremiah and Its Literary Significance: Part 1," *JBQ* 24 (1996): 82–89; "Part 2," *JBQ* 24 (1996): 160–66; "Part 3," *JBQ* 24 (1996): 247–50.

A third type of transposition is even more sophisticated in that the consonants to be transposed appear vertically in the form of a mesostich. It is in such a light that Marjo Korpel suggests we read Ezek 19:1–5.288 Here the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the third letter lamed in transposed form. 289 warte the first word of a mesostic line in the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first word of each successive line produces the consonants to the first that the consonants to the first the third letter lamed in the first word of each successive line produces the third letter lamed in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in the first word of each successive line produces the third consonant in t

Transposition is a learned device that appears to have been performative in purpose, with the transposing of signs or consonants intending to manipulate a change or reversal in reality. Its use in magic texts, perhaps to conceal the divine name or to avoid saying it, would suggest the same. Since it is impossible to hear the polysemy inherent in transposition, we must consider it solely a visual device. Nonetheless, it is not readily accessible visually either, unless one contemplates it as a transposition. In many ways, transposition is a device of concealment that requires study to reveal its secrets.

<sup>288.</sup> Korpel, "Kryptogramme in Ezechiel 19 und im 'Izbet-Ṣarṭa-Ostrakon."

<sup>289.</sup> A later form of transposition involves substituting the letter  $v_t$  for  $r_t$ , and the letter  $r_t$  for  $r_t$  b, etc. It is known as atbah or "the alphabet of Hiyya" (b. Sukk. 52b). Some of the later rabbis used it to explain the writing on the wall in Dan 5 (b. Sanh. 22a, cf. b. Shab. 104a). Since it represents a later development, it falls outside of this study. See Derovan et al., "Gematria"; Yakir Paz, "From Encoding to Decoding: The ATBH of R. Hiyya in Light of a Syriac, Greek and Coptic Cipher," *JNES* 74 (2015): 45–65.

<sup>290.</sup> On atbash in both sets of texts, see Matthew Morgenstern and James Nathan Ford, "On Some Readings and Interpretations in the Aramaic Incantation Bowls and Related Texts," *BSOAS* 80 (2017): 191–231.

#### 4.1.14. AMPHIBOLY

Amphiboly (also called amphibology) is the employment of an ambiguous morphology or grammatical structure for polysemous effect.<sup>291</sup> There are three types of amphiboly in ancient Near Eastern texts. The first suggests multiple readings by combining two different morphologies into a single form, while at the same time making a clear reading of one or the other impossible. This type of amphiboly is sometimes referred to as farrago,<sup>292</sup> *forma mixta*, *portmanteau*, or a blend. A second type of amphiboly derives from nonnormative grammatical structures beyond the word level that can be read in multiple ways. A third type combines an infinitive absolute (sometimes called a tautological infinitive) derived from one root with a finite verb derived from another. The last type is found only in biblical Hebrew.

# 4.1.14.1. AMPHIBOLY: MIXED MORPHOLOGY

Demonstrating the first kind of amphiboly in Akkadian is the Hymn to Shamash, which states about Shamash: *šūt ikkamsā el-let-si-na ta-paṭ-ṭar* (l. 163). The phrase *el-let-si-na ta-paṭ-ṭar* is a conflation of *enneta paṭāru* "dispel the troops" and *illata paṭāru* "pardon the sins."<sup>293</sup> Consequently, we may read the line as "you (Shamash) dispel the troops for those who bow down" or "you (Shamash) pardon the sins of those who bow down," while it does not quite say either. As Foster remarks, the amphiboly suggests that "the submissive are spared the discipline reserved for the others."<sup>294</sup>

An example of this type of amphiboly in Egyptian appears in P.Harris, a delightful Ramesside love poem, in which the scribe cleverly applies a determinative that belongs with one word to another, thus suggesting both meanings, while grammatically providing neither. In the poem, the lover declares to her beloved:  $g_3b=k$   $w_3h$  hr  $q_3b.t=i$  phr n=k mrw.t=i "your arm rests on my arm, for my love has surrounded you" (5.3-5.4). The noun  $q_3b.t$  normally means "breast," but here it takes the arm determinative (\_). The determinative encourages us to read it as  $g_3b$  "arm," thus representing a coalescing of the phonemes  $q_1 > q_2 = k$  known to occur in this period. However, the use of  $g_3b$  "arm" immediately prior make us think twice. Thus, the phrase suggests both "your arm

<sup>291.</sup> The term ἀμφιβολία "amphiboly" occurs first in Aristotle, *Poet.* 1461a25 (fourth century BCE).

<sup>292.</sup> Glück, "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature," 70-72.

<sup>293.</sup> As observed in CAD K, s.v. "kamāsu."

<sup>294.</sup> Foster, Before the Muses, 542 n. 3, adds "if intentional."

rests on my arm" and "your arm rests on my breast," but grammatically offers neither. 295

Visual forms of this type of amphiboly occur in the temple of Hathor at Dendera. In fact, several inscriptions combine signs normally not found together in order to create new ideograms. In one case, the scribe has written *nb.t iwn.t* "the lady of Iunet (i.e., Dendera)" by replacing the head of the cobra sign & with the cow-head sign & in order to emphasize Hathor's bovine and serpentine manifestations. <sup>296</sup>

A possible case of amphiboly of this type in Ugaritic occurs in the Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.5.ii.6): *yraun alyn b'l*. The form *yraun* contains two different *aleph* signs *a* and *u*. The odd orthography forces one to consider both *yra* "fear" and *un* "misfortune, grief." As such it foreshadows *CAT* 1.5.vi.15, in which El descends from his seat in *un* "grief."

The first type of amphiboly appears in Hebrew in Jotham's parable, in which the Olive replies to the other trees that would make him king: "have I ceased yielding [יְהָּחִלְּהִי he-hodaltī] my rich oil, by which God and men are honored, that I should go and wave above the trees?" (Judg 9:9). Here, the verb combines two different morphologies—either it is a first person singular perfect hiph'il of the verb "cease" or a first person singular perfect qal of the same root, preceded by an interrogative he. If the former, the vowel under the het should have been a šewa or hateph-seghol. If the latter, then we would expect to see a qames rather than a hateph-qames as the vowel marking the first syllable of the verb. 297 While both readings are possible in the consonantal text, neither is possible in the vocalized text. Thus, the Masoretes pointed the verb so that it contains elements of both readings.

<sup>295.</sup> Fox, Song of Songs and the Ancient Egyptian Love Songs, 22 n. b, suggests this reading is an aural error or "deliberate pun."

<sup>296.</sup> Richter, Theology of Hathor of Dendera, 288-89, 430.

<sup>297.</sup> Jan Joosten, "Hechadalti forma mixta?," ZAW 102 (1990): 96–97.

 $yirad\bar{o}\bar{p}$  in Ps 7:6 (combining יְרְדֹּף  $yird\bar{o}\bar{p}$  and יְרַדֵּף  $yaradd\bar{e}\bar{p}$ ), and בְּהִנְּדף  $ka-hind\bar{o}\bar{p}$  in Ps 68:3 (combining בְּהַנֵּדֶף  $ka-hinn\bar{a}d\bar{e}\bar{p}$  and בְּהַנִּדף  $ka-hinn\bar{a}d\bar{e}\bar{p}$  and בְּהַנִּדף  $ka-hinn\bar{a}d\bar{e}\bar{p}$  and בְּהַנִּדף  $ka-hinn\bar{a}d\bar{e}\bar{p}$  and בְּהַנִּדף

# 4.1.14.2. AMPHIBOLY: AMBIGUOUS GRAMMATICAL STRUCTURES

I know of no cases of amphiboly in Akkadian texts that rely upon deliberately ambiguous grammatical structures.<sup>299</sup> However, in Egyptian we find this type of amphiboly in the fifth maxim of the Instructions of Ptahhotep (P.Prisse 6.3–6 [ll. 90–98]).<sup>300</sup>

- 90. *iw hsf=tw=s n sw³ hr hp.w=s*He who transgresses its laws is punished,
- 91. *w3.t pw m hr 'wn-ib* it is what escapes the attention of the greedy.
- 92. *în ndj.t ît.t 'h'.w*It is the small-minded that seizes riches,
- 93. *n p3w <u>d</u>3j.t mini sp=s* but crime never managed to land its rewards.
- 94.  $iw=f \underline{d}d=f s\underline{h}t=i r=i \underline{d}s=i$ Who ever says, "I snare for myself,"
- 95.  $n \underline{d}d.n = f \underline{s}\underline{h}t = i \underline{h}r \underline{h}n.t = i$  does not say "I snare for my needs."

298. Cited by Joosten, "Hechadalti forma mixta?," 97. The two sets of superimposed vowels (pataḥ and qamaṣ) on the word פור בני pny in Exod 20:3 and Deut 5:7, and on mtht in Exod 20:4, represent different reading traditions that divide or join the verses, and not mixed forms. Beitzel, "Exodus 3:14 and the Divine Name," 10, characterizes the line raman mahēr šālāl hāš baz "swift is (the) booty, speedy is (the) prey" (Isa 8:1) as a case of farrago. Nevertheless, strictly speaking, each of the words makes grammatical sense, even if the combined reading lends the line a helter-skelter, if not speedy, feel. 299. This type of amphiboly resembles the device known as slesa that first appears in Sanskrit poetry in the sixth century CE. See Yigal Bronner, Extreme Poetry: The South Asian Movement of Simultaneous Narration (New York: Columbia University Press, 2010).

300. R. J. Williams, "The Sages of Ancient Egypt in the Light of Recent Scholarship," *JAOS* 101 (1981): 6, refers to this passage as an example of amphiboly, and in support he cites the works of Fecht, *Der Habgierige und die Maat in der Lehre des Ptahhotep, 5. und* 19. Maxime, and Burkard, *Textkritische Untersuchungen zu ägyptischen Weisheitslehren des Alten und Mittleren Reiches*. However, these scholars use the word *amphiboly* to refer to all sorts of lexical or semantic ambiguity (i.e., polysemy generally), not just to polysemy that derives from *portmanteau* or nonnormative morphology, grammar, or syntax. Nevertheless, this particular passage does exhibit some amphiboly in addition to polysemy. Fecht (p. 12) reads *'wn-ib* in 1. 91 as *hm-ib.t.* Guglielmi, "Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur," 493–95, also uses *amphibolie* for various types of ambiguous devices.

- 96. wn ph.wj m³c.t w³h=s

  The final part of what is right is its endurance,

  97. ddw s w iti=i pw
- 97. <u>ddw s w iti=i pw</u> of which a man says "that is my father."

As Gerhard Fecht has shown, several aspects of this pericope make it amphibolous. First, is the *s* in *hp.w=s* (1. 90). One cannot tell if it is a pronominal suffix attached to *hp.w* or if it is attached to *w3.t*, making it a causative, that is, *sw3.t.*<sup>301</sup> The options are thus *hp.w* "the laws" or *hp.w=s* "its laws" (referring to Maat in 1. 88) and *w3.t* "way" or *sw3.t* "escape." The line may be read as "he who transgresses the law is punished" or "he who transgresses its (Maat's) law is punished."

In line 92 the verb *iti* appears with the determinative \( \frac{1}{2} \), suggesting it means "take" or "rob." However, the verb also means "bring forward" or "use." In addition, the noun 'h'.w appears to mean "possessions, heaps, riches" since it carries the determinative a. However, in the context of mooring a boat, which immediately follows, it suggests its other meaning "landing, location, position." Moreover, 'h'.w can mean "life." Thus, we may render the phrase în ndj.t iti 'h'.w as "it is arrogance that robs possessions" or "it is arrogance that takes (its) landing," or "it is arrogance that uses (its) position," or even "it is arrogance that takes (one's) life." In line 93, it is sp=s that is polysemous. Indeed, sp possesses many varied meanings including "times, quality, choice, affair, thing, article, topic, point, nature, character, and measurement." The verb mni usually means "moor, land, dock," but it can be euphemistic for passing beyond death, in the sense of mooring in the afterlife. 302 Thus, we may translate  $n p_i d_{i,t} mn_i sp = s$  as "corruption has never brought its articles to harbor" or "corruption has never become immortal." The four lines that comprise this passage are an exquisite example of amphiboly. Moreover, adding to the nautical language of the passage is the mast sign \( \bar{h} \), which provides the triconsonantal value \( \bar{h} \) in the word \( \bar{h} \) w.

<sup>301.</sup> Fecht, Der Habgierige und die Maat in der Lehre des Ptahhotep, 5. und 19. Maxime, 15–16.

<sup>302.</sup> See, e.g., the Tale of Sinuhe B 310. A similar use of nautical terminology has been proposed for the literary texts known as Menna's Lament. See Hans Goedicke, "'Menna's Lament," *RdE* 38 (1987): 71.

Amphiboly also occurs in lines 95–96, where we can understand sht as "acquire" or s "man" + (i)h.t "thing(s), possession(s), wealth." Also amphibolous is line 97: wn ph.wj m3". t w3h=sj. The orthography permits us to read the last word as w3h=sj or w3h=s. Thus, we may read the line: "in the end it is Maat that endures" or "the final part of what is just, is its endurance."

In Ugaritic, this type of amphiboly appears in El's charge to Kirtu that he:  $\check{s}rd$  b l b dbhk bn dgn bm sdk (1.14.ii.24–26). I have discussed this passage above under multidirectional polysemy (4.1.5). Suffice it to add here that the grammar is ambiguous. One cannot tell whether  $\check{s}rd$  is an imperative (or perhaps jussive form) of the root  $\check{s}$ -r-d "serve, honor," or if it is a  $\check{s}$ -causative form of the root y-r-d "cause to descend/set down."  $^{305}$ 

Paul Raabe has espied a number of examples of amphibolous passages in the Psalms. A brief example occurs in Ps 4:9: בִּי־אַתָּה יְהוָה לְבָּדֶד לְבֶּטֶח תּוֹשִׁיבֵנִי  $k\bar{t}$  'attāh YHWH lə-bāḍāḍ lā-bɛṭaḥ tōšībēnī. The placement of בָּדָד b̄aḍāḍ "alone" is

<sup>303.</sup> Fecht, Der Habgierige und die Maat in der Lehre des Ptahhotep, 5. und 19. Maxime, 22.

<sup>304.</sup> A less sustained example of amphiboly of this type occurs in an inscription in the temple of Hathor at Dendera. According to Richter, *Theology of Hathor of Dendera*, 36–38, each of the paronomastic words in the line 3h.t3h.tim 3h.w=s permits multiple readings. The word 3h.t may be understood as "divine eye," "shining one," "uraeus," or "cow;" the verbal construction 3h.ti as "is effective" or "is luminous"; and the phrase m.3h.w=s as "in its elements" (i.e., the Divine Eye), "in her creative powers," or "in her magical spells." However, Richter does not employ the term amphiboly.

<sup>305.</sup> See Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream."

<sup>306.</sup> J. A. Emerton, "The Translation and Interpretation of Isaiah vi.13," in *Interpreting the Hebrew Bible: Essays in Honor of E. I. J. Rosenthal*, ed. J. A. Emerton and S. C. Reif (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982), 85–118.

<sup>307.</sup> Torsten Uhlig, *The Theme of Hardening in the Book of Isaiah: An Analysis of Communicative Action*, FAT 2/39 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2009), 81–82.

<sup>308.</sup> Raabe, "Deliberate Ambiguity in the Psalter."

ambiguous. One may attach it to God or the believer and thus render: "for you alone, Yahweh, make me dwell in safety" or "for you, Yahweh, make me dwell alone in safety." Some amphibolous passages in the Psalms employ indeterminate verbal subjects and pronouns to extend over several verses. Such is the case for Ps 7:12–17, about which Raabe states:

By the time one finishes reading the poem, one is not sure who does the repenting, whose weapons are prepared, and for whom! Upon reflection, the reader realizes all options are true. Unless God repents, the wicked will die. And unless the wicked repent, they will die by killing themselves. Here the ambiguity is caused by the unspecified verbal subjects and the indeterminate suffixal antecedents.<sup>309</sup>

Richard Steiner has pointed out another fine case of this type in Gen 49:10: עמִים עַמִּים וּמְחֹקָק מְבָּין רָגַלַיו עַד כִּי־יַבא שִׁילה [שִׁילוֹ] אַ לֹא־יַסוּר שָׁבָט מִיהוּדָה וּמְחֹקָק מְבָּין רַגַלַיו עַד כִּי־יַבא שִׁילה  $l\bar{o}^{\,\prime}$ yāsūr šěbet mī-yhūdāh ū-mhōqēq mib-bēn raglāw 'ad kī yābo' šīloh [šīlō] wə-lō yiqqəhat 'ammīm "the rod will not depart from Judah, nor the staff from between his legs, as long as men come from Shiloh, and unto him shall the obedience of the peoples be."<sup>310</sup> The translation is only provisional, since there are different ways of understanding the sentence depending on how one understands the preposition עד 'ad, here rendered "as long as." One also can read it as "until," "forever," or even "not ever." In fact, the entire passage is loaded with polysemy. As a double entendre, the passage alludes to the tradition reported in Gen 38 in which Judah gave Tamar his staff as collateral (with the staff between the legs providing added sexual euphemism). The first stich may be a curse, that is, "the rod (punishment) will not depart from Judah." Or it may refer to the rulership not departing from Judah's hand. Moreover, the word שׁילוֹן אַ אַזוֹס אַ šīloh [šīlō] "Shilo" may be read as a dialectical reflex of the words שׁ אֹבּע sāy lōh "tribute belongs to him."311

In Ps 17:14, the Psalmist advises: וּצְפִינְדּ [וּצְפּוּנְדּ] הְמַלֵּא בְטְנְם יִשְׂבְּעוּ בְנִים וְהַנִּיחוּ  $\bar{u}$ -ṣp̄ūnkַā [ū-ṣp̄ūnk̄ā] təmallē bitnām yiśbə ū b̄anīm wə-hinnīhū yitrām lə-ʿōləlēhem "As for your treasured ones, fill their bellies. Their sons shall be satisfied, and have something to leave over for their young." Of interest here is the grammatical ambiguity posed by the words יִשְּׂבְעוּ בְנִים yiśbə ū b̄anīm. One can read בְּנִים b̄anīm "sons" either as the subject or object of the verb, that is, their

<sup>309.</sup> Raabe, "Deliberate Ambiguity in the Psalter," 225.

<sup>310.</sup> Richard C. Steiner, "Four Inner-Biblical Interpretations of Genesis 49:10: On the Lexical and Syntactic Ambiguities of var as Reflected in the Prophecies of Nathan, Ahijah, Ezekiel, and Zechariah," *JBL* 132 (2013): 33–60.

<sup>311.</sup> Steiner, "Four Inner-Biblical Interpretations of Genesis 49:1"; Steiner, "Poetic Forms in the Masoretic Vocalization and Three Difficult Phrases in Jacob's Blessing: יְתֶּר שָאָה (Gen 49:3), יְתֶר שָׁאָה (Gen 49:4), and יבא שׁילה (Gen 49:10)," JBL 129 (2010): 219–26.

sons shall be satisfied" or "they will be sated with sons." As such, this case of amphiboly illustrates multidirectional polysemy as well. As the subject, "sons" parallels מֵוֹלְלִיהֶּם 'olalehem "their young," but as the object, it forces one to rethink בַּטְּנְם  $\underline{bitnām}$  as "their issue, progeny"—the notion being here that one's children also may be one's sustenance. 312

# 4.1.14.3. AMPHIBOLY: INFINITIVE ABSOLUTE AND FINITE VERBAL FORMS

A third type of amphiboly combines an infinitive absolute derived from one root with a finite verb derived from another. It appears only in biblical Hebrew, and only a handful of these exist (Isa 28:28, Jer 8:13, 42:10, 48:9, Zeph 1:2).<sup>314</sup> The prophecy against Judah in Jer 8:12–13 will demonstrate:

<sup>312.</sup> The masculine suffix on "bellies" should not deter us. Cf. בְּטְרָּדְ bitnək̄a "your womb" in Ps 132:11. For other examples of amphiboly, see Jonathan Breuer, "Dissonance between Masoretic Accentuation and Vocalization in Verse Division (of the Biblical Text)" [Hebrew], in Jubilee Book for Rabbi Mordechai Breuer, ed. M. Bar-Asher (Jerusalem: Academon, 1992), 191–242.

<sup>313.</sup> Reymond, "Wisdom of Words in the Wisdom of Ben Sira," 236.

<sup>314.</sup> Scott B. Noegel, "A Slip of the Reader and Not the Reed: (Infinitive Absolutes with Divergent Finite Forms). Part I," *JBQ* 26 (1998): 12–19; "Part II," *JBQ* 26 (1998): 93–100. On the existence of the infinitive absolute construction in other Semitic languages, see Yoo-Ki Kim, *The Function of the Tautological Infinitive in Classical Biblical Hebrew*, HSS 60 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2009); Holger Gzella, "Emphasis or Assertion? Remarks on the Patronomastic Infinite in Hebrew," *BiOr* 67 (2010): 488–98. Though Targumic Aramaic employs an infinitive absolute construction when rendering the same in Hebrew texts, there is no case in which the translator employed different roots for the infinitive and finite forms. Even for the Hebrew cases I examine below, the Targumim render the infinitive absolute and finite forms from the same roots.

הֹבְשׁוּ כִּי תוֹעֵבָה עְשׁוּ גַּם־בּוֹשׁ לֹא־יֵבִשׁוּ וְהָכֶּלֵם לֹא יֶדְעוּ לָבֵן יִפְּלוּ בַּנֹפְלִים בְּעֵת פְּקְדָּתָם יִכְשְׁלוּ אָמַר יְהוָה: אָסף אֲסִיפֵם נְאָם־יְהוָה אֵין עֲנָבִים בַּגָּפֶן וָאֵין תָּאֵנִים בַּתָּאֵנָה וְהַעָלֵה נָבֵל וָאֵתֵן לָהֵם יַעִברוּם: וָאֵין תָּאֵנִים בַּתָּאֵנָה וְהַעָלֵה נָבֵל וָאֵתֵן לָהֵם יַעִברוּם:

hōbīsū kī tō 'ebāh 'āśū gam bōs lō' yēbōsū wə-hikkālēm lō' yādā 'ū lākēn yippəlū ban-nōplīm bə- 'ēt pəqudātām yikāslū 'āmar YHWH 'āsōp 'àsīpēm nə'um-YHWH 'ēn 'ănābīm bag-gepen wə- 'ēn tə 'ēnīm bat-tə 'ēnāh wə-he 'āleh nābēl wā- 'ettēn lāhem ya 'abrūm

Are they ashamed of the abomination they do? Indeed, they are verily not ashamed, they do not even know to be humiliated. Therefore, they will fall among the fallen, in the time of their punishment they shall stumble, says Yahweh. אַסִיפַם declares Yahweh.

No grapes on the vine, no figs on the fig tree, the leaves all withered, that which I gave them shall pass from them.

Amphibolous is Yahweh's proclamation: אָסֹף אָסִיפֶּם ʾāsōp̄ ʾǎsīpēm. The infinitive absolute derives from the root אסף '-s-p "gather," but the finite verb derives from the verb סוף s-w-p "make an end of." In suggesting the meaning "gather," the phrase anticipates the agricultural reference in the next line: "no grapes on the vine, no figs on the fig tree, the leaves all withered" (Jer 8:13).315 In suggesting a violent "end," the pronouncement follows Jeremiah's guarantee that the people of Judah "will fall among the fallen, in the time of their punishment they shall stumble" (Jer 8:12). The prophet has cleverly prepared the listener/reader for a similar verbal surprise just prior. Note how in 8:12, he employs an infinitive absolute form followed by the expected finite verb of the same root in בּוֹשׁ לֹא־יַבשׁוּ  $b\bar{o}$ ś  $l\bar{o}$  ' $v\bar{e}b\bar{o}$ ś $\bar{u}$  "they are verily not ashamed." However, immediately following we hear the infinitival form והכַלם wə-hikkālēm "to be humiliated," which by parallelism would suggest that the finite form יְבַּלְמוּ  $yikkalm\bar{u}$  "they are humiliated" would come next; but instead we hear לֹא יֵדַעוּ  $\dot{b}$  $v\bar{a}d\bar{a}$  ' $\bar{u}$ " they do not even know," which is derived from a wholly different verb. 316 This, then, prepares us for the amphiboly of אָסֹף אָסִיפָּם 'āsōp̄ 'ăsīpēm, which functions like a multidirectional polysemy, but by combining different roots where a single root would be normative.

Amphiboly causes immediate confusion for readers/listeners, because it deliberately breaks the normative rules of morphology, grammar, and syntax. It produces polysemy by creating nonnormative forms and arrangements. As a

-

<sup>315.</sup> For אָסֶף ' $\bar{a}sar{a}\bar{p}$  in reference to agricultural yields, see Exod 23:10, Jer 40:10, Job 39:12.

<sup>316.</sup> I thank one of the publisher's anonymous reviewer for this observation.

result, when one comes upon a case of amphiboly, one's first reaction is to assume that the text is in error. It is not until one continues reading/listening that one realizes that the morphological and grammatical peculiarities represent polysemous neologisms. It is this rupture of language that makes amphiboly unique among the known types of polysemy. It operates both aurally and visually.

# 4.2. TYPES OF PARONOMASIA

Unlike polysemy, paronomasia operates across word divisions and is primarily a sound device, though all forms of paronomasia are effective visually as well in a consonantal system. There are twelve ways that ancient scribes could create paronomasia. These include: homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, anastrophe, epanastrophe, parasonance, homonymic paronomasia, numerical paronomasia, bilingual paronomasia, anagrammatic paronomasia, hendiadic paronomasia, rhyme, and geminate parallelism and clustering. The sound effect produced in each case we may call alliterative.

# 4.2.1. HOMOEOPROPHERON

Homoeopropheron is the repetition of the initial sounds of words.<sup>317</sup> Examples of homoeopropheron occur in Sumerian texts, though the writing system demands that we count the intervening vowel as well. See, for example, a prayer in the form of a poetic letter sent to Enki by one Sin-šamuh.<sup>318</sup>

LÚ IN.NA SU.LUM.MAR.ŠÈ BA.KU<sub>4</sub>.RE.EN NAM.TAR.MU BA.KÚR.E.EN

The taunter has made me enter into shame, estranged my fate.

Observe how the sounds /kur-en/ in KU<sub>4</sub>.RE.EN "enter" paronomastically anticipate KÚR.E.EN "estrange." This example also represents a case of end rhyme (see 4.2.11).

See too the following proverb:

GUD SÚN GU<sub>7</sub>.A.GIM GIRI<sub>6</sub> (GÍRxKÁR) GU<sub>4</sub>.UD.DÈ.ZA

<sup>317.</sup> The term ὁμοιοπρόφερον "homoeopropheron" appears first in Martianus Capella, *Grammaticus Latinus* 5.167 (fifth century CE).

<sup>318.</sup> William W. Hallo, "Individual Prayer in Sumerian: The Continuity of a Tradition," *JAOS* 88 (1968): 83; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 33.

Like an ox that has eaten malt, is your jumping/dancing with your feet.

Here the sound of the noun GUD "ox" in the first stich finds paronomastic balance with GU<sub>4</sub>.UD "jump" in the second stich.<sup>319</sup>

Another pithy proverb exploits the similarity in sound between two biconsonantal signs:

[EN].GIM DÙ SAĜ.GIM DU [S]AĜ.GIM DÙ EN.GIM DU Build like a [lord], walk like a slave! Build like a slave, walk like a lord!

In this case, the poet emphasizes the paronomasia between  $D\dot{U}$  "build" and DU "walk" by placing the nouns they govern in chiasmus.<sup>320</sup>

One more Sumerian example from a bilingual Shuilla prayer of Nanna-Suen:

AMAR BÀN.DA SI GUR<sub>4</sub>.GUR<sub>4</sub>.RA Á.ÚR ŠU.DU<sub>7</sub> SU<sub>6</sub> ZA.GÌN.NA SÙ.SÙ ḤI.LI LA.LA MA.AL.LA.TA

Impetuous calf with sturdy horns, Perfected limbs. Adorned with a lapis lazuli beard, Full of voluptuousness and allure.

Paronomasia in this passage occurs between SU<sub>6</sub> "beard" and SÙ.SÙ "adorn."<sup>321</sup> Ludlul bēl nēmeqi demonstrates homoeopropheron in Akkadian. Near the end of the first tablet we read: *arhu innamma inammera* <sup>d</sup>šamši (<sup>d</sup>UTU) "the moon will change and the sun will shine."<sup>322</sup> Here the initial consonants of the word *innamma* "will change" are repeated immediately afterwards in *inammera* "will shine."<sup>323</sup>

<sup>319.</sup> Alster, *Proverbs of Ancient Sumer*, 63, 370; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 34.

<sup>320.</sup> Alster, *Proverbs of Ancient Sumer*, 71; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 33.

<sup>321.</sup> Ake W. Sjöberg, *Der Mondgott Nanna-Suen in der sumerischen Überlieferung* (Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell, 1960), 166; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 34.

<sup>322.</sup> Line 120 of the third fragment published by Wiseman, "New Text of the Babylonian Poem of the Righteous Sufferer," 107.

<sup>323.</sup> Noted as a "sound play" by Wiseman, "New Text of the Babylonian Poem of the Righteous Sufferer," 107.

A particularly striking case of homoeopropheron occurs in the Atra-hasis Epic 1.93, in which the divine vizier Nusku rouses the god Enlil from his sleep by saying:  $b\bar{e}l\bar{\iota}$   $b\bar{\iota}n\bar{u}$   $b\bar{u}nuka$  "my lord, the sons are your nobility."<sup>324</sup> In addition to repeating the phoneme /b/ in each of the three words, the statement twice repeats the /n/.

The Epic of Gilgamesh also demonstrates the device. When the hunter brings a prostitute (Shamhat) to a watering hole that the wildman Enkidu frequents, he tells her to reveal her nakedness to Enkidu when she sees him. As he promises:  $d\bar{a}du\bar{s}u$  ihabbubu eli (UGU)  $s\bar{e}ri$  (EDIN)-ki "his love will caress and embrace you" (1.86). Shortly after this, the narrator tells us: urtammi samhat  $d\bar{a}d\bar{a}sa$  "Shamhat untied her skirts" (1.18). The use of  $d\bar{a}d\bar{a}sa$  "her skirts," reminds us of  $d\bar{a}du\bar{s}u$  "his love." Afterwards we are informed: ipussuma lulla sipir sinniste "she treated the man to the work of a woman" (1.192), and sipir sinniste "after he (Enkidu) was sated with her delights" (1.195). Homoeopropheron between sin si

A particularly sustained example of homoeopropheron in Akkadian appears in the Hymn to Shamash (Il. 178–181).

- 178. [m]u-šaḥ-lu-ú u<sub>4</sub>-mu mu-še-rid an-qul-lu ana erṣetim qab-lu u<sub>4</sub>-me Who makes the day to shine, who sends down scorching heat to the earth at midday,
- 179. [m]u-šaḥ-miṭ ki-ma nab-li erṣetim ra-pa-áš-tum Who makes the broad earth glow like flame,
- 180. [m]u-kar-ru-ú u<sub>4</sub>-me mu-ur-ri-ku mušâti
  Who yet shortens the days and lengthens the nights,
- 181. [mu-šab-šu-]u ku-şu ḥal-pa-a šu-ri-pa šal-gi [Who causes] cold, frost, ice, and snow.

<sup>324.</sup> W. G. Lambert and A. R. Millard, *Atra-ḫasīs: The Babylonian Flood Story* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1969), 49, leave the word *būnu* untranslated. I take it to mean "nobility," since the emphasis is on the gods' relationship to Enlil. This emphasis continues in 1. 94 with the query: *mārū ramānika minšu tādur* "why do you fear your own sons?" On the translation "nobility," see *CAD* B, s.v. "*būnu* C."

<sup>325.</sup> The use of the verb  $ram\hat{u}$  "untie" here (i.e., in urtammi) is powerful in its subtlety. In 1.180, the hunter first had told the prostitute:  $rumm\hat{u}$   $kirimm\bar{u}$  "Release (lit. 'untie') your hold," a statement that repeats the /r/, /m/, and /k/ sounds. Interestingly, when the event happens, we are not told that she "released" (i.e.,  $ram\hat{u}$ ) her hold, but instead that she "untied" (i.e.,  $ram\hat{u}$ ) her skirts. The audience is thus expecting to hear one paronomastic phrase, but instead is treated to another.

<sup>326.</sup> As caught by Benjamin R. Foster, "Gilgamesh: Sex, Love, and the Ascent of Knowledge," in *Love and Death in the Ancient Near East: Essays in Honor of Marvin H. Pope*, ed. John H. Marks and Robert M. Good (Guilford, CT: Four Quarters Publishing Company, 1987), 24.

Homoeopropheron obtains both vertically, in that each of the successive lines starts with /mu/ (the first two with /mušaḫ/), and horizontally through the repetition of the /mu/ sound in  $\bar{u}mu$  "day" and  $mu\bar{s}\bar{e}rid$  "sends down" in line 178, murriku "who lengthens" and  $mu\bar{s}\hat{a}ti$  "nights" in line 180, and  $mu\bar{s}ab\bar{s}\hat{u}$  in line 181. Here  $er\bar{s}etim$  "land" (2x),  $k\bar{u}ma$  "like," and  $rapa\bar{s}tum$  "broad," and the two-fold appearance of ume "day," offer additional repetition of the sound /m/.

An Egyptian case of homoeopropheron appears in P.Westcar in a description of how the goddess Meskhenet approached newly born triplets of the royal house: 'h'.n ms.n sj mshn.t r=f "then Meskhenet approached him" (10.20).<sup>327</sup> Here the consonants of the verb ms "approach" are repeated in the same order in the name mshnt "Meskhenet." This example also represents paronomasia for appellative purposes.

On the Stela of Neferabu (BM 589 verso, ll. 6–7), Neferabu states that the god Ptah made him ml lwlw.w n lwj.t lw=l m dr.t=f "like the dogs of the street, I being under his hand (i.e., power)." Here the consonants lw repeat four times: twice in the word lwlw.w "dogs," once in lwlw.t "street," and again in the copula lwlw.t "street," and again in the copula lwlw.t "street,"

Similarly, in the Hymn to Amun-Ra inscribed on the stela of Suty and Hor, Amun is praised as follows:  $snhp=k\ r\ wbn\ dw3.w\ hddw.t=k\ wb3=s\ ir.tj\ 'w.t$  "you rouse to rise at dawn, your brightness, it opens the eyes of the flocks" (l. 7). Note how the first consonants in wbn "shine" repeat in wb3 "opens."

The Prophecy of Neferti offers a similar example in line 51:  $wr ip.t \ h\vec{s}i = tw = s$   $m \ wbn \ [iw] \ r' iwd = f \ sw \ rmt \ [wb] \ n = f \ wn \ wnw.t$  "it (the measure) is measured to overflowing. Ra will withdraw from humankind, his shine exists for but an hour." Here the phonemes /w/ and /b/ repeat in wbn "overflowing" and wbn "shine," and the /w/ and /n/ repeat in wn "exists" and wnw.t "hour."<sup>328</sup>

On the granite monolith inscribed with the Triumph Hymn of Thutmosis III (CM 34010.9–10), we read: ir=s is-h/g m nbd.w-qd/m=s imj.w nb.w=sn m nsr.t=s "she (Pharaoh's uraeus) made easy prey of the perverse-minded, she consumed those in their entirety, with her flame." Homoeopropheron here occurs between nbd.w "peverse" and nb.w "entirety."

A final demonstration in Egyptian was noted by Lawrence Stager.<sup>329</sup> It appears near the end of the famous Merneptah Stela, and boasts that, due to the pharaoh's might, *h3rw hpr.w h3r.t* "Hurru has become a widow." The sounds /h/ and /r/ repeat in all three words, and the sound /3/ in the first and last. This case also serves an appellative function.

<sup>327.</sup> Parys, Le récit du Papyrus Westcar, 70-71.

<sup>328.</sup> The use of *wbn* "overflowing" and *wbn* as "shine" also constitutes homonymic paronomasia (see 4.2.6).

<sup>329.</sup> Lawrence E. Stager, "Merneptah, Israel, and the Sea Peoples: New Light on an Old Relief," EI 18 (1985): 56.

There are numerous cases of homoeopropheron in Ugaritic. See, for example the Rephaim Text (*CAT* 1.22.i.4–8):

- 4. *tm* There
- tkm bm tkm ahm qym il shoulder to shoulder, brothers, attendants of El.
- 6.  $blsmt \ \underline{t}m \ y[`]bs \ \underline{s}m \ il \ mtm$ There mortals ... the name of El,
- 7. *y bš brkn šm il ģzrm* ... heroes bless the name of El.
- 8. <u>tm tmq rpu b l</u> ... There Thumuqan (and) the shades of Baal ...

The passage presents some difficulties such as the unknown etymon 'bš. Nevertheless, the presence of homoeopropheron is clear in the thrice repeated particle  $\underline{t}m$  "there" and the divine name  $\underline{t}mq$  "Thumuqan." Supporting the homoeopropheron is the two-fold use of  $\underline{t}km$  "shoulder." In addition, line 17 makes reference to the mythological toponym  $\underline{t}mk$  "Thamuku."

See also the phrase drkt dt drdrk "the dominion of your eternity" in the words of the craftsman god Kothar-wa-Ḥasis to Baal (CAT 1.2.iv.10). Here the sounds /d/ and /r/ in drkt "dominion" resound in drdr "everlasting," which the relative pronoun dt assists. The prominence that the poet gave this line also is evident in that he gave the stich its own line on the tablet.<sup>330</sup>

A Hebrew example of homoeopropheron occurs in the prophet Isaiah's declaration that Yahweh will bring upon the people פַּחַד וְפַּחַת שְּבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבַּח שְׁבִּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבִּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבִּים שְׁבְּח שְׁבִים שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּים שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שִׁבְּח שְׁבְּח שְׁבְּיוּ שְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּישְׁבְּיוּשְׁבְּיִים שְׁבְּבְּיב שְׁבְּבְּיבְּיוּבְישְׁבְּישְׁבְּבְּישְׁבְּישְׁבְּישְב

See similarly Isa 26:1, which begins: עִּיר עְּוֹ־לְּנִוּ יְשׁׁיִת חוֹמוֹת וְחֵלֹּ יִּשִׁיה עִּיר עְּוֹ־לְּנִוּ יְשׁׁיִת חוֹמוֹת יְשִׁית חוֹמוֹת יִשִּׁיה ' $\bar{i}r$  'oz lānū yəšū 'āh yāšīt hōmōt wā-hēl "we have a strong city, he (Yahweh) established salvation, walls, and a rampart." The first two words begin with an 'ayin (y), the second two with a yod and šin (יִיש), and the last two with a het (ח).

Hosea 10:10 offers a particularly fine example: בְּאַנְּתִי וְאֶּסְבוּ וְאָלֶיהֶם וֹאָסְבּוּ בְּאַנְתִי וְעִלִיהֶם [עוֹנְתְם [עוֹנְתְם [עוֹנִתְם [עוֹנָת [עוֹנִתְם [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִת [עוֹנַם [עוֹנִת [עוֹנִית [עוֹנית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנִית [עוֹנית [עוני [עוֹנְית [עוּנִית [עוּנְית [עוּנְים [עוֹנְית [עוּנְם [עוֹנְית

<sup>330.</sup> See Jonathan Yogev, "Visual Poetry in the Ugaritic Tablet KTU 1.2," UF 46 (2015): 447-53.

provides homonymic paronomasia with אֶּפֶתְרֵים 'ɛssŏrēm "I will chastise them."<sup>331</sup> See too Hosea's complaint that אֶפְרֵיִם רֹשֶה רוּחַ וְרֹדֵף קְדִים רֹשֶה רוּחַ יִּבְּרִים רֹשֶה רוּחַ יִּבְּרִים רֹשֶה רוּחַ יִּבְּרִים רֹשֶה יבּקּה יבּקּ יִבְּרִים רֹשֶה יבּקּה יבּקּ יבּקרים רֹשֶה 'ɛsprayīm rō 'ɛh rūaḥ wərōḏēp̄ qāḏīm "Ephraim shepherds the wind, and pursues the east wind" (Hos 12:2). Following the /r/ in Ephraim are three successive words beginning with the sound /r/.

Shalom Paul has drawn attention to another example of homoeopropheron in Amos' prophecy concerning Samaria's elite: וְסֶר מִרְזַח סְרוּחִים wa-sār mizrah sərūḥ̄m "the revelry of those who stretch themselves shall pass" (Amos 6:7). $^{333}$  The phonemes /s/ and /r/ in סָּר "shall pass" are identical to the first two consonants in טְרוּחִים รərūḥ̄m "those who stretch themselves."

Proverbs 23:13 offers another demonstration: אַל־תִּמְעֵ מִנְּעֵר מוּסֶר בִּי־תַבֶּנּג לֹא יְמוּת 'al timna 'min-na 'ar mūsār kī takkennū baš-šēbət lō 'yāmūt' 'do not withhold correction from the child, for though you beat him with a rod, he will not die." In Hebrew, the words "withhold" and "from the child" are juxtaposed as timna 'min-na 'ar. The very root of the verb resounds in the preposition m mi- "from" and noun נַעֵר 'boy." The effect is achieved syntactically by placing the direct object after the indirect object.

The Moabite stela of King Mesha (ca. 840 BCE) also illustrates homoeopropheron. We hear the initial consonants abla /qr/ repeated several times when the king boasts that he built banks for the reservoir "inside the city [בקרב הקר b-qrb h-qr], but there was no cistern inside the city at Qarho [בקרחה, b-qrb h-qr b-qrhh]" (ll. 23–24). The latter demonstrates an appellative function as well.

See also the boast of King Azitawadda in his Phoenician inscription (KAI 26A.6–7): און מלא אגך עקרת פער ופעל אגך סס על סט w-ml' nk qrt p'r w-p'l nk ss 'l ss "I filled the arsenals of Paar, and I added horse upon horse." Note how the verb שעל "added" (lit. "made") immediately follows upon the toponym פער "Paar," the two sharing their first two consonants. Later the king similarly promotes his success at making his subjects live בשבע ובמנעם ובשבת נעמת b-sb'

<sup>331.</sup> Noted as a "pun" by Morris, *Prophecy, Poetry, and Hosea*, 87. The *qere/kethib* עֵּינֹתֶם (יַּמִּנֹתְם 'ēnotַām ('ōnotַām) raises the question of whether one should read "their two rings" or "their iniquities."

<sup>332.</sup> The words לֹא 'דֹבְּרִיחְנּוּ  $l\bar{o}$ ' yabrīḥɛnnū also can mean "do not penetrate, pass through" (cf. Exod 26:28, 36:33). I thank one of the publisher's anonymous reviewers for this observation.

<sup>333.</sup> Paul, Amos, 210.

w-b-mn 'm w-b-sbt n 'mt "in satedness, and in pleasantness, and in pleasant living" (B, ll. 12–13). Visual homoeopropheron occurs here between שבת sb "sated" and sb "living" (lit. "dwelling"). Assisting the device is the repeated preposition sb "in" and the use of the root sb" "sb" "pleasant" twice with different nuance.

The book of Daniel contains a number of cases of homoeopropheron in biblical Aramaic. In particular, observe the frequent use of the phrase דנה דניאל dənāh dānīvyē'l "this Daniel" (Dan 2:24), which appears in various forms (Dan 6:4, 6:6, 6:29), as well as the phrase לְחֵם רֵב לְרָבְרָבַנוֹהְי ləḥɛm rab lə-rabrəbānōhī "a great feast for his nobles" (Dan 5:1). See also Dan 6:22: אַדין דָניָאל עִם־מַלְכָּא מַלְל מַלְבַּא לְעַלְמִין חֵיִי ʾĕd̪ayīn dāniyyɛʾl ʿim malkāʾ mallīl malkāʾ lə-ʿālmīn ḥĕyī "then Daniel said to the king, O king, live forever!" There also are the repeated consonants mem and lamed (מל) in מלבא מלל מלבא malkā' mallīl malkā' "said to the king, O king," which are bolstered by their appearance in a different order in לְעַלְמִין la- 'ālmīn "forever" and the preposition עם 'im "to." Another instance of homoeopropheron occurs in Daniel's vision in which he sees a נָהֶר דִּירנוּר נָגֶד וְנַפֶּק nəhar dī nūr nāgēd wə-nāpēq "stream of fire issued and came forth" (Dan 7:10). 334 Each of the primary words in the line begins with a nun (1). See similarly the repeated initial gaph (ק) in [קתרוס קתרוס קיתרוס קל קרנא מַשׁרוֹקיתא קיתרוס קמרוס qāl garnāʾ mašrōqītāʾ qītārōs [qatrōs] "the sound of the horn, pipe, harp" (Dan 3:5, 3:7, 3:10, 3:15). Adding to the device is an grammatic paronomasia between משרוקיתא קיתרוס  $ma\check{s}r\bar{o}q\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}$  ' $q\bar{\imath}t\bar{a}r\bar{o}s$ , which exploits the sounds /q/, /t/, and /r/. The repeated listing of these instruments, along with סָבְּכָא פָּסָנְתָּרִין סּוּמְפַּנְיָה וְכֹל זְנֵי זְמֵרָא  $sab\underline{k}ar{a}$ pəsantērīn sūmponyāh wə-kŏl zənē zəmārā "trigon, psaltery, dulcimer, and all kinds of music," also represents onomatopoeia by replicating the sounds of musical instruments.335

Like most cases of paronomasia, homoeopropheron is primarily an aural device, though one also can appreciate it visually. Since it involves the repetition of the initial sounds of words, it is tied to syllable formation, and so we may deem its effect accentual or emphatic. In Hebrew and Aramaic, where the accent tends to fall on final syllables, it perhaps serves as a counter-accent or paronomastic

<sup>334.</sup> A brief case of homoeopropheron also introduces Daniel's vision in 7:2: אַרְבַּע wa-ʾarū ʾarbaʿ "behold the four (winds of heaven)."

<sup>335.</sup> The dulcimer does not appear in Dan 3:7. Homoeopropheron with the zayin (†) also obtains in the phrase יְנֵי יְמְרָא zanē zamārā' "kinds of music," as does anagrammatic paronomasia between the words פְּסַנְּתֵּרִין סוֹמְפֹנְיָת pasantērīn sūmponyāh, which repeat the sounds /p/, /s/, and /n/ in a different order. A similar case involving onomatopoeia appears in the Egyptian text Neferkare and the General (P.Chassinat I, X + 2/x + 7-x + 13), as noted by Jay, Orality and Literacy in the Demotic Tales, 103: is [rf spr] n mn-nfr spr r [...] [..]. =f m hs hs.w m šm' šm'.w m tiŝ ti[ś.w m g]śwś mśw[ś.w r] pr spr n mn-nfr [...] "now the [pleader] of Memphis had reached [...] He was [prevented (?)] by the singing of the sin[gers, the music] of the musicians, the acclamations of the a[cclaimers, and the w]histling of the whist[lers, until] the pleader of Memphis went forth [...]."

prelude to the accent. In poetry it is likely that it contributed to the rhythm or meter of musical accompaniment. Homoeopropheron lends cohesiveness to a text. Often it encourages one to form meaningful relationships between the words involved, to see them as embodying a transformation from one thing to another, or to connect action to consequence.

# 4.2.2. HOMOIOTELEUTON

Homoioteleuton is the repetition of the final sounds of words.<sup>336</sup> An example of this device occurs in the Sumerian tale of the Return of Ninurta to Nippur (ll. 92–93).<sup>337</sup>

LUGAL <sup>GIŠ</sup>RAB AN.NA GÚ.GAL DIĜIR.RE.E.E KIŠIB.LÁ <sup>d</sup>ENLÍL.LÁ ZI.ŠÀ.ĜÁL É.KUR.RA

O sovereign shackle of An, foremost among the gods, Seal-bearer of Enlil, inspired by Ekur.

As Cooper has shown, the poet has repeated the final sound /gal/ in the words LUGAL "sovereign," GÚ.GAL "foremost," and ZI.ŠÀ.ĜÁL "inspired."

In Akkadian, we find homoioteleuton in Ludlul bēl nēmeqi, in which the erudite sufferer laments:  $\check{s}arru\ \check{s}\bar{\imath}r\ il\bar{\imath}\ ^d\check{s}am\check{s}i\ \check{s}a\ ni\check{s}\bar{\imath}\check{s}u$  "the king, flesh of the gods, who is the sun of his people" (1.55). Note how both  $^d\check{s}am\check{s}i$  "sun" and  $ni\check{s}\bar{\imath}\check{s}u$  "his people" repeat the syllable / $\check{s}i$ /. Reinforcing the homoioteleuton is homoeopropheron between  $\check{s}arru$  "king" and  $\check{s}\bar{\imath}r$  "flesh," the relative pronoun  $\check{s}a$  "who," and the suffixed pronoun  $\check{s}u$  "his."

Assonantal homoioteleuton also occurs in the Hymn to Shamash, which records the people praising the sun god: *šinama palḫaka* [*i*]*štammara zikirka* "they in their reverence of you, laud the mention of you" (l. 165). Observe how each of the four words in this line ends with /a/. Reinforcing the homoioteleuton is the repeated *a*-vowel in the syllables /na/, /pal/, /ha/, /tam/, and /ma/.

The Instructions of Amenemope (P.BM 10474) demonstrate homoioteleuton in Egyptian. I refer to Amenemope's advice in 16.1–5:

m irj irj mt n mdw.t n 'd'.

Do not brush aside with false words,

336. We first find the term ὁμοιοτέλευτον "homoioteleuton" in Aristotle, *Rhet.* 1410b1 (fourth century BCE); Demetrius Phalereus, *Demetrius on Style* 26 (fourth century BCE). In Quintilian's day (9.3.77), rhetors usually employed homoioteleuton at the end of stichs in a tricolon.

337. See Cooper, *Return of Ninurta to Nippur*, 72–73, 162, who lists it in an appendix labeled "rhyme, alliteration, and assonance."

mtw=k rmnî kj m ns.t=k

So as to brush aside a man by your tongue.

m îrj îrj hsb tî nkt mtw=k s 'd} p}jw=k 'r

Do not assess a man who has nothing, and thus falsify your stylus.

ir gmi=k wd3 '3.t n nmh

If you find a large debt against a poor man ...

Here the final consonants in 'd3' "false," resound in the realted verb s'd3 "falsify," and wd3 "debt."

Homoioteleuton also appears on the stela of Suty and Hor. Note in particular line 10, which characterizes Amun-Ra as  $\underline{hnm.w\,imn\,hnmm.t}$  "a Khnum and Amun for humanity." The three words repeat the final consonants n and m, in one case anagrammatically.

Homoioteleuton also occurs in an Ugaritic incantation against the evil eye (*CAT* 1.96.5–13).

- 5. *tpnn 'n*The eye of
- 6. bty 'n btt tpnn the wizard distorts, the eye of the witch distorts.
- 'n mhr 'n phr
   (As for) the eye of the tax collector, the eye of the potter,
- 8. 'n <u>tģr</u> 'n <u>tģr</u> (and) the eye of the gatekeeper: The eye of the gatekeeper,
- 9. *l tgr ttb 'n phr* will revert to the gatekeeper, the eye of the potter,
- 10. *l phr ttb 'n mhr* will revert to the potter, the eye of the tax collector,
- 11. *l mhr t<u>t</u>b 'n b<u>t</u>y* will revert to the tax collector, the eye of the wizard,
- 12. *l bty ttb 'n [btt]* will revert to the wizard, the eye of the witch,
- 13. *l b<u>t</u>t t*[<u>t</u>b ...] will revert to the witch ...

Observe how the final phonemes /h and /r repeat in *mhr* "tax collector" and *phr* "potter." Additional paronomasia appears between *bty* "wizard" (and the

female form  $b\underline{t}t$  "witch") and  $t\underline{t}b$  "revert," which in themselves represent a reversal, and thus likely were intended to contribute to the efficacy of the spell. Moreover, both  $\underline{t}\underline{t}$  and  $\underline{r}$  further resound in the repeated noun  $\underline{t}\underline{g}r$  "gatekeeper."

A concise example of homoioteleuton in Ugaritic appears in the Epic of Baal (CAT 1.2.iii.15–16):  $\S{m}$  'm' ['tr yt]ir tr il abk "hear now, O Athtar, Bull El, your father, will avenge." Note how the final consonants of  $\S{m}$  "hear" repeat in m "O." The homoioteleuton is strengthened by repetition of the sounds t and t in 't t "Athtar," t "he will avenge," and t "bull."

Homoioteleuton appears in the Hebrew Bible in the prophecy of Nahum: אַרְבָּיִם וּפִּק בַּרְבַּיִם  $\bar{u}$  בּּוֹקְה וּמְבֵּלְּקָה וּמְבֵּלְּקָה וְלֵב נָמֵס וּפִּק בּּרְבַּיִם  $\bar{u}$  בּּוֹקָה וּמְבֵּלְּקָה וּמְבֵּלְּקָה וּלְב נָמֵס וּפִּק בּּרְבַּיִם  $\bar{u}$  "Desolation, devastation, and destruction! Heart(s) melt, knees buckle" (Nah 2:11). Observe how the prophet ends each of the first two nouns with the syllables /b̄ūqāh/ and the third with /b̄ullāqāh/.

See also Prov 12:25: "anxiety in a man's heart depresses him יַשְׁתֶּנָּהן yašhennāh], but a kind word cheers him up (יִשְׁמֶּתֶנָּה yośamməhennāh]." The verbs for "depress" and "cheer up" share a het (ת) as their final consonant. The poet has used these verbs in conjunction with identical suffixes in order to repeat the sound /hennāh/ at the end of each stich.

Lady Wisdom employs homoioteleuton in Prov 9:4: ""whoever is simple turn [אָסָּר] here,' to those who lack [אַסְר h̪ãsar] judgment she says it." The highlighted words share the same two final consonants ס samech /s/ and א resh /r/. Enhancing the visual impact of the device is the defective spelling of אַסָּר ȳōsar. Homoioteleuton continues in 9:7 with אַסֹר "he who chastises."

We also find homoioteleuton at work in Job 28:16: "it (wisdom) cannot be purchased with the gold of Ophir [אוֹפִּיר], with precious onyx or sapphire [אַפִּיר]. Here the sound /p̄ɪr/ occurs at the end of the words "Ophir" and "sapphire." See also Job's complaint about God: יִפְּרָעֵנִי פֶּרֶץ עֵלֹ־פְּנֵי־פָּרֶץ יֻרַץ עָלִּרְאַלָּי יֻרָץ עָלִּרְאַלָּי יָרֶץ יְרָץ עָלִּרְי יָרֶץ עָלִּרְאַנִי פָּרָץ יֹן וּשְׁרַץ יַרָץ עָלִר יַּבּוּשׁ pare "breach," and in the first verb of the second stich pare "he runs." As Seow observes, the impact is visual as well:

The repeated bilabial p opens to a glide, y, thus graphically (and phonologically) representing the eventual breach that spells the end of Job.... The conservative spelling of ירץ (as opposed to ירוץ) enhances the poetry.<sup>339</sup>

In Aramaic, we find homoioteleuton in the description of Nebuchadnezzar's transformation, during which טָפָרוֹהִי בְצָפָּרִין tip̄rōhī k̞ə-ṣiprīn "his nails (became)

<sup>338.</sup> If the fricativization of the  $\mathfrak{D}$  /p/ did not yet take place, then the repeated consonant would have been even more pronounced.

<sup>339.</sup> Seow, "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job," 80.

like birds' (talons)" (Dan 4:30). Here the last two consonants of both roots are identical (מַבּר). See also Daniel's interpretation of Nebuchadnezzar's dream, which includes a kingdom that will never be תַּלְּבֶּק תַּדְּק נְּבָּלְ נְּבָּלְ tadīq "left over, but it shall break" (Dan 2:44). Both verbs end with the consonant p/q/, supported by a preceding /i/ vowel.

The late antique Aramaic double acrostic and astrological poem known as The Moon Was Chosen also illustrates homoioteleuton. In lines 21–22, we find: "if it (the light) is abundant like snow [תְּלְּגָּה <u>tālgāh</u>] in the middle of Elul, know then that it will be struck with snow [תְּלְּגָּה <u>tālgāh</u>]. There will be great strife [תַּלְּגּוֹ  $p\bar{\rho}alag\bar{u}$ ] in the world."<sup>340</sup> Here the last two consonants of the root for "snow" (ל/ /// and  $\chi$ /g/) repeat in the verb for "strife" (אַלָּגּוֹ  $p\bar{\rho}alag\bar{u}$ ).

Homoioteleuton is primarily an aural device, though like homoeopropheron, it works visually as well. Its effect on the listener/reader is similar to that of homoeopropheron, as it creates cohesion and encourages meaningful connections. However, since it relies on the repetition of final sounds, the paronomastic inflection differs. In Hebrew and Aramaic, where the accent typically falls on the final syllable, homoioteleuton provides added emphasis. As with homoeopropheron, it may have played an accentual role in poetry with regard to the musical rhythm or melody that supported it.

# 4.2.3. ANASTROPHE

Anastrophe is the use of nonnormative syntax (also considered a type of hyperbaton) for paronomastic effect.<sup>341</sup> An excellent demonstration in Akkadian occurs in the Epic of Gilgamesh 1.37–39.

<sup>340.</sup> Pereira, Studies in Aramaic Poetry (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.), 86, 313.

<sup>341.</sup> The word ἀναστροφή dates as least to Athenaeus of Naucratis, Deipnosophistae 11.493d (third century CE). Hyberbaton is a more general term for the employment of nonnormative syntax for effect. Another type of hyberbaton is hysteron proteron, which involves the use of nonnormative syntax that displaces the normative temporal sequence. Unlike hysteron proteron, anastrophe has no temporal consequence. An example of hysteron proteron in the Hebrew Bible appears in Job 14:10: אוֹגַבֶּר יָמוּת וַיָּחֵלָש wə-ḡɛḇɛr yāmūt way-vehělāš "a man dies and grows weak." Here becoming weak should precede the mention of dying. For hysteron proteron in Ugaritic see Oswald Loretz, "Die Figur Hysteron Proteron in KTU 1.14 I 28-30," UF 33 (2001): 299-302. For the device in Akkadian see Kai Alexander Metzler, "Perfekta im jungbabylonischen Weltschöpfungsepos," in Ex Mesopotamia et Syria Lux. Festschrift für Manfried Dietrich zu seinem 65. Geburtstag, ed. Oswald Loretz, Kai Alexander Metzler, and Hanspeter Schaudig, AOAT 281 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2002), 474-77. On the equation of hysteron proteron and the early rabbinic usage of אין מוקדם ומאוחר 'en mūqdām ū-mə 'ūhār "there is no early or late," see Steiner, "Muqdam u-Me'uhar and Muqaddam wa-Mu'ahhar." As Steiner notes, the rabbinic treatment of anastrophe, under the expression

- 37. *ši-i-hu* <sup>d</sup>GIŠ-*gim-maš git-ma-lu ra-šub-bu* So tall, Gilgamesh, perfect, awesome,
- 38. *pe-tu-ú né-re-bé-e-ti ša hur-sa-an-ni* Who opened passes in the mountains,
- 39. *he-ru-ú bu-ú-ri šá kišād* (GÚ) *šadî* (KUR) Who dug wells on the hills.

Here the text fronts the adjective  $\bar{s}\bar{t}hu$  "tall" in line 37, rather than placing it after the name Gilgamesh where one would expect it syntactically. While the syntax emphasizes the king's height,<sup>342</sup> it also has a paronomastic effect in that it allows the poet to start three consecutive stichs with a word ending in /u/. Buttressing the anastrophe is repetition of the sounds /b/ and /r/ in the words  $ra\bar{s}ubbu$  "awesome,"  $n\bar{e}rebeti$  "passes," and  $b\bar{u}r\bar{u}$  "wells."<sup>343</sup>

Anastrophe in Egyptian occurs in the Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor, in the sailor's reports about a fearful moment when a wave struck the ship, ripping it apart:

```
f(t) = f(t) = f(t) f(t) =
```

the wind blew repeatedly, a wave over it of eight cubits. Only the mast, it (the wave?) broke it for me. Then the ship died. Of those on board, not one survived, except me, and see I am beside you. (II. 103–108)

Here the broken syntax in the line  $\ln h \ln h \ln h \ln n = l \ln s(v)$  "only the mast, it (the wave?) broke it for me," conveys the fear and confusion of the moment,<sup>344</sup> while also providing an opportunity to repeat the sound  $\ln h \ln n$  "eight" and  $\ln n \ln n$  "eight" and  $\ln n \ln n$  "except," and the consonant  $\ln n \ln n$  "repeat,"  $\ln n \ln n$  "cubit,"  $\ln n \ln n$  "then," and  $\ln n \ln n$  "upon." Adding to the striking image is the prosopopoeial death of the ship and the employment of a number of hieroglyphs with nautical significance, including the sail  $\ln n \ln n \ln n \ln n$  "wind," the mast  $\ln n \ln n \ln n \ln n \ln n \ln n$ 

miqrā' məsōrās "inverted verse," differs in that it represents clauses that are out of temporal order. Over time, it became synonymous with hysteron proteron.

<sup>342.</sup> George, Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic, 783, suggests the inversion may be for emphasis.

<sup>343.</sup> The effect continues with the first two words of l. 40: *ebir ayabba* "he crossed the ocean." Note also in l. 37 the repetition of the phonemes /g/, /l/, and /m/ in <sup>d</sup>GIŠ-*gim-maš gitmālu* "Gilgamesh, perfect" (i.e., <sup>d</sup>GIŠ is a logogram that was read as *gilga*). See George, *Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic*, 84. The line also appears in ll. 1.35, 211, 218.

<sup>344.</sup> On the use of confused syntax to express excitement here, see Rendsburg, "Literary Devices in the Story of the Shipwrecked Sailor," 22.

"then," and the harpoon ( ) in w "one," in addition to the expected boat determinative ( ) in dp.t "ship."  $^{345}$ 

I am aware of no cases of anastrophe in Ugaritic texts. Given that it appears in so many other Near Eastern texts, it may be just an accident of discovery. Perhaps future researchers will change this state of affairs.

An example of anastrophe in Hebrew occurs in Reuben's statement after returning to the pit and finding Joseph gone. In a panic he asks his brothers אָנִי־בָּא hay-yɛled ʾēnɛnnū wa-ʾǎnī ʾānāh ʾǎnī ḇā ʾ "the child is not, and I, to where shall I come?" (Gen 37:30). The awkward syntax conveys Reuben's anxiety and creates a paronomastic relationship between the consonants aleph, yod, and nun in the two-fold use of אָנִי 'ǎnī "I," and in אַנָּה 'ềnɛnnū "is not" and אַנָּה 'ձ̄nāh "where." Abetting the anastrophe (and homoeopropheron) is the repeated a-vowel.

Anastrophe occurs in conjunction with antanaclasis in Daniel 5. The court tale relates how the king commanded his servants הַּנְפֵּק "to bring out" the sacred vessels that once belonged to the temple of Yahweh (Dan 5:2). We then are told that הַּנְפָּקוֹ "they brought" them so that the king and his entourage could drink wine from them (Dan 5:3), when suddenly, the fingers of a man's hand [הַבְּפַקוֹ [חִסְּםִמְתּ [חִסְּםִמְתּ] "appeared" (Dan 5:5). Arnold observes that the antanaclastic change in meaning of the verb בְּפַקוֹ from "bring" to "appear" presents a pe 'al form in an atypical usage, and that the sudden switch in syntax from verb-subject, as opposed to subject-verb in lines 2, 3, and 6, serves "to dramatize divine retribution against human sin." Combined with the antanaclasis, the anastrophe "demonstrates God's response both to Belshazzar's mindless sacrilege and to Nebuchadnezzar's former arrogance in 'bringing forth' the vessels from God's temple." "348"

Much like amphiboly, anastrophe initially causes confusion for listeners/readers. It encourages them to think the text is in error, because it relies on nonnormative syntax. The paronomasia in anastrophe contributes to the confusion by lending the line a tongue-twister type quality. In direct discourse, it often conveys emotional excitement or fear by creating anacoluthons. In narration, it can communicate speed, confusion, and mayhem. It is effective aurally and visually.

<sup>345.</sup> For similar cases of prosopopoeia involving ships, see Isa 23:1, Jon 1:4.

<sup>346.</sup> Gary A. Rendsburg, "Confused Language as a Deliberate Literary Device in Biblical Hebrew Narrative," *JHS* 2 (1998–1999): 6–8.

<sup>347.</sup> Arnold, "Wordplay and Narrative Technique in Daniel 5 and 6," 481; David M. Valeta, "Polyglossia and Parody: Language in Daniel 1–6," in *Bakhtin and Genre Theory in Biblical Studies*, ed. Roland Boer (Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2007), 91–108.

<sup>348.</sup> Arnold, "Wordplay and Narrative Technique in Daniel 5 and 6," 481–82.

#### 4.2.4. EPANASTROPHE

Epanastrophe occurs when the author repeats the final syllable of one word or line in the first syllable of the next.<sup>349</sup> It is not common in Akkadian, but it does exist. See, for instance, two examples from the Hymn to Shamash (ll. 36–37):<sup>350</sup>

```
36. šá <sup>d</sup>i-gì-gì la i-du-ú qí-rib lìb-bi-šá
37. <sup>d</sup>šamaš (UTU) bir-bir-u-ka ina ap-si-i ú-ri-du
```

The depth of which the Igigi know not. Shamash, your glare reaches down to the Apsû.

Here the last syllable of the first stich /ša/ resounds in the first word of the second stich, <sup>d</sup>šamaš, even though the latter is written logographically. Witness also lines 136–137, in which the sound /ka/ ends the first line and starts the second:<sup>351</sup>

```
[ina] šu-ru-bat ṣēri (EDIN) re-'-ú i-maḥ-ḥar-ka
[ka]-par-ri ina te-še-e na-qí-du ina <sup>LÚ</sup>nakri (KÚR)
```

The shepherd [amid] the terror of the steppe confronts you, The herdsman in warfare, the keeper of sheep among enemies.

A particularly sustained example of epanastrophe appears in Enuma Elish 4.13–16, in which each of the successive verses begins with the same syllable that ends the previous line (i.e., /ni/, /ti/, and /ka/).<sup>352</sup>

- 13. dmarūtuk at-ta-ma mu-tir-ru gi-mil-li-ni
- 14. ni-id-din-ka šar-ru-tu4 kiš-šat kal gim-re-e-ti
- 15. ti-šab-ma i-na puḥri lu-ú ša-qá-ta a-mat-ka
- 16. GIŠkakkī (TUKUL)-ka a-a ip-pal-tu-ú li-ra-i-su ma-ki-ri-ka

You are Marduk, our avenger,

We have given you kingship over the sum of the whole universe.

Take your seat in the assembly, let your word be exalted,

Let your weapons not miss the mark, but may they slay your enemies.

See also the clever use of the device in Enuma Elish 6.7–8.353

<sup>349.</sup> The word ἐπαναστροφή "epanastrophe" appears first in Hermogenes of Tarsus, *Peri Ideon* 1.12 (second century CE).

<sup>350.</sup> See Lambert, Babylonian Wisdom Literature, 128-29.

<sup>351.</sup> Lambert, Babylonian Wisdom Literature, 134-35.

<sup>352.</sup> W. G. Lambert, *Babylonian Creation Myths*, MC 16 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2013), 86–87.

<sup>353.</sup> Lambert, Babylonian Creation Myths, 110-11.

- 7. lu-ub-ni-ma lullâ (LÚ-U<sub>18</sub>-LU-a) a-me-lu
- 8. lu-ú en-du dul-lu ilānī-ma šu-nu lu-ú pa-áš-ḫu

I will create Lullû-man.

On whom the toil of the gods verily will be laid that they may rest.

Not only does epanastrophe connect the two lines with the syllable /lu/, but the sound /lu/ is morphemically meaningful to the passage, as it suggests the creation of the first man  $lull\hat{a}$  (LÚ-U<sub>18</sub>-LU-a). In fact, the sound /lu/ also starts the first line as a precative particle in "I will create" (lubnima), and it appears in "man" ( $am\bar{e}lu$ ), "toil" (dullu), and "verily" ( $l\bar{u}$ ). It also is supported by the sound /la/ in  $lull\hat{a}$  "Lullû-man" and  $il\bar{a}n\bar{\imath}$  "gods." Moreover, LÚ means "man" in Sumerian.

A fine example of epanastrophe in Egyptian appears in the Pyramid Texts of King Unas (Spell 273, §519): *fiw wnis nsb=f sbš.w im.iw dšr.t* "Unas detests licking the coils of the red (crown)." Here the /s/ and /b/ of *nsb* "licking" repeat in *sbš.w* "coils."

Epanastrophe in Ugaritic texts occurs visually, but not aurally, in the Epic of Baal:

```
thts[b] bn qrytm

tmhs lim hp y[m]

(Anat) battl[es] between the two towns,

She fought the peoples of the se[a] shore (CAT 1.3.ii.6–7)
```

Though the consonants *tm* end the first line and start the second, they would not have sounded the same. The last word in the first line would have been vocalized *qiryatēmi* and the first word in the second line as *timhaṣu*.

There also are a few cases of near epanastrophe, again effective only visually. In the Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.4.vi.4–5), the divine craftsman Kothar-wa-Ḥasis implores Baal:

```
šm m l al[i]yn b l
bl ašt ur[bt] b bhtm
Please listen, O mi[ght]iest Baal,
Shall I not install a win[dow] in the house?
```

Note how the name b 'l' "Baal" at the end of the first stich is resounded in the negative particle bl "not" in the second. The first would have been pronounced ba 'lu and the second bal.

```
See similarly in the same text (CAT 1.4.vii.49–51):
```

aḥdy d ymlk 'l ilm l ymru ilm w nšm

It is I alone who reign over the gods, Indeed who fattens gods and men.

Again, we have a case of near visual epanastrophe between *ilm* "gods" (pronounced *ilīma*) and *lymru* "indeed who fattens" (pronounced *la-yimra u*).

We can appreciate epanastrophe in Hebrew in Qoheleth's contention that God tests humankind בְּהֶםְה הְמָה הְמָה הְמָה לְיָרְאוֹת שְׁהֶם־בְּהַמְה הְמָה לְּהֶם שְׁה לְיִרְאוֹת שְׁהֶם־בְּהַמְה הַמְּה לְּהָּש bahēmāh hēmmāh lāhem "so that they can see for themselves they are like animal(s)" (Qoh 3:18). The end of בְּהֵמְה bahēmāh "animal(s)" produces the same sound as the start of the next word הַמְּה hēmmāh "they." Assisting the epanastrophe is additional repetition of third person masculine pronoun in לְהָם hēm "for themselves." "354

Visual epanastrophe appears in the line פְּרֵשׁ רְשֶׁת לְרֵגְלֵי pāraś rešet la-raḡlay "he has spread a net for my feet" (Lam 1:13). The first word ends with the sounds /rś/ and the second begins with /rš/. Though the letters śin and šin are distinguished in speech, they are visually identical in the pre-Masoretic consonantal text.

An Aramaic case of epanastrophe occurs in Daniel's vision of a fourth beast that will אַקְלָּאַרְעָּא t̄ē'k̄ul kŏl 'ar'ā' "devour the entire earth" (Dan 7:23). Note how the last syllable of the first word and the first two consonants of the next are both כל kl/. A more sustained use of epanastrophe occurs in the artful repetition (with slight variation) of the introductory formula בַּאַדִין דְּנִיָּאל b̄e-'daȳn d̄an̄yyē'l "then Daniel" (Dan 2:14, 2:17, 2:19 [2x], 4:16, 5:13, 6:22). In Dan 6:4, it is strengthened by the addition of the demonstrative pronoun: אֲדִין דְנִיֵּאל דְנָה d̄an̄yyē'l dənāh "then this Daniel." This phrase also demonstrates homoeoproheron as noted above (4.2.1).

Epanastrophe is certainly an aural device, but its reliance on juxtaposition also makes it a visual one. Epanastrophe lends the text a reduplicating, stuttering effect that naturally compels one to connect the words involved, almost as if they are one. In the Akkadian and Ugaritic examples, epanastrophe joins one line to the next. Thus, it also can serve a structural purpose.

# 4.2.5. PARASONANCE

Parasonance occurs when two or more roots are employed that contain the exact same radicals, and in the same order, except one.<sup>355</sup> Demonstrating parasonance

<sup>354.</sup> Beitzel, "Exodus 3:14 and the Divine Name," 8.

<sup>355.</sup> Sasson, "Word Play in the O.T."; cf. Glück, "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature." *Parasonance* and *anagrammatic paronomasia* are more specific terms for what Isaac Kalimi calls *metathesis*. See I. Kalimi, *Metathesis in the Hebrew Bible: Wordplay as a* 

in Akkadian is the narrator's report in the Epic of Gilgamesh about the hunter complaining to his father about the wildman Enkidu:

- 130. [*um-tal-li bu*]-*ú-ri šá ú-ḫar-ru-ú* [*ana-ku*] [He has filled in the] pits that I dug.
  131. [*ut-ta-as-si-iḫ n*]*u-bal-li-ia šá uš-n*[*i-lu*] [He has uprooted] my traps that I laid.
- 132. [uš-te-li ina qātī-ia] bu-lam nam-maš-šá-a šá ṣē[ri (EDIN)]
  [He has set free from my hand] the herd, the anima

[He has set free from my hand] the herd, the animals of the steppe.

Parasonance obtains here between  $b\bar{u}ru$  "pits" (l. 130) and  $b\bar{u}lu$  "herd" (l. 132), and also between  $b\bar{u}lu$  "herd" (l. 132) and  $nuball\bar{t}ya$  "my traps" (l. 131).

Parasonance also appears in the Egyptian stories found in P.Westcar. While describing the amazing feats of a magician who could transform a wax crocodile into a living one, the narrator relates: wn=in=fm dr.t=fmsh n mnh "in his hand it was a crocodile of wax" (4.2-3). The nouns msh "crocodile" and mnh "wax" share two of their three consonants. The device continues in the next two verses: "Then the chief lector priest Ubainer reported (whm) the thing that the commoner had been doing in his house with his wife (hm.t) to the majesty (hm) of the king of Upper and Lower Egypt, justified" (4.4-5). Each of the highlighted words contains the consonants h and m, found in msh "crocodile" and mnh "wax."

See also the love poem in P.Harris 500 (2.2–2.3): "my heart is not lenient with your love, my wolf cub [wnš]! Your liquor is your lovemaking. I will not abandon it until blows drive (me) away to the land of Hurru to spend my days [wrš] in the marshes." Here wnš "wolfcub" and wrš "spend the day" differ in only one root consonant.

In the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant, the peasant tells the overseer:  $ir\ h3j=k\ r$   $si\ n\ m3$  .  $t\ sqd=k\ im=f\ m\ m3$  . w "if you go down to the Lake of Truth, you shall sail in it with a breeze" (P.3023 + P.Amherst I, ll. 85–86). The noun m3 . t "truth" differs in only one consonant from m3 . t "breeze." t "breeze."

*Literary and Exegetical Device* (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2018). See similarly N. H. Tur-Sinai, "Metathesis in the Biblical Text" [Hebrew], in vol. 2 of *The Language and the Book* (Jerusalem: Mosad Byalik, 1948–1955), 106–49.

<sup>356.</sup> Parys, Le récit du Papyrus Westcar, 32-33.

<sup>357.</sup> Lichtheim, *Ancient Egyptian Literature*, 1:183 n. 10, refers to this as a "wordplay." The same lexemes are used similarly in the Harper Song inscribed on a pillar in the hall of the tomb of Paser (TT 106). Line 7 of that text reads: *tw=k hr m³ '.w t³w nfr m² '.t* "you sail with the good wind of righteousness." Espied also by Lichtheim, "Songs of the Harpers," 203 n. j, who notes that the sail determinative \$\tau\$ does double duty for both (inscription on pl. III).

Similar parasonance on these consonants appears in the Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor, in which the storyteller describes the sailors of his mission:  $m33=sn\ p.t\ m33=sn\ t3\ m^2k3\ ib=sn\ r\ m3.w$  "they see the sky, they see the land, fiercer their hearts than lions" (II. 28–30). The repeated verb m33 "see" reverberates in  $m^2k3$  "fierce" and m3.w "lions."

In Ugaritic, we find parasonance in the Tale of Aqhat (CAT 1.17.vi.30–32):

```
k b l k yhwy
Like Baal, when he revives,
y '$r
He prepares a banquet,
hwy y '$r
Prepares a banquet for the revived,
w yšqnyh
And he offers him drink,
ybd w yšr 'lh
Intones and sings over him,
n 'm[n wy] 'nynn...
with pleasant (sound) he choruses ...
```

Parasonance exists between the repeated form y 'sr "he prepares a banquet" and ysr "he sings" (i.e., /y/, /s/, and /r/) and between n 'mn "pleasant (sound)" and y 'nynn "he choruses" (i.e., /n/ and  $/^{\cdot}/$ ).

An exquisite case of parasonance occurs in the performative speech of Kothar-wa-Ḥasis to Baal in the Epic of Baal (*CAT* 1.2.iv.8–10).

- 8. ht ibk
  - Now your enemy
- 9. *b'lm ht ibk tmḥṣ ht tṣmt ṣrtk*Baal, now strike your enemy, now vanquish your foe!
- 10. tqh mlk 'lmk drkt dt drdrk

  Take your eternal kingship, your everlasting dominion!

Note in particular the parasonance between tmhs "strike," tsmt "vanquish," and srtk "your foe" (i.e., the first two share the phonemes /m/ and /s/, the latter two share /s/ and /t/). Additional parasonance occurs between mlk "kingship" and /lmk "your eternal" (/m/, /l/, and /k/) and between drkt "dominion" and drdrk "your everlasting" (/d/, /r/, and /k/), cited above as a demonstration of homoeopropheron. The latter is supported by the relative pronoun dt, which repeats two consonants in drkt "dominion."

In the Hebrew Bible, we find the device in the story of how Yahweh punished "Babel" (בְּבֶל  $b\bar{a}b\varepsilon l$ ) by "confusing" (בְּבָל  $b\bar{a}lal$ ) the language of its people (Gen

11:9). The two roots involved differ in only one radical letter, each of which the other possesses.<sup>358</sup>

Jeremiah's pronouncement against Judah offers a dazzling case: אָרְיִהְנְה בְּנֶם נְאָם־יִּהְנָה בְּנֶם נְאָשׁרִם צִּפְּעֹנִים אֲשֶׁר אֵין־לָהֶם לְחֵשׁ וְנִשְׁכוּ אֶּתְכֶם נְאָם בְּנָם נְאָשׁר אֵין־לָהֶם לְחֵשׁ וְנִשְׁכוּ אֶּתְכֶם נְאָם יִּהְנָה הֹשׁנִּמ הַשְּׁלֵּב בְּנֵם נְחָשִׁים צִּפְעֹנִים אֲשֶׁר אֵין־לָהֶם לְחֵשׁ וֹנִשְׁכוּ אֶּתְכֶם נְאָם יִּבְּנֵב בְּעָב בְּיִם נְחָשִׁים אַפְּעֹנִים אֲשֶׁר אֵין־לָהֶם לְחַשׁ "Lo, I will send serpents against you, adders that cannot be charmed, and they will bite you, declares Yahweh" (Jer 8:17). Parasonant here are חַשְׁלֵחְשׁ məšallēaḥ "send," בְּחָשִׁים nəḥāšīm "serpents," לְחַשׁ וֹלְּחַשׁ nəḥāšīm "serpents," לְחַשׁ noḥāšīm "serpents." Note too that בְּיְשִׁכוּ "bite" is parasonant with בְּחָשִׁים naḥāšīm "serpents." Moreover, the parasonance onomatopoetically resounds the hissing of a snake (see 3.2).

Consider the following two case of parasonance from the Hebrew text of Ben Sira from Qumran. The first occurs in Sir 40:13 MS B: אַל חול בנחל איתו חול אל חול בנחל איתו 'l hwl k-nhl 'ytn "From sand to sand like an eternal wadi." This brief line repeats the noun אול "sand" before the noun אול "wadi" with which it shares two consonants. The shared consonants bespeak a shared essence that the simile invites us to compare.

The second case appears in Sir 4:9 MS A.

הושע מוצק ממציקיו ואל תקוץ רוחך במשפט יושר

hwš ' mwṣq m-mṣyqyw w- 'l tqwṣ rwḥk b-mṣpṭ ywṣr

358. Noegel, "Ritual Use of Linguistic and Textual Violence in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near East." Jonathan Grossman, "The Double Etymology of Babel in Genesis 11," ZAW 129 (2017): 362–75, argues that Gen 11:9 also reflects a derivation of the name Babel from the Akkadian verb babālu, meaning "sweep away (of people or animals), carry off with water." On double etymologies, see also Yair Zakovitch, "A Study of Precise and Partial Derivations in Biblical Etymology," JSOT 15 (1980): 31–50; Zakovitch, "Explicit and Implicit Name-Derivations."

<sup>359.</sup> See the discussion by Rüdiger Schmitt, *Magie im Alten Testament*, AOAT 313 (Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2004), 110–12.

<sup>360.</sup> Reymond, "Wordplay in the Hebrew to Ben Sira," 51.

Deliver the oppressed from their oppressors, let your spirit not dread just judgment.

Note how מוצק "oppressed" and מציקיו "oppressors," share two of their three consonants with תקוץ "dread." Reymond observes that implicit in the verse is the notion "that oppression survives due to the fear or trepidation of those responsible for applying justice."

Several cases of parasonance in Aramaic appear in Daniel's description of Nebuchadnezzar's transformation into a wild man:

וּמִן־בָּנֵי אֲנָשָׁא טְרִיד וְלִבְבֵהּ עִם־חֵיוְתָא שְׁוִי [שַׁוּיְוּ] וְעִם־עֲרָדַיָּא מְדוֹרֵהּ עִשְּׂבָּא כְתוֹרִין יְטַעֲמוּבֵּה וּמִטַּל שְׁמַיָּא גִּשְׁמֵה יִצְטַבַּע עַד דִּי־יְדַע דִּי־שַׁלִּיט אֱלְהָא עִלְיָא [עִלְּאָה] בְּמַלְכוּת אַנַשַא וּלְמֵן־דִי יִצְבָּה יִהָקִים עֵלָיֵה [עֵלָה]

ū-min benē 'ǎnāšā' ṭerīḍ we-libəbēh 'im ḥēwṭā' šewī [šawwīyəw] we-'im 'ǎrāḍayyā' meḍōrēh 'iśbā' keṭōrīn yeṭa 'ǎmūnnēh ū-miṭṭal šemayyā' gišmēh yiṣṭaba' 'aḍ dī yeḍa' dī šallīṭ 'ĕlāhā' 'illāyā' ['illā'āh] be-malkūṭ 'ǎnāšā' ū-l-man dī visbēh yehāaēm 'ālavēh ['ǎlah]

He was driven from the sons of men, his heart was made like the beasts, and his dwelling was with the onagers; he was fed grass like oxen, and his body was wet with the dew of heaven, until he knew that God Most High ruled in the kingdom of men, and that he appoints over it whomever he desires. (Dan 5:21)

Note in particular אָרְדִיּלְ tarīd "driven," מְדוֹרֵה  $mad\bar{o}r\bar{e}h$  "his dwelling," and עִּיְדִיּאָ "arādayyā" "onagers," which share the consonants  $\tau$  d and  $\tau$ . See also עִּשְׂבָּא 'iśbā' "grass," יִּצְטַבַּע "wet," and יִּצְבַּה "desires," the first two of which share y and  $\tau$  b, and the latter two, y s and  $\tau$  b.

Parasonance also occurs in the Proverbs of Ahiqar: פקיד לך אשת יקדה הי עבק  $pqyd\ lk\ "št\ yqdh\ hy\ "bq\ "bdt[y]\ "(when a royal word) is commanded to you, it is a burning fire, hurry, do it!\" (C1\ 1:87). Here פקיד <math>pqyd\$ and יקדה  $pqyd\$ and יקדה  $pqyd\$ and 'd/. The verbs עבדת[']\ "do it'\" share  $pqyd\$ and 'b/, though this also constitutes homoeopropheron.

A pronounced case of parasonance in Old Aramaic occurs in the Sefire Treaty Inscription (3.A.4–6) of the eighth century BCE. There we read:

<sup>361.</sup> Reymond, "Wordplay in the Hebrew to Ben Sira," 44. On parasonance in the scrolls from Qumran, see James E. Harding, "The Wordplay between the Roots שכל in the Literature of the Yahad," *RdQ* 19 (1999): 69–82.

<sup>362.</sup> The *Aramaic Levi Document* contains at least one case of parasonance in 13:11. מינה מאת ומדינה "w-ynsbwn nksy m't w-mdynh" and they will seize the possessions of land and country." Note the repetition of the sounds /n/ and /s/ in the first two words. See Greenfield, Stone, and Eshel, *Aramaic Levi Document*, 111–12.

If a fugitive flees from me [ירקרק מני יקרק ידקרק mmy qrq],
Pacify them [רקה תרקהם rqh trqhm] and restore them to me.
And if they do not [dwell] in your land [ירקk],
Pacify [ירקא] (them) there.

Here the consonants r/r/ and r/q/, which form the start of the root קרק r/q-q "flee," also appear in the root ארק "pacify," and again in ארק "land." r/q" "land." "r/q" "land."

Parasonance continued to have a long life in Jewish literature, as demonstrated by b. 'Erub. 65b: "a person can be identified by three things: his drinking habits (דבריסו b-kwsw), his spending habits (דבריסו w-b-kysw), and the way he behaves when he is angry (w-b-kysw)." w-b-k 'sw)." All of the highlighted words share the sounds /k/ and /s/, plus the preposition /b/.

Since parasonance involves the exact repetition of two of the three consonants in a Semitic root, it comes the closest to repeating the entire lexeme. Consequently, parasonance forces listeners/readers to differentiate between the two words. A differing first radical is the easiest to catch; others can be harder to distinguish. In Egyptian, parasonance is primarily an aural device, whereas in the consonantal scripts, like Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic, it is both aural and visual. In some texts, it encourages one to think that the words involved are related, or that one should contemplate a relationship between them.

# 4.2.6. HOMONYMIC PARONOMASIA

Homonymic paronomasia exploits words that sound alike, but have different derivations.<sup>365</sup> It differs from polysemy in that the device operates between words,

<sup>363.</sup> Noted by Bezalel Porten, "The Root Pair ישב-שוב in Jeremiah," in *Hamlet on a Hill: Semitic and Greek Studies Presented to Professor T. Muraoka on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*, ed. M. F. J. Baasten and W. Th. van Peursen, OLA 118 (Leuven: Peeters, 2003), 381.

<sup>364.</sup> Observed by Yona, "Rhetorical Features in Talmudic Literature," 84, along with other examples.

<sup>365.</sup> The earliest attestation of the word ὁμωνυμία "homonymy" appears in Aristotle, *Rhet.* 1404b (fourth century BCE). Alejandro Diez-Macho, "La Homonimia o Paronomasia = *almuyanasa* = *lašon nofel 'al lašon*," *Sefarad* 8 (1948): 293–321; "La Homonimia o Paronomasia = *al-muyanasa* = *lašon nofel 'al lašon*," *Sefarad* 9 (1948): 269–309. On the difficulty of differentiating polysemy and homonymy, see G. R. Driver, "Confused Hebrew Roots," in *Occident and Orient, Being Studies in Semitic Philology and Literature, Jewish History and Philosophy and Folklore in the Widest Sense, in Honour of Haham Dr. M. <i>Gaster's Eightieth Birthday*, ed. B. Schindler (London: Taylor's Foreign Press, 1936), 73–82; Joshua Blau, "Über Homonyme und Angeblich Homonyme Wurzeln," *VT* 6 (1956): 242–48; Moshe Held, "Studies in Biblical Homonyms in the Light of Akkadian," *JANES* 3 (1970–1971): 46–55; Hospers, "Polysemy and Homophony"; Adina Moshavi, "On Distinguishing Polysemy from Generality in the Biblical Hebrew Verb: An Analysis of the

not within a single word. Moreover, the relationship between words is paronomastic, and thus not exact. This distinguishes it from antanaclasis (see 4.1.3). Homonymic paronomasia may involve homographs, but this is not always the case, because words do not need to look identical to sound alike (cf. English *air* and *heir*). Also, in the case of Egyptian, which does not record vowels, homonymic paronomasia is based entirely on consonants.

Two excellent examples of homonymic paronomasia in Sumerian occur in the poem Ninmešarra. The first, in line 16, reads: BILUDA GAL.GAL.LA NÍĜ.ZU A.BA MU.UN.ZU "the rites are yours, who else could know their meaning?" Here the first sign ZU means "yours," whereas the second ZU means "know." here the first sign ZU means "yours," whereas the second ZU means "know." In line 27, we find: NIN.ĜU10 Á NI.ZA NA4ZÚ ZÚ Ì.GU7.E "my lady, through your own power, the tooth grinds (even) flint." As Zgoll notes, the first sign NAZÚ means "flint," whereas the second ZÚ, a homonym of the former, means "tooth." Though in each case the signs appear visually identical, they would have been pronounced differently.

Illustrating homonymic paronomasia in Akkadian is the Legend of Sargon, King of Battle (ll. 16–17), where we read: "We have invoked [Sargo]n, king of the universe [kiššati] 'Come down to us, that we may receive strength [kiššūti], for we are no warriors." Here the noun kiššatu "universe" echoes in kiššūtu "strength" in the next line. 368 Though the two sound similar, they are etymologically unrelated; the former derives from kašāšu and the latter from kašādu.

Egyptian writers also made use of homonymic paronomasia. Indeed, we find it in all types of texts and in all periods of Egyptian history. One of the most extensive displays appears in the Contendings of Horus and Seth (P.Chester Beatty I, recto). I discussed this text in the previous chapter with regard to its use of paronomasia in deceptive speech and literary contexts involving trickery (3.7). Suffice it to add here that the use of  $\beta w.t$  for both "flock, herd" and "office" represents homonymic paronomasia.

Verb "בקש" [Hebrew], *Leshonenu* 67 (2004): 31–48; Mehahem Zevi Kadari, "Homonymy and Polysemy in the New Modern Hebrew Lexicon of the Hebrew Bible," in *Biblical Hebrew in Its Northwest Semitic Setting*, ed. Steven E. Fassberg and Avi Hurvitz (Jerusalem: Magnes Press; Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2006), 149–53.

<sup>366.</sup> Zgoll, Der Rechstfall der En-hedu-Ana im Lied nin-me-šara, 2–3, 173.

<sup>367.</sup> Zgoll, *Der Rechstfall der En-hedu-Ana im Lied nin-me-šara*, 4–5, 173; cited by Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 33.

Another, more concise, example appears in the tomb of Qenamun in a song about a garden festival. The text in question reads:  $\S{sp}$  'nh n dsr  $n\underline{tr} = k$   $\underline{hr}$  'nh  $w\S{s}$  n hr = k  $mr\mathring{t}.t\mathring{t}$  "receive a bouquet, that your god has sanctified, bringing life and prosperity to your dear face" (11). The song employs the homonyms 'nh "bouquet" and 'nh "life."

The Tale of Two Brothers (P.D'Orbiney, BM 10183) contains a number of cases of homonymic paronomasia. In particular, it adopts the same homonymic paronomasia that occurs in Contendings of Horus and Seth, just discussed, in which *ibw.t* appears for "flock, herd" and "office" (1.2). As such, the device fits well the political interpretation of the story. It occurs again when Anubis's wife takes a piece of *'dbw* "fat" to make it look like she had been beaten *'dbw* "unjustly" (4.6). In 4.8, the narrator says that her husband returned home to find her seemingly sick (lit. *'dbw* "falsely" sick). The device appears yet again, first when the sea *'s* "calls" to the *'s* "pine tree" (10.7), and it is enhanced again when the woman coaxes the pharaoh to *s'd* "cut down" the *'s* "pine tree" (12.4); paronomasia that repeats in 12.6 and 12.7–8. We find it one last time when Anubis's beer and wine *hws* "ferment" (12:10). The lexeme recalls Bata's reference to Anubis's wife's *ks.t ts hw.t* "vagina that is rotting" (7.8), and the *hws.t* "sore" heart of the washerman grieved by his quarrels with pharaoh (11.1).

The Tale of the Eloquent Peasant (P.3023 + P.Amherst I, Il. 253–254) employs homonymic paronomasia in the peasant's plea to the overseer: shtm.w m rdj htm=tw "Destroyer, let not perish!" The entreaty employs two homonymic verbs. The first is a causative conjugation of htm "provide." The second htm is an unrelated verb that means "perish." The peasant's eloquence brings into focus the overseer's maltreatment of the peasant, by suggesting that the overseer is doing the opposite of what is expected of him.

In a statement reminiscent of Yahweh's punishment on Babylon (Gen 11:7–9), Ramesses III's Poem of Victory states: sdm.w mdw.t rmt hr šms nsw iri=fstwhi mdw.t=sn pn[ `]=f ns=w "they (the captives) heard the (Egyptian) language in serving the king. He (Ramesses) banished their language, he changed their tongue" (Il. 3–4). The passage connects the <math>nsw "king" with the people's ns=w "tongue" (lit. "their tongue") by way of homonymic paronomasia.

In the Admonitions of Ipuwer (P.Leiden I 344, r.12.5–6), Ipuwer laments: n(n)  $\hat{i}$   $\hat{s}$ - $n.(\hat{i})$ - $\hat{h}$ 3.t m wnwt=sn ... n  $\hat{i}$   $\hat{s}$ .n=tw n=k m  $\hat{s}$ w $\hat{i}$   $\hat{s}$ d r=s "there are no pilots on duty ... no one can call upon you, being one free of aggression against it." The

<sup>369.</sup> See Thomas Schneider, "Innovation in Literature on Behalf of Politics: The Tale of the Two Brothers, Ugarit, and 19th Dynasty History," Ägypten und Levante 17 (2008): 315–26.

<sup>370.</sup> Noted by Lichtheim, *Ancient Egyptian Literature*, 1:183 n. 22, who simply calls it a "wordplay."

poet here exploits the compound noun  $i \in -n.(i)-h \cdot t$  "pilot" for its relationship to the verb  $i \in n$  "call" (with the marker of the perfect).

To demonstrate homonymic paronomasia in Ugaritic, I turn to the Tale of Kirtu (*CAT* 1.16.v.24–25), in which the god El asks his royal sons seven times which of them can remove a *zbl* "sickness." Though the column is fragmentary, the frequent repetition of *zbl* "sickness" is clear (12, 15, 18, 21, 25, 28, 50). When none of the sons steps forward, El quips:

- 24. *tb bny l mtbtkm* Stay seated on your seats,
- 25. *l kht zblk[m...]* On your princely thrones.

El employs *zbl* "princely" as a not-so-subtle indictment of the noble's ineffectuality. The use of *zbl* first for "sickness" before using it for *zbl* "princely" constitutes homonymic paronomasia. This example also represents antanaclasis (see 4.1.3).

The Hebrew Bible contains numerous examples of homonymic paronomasia. Qohelet 7:6 illustrates it well: קַּפְּסִיל הַּסִּיר בַּן שְׁחֹק הַפְּסִיל בּי בְּקוֹל הַסִּירִם תַּחַת הַסִּיר בַּן שְׁחֹק הַבְּּסִיל k̄ kַּס-q̄ōl has-sīrīm taḥat has-sīr kēn śəḥōq hak-kəsīl "like the crackling of the thorns under the cooking-pot, such is the laughter of a fool." Qoheleth exploits the homonymity of הַסִּירִים has-sīrīm "the thorns" and הַסִּירִם has-sīr "the cooking-pot." The connection between the thorns and the fool is strengthened by repetition of the phonemes /s/ and /ī/ in הַבְּסִיל hak-kəsīl "the fool," and the liquid lamed /l/, which shares alliterative space with the rolled liquid reš /r/.³72 It is emphasized further by the phrase הַבְּסִיל śəḥōq hak-kəsīl "laughter of the fool," which imitates the sound of crackling thorns (see 3.2).

Demonstrating homonymic paronomasia in Aramaic is Daniel's interpretation of the writing on the wall: פְּרֵסׁ מְּלְכוּתְּדְּ וִיהִיבַת לְמְדִי וּפְּרָסׁ מִּלְכוּתְדָּ וִיהִיבַת לְמְדִי וּפְּרָסֹ מִּלְכוּתְדָּ וִיהִיבַת לְמְדִי וּפְּרָס מוּ malkūtāk wī-yhībat lo-māḍay ū-pārās "Peres—your kingdom is assessed, and it is given to the Medes and Persians" (Dan 5:28). Here Daniel decodes the enigmatic בְּרֵסֹ pərēs via paronomasia that identifies it with בְּרִיסַת מוּלְיִיסָת מוֹל "assessed" and the homonym פַּרִס pārās "Persia."<sup>373</sup>

<sup>371.</sup> Parkinson, *Tale of Sinuhe and Other Ancient Egyptian Poems*, 1940–1640 BCE, 197 n. 97, refers to this as a "wordplay."

<sup>372.</sup> See Noegel, "Wordplay' in Qoheleth."

<sup>373.</sup> The meaning "assessed" is in accordance with the divinatory use of Akkadian *parāsu* "render legal (divine) verdict." Of course, it also means "cut off," so multiple meanings are possible. See *CAD P*, s.v. "*parāsu*."

In the Aramaic Sefire inscription, we also find an excellent visual case amidst the stela's curses (1.A.22–23).

ושבע ססיה יהינקן על ואל ישבע ושבע שורה יהינקן עגל ואל ישבע ושבע שאן יהינקן אמר ואל ישבע

```
w-šb' ssyh yhynqn 'l w-'l yśb'
w-šb' šwrh yhynqn 'gl w-'l yśb'
w-šb' š'n yhynqn 'mr w-'l yśb'
```

May seven mares suckle a colt, but may it not be satisfied. May seven cows suckle a calf, but may it not be satisfied. May seven ewes suckle a lamb, but may it not be satisfied.

Each of the three curses starts with the number שבע  $\delta b$  "seven" and ends with the verb שבע  $\delta b$  "satisfy." The homonymic paronomasia is visually striking, constitutes homoioteleuton, and creates an inclusio.<sup>375</sup>

Even more than parasonance, homonymic paronomasia forces readers/listeners to differentiate the lexemes involved. At the same time, one

<sup>374.</sup> The language in which the inscription is recorded is debated. Gary A. Rendsburg, "The Dialect of the Deir 'Alla Inscription," *BiOr* 50 (1993): 309–29, argues that it is Israelian Hebrew, a dialect of northern Israel. Dennis Pardee, "The Linguistic Classification of the Deir 'Allā Text Written on Plaster," in *The Balaam Text from Deir 'Alla Re-Evaluated: Proceedings of the International Symposium Held at Leiden 21–24 August 1989*, ed. I. Hoftijzer and G. van der Kooij (Leiden: Brill, 1991), 100–105, posits that it is Aramaic. Edward Lipiński, *Studies in Aramaic Inscriptions and Onomastics II*, OLA 57 (Leuven: Peeters, 1994), 168–70, opines that it represents a North Arabian linguistic substratum or possibly a dialect that has borrowed some words from a pre-Islamic Arabian dialect.

<sup>375.</sup> The paronomasia here is discussed by Melissa Dianne Ramos, *Spoken Word and Ritual Performance: The Oath and the Curse in Deuteronomy 27–28* (PhD diss., University of California, Los Angeles, 2015), 89, 93, who also ties it to the oral performance and mnemonics of the texts. The second curse also appears in Sefire 1.A.22–23. For stylistic parallels in Ugaritic and biblical texts, see Greenfield, "Stylistic Aspects of the Sefire Treaty Inscriptions," 12–15.

cannot help but link them. In Sumerian and Akkadian texts that employ homographs for homophones, the device is effective aurally and visually. However, in Akkadian and Egyptian texts that use different signs for the same sounds, it is primarily an aural device. In Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic, it operates both aurally and visually. Ancient authors exploit it generally to highlight words' interconnectedness, to underscore an irony, or to promote the notion of a causal or transformative relationship between them.

#### 4.2.7. NUMERICAL PARONOMASIA

Numerical paronomasia occurs when words are used that suggest or relate to numbers, but cannot be read as numbers themselves. While Mesopotamian scribes show a great deal of interest in the numerical values of their cuneiform signs (see above 4.1.9, 4.1.10), to date scholars have discovered no cases of paronomasia on the names of numbers, even in contexts where numbers are plentiful.

However, in ancient Egypt, numerical paronomasia abounds. In fact, Baudouin van de Walle has suggested an affinity between Egyptian numerical paronomasia and Hebrew and Greek acrostics, because the consonants in Hebrew and Greek double as numbers.<sup>376</sup> Yet the Egyptian signs for numbers are not employed, and so I treat the phenomenon separately. A wonderful demonstration in Egyptian occurs in Spell 99 of the Book of the Dead, in which the celestial ferryman tells the deceased, here called a hk3.j "magician," that if he cannot count his fingers, he will not receive transport. Kurt Sethe long ago observed that the deceased's response, which constitutes the oldest form of "this little piggy went to market," evokes the numbers one through nine in paronomastic ways: "I know how to count [my fingers]: take one [w'.t], take the second alone [sntj w'.tj], quench ['hm] it, remove it, give [ $d\vec{i}$ ] it to me. You have wiped [fd] at it, be friendly [snsn.t] towards me; do not let go [sfhh] of it; have no pity [h3th] on it; make the Eye bright [shd]; give the Eye to me."<sup>377</sup> The highlighted terms evoke the numbers w'"one," sn"two," hmt "three," fd "four," dw "five," srs or sis "six," sfh "seven," hmn "eight," and psd "nine." Far from being a whimsical demonstration of the deceased's ability to count, the vignette demonstrates a performative ritual to evoke the Eye of Horus.<sup>378</sup>

<sup>376.</sup> Baudouin van de Walle, "Formules et poèmes numériques dans la littérature égyptienne," *CdE* 60 (1985): 371–78.

<sup>377.</sup> Kurt Sethe, "Ein altägyptischer Fingerzühlreim," ZÄS 54 (1918): 16–39.

<sup>378.</sup> See Sethe, "Ein altägyptischer Fingerzühlreim," 27–28, who draws attention to a similar device in the Pyramid Texts, Spell 670, §1978: fd.n=f dw.t ir.t NN m fd-nw=f hrw hm.n=f ir.t ir=f m hmn.nw=f hrw "he has wiped away [fd] the evil, which was NN, on his fourth [fd-nw] day. He has canceled [hm] what has been done against him on his eighth [hmn.nw] day." He also highlights a ritual to Amun (p. 18 n. 1): 'b.n=k psd.t m d.t.wy=k m ntr 10 tnw m db 'w=k m ntr 10 tnw p3 m s3h=k "you have gathered the gods (lit. 'Ennead')

A love poem found in P.Chester Beatty I also illustrates numerical paronomasia. Each of its stanzas is numbered, and the opening words of each stanza contain lexemes that remind one of that number. Thus, hw.t mh.t sn.nw.t "the second full stanza" begins with sn=i "my brother," hw.t mh.t hmt "the third full stanza" begins hmt.n ib=i "my heart expected," hw.t mh.t fdw.t "fourth full stanza" starts with ifd sw ib=i "a fleer it is, my heart," and the hw.t mh.t diw.t "fifth full stanza" opens with dw=i nb.t "I will praise the Golden-One" (i.e., Hathor). 380

In the Hymn to Amun, one finds numerical paronomasia between the number of the stanza and its introductory verse, which proceeds by digits to tens and then hundreds to the perfect number one thousand.<sup>381</sup>

```
Sixth full stanza [hw.t mh.t-ssw]:
Each region [sw3] succumbs to the fear of you,
     its inhabitants are curbed at your glory ...
Seventh full stanza [hw.t mh.t-sfh]:
Misery is dissolved [sfh] in Thebes, city of Ra, Mistress of cities, which conquers
     whatever is useful to the Universal Lord ...
Ninth full stanza [hw.t mh.t-psd]:
The Nine gods [psdt] who came out of the Ocean
     gather to worship you, great of awe ...
Tenth full stanza [hw.t mh.t-mdw]:
Thebes is more famous [mti] than any city,
     water and earth were here in the First Time ...
Twentieth full stanza [hw.t mh.t-dwti]:
How pleasantly you ferry [d3.wi tw], O Harakhte,
     in performing your eternal duty every day ...
Thirtieth full stanza [hw.t mh.t-m b]:
The harpoon [m'b] is in the Evildoer,
     who has fallen by its blade ...
Fortieth full stanza [hw.t mh.t-hmw]:
The One who crafted [hmw] himself,
     whose shapes are unknown ...
```

with your two hands as ten gods, counted on your fingers, as ten gods, counted on your toes" (P.Berlin 3055, l. 15, 2/3); as well as a line from the tomb inscription of Harhotep (ll. 414–415): 'q.n=i m db' siḥ n isir "I have entered in a finger and toe of Osiris."

<sup>379.</sup> The fifth stanza also contains the paronomastic line  $hm.t \ lb=l$  "my heart was ignorant." 380. The words "first full stanza" do not appear, but the first line of the poem (and the last!) is w'.t "one, unique-one." The  $hw.t \ mh.t \ srs$  "sixth full stanza" is less paronomastic as it starts with swsl.n=f "he passed by." The seventh and final stanza simply repeats the number sfh "seven" by opening with "for seven days" and concluding with "seven days."

<sup>381.</sup> Jan Zandee, *De Hymnen aan Amon van Papyrus Leiden I 350*, OudMed 28 (Leiden: Rijksmuseum van Oudheiden, 1947); passage cited from Loprieno, "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian," 14.

In the Admonitions of Ipuwer (P.Leiden I 344, r.12.13–14), we read:  $mk \ kj$   $hr \ wdi.(t) \ r \ kj \ sni=tw \ r \ wd.(t).n=k \ ir \ smi \ s \ 3 \ hr \ ws.t \ gmm=tw \ m \ s \ 2$  "look, one person sets (himself) against another, one transgresses what you commanded. If three men go on a road, two are found." The brilliance of this line rests in the use of sni "transgress," which echoes sn "two," thus anticipating the numbers three and two in the next line.  $^{382}$ 

The Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022, Il. 110–112) too exhibits numerical paronomasia. There the hero recounts:  $pr.j pw nn sn.nw=f dr.n=f s(j) r-\underline{d}r=s \underline{d}d.n=f hn=hmi.n=f hwt(f)=fwi$  "a champion was this one without a double. He drove out the whole of it. He said he would fight with me. He thought to rob me." The noun sn.nw "double" reminds us of snw "two," while hmt "thought" recalls hmt "three." Moreover, the larger number naturally follows the smaller.

As demonstrated above (4.1.9), Ugaritic scribes were adept at spicing literary contexts filled with numbers with numerical polysemy. They also did so with numerical paronomasia. Thus, in the Kirtu Epic, El's two-fold reference to "Udum of the rains" (*rbm*) in *CAT* 1.14.iii.4, 29, recalls the *rbt* "myriads" of soldiers (*CAT* ii.40), while the lowing of the *alp* "ox" during the siege (*CAT* 1.14.iii.18), recalls the "marches by the thousand (*alpm*)" (*CAT* 1.14.ii.39).

An example of numerical paronomasia in Hebrew appears in the account of the Chronicler: וְאֲמֵצְיָהוּ הַתְחַזְּק וַיְנְהַג אֶת־עַמּוֹ וַיֵּלֶּךְ גֵּיא הַמֶּלַח וַיִּךְ אֶת־בְּנֵי־שֵעִיר עֲשֶׂנֶת אֲלְפִים wa-ʾamaṣyāhū hithazzaq way-yinhag ʾet ʿammō way-yēlek gē ʾ ham-melah way-yak ʾet bənē śē ʿīr ʿaśeret ʾalāp̄īm "then Amaziah grew strong and led his people, and he went to the Valley of Salt and smote the Children of Seir, ten thousand" (2 Chr 25:11 = 2 Kgs 14:7). As espied by Kalimi, the name שַּעִיר śē ʿīr "Seir" paronomastically anticipates the number עַשֶּׂנֶת ʿaśeret "ten." In fact, this example is also anagrammatic in nature.

Vermeulen has examined a literary strategy which employs linguistic devices of doubling in biblical narratives that reference twins. In particular, she demonstrated that Israelite authors employed several devices, including dual forms, gemination, doubled vocabulary, polysemy, and paronomasia on the number two, in order to match form to content. With regard to numerical paronomasia she pointed to the narrator's description of the births of Perez and Zerah: "and it came to pass, when she travailed, he put out a hand, and the midwife took and bound upon his hand some scarlet [ $\bar{v}$   $\bar{v}$ 

<sup>382.</sup> This is followed in 13.1 by an allusion to the number one in the statement  $n(\hat{i})$  mrw.t is pw w "one is beloved."

<sup>383.</sup> I. Kalimi, *An Ancient Historian: Studies in the Chronicler, His Time, Place and Writing*, SSN 46 (Assen: Van Gorcum, 2005), 72. On paronomasia in the Chronicles generally see Kalimi, "Paronomasia in the Book of Chronicles," 27–41; Kalimi, "Paronomasie im Buch der Chronik: Ein Beitrag zur literarischen Forschung an der Arbeitsweise des Chronisten," *BZ* 41 (1997): 78–88; and Weiss, "Derivation of Names in the Book of Chronicles."

In fact, the strategy of doubling also appears in narratives that feature pairs or the doubling of amounts, that is, not necessarily texts that employ the noun "twin." Thus, in the acrostic poem of the woman of valor we read: לֹא־תִירָא לבִיתָה שנים שנים לבש שנים lōʾ tīrāʾ lə-bētāh miš-šāleḡ kī kŏl bētāh lābuš šānīm "She does not fear for her household on account of snow, because all of her household are dressed in a scarlet" (Prov 31:21). 386 Here "scarlet" also can mean a "two-ply-garment," which, when followed by שָׁשׁ šēš "linen" in the next verse, allows us to hear ששׁ šēš also as "six" by way of numerical paronomasia. The doubleness of the garment finds contextual reinforcement in the use of בית  $b\bar{e}t$  "household," a lexeme that also suggests the name of the letter  $\supset b$ , that is, which doubles as the number two. Moreover, as if to emphasize the reference to "two" the poet has employed בֵּית  $b\bar{e}t$  twice in the verse. Bolstering the pivot function of the polyseme שנים  $\check{s}\bar{a}n\bar{t}m$  is the fact that the verse in which it occurs occupies the central position of the acrostic, that is, it is the last word in the eleventh of twenty-two lines (the acrostic ל line). Thus שנים  $\delta \bar{n} \bar{n} m$  divides the poem into two equal halves. Moreover, at the very center of this verse is the phrase מְשֵׁלֶג miš-šāleḡ "on account of the snow," whose segholate pausal form allows one to hear in it the word משל *māšāl* "proverb."

<sup>384.</sup> See similarly שְׁנִים śanīm in Prov 31:21; Victor A. Hurowitz, *Proverbs: Introduction and Commentary* [Hebrew], vol. 2 (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2012), 601.

<sup>385.</sup> See Karolien Vermeulen, "Two of a Kind: Twin Language in the Hebrew Bible," *JSOT* 47 (2012): 135–50, who observes that many of the cases involve repetition and geminate clustering (see 4.2.12), which also emphasize the dualities present in the text. There may be an analogue to this device in the following Sumerian proverb: KA<sub>5</sub>.A MÁŠ.BI MU.UN.ŠUB MÁŠ.TAB.BA.NI ÀM.I.I "the fox dropped her young. Her twins came out." As Alster, *Proverbs of Ancient Sumer*, 416, notes: "Since MÁŠ means 'young' (of an animal), MÁŠ.TAB.BA means 'double cubs,' but also 'twin." Cited by Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 35 n. 39.

<sup>386.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel and Corinna E. Nichols, "Seeing Doubles: On Two of a Kind," *JSOT* 45 (2019): 1–12.

<sup>387.</sup> See Gary A. Rendsburg, "Literary and Linguistic Matters in the Book of Proverbs," in *Perspectives on Israelite Wisdom: Proceedings of the Oxford Old Testament Seminar*, ed. John Jarick, LHBOTS 618 (London: Bloomsbury T&T Clark, 2016), 120–21.

Numerical paronomasia has differing effects on listeners/readers depending on how it is used. The Egyptian examples show it to be a structural device that organizes text into discrete units. On the other hand, it also creates expectation and alertness. Once one realizes the device is present, one not only expects other numbers to follow in sequence, but for paronomasia to follow those numbers. Thus, the device is anticipatory and primarily aural in effect. In Ugaritic and Hebrew, it is both aurally and visually effective. Numerical paronomasia generally suggests connections and relationships and/or gives the impression that numbers are multiplying in the text. In Hebrew, it reinforces the very real interconnectedness between consonants and numbers.

#### 4.2.8. BILINGUAL PARONOMASIA

Bilingual paronomasia involves homonyms or near-homonyms that operate across languages. As noted above, many, if not most peoples of the ancient Near East were multilingual, and elite scribes in major urban centers often were trained in multiple languages and scripts.

As with cases of bilingual polysemy, Akkadian examples of bilingual paronomasia are achieved by way of Sumerian. One of the most sophisticated examples appears in the god Anu's description of his newborn son Marduk in Enuma Elish 1.101–102:

101. *ma-ri-ú-tu ma-ri-ú-tu*"The son Utu, the son Utu,
102. *ma-ri* <sup>d</sup>UTU-*ši* <sup>d</sup>UTU-*ši šá* DIĜIR.DIĜIR
The son, the sun, the sunlight of the gods!"

The statement understands the Sumerian signs AMAR.UD, normally used to write "Marduk," as a combination of the Akkadian noun *māru* "son" and the Sumerian UTU "sun." What makes Anu's statement especially effective is the description of Marduk given in the previous line: *meš-re-tu-šu šu-ut-tu-ḫa i-lit-ta šu-tur* "his limbs were gigantic, he was surpassing at birth" (1. 100). The line anticipates Anu's statement visually. Note how the sign *re* in *meš-re-tu-šu* "his limbs" occurs three times as *ri* in *ma-ri* "son," and the sign *ut* in *šu-ut-tu-ḥa* "gigantic" appears logographically in the quotation twice as UTU. The sign *lit* in *i-lit-ta* also is very close to AMAR, the first component in Marduk's name. <sup>388</sup> Enuma Elish is replete with bilingual Sumerian-Akkadian devices.

<sup>388.</sup> The sign differs only by lacking two Winkelhaken at the right end of each of its two horizontal wedges.

<sup>389.</sup> This is especially the case in the list of Marduk's fifty names at the end of the epic. See Bottéro, "Les noms de Marduk, l'écriture et la 'logique' en Mésopotamie ancienne."

Another Akkadian-Sumerian case of bilingual paronomasia occurs in the Song of Erra, in which Marduk asks: "where is the *mēsu*-tree, the flesh of the gods, the ornament of the king of the universe? That pure tree, that august youngster (*eṭlu*) suited to supremacy" (1.150–152)? Here the Akkadian *mēsu*-tree evokes the Sumerian MES meaning "youngster," thus anticipating the Akkadian *eṭlu* "youngster" shortly thereafter.<sup>390</sup>

Ottervanger espied another case of bilingual paronomasia in the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur.<sup>391</sup> In particular, he observed the interplay between the Akkadian infinitive *šum'ud* "to increase" and the Sumerian signs ŠUM (= *tabāḥu* "slaughter") and UDU (= *immeru* "sheep") in line 92: NU.BÀN.DA *ana šúm-'u-ud ma-ka-li-šú* ŠUM-*uḥ* UDU.AS4.[LUM] "The chief slaughtered a *pasil[lu]* sheep to in[cre]ase his meal."

We lack strong evidence for the existence of bilingual paronomasia in Egyptian texts. As discussed in conjunction with bilingual polysemy, this state of affairs is likely to be attributed to a negative attitude toward foreigners. Nevertheless, a possible case of paronomasia between Egyptian and Semitic occurs in the Poem on the King's Chariot. The pertinent passages read:

- 6. The handgrip  $[\underline{t}]$  of your chariot [mrkb.t (Semitic)],
- takes away [Bi=s] the troops' courage and pride, whereas the side panels [bt.w (Semitic?)]
- 8. of your chariot are Bata [bt] lord of
- 9. Saka [sk] who is in the arms of Bastet [b3s.tt],
- 10. sent out against all foreign countries. The weapons [hmi.t] of your (chariot)
- 11. are the steering oars [hmi.t] behind the foreign lands. The javelin [ni] of your chariot—
- 12. the terror of you [nrw=k] enters into them (i.e., the foreigners).

<sup>390.</sup> First observed by Luigi Cagni, *The Poem of Erra*, SANE 1.3 (Malibu, CA: Undena Publications, 1977), 35 n. 45. Dalley, *Myths from Mesopotamia*, 314 n. 22, notes that the same paronomasia appears in the late third millennium poem Shulgi King of Abundance, and cites Klein, *Royal Hymns of Shulgi, King of Ur*, 11. Moreover the sign MES occurs again in the very next line in "its foundation" (*i-šid-su*), but there the sign is read phonetically as *šid*. Moreover, the MES sign is suggestive of <sup>d</sup>MES, which stands for Marduk, who speaks these lines to Erra. On polysemy and paronomasia in this poem, see Noegel, "Word Play' in the Song of Erra."

<sup>391.</sup> Ottervanger, Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur, 36.

<sup>392.</sup> Even as late as the Ptolemaic era, dream interpreters preferred to translate dreams in Egyptian rather than Greek. See Stephen Kidd, "Dreams in Bilingual Papyri from the Ptolemaic Period," *BASP* 48 (2011): 113–30. On the central role of polysemy and paronomasia in Egyptian dream interpretation, see Noegel, *Nocturnal Ciphers*.

<sup>393.</sup> Cited in Loprieno, "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian," 19; W. R. Dawson and T. E. Peet, "The So-Called Poem on the King's Chariot," *JEA* 19 (1933): 167–74; Alan R. Schulman, "The So-Called Poem on the King's Chariot Revisited. Part I," *JSSEA* 16 (1986): 19–49; Guglielmi, "Zu einigen literarischen Funktionen des Wortspiels," 495–96.

- 13. The sword [hrp (Semitic)] of your chariot
- 14. terrifies [hri=f] those who are in your hand ...

Recto 6-14

- 1. The knife [sf.t] of your
- 2. chariot—when your right arm smites [sf.t], the hills
- 3. collapse ...
- 14. As for the thong [mh]
- 15. of your chariot, it
- 16. binds  $[mh\hat{i}=f]$  those who are evil.

Verso 1-3, 14-16

In addition to the paronomasia between Egyptian words (mostly homonymic or examples of homoeopropheron), there are two, or perhaps three, cases of paronomasia between languages. The Semitic noun *mrkb.t* "chariot" resounds in the *bt.w* "panels" (perhaps a Semitic word itself), and in *bt* "Bata" and *bss.tt* "Bastet." The Semitic noun *hrp* "sword" finds balance in the Egyptian *hrì=f* "terrifies" (lit. "he is terrified"). Since this text appears only on school ostraca, we can say that such devices were passed on in the scribal academies, the Egyptian Houses of Life. Nevertheless, it is possible that the Semitic words employed in the text already had become part of the Egyptian language.

Though Ugaritic texts have produced evidence for bilingual polysemy, thus far no cases of bilingual paronomasia have been discovered.

In Hebrew, we find an excellent example of bilingual paronomasia in Jon 3:7, in which we are told that the "decree [עַנִם ta ʿam] of the king and his great ones" is to "let them not taste [עַנְם yit ʿamū] a thing." The noun עַנָם ta ʿam means "taste" in Hebrew, but "decree" in Aramaic. <sup>394</sup> In addition, the Hebrew שֵׁנָע ta ʿam also means "sense, judgment," which calls into question the ridiculousness of the king's decree that animals should fast, pray, and wear sackcloth. <sup>395</sup> This example also constitutes a form of antanaclasis.

Berrin has suggested the possibility that the Pesher to Nahum (3–4 I, 4–6) from Qumran interprets the Hebrew noun טְרֵּף "prey" in Nah 2:13 with the verb אָבָּף "mākāh" "smite," because the root יָסרף t-r-p in Palestinian Aramaic also bears the meaning "hit, throw down." If this is the case, we have yet another example of bilingual paronomasia.

<sup>394.</sup> Rendsburg, "Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible," 142.

<sup>395.</sup> I thank one of the publisher's anonymous reviewers for this observation. The reviewer also suggested that מָּטָעֵם mit-ta'am in Jon 3:6 paronomastically suggests the Aramaic מָאוֹנְהָ mid-da'am "anything," which is the semantic equivalent of Hebrew מְּאוֹנְהָ ma' $\bar{u}m\bar{a}h$  "anything" near the end of the passage. However, I have been more restrictive in positing which consonants have paronomastic relationships, and I do not see v t and t t t as an alliterative pair.

<sup>396.</sup> Berrin, Pesher Nahum Scroll from Qumran, 147 n. 55.

An Aramaic example of bilingual paronomasia occurs in the narrator's description of Nebuchadnezzar's transformation during which אַמָיָא נָשְמֵּל שְׁמִיּא נִשְׁמֵל שְׁמִיּא יִּשְׁמַל "his body was wet from the dew of heaven" (Dan 5:21). The Aramaic noun שְּׁמֶּב מְּשֶׁב שְּׁמַב שְּׁמַב מְּב מִּבְּשֵׁמ "body" is homonymous with Hebrew שְּׁמֶּב מְּבְּבָּשׁׁ "rain." While בְּשְׁמֵה gešɛm "rain." While בְּשְׁמֵה gešɛm fooly can be read as "his body" in this context, the bilingual paronomasia is strengthened by the fact that his body became "wet" with the "dew of heaven." Indeed, "dew" and "rain" constitute a well-known word pair (e.g., Deut 32:2, 2 Sam 1:21, 1 Kgs 17:1, Job 38:28, and in Ugaritic).

Bilingual paronomasia is a display of erudition. In Akkadian and Egyptian, it is primarily an aural device, whereas in the consonantal scripts it is effective both aurally and visually. As with bilingual polysemy, it demonstrates cultural attitudes toward the target language involved. Thus, Akkadian texts that employ paronomasia on Sumerian reflect the high esteem in which Sumerian culture and learning were held. If the Egyptian example truly reflects the deliberate use of Semitic words, it is telling that the objects that the words represent are appropriated in the poem and also culturally as the weapons of pharaoh. In essence, like the horse-drawn chariot itself, it has become thoroughly Egyptian. Paronomasia between Hebrew and Aramaic reflects the growing influence of Aramaic as the lingua franca.

## 4.2.9. ANAGRAMMATIC PARONOMASIA

An anagram is a word that contains the same consonants as another word, but in a different sequence.<sup>398</sup> Anagrams function on both visual and aural registers. Nevertheless, the inherent repetition of consonants across words qualifies anagrams as forms of paronomasia.

A case of an agrammatic paronomasia in Akkadian occurs in the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur, in which the poor man approaches the mayor with a  $\check{s}ulman$  "gift" in his  $\check{s}um\bar{e}li\check{s}u$  "left hand" (II. 29, 35). Here the consonants m and l are reversed.

Enuma Elish 4.57 describes the armor of the god Marduk thusly: *naḥlapta apluḥti pulḥāti ḥalipma* "he was garbed in a ghastly armored garment."<sup>399</sup> The sounds /h/, /l/, and /p/ appear in each of the four words, but in a different order.

Note similarly the Hymn to Shamash, which says of the sungod:  $[m]ukarr\bar{u}$  ume  $m\bar{u}rriku$  muš $\hat{a}ti$  "he shortens the days and lengthens the nights" (l. 180). Note that  $[m]\bar{u}karru$  "shortens" and  $m\bar{u}rriku$  "lengthens" are consonantal anagrams of

<sup>397.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 158.

<sup>398.</sup> The noun "anagram" derives from the verbs ἀναγραμματίζειν and ἀναγραμματισμός first attested in Artemidorus Daldianus, *Oneirocriticus* 4.23 (second century CE); *PGM* 13.107 (fourth century CE).

<sup>399.</sup> The alliterative rendering is that of Foster, Before the Muses, 374.

each other, though the former derives from  $kar\hat{u}$  and the latter from  $ar\bar{t}ku$ . Moreover,  $[m]ukarr\bar{u}$  and  $m\bar{u}rriku$  reverse the length of each other; the former ends with a long  $\bar{u}$  vowel, while the latter starts with one.

Another particularly apt demonstration of an agrammatic paronomasia in Akkadian comes from the Atra-hasis Epic 1.39–40, in which the narrator describes the actions of the primordial Igigi gods:

- 39. [*i-da-bu*]-*bu-ma i-ik-ka-lu ka-ar-ṣi* They [were complaining], backbiting,
- 40. [*ut-ta-az*]-*za-mu i-na ka-la-ak-ki* Grumbling in the excavation.

The verb  $ikkal\bar{u}$  "backbiting" (from  $ak\bar{a}lu$  lit. "eat") anticipates the anagrammatic kalakku "excavation." Assisting the anagram is additional repetition of the phoneme /k/ in the noun  $kar\bar{s}u$  "calumny." Moreover, the repetition of the sounds /k/ and /l/ suggests a word not present in the text, namely ikkillu "clamor, din, cry." Indeed, we are soon told (1.76–77) that the gods became so angry that they set fire to their tools and raised a "loud noise" (here the noun is rigmu). Fittingly, as if to remind us of the anagrammatic paronomasia in this passage, the text states that the noise disturbed the god  $^dkal$ -kal "Kalkal" (1.74–76). Moreover, the next time the noun kalakku "excavation" appears (1.147), it again is reinforced with paronomasia on the same consonants. Thus, when the chief god asks why the Igigi gods have declared war, they say:

```
146. ku-ul-la-a[t ka-la i-li-ma ni-ig-ra-am tu-qú-um-ta]m
Every single [one of us gods has declared] war.
147. ni-iš-ku-u[n x x-ni i-na ka-la-ak-ki
We have ... our [...] in the [excavation].
```

Observe how *kullat kala* "every single one" anticipates *kalakki* "excavation." Anagrammatic paronomasia appears in Egyptian as well. In the Tale of the Eloquent Peasant, the peasant tells the overseer: *hṣi fṣ wdn.w ḥmw m sbn sṣw m gsṣ hṣi m irj nwd.w* "Plumbline supporting the weight. Rudder, do not drift. Beam, do not tilt. Plumbline, do not misdirect" (P.3023 + P.Amherst I, Il. 122–123). Consonantally, *wdn.w* "weight" and *nwd.w* "misdirect" are anagrams of each other.

See also the Pyramid Texts of King Unas, on the east wall of the antechamber: wnis pi wnm rmt 'nh m ntr.w nb in.w hs 'wp.wt in ihm' wp.wt im.i khs.w sph sn n wnis "Unas is he who eats humans and lives on all gods, lord of messengers who dispatches instructions. It is horn-grasper in Kehau who lassoes them for Unas" (Spell 273, §509). Two paronomastic relationships exist here between wp.wt

<sup>400.</sup> This line repeats in 1.159–161.

"instructions" and wp.wt "horns," and between  $kh\beta.w$  "Kehau" and  $hk\beta.w$  "magic" (in §506). The latter is an agrammatic. The consonants wp occur again: wpw.ti pw  $h\beta b.w=f r hsf$  "he is the messenger who is sent to punish" (§511).

The Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022, Il. 24–25) exhibits an agrammatic paronomasia in Sinuhe's statement:  $s\underline{d}m.n=\hat{t}$  hrw  $nm\hat{t}$  n mnmn.t "I heard the sound of the bleating of goats." Here  $nm\hat{t}$  "bleating" and mnmn.t "goats" are partial anagrams.

In the Admonitions of Ipuwer (P.Leiden I 344, r.14.14–15.1), Ipuwer proclaims:  $m\underline{d}\underline{s}\underline{j}.w \, n\underline{d}m.w \, \underline{h}\underline{n}' \, \underline{k}m.t \, \underline{m}\underline{i}-\underline{m} \, \underline{i}\underline{r}=\underline{f} \, s \, nb \, \underline{h}\underline{r} \, \underline{s}\underline{m}\underline{s}\underline{m}.w \, \underline{t}\underline{s}\underline{i}=\underline{n} \, \underline{n}=\underline{n}\underline{h}\underline{p}\underline{r}.w \, \underline{m} \, \underline{p}\underline{d}.t(\underline{i})$  "the Medjay are sweet toward Egypt; how, when every man slays his brother, and the youth whom we raised for ourselves have become bowmen (having fallen to destroying)." Note in particular the anagrammatic relationship between  $\underline{m}\underline{d}\underline{s}\underline{j}.w$  "Medjay" and  $\underline{d}\underline{s}\underline{m}.w$  "youth," which finds support from partially anagrammatic paronomasia with  $\underline{n}\underline{d}\underline{m}.w$  "sweet."

There also is the case of the magical rn "name," found on two ostraca with a divine determinative, whose consonants are metathesized to produce nr, thus suggesting "terror" (from nri) and "protection" (from nri).

An Ugaritic case of an agrammatic paronomasia occurs in the Epic of Baal in reference to Baal's servant who prepares his meal (*CAT* 1.3.i.4–7):

4. qm yt'r
He arises, prepares
5. w yšlļmmh
and he feeds him.
6. ybrd td lpnwh
Slices a breast before him
7. b ḥrb mlḥt
with a salted knife.

The anagrams in this passage are y š l h m n h "feeds him" (from the root l - h - m) and m l h t "salted" (from m - l - h).

A clever example from Hebrew enhances Job's cry that he would have rather been stillborn, like infants who קאו אָר  $r\bar{a}$  ' $\bar{u}$  ' $\bar{o}r$  "never saw light" (Job 3:16). The two words are anagrams of each other. Elihu's statement about God also employs the device: יְחֵלֵץ עָנִי בְּעָנִין וְיָגֶל בַּלַחֵץ אָזְנְם yəḥallēṣ 'ānī bə-'ōnyō wə-yigɛl bal-

<sup>401.</sup> The usual translation for *mnmn.t* is "cattle," but the determinative in B is  $\frac{1}{8}$  (not  $\frac{1}{8}$ ). Indeed, goats are what one expects to see in the Lavant, not cattle. The same word and determinative appears in B 84, 103, 112, 144, 147, 240 and for 'w.wt \( \frac{h}{3}s.wt \) "wild goats" in B 89.

<sup>402.</sup> In 14.2 of the same text we also find the anagrammatic phrase wrs.w n.w sr.w "headrests of officials."

<sup>403.</sup> Georges Posener, "Le mot égyptien pour désigner le 'nom magique," *RdE* 6 (1964): 214.

laḥaṣ 'ŏznām "he delivers the afflicted from his affliction, and opens their ears through distress" (Job 36:15). The verb חָלָיִ hālaṣ "deliver" is an anagram of the noun מָּחָל laḥaṣ "distress." Seow suggests that the visual component of the anagram conveys additional meaning: "Visually the first two radicals mirror each other. The point is that suffering may, ironically, be the mirror image of rescue." 100 point is that suffering may, ironically, be the mirror image of rescue."

Wilhelm Rudolph observed an anagrammatic turn of phrase in Hosea's prophecy to Judah and Ephraim. Here Yahweh follows his plea בֵּאלֹהֶיךּ תְּשׁוּבּ bē-ʾlōheḇā tāšūḇ "and you must return to your God" (Hos 12:7) with the promise bē-ʾlōheḇā tāšūḇ "and you must return to your God" (Hos 12:7) with the promise 'ōšīḇḇā ḇā-ʾŏhālīm "I will cause you to dwell in tents" (Hos 12:10), which both reverses the order of the verb and object and rearranges the consonants in "God" to form "tents." As Morris notes, the preposition beth for "to" here is not normative, but still deliberate, as the "spelling was altered to make a wordplay more evident."  $^{407}$ 

Proverbs 14:19–20 offers another example: שַׁחוּ רְעִים לְּפְגֵי טוֹבִים וּרְשָׁטִים עַל־ saḥū rā 'im li-p̄nē tōḇīm ū-ršā 'īm 'al ša 'ărē ṣadīq gam lə-rē 'ēhū yiśśānē ' rāš wə- 'ōhăḇē ' ášīr rabīm "the evil bow before the good, and the wicked at the gates of the righteous. The poor man is hated even of his neighbor, but the rich has many friends." As Rendsburg has shown, the passage offers a clever manipulation of consonants, specifically between the words אָשָׁעֵרִי "rəšā 'īm "wicked," אַשָּׁעֵרִי ša 'arē "gates," and אָשָׁעִרי "rich." Each word is an anagram of the other. Bolstering the paronomasia is אַעִּיר רַמַּאַ "poor," and homoeopropheron between רָעִים rā 'īm "evil" and רַעָּה "his neighbor." בּעָה 'avē 'boor," and homoeopropheron between רָעִים 'avē 'ēhū "his neighbor."

The Mesha stela from Moab also illustrates the device. When describing the spoils he took during the campaign against Nebo, King Mesha brags:

I killed the entire population: seven thousand men and male foreigners, and women and female foreigners, and servant women [החמת *rhmt*]. For I had put it to a ban החרמתה *hhrmth*] for Ashtar Kemosh. (*KAI* 181.17)

<sup>404.</sup> Noegel, *Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job*, 144; adopted by Seow, "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job," 84.

<sup>405.</sup> Seow, "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job," 84.

<sup>406.</sup> Wilhelm Rudolph, "Eigentümlichkeiten der Sprache Hoseas," in *Studia Biblica et Semitica: Theodoro Christiano Vriezen qui munere professoris theologiae per XXV annos functus est, ab amicis, collegis, discipulis dedicata*, ed. W. C. van Unnik and A. S. van der Woude (Wageningen: Veenman, 1966), 317.

<sup>407.</sup> Morris, Prophecy, Poetry, and Hosea, 88 n. 59.

<sup>408.</sup> Rendsburg, "Literary and Linguistic Matters in the Book of Proverbs," 114, categorizes these examples simply as alliteration. However, one may taxonomize each of the examples offered in this fine article more specifically if one recognizes that alliteration is not a device, but rather the aural effect of many different devices.

Here החמת יה "fimt" "servant women" and החרמתה hhrmth "put it to a ban" are anagrams.

A fine case of an agrammatic paronomasia in Aramaic occurs in Daniel's prophesy that Nebuchadnezzar will become like a wild beast: "seven times [עַּדְנִין 'idānīn] will pass over you until you know [תְּנָדִע tinda'] that the Most High rules the kingdom of men" (Dan 4:29).

We also find the device in the visions of Balaam inscribed at Deir 'Alla (Combination II, l. 10). In a rather fragmentary portion of the text we read: הן hn tšn'n y'nš "If you are unkind to him (lit. 'hate him'), he will be weak." The two verbs here are visual anagrams of each other, the first from the root  $\acute{s}$ -n-' and the second from '-n- $\acute{s}$ .

#### 4.2.9.1. PALINDROME

A palindrome is a specific form of anagram in which the consonants of one word are read the same way forwards or backwards or appear in another word in reverse order. Uri Gabbay and Claus Wilcke spotted a Sumerian palindrome in a bilingual inscription of Gudea (iii.8'–9'). The pertinent passage and its Akkadian and English translations read:

KUR E.ḤUL<sup>ḤU-LU-ÚḤ</sup> // ma-as-sú-nu ú-{x}-pár-ri-dam And frightening the foreign land // and frightened their land.

## As the editors remark:

HÙL!.HÙL! (with one vertical in the end instead of the broken one) is unorthographical for LUḤ.LUḤ and LUḤ, to be read ḫuluḥ, and agrees with parādu... LUḤ looks like an intentional palindrome.... It is interesting to note that this palindrome works on the level of logograms, not on those of phonemes or syllabograms.<sup>409</sup>

An Akkadian palindrome appears in the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur: *ana iriš širi u šikāri rēšti lummunu zīmūšu* "due to his craving for meat and beer, his face was disfigured" (1. 8).<sup>410</sup> Note how *irīš* "craving" mirrors *šīri* "meat." The same palindrome appears in the Poem of Erra III A: "in vain will the sick man be

<sup>409.</sup> Uri Gabbay and Claus Wilcke, "The Bilingual Gudea Inscriptions CUSAS 17, 22: New Readings and Interpretations," *NABU* (2012): 99 n. 18. They cite Manfred Krebernik, "Zur Entwicklung des Sprachbewusstseins im Alten Orient," in *Das geistige Erfassen der Welt im Alten Orient: Sprache, Religion, Kultur und Gesellschaft*, ed. Claus Wilcke (Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag, 2007), 48.

<sup>410.</sup> The name of the sungod Šamaš, like its Sumerian counterpart UTU, also constitutes a palindrome, though I know of nowhere in Akkadian literature that this aspect of the name is exploited.

craving [*irrišma*] after some roast meat [*šīri*] for his voluntary offering [*bibil libbišu*]" (l. 24). However here, *bibil* "offering" and *libbi* "voluntary" (lit. "heart") also are virtual palindromes.<sup>411</sup>

An Egyptian example of palindromic paronomasia is the name of the famous sage *ptḥ-ḥtp* "Ptahhotep," whose teachings represent the wisdom of ancient Egypt. Whether read forwards or backwards the name reads the same. That this was recognized by the Egyptians is clear from the cryptographic use *ḥtp* "peace" for *pth* "Ptah" in other texts. 412

Another example, examined by Stefan Bojowald, is the phrase *wbn m nbw* "arise in gold," said of Amun, Hathor, Ra, Horus, Isis, Ra-Horakhty, and Mut in their solar aspects. <sup>413</sup> Though we do not know what the vowels are, its consonants read the same way in either direction.

Reverse ligatures appear to possess performative functions in Egyptian texts. Hence, the Pyramid Texts, Spell 236 (§ 240) in the tomb of Unas, which records *kbbhjtjtjbjtjšs* as a cypher for *sš tj-bjtj jtj hb bjk* "the scribe, Thoth, the king, sovereign, the ibis, the falcon." The text conveys the notion that the one before Osiris is none other than the deceased king who stands before him [*hft* lit. "face to face"] to serve as his scribe. <sup>414</sup> Elsewhere we find palindromes as cryptographs: *gn* for *ng* "bull of sacrifice," <sup>415</sup> *nr* for *rn* "name," <sup>416</sup> and *n-m-jw* for *imn* "Amun." <sup>417</sup>

An Ugaritic example of palindromic paronomasia occurs in an incantation against the evil eye (*CAT* 1.96.12–13). I have examined this spell in relation to homoioteleuton (4.2.2), but it also contains an anagram.

<sup>411.</sup> The phrase *bibil libbi* is a common idiom for "voluntary offering." Note too that there is additional polysemy here involving *lummun*, which in this line means "disfigured," but in 1. 2 was used to describe Gimil-Ninurta as a *lummunu amēlu* "poor man." Noted by Dietrich, "Armer Mann von Nippur," 342.

<sup>412.</sup> See Friedrich Junge, *Elephantine XI. Funde und Bauteile*, DAIAK Archäologische Veröffentlichungen 49 (Mainz am Rhein: Philipp von Zabern, 1987), pl. 47g, pp. 76–77.

<sup>413.</sup> Stefan Bojowald, "A Wordplay between the Egyptian Words *WBN* 'Arise' and *NBW* 'Gold?," *AOASH* 64 (2011): 357–62, characterizes the phrase as a "wordplay" but does not describe it as a palindrome.

<sup>414.</sup> Bernard Matthieu, "Les formules conjuratoires dans les pyramides à textes: quelques réflexions," in *La magie en Égypte: à la recherche d'une définition. Actes du colloque organisée par le musée du Louvre les 29 et 30 Septembre 2000*, ed. Yvan Koenig (Paris: Musée du Louvre, 2002), 191, 203, fig. 4.

<sup>415.</sup> Pierre Montet, *Scènes de la vie privée dans les tombeaux égyptiens de L'ancien Empire* (Paris: Strasbourg University, 1925), 138–39.

<sup>416.</sup> Posener, "Le mot égyptien pour désigner le 'nom magique," 214.

<sup>417.</sup> Bernard van Rinsveld, "Un cryptogramme d'Amon," in *Individu, société et spiritualité dans l'Egypte pharaonique et copte: Mélanges égyptologiques offerts au Professeur Aristide Théodoridès*, ed. Christian Cannuyer and Jean-Marie Kruchten (Ath-Brüssel-Mons: Illustra, 1993), 263–68.

- 12. *l bty ttb 'n [btt]* will revert to the wizard, the eye of the witch,
- 13.  $l \, b\underline{t}t \, t[\underline{t}b \, \dots \,]$  will revert to the witch.

Note specifically the verb  $t\underline{t}b$  "will revert" and  $b\underline{t}t$  "witch," which are palindromes of each other. Such linguistic reversal fits with the overall structure, theme, and aim of the text to reverse the harm that has been done to the victim and revert it to the one who cast it. We may thus attribute a performative function to this case of an agrammatic paronomasia. 418

An example of palindromic paronomasia appears in Hebrew in the incipit of the oracle of Agur: לְּאִיתִיאֵל וְאָרָל יְאִיתִיאֵל וְאָרָל no 'um hag-geber la- 't̄t̄l 'ēl la- 't̄t̄l 'ēl wa- 'uk̄al "thus says the warrior to Ithiel, even to Ithiel and to Ukal" (Prov 30:1). The name אָאָיתִיאֵל la- 't̄t̄l 'ēl reads the same way forwards and backwards. By repeating it twice verbatim, the oracle draws attention to the device.

A palindrome appears in Job's rhetorical query to his friends: הֲיֵאֶבֵל תְּבֵּל תְּבֵּל הָפֵּל הְּבֵּל הִּבְּלִי־מָלַח אָם־יֶשׁ־טַעַם בְּרִיר חַלְּמוּת hǎ-yē ʾākēl tāpēl mi-bəlī melaḥ ʾim yēš ta ʿam bərīr ḥallāmūt "is tasteless food eaten without salt, or is there flavor in the juice of a pursain-plant?" (Job 6:6). The nouns חַלְּמוּת "salt" and חַלְמוּת hallāmūt "pursain-plant" are palindromes of each another.

In Gen 38:7, we find: אַנְינִי יְהוּדָה רַע בְּעֵינֵי יְהוּהָה עּמר יַהְהּ עַר בְּכוֹר יְהוּדָה עַע בְּעִינִי יְהוּה יֹפּר bakōr yahūdāh ra ba- 'ēnē YHWH "and Er, Judah's firstborn, was evil in eyes of Yahweh." Here ער "פּר "Er" and רָע ra "evil" are palindromes. The device here draws attention to the man and his deeds.

Aelred Cody has suggested an even more elaborate palindrome in Isa 40:4: 40:4: אפּרָכְּסִים לְבְּקְעָה וְּהָיְהָ הְּעָּלְבֵּ לְמִישׁוֹר וְהָרְכָּסִים לְבִּקְעָה wa-hāyāh hɛ- 'āqōḇ la-mīšōr wa-hārkāsīm la-biq 'āh "the rugged land shall be made a plain, the impassable-country a broad vale." In particular, he suggests, that if one emends the difficult hapax legomenon vale." In particular, he suggests, that if one emends the difficult hapax legomenon important wab-hārkāsīm to a presumed original אַרְּכָּסִים rōšīm "mountain tops" (a later attested spelling for אָרָשִּים rā ˈs̄ɪm), the four nouns following the initial verb constitute a perfect palindrome. If the emendation is accepted, the effect is both aural and visual and embodies the theme of reversal in the poem. 419

An implicit palindrome also occurs in Gen 6:8–9. As Sasson pointed out, the entire passage is craftily constructed so that it ends with the fronted predicate: אֶּת־
יַּמָּתְ הַּבְּלְהִים הָתְהֵלְּדְּיֹנַתְ 
'et hā-'elohīm hithallek nōah "with God walked Noah."

It is rather obvious that this inversion was purposely made in order to take advantage of a sentence which thus began and ended in the name of Noah.

<sup>418.</sup> See James Nathan Ford, "'Ninety-Nine by the Evil Eye and One from Natural Causes':  $KTU^2$  1.196 in Its Near Eastern Context," *UF* 30 (1998): 252 n. 171.

<sup>419.</sup> Aelred Cody, "A Palindrome in Isaiah 40:4b: Allowing Restoration of an Original Reading," *CBQ* 66 (2004): 551–60.

Additionally this sequence of ten words no doubt underscored the fact that Noah formed the tenth generation since creation.<sup>420</sup>

Sasson adds that the inverted syntax allowed the author to end the verse with the consonants  $\neg \neg \bot k-n-h$ , which are a palindrome for the name of his illustrious ancestor  $\neg \bot h h \bar{n} n \bar{o} k$  "Enoch," who also "walked with God" (Gen 5:22, 5:24).

Anagramatic paronomasia differs from parasonance in that it involves all three of the consonants of a Semitic root, instead of just two, and in a shuffled sequence, rather than in the same order. Therefore, it is more difficult to achieve given that it restricts the lexical repertoire. Creating palindromes limits the repertoire even more. While anagrammatic paronomasia comes even closer than parasonance to repeating the entire lexeme, the jumbled sequence of its consonants resists easy identification while listening/reading. Nevertheless, the alliterative effect of the device invites comparison and contrast. Anagrammatic paronomasia often appears to be performative in function enacting reversals, inversions, overturnings, and the like through the transposing of signs and consonants. In Egyptian, anagrammatic paronomasia, along with its palindromic subset, is primarily an aural device, whereas in Akkadian, and in the consonantal scripts, like Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic, it can operate on both visual and aural registers.

# 4.2.10. HENDIADIC PARONOMASIA

A hendiadys is an idiom that combines two words to convey a single idea or action. Some cases of hendiadys also possess a paronomastic effect. There also are forms that employ three words, called hendiatris. According to the Arab grammarians, this device usually fell under the heading ithac Numerous examples of hendiadic paronomasia exist in English, for example, fiddle-faddle,

<sup>420.</sup> Jack M. Sasson, "Word-Play in Genesis 6:8–9," *CBQ* 37 (1975): 165. The passage reads: נָת אָישׁ צַּדִּיק תְּמִים הְיָה בְּדרֹתְיו אֶת־הְאֱלֹהִים הִתְהֶלֶּדְ־נַת n̄ōaḥ ʾīš ṣaddīq tāmīm hāyāh bədorōṯāw ʾɛṯ hā-ʾĕlohīm hiṯhallɛk̤ nō̄aḥ "Noah was a righteous man, he was blameless in his age; Noah walked with God."

<sup>421.</sup> See E. Z. Melamed, "Hendiadys (EN  $\Delta$ IA  $\Delta$ YOIN) in the Bible" [Hebrew], *Tarbiz* 16 (1945): 173–89.

<sup>422.</sup> An extraordinary case of five verbs joined (with one following a pause) appears in Gen 25:34: פָּאַכָּל וַיִּשְׁהְ וַיְּקֶם וַיַּלֶּךְ וַיְּבֶּד עֲשָׁוֹ אָּת־הַבְּּבֹרְה way-yō'kal way-yēśt way-yāqām way-yēlak way-yībez 'ēśāw 'et hab-bəkōrāh "he ate and he drank, and he got up, and he left, and Esau despised the birthright." However, this passage does not constitute paronomasia.

<sup>423.</sup> Joseph Reider, "*Itba*' in Hebrew and Aramaic," *JQR* 24 (1934): 321–30. The term also was used periodically for cases of parasonance.

flim-flam, higgledy-piggledy, hocus-pocus, hodge-podge, hub-bub, jibber-jabber, and topsy-turvy.  $^{424}\,$ 

I know of only one case of hendiadic paronomasia in Sumerian, the exclamation Ú.LUM A.LAM, which essentially means "hurry scurry." 425

Both nominal and verbal hendiadys appear in Akkadian texts, the latter mostly in hymns. <sup>426</sup> Nevertheless, only a few of them appear to be paronomastic. One might suggest as exceptions *liqeamma alkam* "I shall proceed" (lit. 'let me take and I come'), found in Old Babylonian letters, <sup>427</sup> and the rare hendiatric example in the Cuthah Legend (l. 121): *arkīšunu ardud aḥmuṭ urriḫ* "after them I harried, I hurried, I hied."

An excellent example of hendiadic paronomasia in Egyptian is the relatively common idiom 'd wd3' "safe and sound." It also appears in the Admonitions of Ipuwer (P.Leiden I 344, r.12.13), in which Ipuwer prophesies the coming of <u>hrw hnnw</u> "noise and tumult." The equally paronomastic *rw.tj-wr.tj* "double gate" occurs in the Tale of Sinuhe (P.Berlin 3022, l. 189).

Another case of hendiadic paronomasia appears in the inscription detailing Hatshepsut's expedition to Punt.<sup>430</sup> There we hear how she opened the road to Punt and obtained access to *htj.w-'nt.jw* "myrrh-terraces" (49.6–7, 52.9).<sup>431</sup>

An example in Ugaritic occurs in the Tale of Aqhat, when Anat laments the death of Aqhat, crying: *abky w aqbrnh* "I shall weep and I shall bury him" (*CAT* 1.19.iii.5, 20, 34). A case of hendiatristic paronomasia occurs in Baal's urgent message to the goddess Anat in the Epic of Baal: *hšk 'sk 'bṣk* "hasten, hurry, rush!" (*CAT* 1.3.iv.11). The repetition of the /s/ and /k/ phonemes reinforce the singularity of action. 432

<sup>424.</sup> Cf. the German Kuddelmuddel meaning "mess, muddle, mix up."

<sup>425.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 41-44.

<sup>426.</sup> See the important study by Wasserman, *Style and Poetry in Old-Babylonian Literary Texts*, 5–28.

<sup>427.</sup> Discussed by Sergey Loesov, "Marginalia on the Akkadian Ventive," in *Babel und Bibel: Annual of Ancient Near Eastern, Old Testament, and Semitic Studies*, ed. Leonid Kogan, OC 3 (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2006), 110.

<sup>428.</sup> Noted by Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 235, but as an onomatopoeia.

<sup>429.</sup> For example, the idiom occurs with some variation in a Middle Kingdom letter, an inscription of Thutmosis I, and another belonging to a high priest of Osiris under Thutmosis III. See Francis L. Griffith, *The Petrie Papyri: Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob* (London: Bernard Quartich, 1898), 32.12; Kurt Sethe, *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie*, vol. 4.1 (Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1906), 56.16, 209.14.

<sup>430.</sup> The numeration here follows that of Adriaan De Buck, *Egyptian Readingbook* (Chicago, IL: Ares Publishers, 1948).

<sup>431.</sup> In 50:6, we encounter the expanded form htjw n.w 'ntjw.

<sup>432.</sup> The line appears also in *CAT* 1.1.ii.1, 21–22, iii.10, 1.3.iii.18.

The Hebrew Bible contains a number of examples of hendiadic paronomasia. 433 Such include: עַ וְנָדְ וֹנְי na ˈwə-nād "perpetual wanderer" (Gen 4:12), אַ מְלֵנִי אַלְמֹנִי hə-māṣōr ū-b-māṣōq "in dire siege" (Deut 28:53), בְּמְצוֹר וּבְּמְצוֹר וּבְּמְצוֹר וּבְמְצוֹר "someone" (1 Sam 21:3), פַּתַע פִּתְע מִּתְע מַ מִּתְע מַ מִּתְע פִּתְע פִּתְע פִּתְע פִּתְע פִּתְע מִּתְע מַ מִּתְע מַ מִּתְע מַ מִּתְע מַ מִּתְע פִּתְע מַ מִּתְע מִי מִּנְעִשׁ וַתְּרְעֵשׁ הַּוֹדְרָע הַּלְּע מִי וֹחִיּתְה מֹשׁ מוּל יִמְיִ מִּלְּע מִי וֹחִלְּתְ מִּלְּע מִּעְ וַחִּיְתָּע מַ וְחִלְּתְּע (Ps 18:8), אַ מִּי וְחִלְּתְ הַמְּל וְחִוֹּתְה "thele wa-hōmāh "wall and rampart" (Lam 2:8, cf. Isa 26:1), אַ מִּיב וְאוֹרֶב וְאוֹרֶב וְאַבְּתִנוּם מַּשְּבּעִּע וַיִּבְּתִנּם מַּשְּבּעְשִׁ מַּעִּי בְּעִּעְּשַׁ מַּעִי בְּעִבְּע וַיִּבְּעוֹם וַיִּבְּתִוּם מַ מַּשְּשִׁ מַעִּי בְּעָּתִוּם "they smote and defeated them" (Num 14:45). Martin Noth also once proposed that the names בּּנְלִבּד וּמֵיְדָּד וּמִיְדָד וּמִיִּדְּד בּנִבְּתִּבְּע בּּנְלִבּע בַּנְלַתּם וַ בּּבְּתַם "Eldad and Medad" (Num 11:26–27) were fictitious, because of their inherent paronomasia.

An example of hendiadic paronomasia in Aramaic occurs in Dan 2:14 in the phrase עֵּטָא וֹטְעֵּם 'ētā' ū-ṭ'ēm "counsel and discretion" used to describe Daniel's response to the captain of the king's guard. For a paronomastic hendiatris, see 'aməmayyā' 'umayyā' wə-li-ššānayyā' "peoples, nations, and languages" (Dan 3:4, 3:7, 3:31, 5:19, 6:26, and 7:14). Dan 7:23 also provides an example: וֹחְדוֹשְׁנַה וְחַדְּקְנַה יִּתְדוֹשְׁנַה וְחַדְּקְנַה וֹנִירְתַּנַה וֹחָדִיקְנַה וֹחָדְקַנַּה יִּתְדוֹשְׁנַה וְחַדְּקְנַה וֹנִירְתַנַּה וֹנִירִי יִּתְדוֹשְׁנַה וְחַדְּקְנַה וֹנִירְתַנַּה וֹנִה יִּתְּדוֹשְׁנַה וְחַדְּקְנַה וְנִה יִּתְּדִּעְּנָה וְתַּדְּקְנַה וְנִה וְּתָבְּתַנַּה וֹנִירְתַנַּה וְנִיּקְנַה וֹנִה יִּתְּדִּעְּנָה וְתַּדְּתָּנָה וֹנְה יִּתְּדִּעְנַה וֹנְדִּקְנַה וֹנִירְיִנָּה וְנִּה וְנַה וֹּנְדִּתְּנִה וֹנִירְיִנָּה וְנִיּרְיִנְה וְנִּה וְנִיּרְיִנְה וְנִה וְּנִבְּתְּר וֹנִה וֹנִירְיִנָּה וְנִיּתְּר וְנִה וֹנְה יִּתְּר וְנִה וֹיִנְיִנְה וֹנִה וֹנִירְיִנָּה וֹנְה יִיִּיִּיִנְּה וְנִיּיִיּתְּר וְנִיּה וְנִיּה וְנִיּה וְנָה וֹנִיר וֹנִיּיִי יִּיִּיּיִי וּתְּדִּיּתְּר וֹנִה וֹנְה וֹיִבּית וֹנִה וֹנִיּיִי וּתְּדִּיְתָּבָּה וֹנִה וֹנִה וֹנִייִי יִּיִּי וּתְּרָינִיה וֹנִיי יִּיִּי וּתְּיִינְה וּתְּדִּיְנָּה וְנִיּיְנָּה וְּנִיּיְיִנְה וֹיִיּיִי יִּיִּי וּתְּדִּיּתְּה וֹנִיּה וֹנְיִי וּתְּרְיִיּיִי יִּיּיִי יִּיּיִי וּתְּיִיּיִי יִּיּיִי יִּיִּיּיִי יִּיְיִּיִּיְיִי יִּיּיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיִּיְיִיּיִי וּיִּיְיִיּיִי יִּיִּיּיִי יִּיְיִיּיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִייִּיּיִי יִּיְיִייִי יִיּיִי יִּיִייְיִי יִּיּיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיּיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִייִי יִּיְיִייִי יִּיִייִי יִּיּיִי יִייְיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיְיִי יִּיִי יִייִי יִייִי יִיּיִייִי יִּיְיִייִי יִּיּי יִּיּיִי יִייִי יִּיּיִי יִּייִי יִייִייִי יִּיְיִייִייִי יִּיְיִייִי יִּיּיִייִייִי יִּיּיִייִי יִּייִייִייִי יִּייִייְיִייִייִיּיִייִיּיִייְיִיּיִייִייִייִּיּיְיִייי

Given that hendiadic paronomasia involves juxtaposition, syndetic or asyndetic, it naturally operates aurally and visually. It is its juxtaposition that distinguishes it from other forms of paronomasia. It reinforces what the paronomasia also achieves—a union of forms or motions. Like polysemy, it combines two meanings into one, but without the elegance of singularity. In texts that preserve vowels, the device also is as assonantal. The sing-song effect perhaps contributed to making some examples of hendiadys common sayings. When combining nouns, hendiadic paronomasia can be metonymic (e.g., "noise and tumult" = "chaos," "wall and rampart" = "defense system"), whereas when combining verbs, it can give the perception of totality or combined force and speed (e.g., "smote and defeated" = "destroyed," "I harried, I hurried, I hied" = "I sped").

<sup>433.</sup> Most cases in Hebrew are syndetic, whereas in Arabic, most are asyndetic. See Reider, "*Itba*" in Hebrew and Aramaic," 323, who offers a number of additional examples from the Hebrew Bible. The breaking up of a hendiadys also is a device in the Hebrew Bible. See E. Z. Melamed, "Break-Up of Stereotyped Phrases as an Artistic Device in Biblical Poetry," in *Studies in the Bible*, ed. Chaim Rabin, ScrHier 8 (Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1961), 115–53.

<sup>434.</sup> The pair in Ps 18:8 also constitutes a case of homoioteleuton.

<sup>435.</sup> Martin Noth, *Numbers: A Commentary*, trans. James D. Martin (Philadelphia, PA: Westminster Press, 1968), 90; cited also by Cherry, *Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament*, 122. Cf. b. Ḥul 19a: ידענא ולא בילק ידענא ולא בילק ידענא ולא בילק ידענא ולא בילק ידענא 'n' l' ḥylq yd'n' w-l' bylq yd'n' "I know neither Hillak nor Billak" (i.e., "I know no authoritative opinions").

#### 4.2.11. RHYME

Rhyme is rare in ancient Near Eastern texts, but it is attested in small portions.<sup>436</sup> An example of end rhyme from Sumerian occurs in the Gudea cylinder B 12.26–13.2:

AN.KÙ.GE ZI.DÈ.ÉŠ MU.ĜAR <sup>d</sup>EN.LÍL.E SAĜ.BA GUR BÍ.DAR <sup>d</sup>NIN.HUR.SAĜ.KE<sub>4</sub> IGI.ZI BA.ŠI.BAR

Holy Anu established (the temple) properly, Enlil wound the turban round its head. Ninhursag looked at it favorably.

Here the signs GAR, DAR, and BAR provide the rhyme.<sup>437</sup> End rhyme also occurs in the first five verses of the poem Ninmešarra, each of which concludes with the sound /a/.<sup>438</sup>

NIN ME ŠAR.RA  $U_4$  DALLA È.A MUNUS ZI ME.LI $_9$  GÙR.RU KI.ÁĜ AN URAŠ.A NU.GIG AN.NA SUḤ.KÉŠE GAL.GAL.A AGA ZI.DÈ KI.ÁĜ NAM.EN.NA TÚM.MA ME INIM.BÉ ŠU SÁ DU $_{11}$ .GA

Queen of all the Mes, too many to count, rising as a brilliant light. Woman, most energetic, clothed in terrifying brightness, beloved of Anu and Uras.

Anu's hierodule, you are above all the *suḥkeše*-pectorals, You, who love the right *aga*-crown, who is fitting for the en-priestood, Empowered with all of its seven Mes.

<sup>436.</sup> P. Schmalzl, "Der Reim in Hebräischen Texte des Ezechiel," *TQ* 79 (1897): 127–133; C. F. Burney, "Rhyming in the Song of Songs," *JTS* 10 (1908–1909): 554–57; Glück, "Assonance in Ancient Hebrew Poetry," 71–84; Stanislav Segert, "Assonance and Rhyme in Hebrew Poetry," *Maarav* 8 (1992): 171–80; Jeremy Corley, "Rhyme in the Hebrew Prophets and Wisdom Poetry," *BN* 132 (2007): 55–69.

<sup>437.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 24 n. 4. For other, perhaps less convincing, attempts to establish rhyme in Sumerian, see Raymond-Riec Jestin, "La rime sumérienne," *BiOr* 24 (1967): 9–12; Jestin, "La rime interne en sumérien," *RA* 63 (1969): 115–20; Claus Wilcke, "Formale Gesichtspunkte in der sumerischen Literatur," in *Sumerological Studies in Honor of Thorkild Jacobsen on His Seventieth Birthday, June 7, 1974*, ed. Stephen J. Lieberman, AS 20 (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1975), 205–316.

<sup>438.</sup> Zgoll, Der Rechtsfall der En-hedu-Ana im Lied nin-me-šara, 2–3, 173.

In Akkadian, one finds rhyming couplets (also called homoioteleutic couplets), especially in incantations, doxologies, and exclamations. <sup>439</sup> See, for example, an incantation against Wardat-lilîm (*YOS* 11, 92). <sup>440</sup>

- 28. [b]īt errubu
  The house I enter,
- 29. [*l*]ā terrubīma you shall never enter!
- 30. [ēma] rutī addu [Wherever] I spit,
- 31. [lā ta]lappatīma [you shall not] touch.

Note how the first and third lines end in /u/ and the second and fourth in /īma/. This kind of "grammatical rhyming" in incantations appears to have served a performative function while also, according to Wasserman, "signaling the transitional point between the *recitanda* and the *agenda* sections of the magical procedure."

A sustained example of rhyme in Akkadian occurs in the Song of Erra III D 5-7:<sup>442</sup>

- 5. *tam-tam-ma dal-ḥa-ta šad-de-ma gam-ra-ta*The oceans you convulse, the mountains you finish off.
- 6. UN.MEŠ (nišī)-ma re-da-ta bu-lam-ma re 'a-a-ta Men you govern, the herds, you shepherd.
- é-šar-ra-ma pa-nu-uk-ka é-engur-ra-ma qa-tuk-ka
   Esharrama is before your face, Engurrama is in your hands.

Note how every word ends in /a/, with every other word (starting with the first) in the entire passage ending in /ma/, every other word (starting with the second) in the first two lines ending in /ata/, and every other word in the final line ending with /ukka/.<sup>443</sup>

The Egyptian script records no vowels, making it impossible to identify cases of rhyme. It is possible that some cases of rhyme existed, but without knowing the vowels, one only can speculate.

<sup>439.</sup> See Wasserman, Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts, 157-73.

<sup>440.</sup> Offered in Wasserman, Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts, 162.

<sup>441.</sup> Wasserman, Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts, 168.

<sup>442.</sup> Observed by Watson, *Classical Hebrew Poetry*, 230. On the use of rhyming couplets in Akkadian, see also Wasserman, *Style and Form in Old Babylonian Literary Texts*, 157–73. See especially p. 168, where he characterizes the function of rhyming couplets as performative speech acts.

<sup>443.</sup> See Noegel, "Word Play' in the Song of Erra."

Since Ugaritic does not record vowels, proposing the existence of rhyme depends on reconstructing the text. With this in mind, Watson suggested that the poet has created end rhyme in the Epic of Baal (CAT 1.2.i.36–37) by repeating the enclitic particle  $m\bar{a}$ . I cite the text below with the vocalization reconstructed in parentheses: 445

'bdk b'l yymm (ya-yammu-mā) 'bdk b'l [nhr]m (naharu-mā) bn dgn asrkm ('asiruka-mā)

Baal is your slave, O Yamm, Baal is your slave, O River, Dagan's son, your prisoner.

See also in the same text (CAT 1.2.iv.10) (also with vocalization reconstructed):<sup>446</sup>

tiqqahu mulka ʻālamikā darkat dīt dār dārikā

Assume your eternal kingship, Your everlasting dominion.

Biblical Hebrew offers a handful of examples of rhyming, though not all proposals have been convincing.  $^{447}$  The lament of Jeremiah offers one of the more profound examples: מָבְלִיגִיתִי עֲלֵי יְגִּוֹן עָלֵי לְבִּי דְיָנִי  $mabl\bar{\imath}g\bar{\imath}t\bar{\imath}$  ' $al\bar{\imath}e$  algebra algebra when in grief I would seek comfort, my heart is sick within me" (Jer 8:18). Strengthening the repetition of the consonants beth, gimmel, lamed, and mem is assonance of the short and long a- and i-vowels. See also Isaiah's prophecy of יוֹם מְהוּמְה וּמְבוּסְה וּמְבוּסְה וּמְבוּסְה וּמְבוּסְה וּמְבוּסְה וּמְבוּסְה וּמְבוּסְה (Isa 22:5). The same vocalic structure (/algebra/) repeats three times and finds reinforcement in the repetition of the algebra and algebra algebra repeats three times and finds reinforcement in the repetition of the algebra and algebra algebra repeats

<sup>444.</sup> As discussed in the introduction, the Ugaritic script has three different ways of writing the consonant *aleph* depending on whether it takes an a, i, or u vowel, but this is the only exception.

<sup>445.</sup> Watson, *Classical Hebrew Poetry*, 230, records the enclitic particle as  $m\bar{i}$ , based on a reconstruction by Frank Moore Cross, "The Song of the Sea and Canaanite Myth," *JThC* 5 (1968): 3 n. 8. I opt for an enclitic particle as found in Akkadian.

<sup>446.</sup> Also suggested by Watson, Classical Hebrew Poetry, 230 n. 29.

<sup>447.</sup> See, for example, the early effort of Burney, "Rhyming in the Song of Songs." Corely, "Rhyme in the Hebrew Prophets and Wisdom Poetry," 56, argues that "rhyme can have a decorative role, contributing to the euphony of the poetry." He also suggests it can intensify expressiveness and aid memory. Most of the examples cited in this work rely on the repetition of gender matched nouns and verbal and pronominal suffixes.

Rhyme appears, though not frequently, in later Aramaic texts too, such as the Targum Jonathan to 2 Sam 22, and the acrostic poems A Dispute Arose and O Have Mercy on Us, though often these involve repeated suffixes.<sup>448</sup>

Some cases of hendiadic paronomasia also constitute miniature rhymes, as seen above. I add the following: בְּשֶׁצֶף קֻשֶּלֶּף bə-šɛṣɛp̄ qɛṣɛp̄ "in a torrent of anger" (Isa 54:8), אָם וֹלָהוֹ נַבּהוֹ tַּסֿhū wā-b̄oħū "formless and void" (Gen 1:2), and the Aramaic idiom עֵּמְמֵיְא אָמֵיְא אָמַיְא אָמַיָּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיָּא אָמַיָּא אָמַיָּא אָמַיָּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיָּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמַיִּא אָמָיִא אָמָיִא אָמָיִיא אָמָיִא אָמָיִא אָמָיִיא אָמַיִיא אָמָיִיא אָמַיּיָא אָמָיָיא אָמָיִיא אָמָיִיא אָמָיִיא אָמָיָא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָיָא אָמָייָא אָמָיִיא אָמָיִיא אָמָיִיא אָמָייָא אָמָייא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָיייא אָמָייָא אָמַיּיָא אָמַיּיא אָמַיּיא אָמַייּא אָמַיּיא אָמָייָא אָמָייָא אָמָייא אָמָייי אָערּייי אָמָייי אָמָיי אָמָיי אָערּעָי אָערּעָּע אָמָיי אָערָי אָי אָמָיי אָערָי אָערָי אָעָי אָמָיי אָערָי אָי אָמָי אָמָי אָי אָמָי אָערָי אָערָי אָמָי אָמָי אָי אָמָי אָי אָמָי אָער אָי אָמָי אָער אָי אָי אָמָי אָי אָמָי אָי אָמָי אָי אָי אָמָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָני אָי אָער אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָר אָי אָר אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָר אָי אָר אָי אָר אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָי אָר אָי אָר אָי אָר אָי אָר אָי אָי אָר אָי אָר אָי

Rhyme is primarily an aural device, one that also depends on repetitive vowel sequences. It is closely tied to the accents and rhythms of a text, and thus, it provides emphasis and focus. Most cases occur at the ends of lines and words, and thus usually involve pronouns. Nevertheless, rhyme is also structural in that the repeated sounds lend continuity and cohesiveness. The patterns that rhyme creates contribute to the lines' perceived similarity. Rhyme also may be connected to the musical accompaniment of some texts.

#### 4.2.12. GEMINATE PARALLELISM AND CLUSTERING

Geminate clusters have as their primary characteristic the clustering of geminate forms in close proximity, often, but not always in parallelism. Geminate here is not restricted to the grammatical geminate forms, that is, those forms derived from Semitic roots whose second and third radicals are identical, but includes any verb or noun derived from roots that contain any two identical root consonants, whether second and third, first and third, or more rarely, first and second. Since reduplicated and some quadriliteral forms also constitute gemination of this sort, they are included as well. The aim of a geminate cluster is a general sense of ballast, and unlike word pairs, which bards employed as parallels of sense or meaning, geminate clusters belong generally to the realm of sound devices, and serve to balance one stich's use of gemination with gemination in another.<sup>449</sup> Thus, geminate clusters draw connections not between particular consonants or vowels, but between geminating forms, each of which is naturally alliterative.

Geminate parallelism occurs in Sumerian texts, but it is important to keep in mind that reduplication in Sumerian is not restricted to verbs, but can express pluralization in nouns and intensification in adjectives. Nevertheless, the aural effect functions similarly to that in the other languages examined here. A fine example occurs in the Lugalbanda Epic (2.87):

PIRIĜ.KUR.RA TÉŠ.BI DU7.DU7.GIM SU.ZI ḤÉ.EM.DU8.DU8

<sup>448.</sup> See Pereira, *Studies in Aramaic Poetry* (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.), 38–39, 41–42, 67. 449. Noegel, "Geminate Ballast and Clustering"; Noegel, "More Geminate Ballast and Clustering."

Like mountain lions that attack each other, It (the net of the Anzu bird) is filled with terror.

Note how DU<sub>7</sub>.DU<sub>7</sub> "attack, gore" in the first stich parallels DU<sub>8</sub>.DU<sub>8</sub> "fill" in the second. <sup>450</sup> This example also constitutes homonymic paronomasia.

A second example, also paronomastic in nature, occurs in a proverb:

NÌ.MAḤ KÚ.KÚ.E Ù NU.UM.ŠI.KU.KU

He who eats too much, cannot sleep.

Here KÚ.KÚ "eat" finds a parallel with KU.KU "sleep." 451

There are numerous examples of geminate parallelism and clustering in Akkadian. At times they operate over short distances. Thus, in the Epic of Gilgamesh, the great monster of the Cedar Forest is described as: <sup>d</sup>humbaba rigmašu abūbu "Humbaba, his voice is the Deluge" (2.122). The geminate abūbu "Deluge" resounds the geminated name <sup>d</sup>humbaba "Humbaba."

Other examples are carried over multiple lines. So, in Ludlul bel nemeqi 3.7–8, we find: 452

- 7. [*u*]*r-ra u mu-šú iš-ten-iš a-na-a*[*s-su-us*] Day and night alike I groan,
- 8. MAŠ.GE<sub>6</sub> (*šuttu*) *mu-na-at-tú mal-ma-liš šu-um-r*[*u-ṣa-ku*] In dream and waking moments I am equally wretched.

In this passage the geminate form *anassus* (from the verb *nasāsu*) in the A line has inspired the use of the reduplicate *malmališ* in the B line.

See similarly the Contest between the Tamarisk and the Palm, in which the Tamarisk gloats:  $^{453}$ 

- 5. ... [iš-p]a-ra-ak-ma qé a-ma-ḥa-aṣ ú-la-ba-a¡ um-ma-nam-ma ... I am a weaver and beat up the threads. I clothe the troops.
- 6. [... m]a-a $\check{s}$ -ma- $\check{s}a$ -ak-ma bi-it i-li-im  $\acute{u}$ -la-al
  - [...] I am the exorcist and purify the temple.

<sup>450.</sup> Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 33.

<sup>451.</sup> Alster, *Proverbs of Ancient Sumer*, 24; Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 34.

<sup>452.</sup> Lambert, Babylonian Wisdom Literature, 48–49.

<sup>453.</sup> Lambert, Babylonian Wisdom Literature, 156-57.

The A line's *ummanamma* "troops" finds ballast in the B line's use of the reduplicated noun *mašmaššu* "exorcist" and in the geminate verb *elēlu/ullulu* "purify."

See also Enuma Elish 1.42–43:

- 42. *i-zu-uz-ma il-ta-si e-li ḫar-mi-ša*She was furious and screamed at her lover,
- 43. *mar-ṣi-iš ug-gu-gat e-diš-ši-ša*Delirious, she was beside herself with rage.

The geminate verb  $ez\bar{e}zu$  "be furious" in the A line finds a match in the B line's uggugat "rage," and also in the imitation geminate form  $\bar{e}di\bar{s}\bar{s}i\bar{s}a$  "herself" (lit. "alone herself"), from  $\bar{e}di\bar{s}\bar{s}\bar{i}$  plus the suffix  $\bar{s}a$ . As this and other examples demonstrate, the device does not constitute gemination in the service of alliteration, but rather the repetition of consonants in the service of gemination. The alliterative effect here thus aims to mimic or amplify other geminates.

There is a good deal of evidence for geminate parallels and clustering in Egyptian texts as well. See, for example, the following line in the Prophecy of Neferti (P.St. Petersburg 1116 B): *jw sbì.w n.w dndn=f h³k.w-ìb n.w šfšf.t=f* "the rebels to his wrath. The disaffected to his awe" (II. 64–65). Here *dndn* "wrath" provides a geminate parallel for the reduplicate *šfšf.t* "awe."

Similarly, in the Tale of Wenamun (P.Moscow 120), the Tjeker people, in search of Wenamun, respond to the question of why they have come to Byblos by saying: iri.n=n ij m-si ni bir.w qnqn sp 2 nt.j tw=k wdi=w r km.t m nij=n ir.iw n tttt.w "we have come after the damaged ships, we have come after the damaged ships that you are sending to Egypt with the one with whom we have a quarrel" (2.72). Here qnqn "damaged" (2x) is followed by tttt.w "quarrel."

The Instructions of Amenemope (P.BM 10474) gives ample evidence of the device. For example, as Amenemope instructs: m irj nhb  $\underline{tttt}$  r -m p t 3 -r mtw=k dbdb=fn mdw.(t) "do not cause a quarrel with a hot mouth. Do not strike him with words" (5.10). Here  $\underline{tttt}$  "quarrel" is followed by dbdb "strike." Later he adds: m jri snsn.ti n=k p smm mtw=k  $\underline{hnhn}=f$  r sdd "do not fraternize with a hothead nor approach him for a conversation" (11.13), which employs snsn "fraternize," smm "hothead," and  $\underline{hnhn}$  "approach." These lines are then followed by a veritable

tapestry of geminate forms including qnqn "beating" (12.5), tmm "people" (12.7), tttt "quarrel" (12.10), pîpî "make bricks, knead" (12.17), and once again tttt "quarrel" (13.1). This is continued with 'š 'š "gullet" (14.8), wîswîs "confused" (14.14), swnwn "flattery" (14.15), snn "prostrations" (14.16), and qnqn "beating" (14.16). We also find šmm "hothead" (15.13) and snsn "fraternize" (15.14). Amenemope does not use this device again until chapter 22, but there he does so frequently: tmm "people" (22.11), kjwj "population" (22.13), snsn "fraternize" (22.14), shsh "run, hurry" (22.17), tttt "quarrel" (22.20), and mis "see" (22.22).

I have had occasion to reference the sophistication of P.Westcar several times. Not surprisingly, the device examined here features in one of its passages. Specifically, it is employed in a statement concerning the magical reattachment of a goose's head: 'h'.n dd.n ddi dd.t=f m hk3.w wn.in p3 smn 'h' hr hb3b3 d3d3=f m-mi.tt hr-m-ht spr=f w r w h n p smn h h g g g "then Diedi said his spell of magic and the goose stood up, waddling, its head likewise. After one had reached near and behind the other, the goose stood up, cackling" (8.20–23). 454 The brief statement is loaded with gemination. Its three reduplicated forms (hb3b3 "waddling," d3d3 "head," and the onomatopoeic g3g3 "cackling") are supported by the name ddi "Djedi," the verb dd "speak," and the noun dd.t "spell." As such, the text creates "imitation geminates" to support true geminate forms. Note also the natural gemination in the expression w' r w' "the one ... the other." Also suggestive of gemination is hr-m-ht "near and behind." The entire passage about the *smn* "goose" ( ) is visually enhanced by the frequent use of the legs and feet determinative A used of movement. We see it repeated four times in the verb 'h' (twice for "stood up" and twice modally for "then") and in hb3b3 "waddling," spr "reached," and hr-m-ht "near and behind." Moreover, the verb hb3b3 "waddling" is spelled IIP AIP DA, which provides two more feet (the phonetic letter  $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{b}$ ) and contains and echoes the *ba*-bird  $\mathbb{b}$ , which is used for a "revived spirit." The reader is thus presented with a flurry of feet and bird images that accent the goose's magical revival.

Egyptian monumental inscriptions give evidence of the device as well. In the Annals of Thuthmosis III inscribed at Karnak, we read of the king's battle at Megiddo (ll. 86–87):<sup>455</sup>

îw=sn hr îfd m gbgb [...] mkt m hr.w n snd h3.n=sn ssm.wt=sn wrr.wt=sn n.w nb hr hd îth=tw st m tbtb m hbs=sn r dmî n îst htm n n3 n rmt dmî pn hr [sh3 n=sn] r tbtb str hr r dmî pn îts h3 nn îr mš n hm=f rdî.t îb=sn r h3q n3 n h.t n n3 n hr.w iw=[sn hr h3q] mkt m t3 3.t

<sup>454.</sup> Parys, Le récit du Papyrus Westcar, 56-58.

<sup>455.</sup> Kurt Sethe, *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie*, vol. 4.3 (Leipzig: Hinrichs'sche Buchandlung, 1905), 658.

They (army of Megiddo) fled, falling headlong [toward] Megiddo with fearful faces, they having abandoned their horses and chariots of gold and silver in order that they be dragged and hoisted by their clothes into this city. Now because the people had sealed this city against th[em, they let down] their garments in order to hoist them up into the city. Now only if the army of his majesty had not set their minds to looting the possessions of those enemies, th[ey] would have [taken] Megiddo at that time.

This short pericope contains four geminate (here reduplicate) forms. In 1. 86, we find *gbgb* "flee" and *tbtb* "hoist," and in line 87, and the variant *tbtb* "hoist."

The device occurs several times in the Poetical Stela of Thutmosis III (CM 34010). The paean to victory comprises ten stanzas that begin  $ii.n=i \ di=i \ titi=k \ X$ "I (Amun) have come, I have caused to trample down X," where X is another people or region. The gemination in it "come" and titt "trample down" inspires gemination in the very next verses in these stanzas in several places. In line 4, Amun declares: s'sj=i šfšf=k m ht nb.(w)t di=i hmhm.t hm=k ht pd.wt psd.t "I magnified your charisma in every body. I caused that your majesty's war-cry reach the nine bows." Note the presence of *šfšf* "charisma" and *hmhm.t* "war-cry," and the pseudo-gemination caused by pd.wt psd.t "nine bows." This occurs again in slightly different form in line 8: m nht m wsr wd.n=i n=k sdm=sn hmhm.t=k 'q.(w) m b3b3.w "in might and strength that I ordained for you, they heard your war-cry and entered holes." Here hmhm.t "war-cry" occurs with b3b3.w "holes," the latter perhaps suggestive of pharaoh's b; "power." Another geminate variation occurs in line 18: dî=î tîtî=k îm.jw îw.w hr.jw îb w3d-wr hr hmhm.t=k "I let you trample the islanders, those from the heart of the Great Green beneath your warcry." Here titi "trample" occurs with hmhm.t "war-cry." The gemination reaches a climax in line 20:  $d\vec{i}=\vec{i}$   $t\hat{i}t\hat{i}=k$  ph.w  $t\hat{i}.w$   $\tilde{s}nn.t$   $\tilde{s}n$  wr  $\tilde{r}f(w)$  m hf=k  $d\hat{i}=\hat{i}$   $m\hat{s}\hat{i}=sn$ hm=k m nb dm3.t iti m dgg.t=f r mrr=f "let you trample the ends of lands; what Ocean circles is enfolded in your fist. Let them see your majesty as falcon-winged (lit. "lord of the wing") who takes what he espies as he desires." Here we find *titi* "trample," šnn.t "encircle," m3 "see," dggt "espy" (written defectively with g twice just for the effect), and mrr "desire." 456 This is followed in lines 23–24 with:

<sup>456.</sup> This might be a hitherto unrecognized poetic feature of monumental texts. See, e.g., the victory stela of Ramesses II at Abu Simbel (C. 20), where we find \$\beta \beta \beta\$ "swift" (l. 16), \$\beta \beta \beta\$ "seek" (l. 16), \$ss "ash" (l. 20), \$sksk\$ "destroy" (l. 20), \$ns\beta \beta\$ "terrible," instead of the expected \$nh\beta\$ (l. 21), \$\beta \beta \beta \beta\$ "flourish" (l. 28), \$ms\beta\$ "see" (l. 28), \$\beta\$ "rejoice" (l. 28), and "b" "boast" (l. 28). The Poem of Victory of Ramesses III also demonstrates an interest in geminated forms in its use of \$hbhb\$ "traverse" (l. 5), followed by \$ptpt\$ "trample" (l. 8), and \$sksk\$ "destruction" (l. 10). See too the Triumph of Sheshonq I inscribed at Karnak (l. 9): \$\beta w = k m wnwn \beta t \beta fti. n = k \sbi. w r = k "you circle (like Horus) over your enemies. You have crushed who rebel against you." Here \$wnwn\$ "circle" parallels \$titi\$ "crush."

 $wtt.n=\hat{i}\ m\ [h\ w]-n\underline{t}r\ \underline{d}hw.t\underline{j}-ms\ 'nh.(w)\ \underline{d}.t\ \hat{i}r\ n=\hat{i}\ mrr.t\ nb.t\ k\hat{s}=\hat{i}\ s\ 'h\ '.n=k\ \hat{i}wnn=\hat{i}\ m\ k\hat{s}.t\ nhh\ s\hat{s}w\ swsh\ r\ p\hat{s}.t\ hpr$ 

Whom I begot from the divine flesh: Thuthmosis (III), living forever, who has done for me all that my *ka*-spirit desired. You erected my sanctuary in eternal work(manship), made longer and wider than ever before.

Note here the use of the geminates wtt "begot," mrr.t "desired," s 'h "erected," iwnn "sanctuary," nhh "eternal," and swsh "made wider," in such a brief passage.

The device also was known to the bards of Ugarit as the Epic of Baal demonstrates (*CAT* 1.4.iv.14–18):

- 14. *yš<u>t</u>n a<u>t</u>rt l bmt 'r*He sets Asherah on the back of an ass,
- 15. *l ysmsmt bmt pḥl*On the beautiful back of a donkey.
- 16. *qdš yuhdm šb r* Qadish seizes, he leads.
- 17. *amrr k kbkb l pnm* Even Amrar like a star before him,
- 18. *atr btlt 'nt*Marches the Virgin Anat.

This passage clusters several reduplicated forms. Note, for example, *smsmt* in line 15, as well as *amrr* and k kbkb in line 17. Here again, the poet's word choice appears to have been influenced by a desire for reduplicated forms. Also of note is that one of the geminate forms exploited by the bard is a personal name, specifically the god Amrar.

Geminate ballast is achieved a bit later again (CAT 1.4.v.54–55):

- 54. *hš trmmn hk[lm]*Quickly you shall erect a palace
- 55. *b tk srrt spn*In the midst of the summit of Saphan.

Earlier in the Baal cycle, Baal's mountain is called *gry il* "my holy mountain" (*CAT* 1.3.iii.29) and *mrym spn* the "heights of Saphan" (*CAT* 1.3.iv.r.1, 1.4.iv.1, 1.4.v.23, 1.5.i.11), but here the phrase used is *srrt spn* "summit of Saphan." The geminate *srrt* was employed in order to match the geminate form *trmmn* "you shall erect" in the previous stich.

See similarly the reference to the Kirta's son Ilhu (*CAT* 1.16.i.48–49):

48. *grgrh bm ymn*His lance in his right hand,

49. *wyqrb trzzh*And sets out on his march.

Here the passage balances the appearance of the reduplicated noun *grgr* "lance" in the first line with the quadriliteral form *trzz* "march."

Elsewhere in the Epic of Baal, we find yet another geminate cluster (*CAT* 1.4.vii.45–49):

- 45. *dll al ilak lbn*I will send a delegation to the son of the gods, Mot,
- 46. *ilm mt 'dd lydd*A herald to the beloved
- 47. *il ģzr yqra mt* of El, the hero. He will call Mot
- 48. *bnpšh ystrn ydd* with his throat, instruct the beloved
- 49. *bgngnh aḥdy dym* with his insides, I alone reign.

Several geminates create the cluster in this passage including *dll* "delegation" (l. 45), '*dd* "herald" (l. 46), *ydd* "beloved" (ll. 46, 48), and the reduplicate form *gngn* "insides" (l. 49).

A final example in Ugaritic appears in the Tale of Aqhat (*CAT* 1.17.ii.30–45), in which the following passage repeats four times: *yšlḥm ktrt w yššq bnt hl[l] snnt* "he dines the Katharat, and wines the radiant daughters of the moon" (ll. 30–31). Here we find the geminates *hll* "moon" and *snnt* "radiant," as well as the pseudo-geminate *yššq* "wines" (i.e., a causative form of the verb *šqy*). The repetition of the verse to mark the passage of seven days allows the narrator to employ the geminate ordinals *tlt* "third" (l. 34) and *tdt* "sixth" (l. 37), and to reference the seventh (of the) *ymm* "days" (l. 39). When the seventh day arrives, we then are told: *tb* '*b bth ktrt bnt hll snnt* "the Katharat leave his house, the radiant daughters of the moon" (ll. 39–40). This permits the poet to repeat the geminates *hll* "moon" and *snnt* "radiant," and to double the /b/ sound in *b bth* "from his house." The column becomes fragmentary after this, but it is clear that line 42 contains the geminate forms *ysmsmt* "delights" and *hllt* "childbirth."<sup>457</sup>

An impressive example of geminate parallelism and clustering in Hebrew occurs in Ps 74:13–14.

אַתָּה פּוֹרַרְתָּ בְעָזְדְּ יָם שָׁבַּרְתָּ רְאשֵׁי תַנִּינִים עַל־הַמְּיִם: אַתָּה רִצַּצְתָּ רָאשֵׁי לִוֹיָתֵן תִּתְּנֵנּוּ מַאֲכָל לִעָם לְצִיִּים:

<sup>457.</sup> Other examples of geminate clustering that appear in *CAT* 1.18.iv include *qdqd* "pate" (1. 22) and *tltid* "thrice" (1. 23).

'attāh pōrartā bə-'ozzəkā yām šibbartā rā'šē tannīnīm 'al ham-māyīm 'attāh rişşaştā rā'šē liwyātān tittənennū ma'ākāl lə-'ām lə-şīyyīm

You parted the sea by your strength; you broke the heads of the Tannin in the waters. You crushed the heads of Leviathan, and you gave him for food to the people of the wilderness.

Sustained cases of geminate clusters in Aramaic occur in the prose and poetry sections of Dan 4 and 7.460 The former chapter gives an account of Nebuchadnezzar's dream. It includes the following forms: וְרָעָנוֹן wə-ra nan

<sup>458.</sup> Glück, "Assonance in Ancient Hebrew Poetry," 82, treats the passage as assonance. 459. On the meaning of this verb as "laugh" or "to play with the fingers of one's hand or hands," see Avishur, *Comparative Studies in Biblical and Ugaritic Languages and Literatures*, 9–13. Note that the parallel passage in 1 Chr 15:29 replaces the phrase with maraged ū-mśahēq "dancing and laughing."

<sup>460.</sup> The Aramaic cases do not appear in Noegel, "More Geminate Ballast and Clustering," as I restricted that piece to Hebrew examples.

The geminate cluster forms in Dan 7 include: לֵילְיָא lēlyā ' "the night" (Dan 7:2), רַבְרְבָן raḇrəḇān "great" (Dan 7:3), לַּלְבָרְ if-lḇaḇ "and the heart" (Dan 7:4), תַּנְיָנְה tinyānāh "second" and יֵלְיִנְי 'il 'īn "fangs" (Dan 7:5), the night," תְּנִינְה lēlyā ' "the night," בְּרְבָן il van and מְמַלִּל רַבְּרְבָן raḇrəḇān "great" (Dan 7:7), לַּפּרָבָן raḇrəḇān "speaking great things" (Dan 7:8), אַבִּיבִין salgillōhī "its wheels" (Dan 7:9), אַבִּיבִין yəšamməšūnnēh "ministered (to) him" and וְרַבּוֹ רְבְּוָן [רְבְבָּן רַבְּרָבן wə-ribō rabwān [riḇəḇān] "a myriad times a myriad" (Dan 7:10), אַבְרְבָּרָת, raḇrəḇātā' "great" and מְמַלְלָה məmallēlāh "spoke" (Dan 7:11), עַמְמִיָּא lēlyā "the night" and עַמְמֵלֶל הַלּה ' "great" and מַמְלֶלָה ' "the night" and עַמְמֵלָל הַרָּבְרָבָת, ' aməmayyā' "the peoples" (Dan 7:14), עַמְמִיָּא מַלְּלָה ' raḇrəḇān "speaking great things" (Dan 7:20), and יְמַלֵּל רַבְּרְבָּן məmallīl raḇrəḇān "speaking great things" (Dan 7:20), and יְמַלֵּל (Dan 7:25).

The device appears in some texts from Qumran. The Aramaic Levi Document displays it in 4QLevi³ XIII, 2: "Listen to the word of your father Levi, and pay attention to the instructions of God's friend [דידי ydyd]. I instruct you, my sons, and reveal the truth to you, my beloved [דביבי hbyby]." See also the Genesis Apocryphon: "how splen[di]d and beautiful is the image of her face, how [ ] and [fi]ne [דקיק] is the hair of her head. How lovely are her eyes, and how desirable [גוֹ rgg] is her nose ... how lovely [rag] are her breasts ... entirely rag]" (1QApGen XX, 1–3).

Since geminated and reduplicated nominal and verbal forms appear far less frequently in Near Eastern languages than their standard counterparts, they draw attention to themselves. When clustered, geminate forms punctuate a text with a percussive feel, one that is often not tied to the strictures of parallelism. In essence they are a form of repetition, as can be seen in that they often appear in poetic texts with repeated verbs and nouns, and while each geminated word constitutes

<sup>461.</sup> Anticipating the geminate cluster in Dan 4 are two more geminate forms at the end of Dan 3: עממיא 'aməmayyā' (Dan 3:31) and רברבין rabrəbīn (Dan 3:33).

<sup>462.</sup> I render "fangs" with Richard M. Frank, "The Description of the Bear in Dn. 7,5," CBQ 21 (1959): 505–7. To his observation, I add עלעי חנין 'l'y tnyn "fangs of the dragon" in the Proverbs of Ahiqar (C1 1.90).

<sup>463.</sup> See Greenfield, Stone, and Eshel, Aramaic Levi Document, 102-3.

<sup>464.</sup> See Pereira, Studies in Aramaic Poetry (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.), 24.

its own contained form of paronomasia, as a cluster they are not necessarily in a paronomastic relationship to each other. Thus, while they are visually obvious, geminate clusters are primarily aural devices, possibly tied to the musical accompaniment as rhythmic accents.

#### 4.3. COMPLEXITIES

A testament to the genius of the ancient literati is the fact that often one finds several types of paronomasia and polysemy employed in tandem. Indeed, the virtuosity of the ancients appears to have known no bounds. See, for example, the rhetorical question of Ereškigal to her gatekeeper in the Akkadian Descent of Ishtar, when she learns that her sister Ištar has demanded entrance to her kingdom: annītume anāku itti dAnunakki mê ašatti "this one, now, even I, should I drink water with the Anunakki?" (1. 32). The anacoluthon both captures the queen's fear while permitting the interplay of a number of devices that are more easily appreciated by looking at the line in transliteration: an-ni-tu-me-e a-na-ku it-ti danun-na-ki A.MEŠ a-šat-ti. Note first how the emphatic particle me (normally ma) paronomastically anticipates mê "water" (written logographically as A.MEŠ). Homoeopropheron obtains by way of the repeated sound /an/ in annītu "this," anāku "I," and dAnunakki. Observe as well how the cuneiform a- sign in both ana-ku and da-nun-na-ki (themselves a case of homoioteleuton) and a-šat-ti, envelope the logographic reading of the same sign in A.MEŠ "water." The words itti "with" and ašatti "I shall drink" also constitute homoioteleuton. Moreover, the an- sign in annītu is identical to the divine determinative d that classifies the Anunakki. Indeed, the brief line is veritably awash with the water of divinity. Moreover, the erudite author managed to integrate into this densely packed verse the signs an(2x), ki, and  $\check{s}at$ , which, when understood for their logographic values, evoke AN "heaven," KI "earth," and KUR "underworld," the very cosmological boundaries that Ištar seeks to breach.

The combining of devices also occurs in Lam 1:20:

רְאֵה יְהנָה כִּי־צַר־לִי הֲמַרְמָרוּ נֵהפַּדְּ לִבִּי בִּקרבִּי כִּי מַרוֹ מַרִיתִי

rə`ēh YHWH kī ṣar lī mēʿay ḥămarmārū nɛhpakౖ libbī bə-qirbī kī mārō mārīt॒ī

See O Yahweh, for I am in distress, my innards burn, My heart is turned within me, for I have grievously rebelled.

The lament contains a number of devices. Parasonance obtains between הֱמַרְמָּרוּ hamarmaru (from מְּרִה hamar) and מְרוֹ מְרִיתִי maro marut (from מְּרִה marah). The repetition of the consonants m and r also creates a geminate (and pseudo-

geminate) parallel. In addition, since prior to the passage we have heard of weeping and tears (Lam 1:16), it is difficult not to hear "bitterness" (from מְּרָר mārar), in the use of the verb מְּרָה mārāh "rebel." See, for example, 'amārēr bab-bekī "I will show bitterness while weeping" in Isa 22:4.

A final demonstration of the brilliance of ancient poets to combine devices appears in Jer 8:18: מבליגיתי עלי יגון עלי מבליגיתי  $mabl\bar{t}g\bar{t}t\bar{t}$  'ălē  $y\bar{a}g\bar{o}n$  'ālay  $libb\bar{t}$ dawwāy "when in grief I would seek comfort, my heart is sick within me." I already have discussed this line above in the context of rhyme (4.2.11). However, the passage is far more complex. Of special note is the hapax legomenon מבליגיתי mablīgītī, which Robin Baker has recently shown to be a phrase that simultaneously constitutes bilingual paronomasia and contronymic polysemy. It also contributes to a mesostich that offers a cryptic anagram. 465 The phrase offers bilingual paronomasia, because the poet here draws on the Akkadian balag "lamentation." It is contronymic, because the Hebrew root בלג b-l-g means "shine, flash, smile." Since this hardly fits the context, some commentators have rendered the word ad sensum. It contributes to a mesostich, because the second consonant in each of the words in the verse spells out בלג לבו blg lbw "the balag-lamentation of his heart." In turn, this is an anagram for גלו בבל glw bbl "they go in exile to Babylon." As Baker concludes, "The encryption of an anagram and an acrostic to provide esoteric commentary on the surface text that we find in our passage is characteristic of the Babylonian and Assyrian scholarly tradition."466

<sup>465.</sup> Robin Baker, "Jeremiah and the *Balag*-Lament? Jeremiah 8:18–23 Reconsidered," *JBL* 138 (2019): 587–604.

<sup>466.</sup> Baker, "Jeremiah and the Balag-Lament?," 603.

# 5

# CONCLUSIONS

Since all of the ancient Near Eastern polysemous and paronomastic devices examined in this study are remarkably consistent in form and usage over such a long period of time, and across languages and geographic boundaries that were in nearly constant cultural exchange, we may safely regard their use as deliberate. In Mesopotamia and Egypt, the divinatory and priestly literati transmitted these learned conventions along with other elements of their wisdom in their scribal academies and Houses of Life. At Ugarit, the diviner-scribes, who were multilingual and steeped in Mesopotamian learning, integrated as many of the devices into their own works as their writing system allowed. As Mesopotamian learning was held in high regard, it is likely that the scribes' adoption of its devices aimed to emulate the prestigious craft of their dominant neighbor. We know less about scribal education in Israel, but as I have argued elsewhere, it was likely in priestly and prophetic circles that such literary devices circulated.<sup>2</sup> In any event, there can be no doubt that Israelite scribal culture experienced influence from both of its superpower neighbors.<sup>3</sup> Indeed, one of the most important findings of this book is that it demonstrates that, with few exceptions, most of the devices were in use throughout the long history of the Near East, and so they must have been learned conventions that were transmitted from culture to culture, likely from the dominant powers of Mesopotamia and Egypt to the Levant.

Throughout the Near East, polysemy and paronomasia generally did not have restrictions of genre, whether in poetry or prose. They occur in psalms, laments,

<sup>1.</sup> Perhaps, most obviously, see Andrew R. George, "The Gilgameš Epic at Ugarit," AuOr 25 (2007): 237–54; However, it is Egyptian influence that one finds in Ugarit's artistic remains.

<sup>2.</sup> Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers, 177-82.

<sup>3.</sup> See Carr, Writing on the Tablet of the Heart, 47–61, 84–90; Michael Fox, "Gauging Egyptian Influences on Biblical Literature," in Zevit, Subtle Citation, Allusion, and Translation in the Hebrew Bible, 228–41. Gary A. Rendsburg, How the Bible Is Written (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2019), 464–65, argues that Egyptian prose narratives influenced the development of Israelite literature.

love songs, parables, riddles, magical and divinatory texts, prophecies, epics, chronicles, and even historical inscriptions and some letters.<sup>4</sup> We also find them in direct and indirect discourse. Yet, not every device appears in every genre or discourse, nor is every type attested in every Near Eastern language (see figs. 2–3). Moreover, not all devices serve every purpose. Of course, one must be cautious in drawing sweeping conclusions from the distribution of the devices, because the textual corpora we have are not commensurate across languages. There are far fewer texts in Hebrew, Ugaritic, and Aramaic than in Akkadian and Egyptian. In addition, the study of these devices still has a long way to go, so many gaps in our knowledge remain. Such limits notwithstanding, some preliminary observations are possible.

## 5.1. PREFERENCES AND DISTRIBUTION

Common to Akkadian, Egyptian, Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic are the following polysemous devices: *double entendre*, antanaclasis, unidirectional polysemy, multidirectional polysemy, double polysemy, polysemy clusters (of the body parts type), acrostics, and the following paronomastic devices: homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, epanastrophe, parasonance, homonymic paronomasia, anagrammatic paronomasia, hendiadic paronomasia, and geminate parallelism and clustering. Anastrophe occurs in all of these languages except for Ugaritic, and amphiboly (of the mixed morphology type) occurs in all except for Aramaic.<sup>5</sup> I omit here any discussion of Sumerian unless to remark on the antiquity of some of the devices, because there are far too few formal studies on these devices in that language to allow for even a cursory analysis.

The distribution shows that the cultures examined here shared a fundamental belief in the utility of employing these devices in their compositions and in passing them down to future bards from generation to generation over many centuries. It is their long and venerated history that legitimated their use; their sheer antiquity lent compositions authority. To what extent they were employed in each language depends on many factors. In some cases, one can attribute the lack of a device to the fact that an exhaustive search for it has not been undertaken in each language, or to the relatively small corpora of Northwest Semitic texts as opposed to Mesopotamian and Egyptian texts. In other cases, one may cite restraints in the language and writing system or the cosmological worldview informing that system.

An examination of the rarest devices bears this out. Amphiboly of the infinitive absolute type appears only in biblical Hebrew, and thus, we must consider it an Israelite innovation. Numerical polysemy appears only in Akkadian,

<sup>4.</sup> Only economic texts appear devoid of such devices.

<sup>5.</sup> The lack of attestation of the latter two features in Ugaritic and Aramaic, respectively, likely relates to the small corpora of literary texts written in these languages.

Ugaritic, and Hebrew. Isopsephy occurs only in Akkadian, Hebrew, and Aramaic. Neither device appears in Egyptian, because the Egyptian writing system did not use numerical signs polysemously as consonants or words.<sup>6</sup> The lack of contronymic polysemy in Egyptian is a reflection of the lack of contronyms generally in the language.<sup>7</sup> It is possible that the lack of contronymic polysemy in Ugaritic is an accident of discovery due to the small lexical inventory, since we do find it in Hebrew and Aramaic. Indeed, Northwest Semitic generally has more contronyms. One may attribute the complete absence of bilingual polysemy and paronomasia in Egyptian texts to a cultural attitude concerning the superiority of the Egyptian language over the tongues of Egypt's neighbors.

Those devices shared by Akkadian and Northwest Semitic, but not Egyptian, include bilingual polysemy and numerical polysemy (possibly also rhyme). In Mesopotamia and Syro-Canaan, bilingualism was normative, and even embraced, so naturally we find cases of bilingual polysemy in Akkadian, Ugaritic, Hebrew, and Aramaic, and bilingual paronomasia in Akkadian, Hebrew, and Aramaic. This was not the case in Egypt. In Akkadian, numerical polysemy was grounded in the polyvalency of cuneiform signs and a long tradition of learning in mathematics, whereas in the Egyptian script it was impossible. Its use in Ugaritic and Hebrew probably represents a natural extension of a scribal interest in polysemy and the script's ability to achieve the device. Perhaps ultimately it also reflects Mesopotamian influence. As for rhyme, the Egyptian writing system records no vowels, so we cannot know for certain if poets employed rhyme. Its use in Ugaritic has been postulated based on our knowledge of comparative Semitics. Nevertheless, even its appearance in Sumerian, Akkadian, Hebrew, and Aramaic is very limited.

Only two devices occur in Egyptian and Northwest Semitic, but not Akkadian: amphiboly (of the ambiguous grammar type) and numerical paronomasia. A lack of the former in Akkadian is likely due to a scarcity of research on the topic, since examples of anastrophe do appear. One might infer, from the Mesopotamians' interest in mathematics and their use of isopsephy and numerical polysemy, that they would have employed numerical paronomasia, but as far as I am aware, no cases have come to light. If they did not employ it, perhaps this registers a conceptual distinction between numerals and words. Cuneiform

<sup>6.</sup> In Egyptian, the signs for 1, 10, 100, 1000, 10,000, 100,000, and 1,000,000 do possess non-numerical values, but to my knowledge they are not used polysemously. In Ugaritic, most numbers are written phonetically. Some numbers are written logographically in administrative texts, but the signs that also hold consonantal value, e.g., 1(g), 2(s), 3(l), and 6(y) are used unambiguously.

<sup>7.</sup> It is impossible to know why the Egyptian language possesses no contronyms, but perhaps it relates to the Egyptian cosmological worldview in which opposites, like m³.'t "order" and isf.t "chaos," cannot share equal time or space.

<sup>8.</sup> I attribute the lack of attestation in Aramaic again to the small literary corpus.

signs simultaneously could possess syllabic, logographic, and numerical values, whereas numerals, when spelled out, only had mathematical values. Alternatively, the use of numerical paronomasia in Ugaritic and Hebrew might reflect Egyptian influence, since the device is more common in that language.<sup>9</sup>

A statistical analysis of the distribution of devices in each of the languages can only be basic and approximate, but even so, the broad patterns that emerge from the data are by no means arbitrary. For instance, the data reveals that all of the polysemous and paronomastic devices appear in Hebrew (see figs. 2–3). After Hebrew, Akkadian contains the largest number of them: fifteen of the seventeen polysemous devices and twelve of the thirteen paronomastic types. Ugaritic is next containing eleven of the seventeen polysemic types and eleven of the thirteen paronomastic kind. Egyptian has the least: ten of seventeen polysemous devices and eleven of the thirteen paronomastic ones. That Hebrew contains all of the devices should not surprise us, since throughout its history, Israel negotiated the cultural influences of its dominant neighbors both to the east and south (as well as native Canaanite influence). Thus, it contains those found in Akkadian, but not Egyptian, and vice versa.

Many of the devices are attested in both Egyptian and Mesopotamian texts, so it is difficult to speak of their place of origin. Nevertheless, that Egyptian texts contain the least number of devices is a likely testament to the influence of Mesopotamia over the Northwest Semitic world. This is further borne out in that when a device is absent in Ugaritic, it is never lacking in Akkadian, though in five cases it is lacking in Egyptian; whereas when a device is absent in Egyptian, it can be lacking in Ugaritic, but never in Akkadian or Hebrew.<sup>10</sup>

Thirteen of the devices enjoyed such a long and pervasive usage that they eventually made their way west, where they were labeled in Greek and/or Latin (fig. 4). At that time, many of them were employed as tools in dream divination and magic, or in the art of public speaking, otherwise known as rhetoric. Of course, one can find some of the other devices in Greek texts too, even though we do not possess Greek (or Latin) terms for them.

With regard to which devices are most frequently attested, I can offer an assessment based only on the examples that I have collected from published works on the subject. Though not an exact science, general tendencies are relatively clear. Among the most common devices of polysemy are *double entendres*, antanaclasis, unidirectional polysemy, multidirectional polysemy, and *noṭariqon*. Acrostics appear with relative frequency in Hebrew and later Aramaic, though they are far more rare in Akkadian, Egyptian, and Ugaritic.

<sup>9.</sup> I would expect Aramaic to contain examples of the device, but none have come to light as of yet.

<sup>10.</sup> When a device is lacking only in Ugaritic, e.g., *notariqon*, polysemous clustering, and anastrophe, I opine that it is due to the small corpora of Ugaritic texts, since I find it unlikely that such devices were known in Mesopotamia, Egypt, and Israel, but not in the wider Levant.

We may account for the general frequency of some devices, like *double entendres*, by pointing to their social utility. The need to mask offensive or socially uncomfortable topics in softer language would appear to be nigh universal. We should expect to see it employed from Sumerian to Aramaic, and well beyond. Postulating reasons for the frequency of the other devices is more difficult. One reason may be ease of production. For example, it certainly is easier to create cases of antanaclasis than bilingual polysemy. It also is possible that some devices were considered especially effective, meaningful, or learned. They could have been understood as evidence of the exceptional abilities and authority of the author. Still others, like acrostics, might have represented the vogue of their day.

The most frequently attested paronomastic devices overall are homoeopropheron, parasonance, anagrammatic paronomasia, hendiadic paronomasia, and geminate parallelism and clustering. Numerical paronomasia is more frequently found in Egyptian, and less so in Hebrew. Again ease of employment likely played a factor, in the same way that difficulty must have contributed to a device's rarity. Cases of hendiadic paronomasia likely were produced by common usage, and thus remained in wider and more sustained circulation. If I am correct in positing the connection of some cases of geminate parallelism and clustering to needs produced by musical accompaniment, then the influence of musical tastes, which tended to be conservative in the Near East generally until the modern age, also might account for a device's use. <sup>11</sup> The high frequency with which geminate parallelism and clustering appears in Egyptian texts, as opposed to Akkadian texts, suggests the possibility that its use in Ugaritic and Hebrew reflects Egyptian influence. Accordingly, it perhaps also represents the influence of Egyptian musical tastes in the Levant. <sup>12</sup>

The following devices appear first in Sumerian: contronymic polysemy, double entendre, antanaclasis, unidirectional polysemy, multidirectional polysemy, double polysemy, bilingual polysemy, polysemy clusters, notariqon, homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, homonymic paronomasia, bilingual paronomasia, palindrome, hendiadic paronomasia, rhyme, and geminate parallelism and clustering. Since some of them, like double entendre, could be the result of parallel development, and because many of the others appear in Sumerian texts composed by Akkadian speakers, it is impossible to make definitive claims of origins. Nevertheless, one can say that they are among the most ancient compositional devices in the Near East.

<sup>11.</sup> One also sees a connection between music and literature in the frequent references to musical sounds as a focus device in biblical narratives. See I. Kalimi, "Human and Musical Sounds and Their Hearing Elsewhere as a Literary Device in the Biblical Narratives," *VT* 60 (2010): 565–70.

<sup>12.</sup> Some evidence for such influence appears in the Tale of Wenamun (2,69), in which we find Egyptian songstresses in the service of a Byblian prince.

In general, the aforementioned paronomastic devices occur more often than those involving polysemy. This too, we can explain by recognizing that it is far easier to repeat consonants than to find words with multiple meanings. Indeed, it is far more difficult in most languages to find homonyms or words with wide semantic parameters than words that share one or two consonants. Nevertheless, it is possible that many polysemous devices were primarily intended for erudite readers, since they cannot be recited without pause and discussion. On the other hand, paronomastic devices, while also visually effective, primarily aimed to reach listeners, who may or may not have been literate.

Once we obtain a more complete picture of how pervasively the scribes of each culture employed each device, we will be able to determine whether certain types occur only in certain textual genres or if some are attested more frequently at certain periods. Perhaps then we will be able to say more about the relationship between these textual devices and cultural attitudes concerning script and language.

## 5.2. FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES AND STRATEGIES

Despite the wide array of types of polysemy and paronomasia and the many kinds of functions proposed for them, a few fundamental principles and strategies appear to inform most of them. These include ambiguity, repetition and variation, delayed comprehension, metaphor and metonymy, clustering, rare words, and the regarding or disregarding of certain phonemes and morphemes.

## 5.2.1. AMBIGUITY

One of the fundamental principles informing all types of polysemy is ambiguity. It is important to emphasize that ambiguous signs, words, and lines do not leave a text impenetrable to understanding, and thus *incapable of conveying* meaning. Rather, they pack the text with interpretive options, contingencies, and points of view—they *overload* their contexts with meanings.<sup>13</sup> When we encounter them

<sup>13.</sup> A useful starting point for understanding literary ambiguity, and one often cited by biblical scholars, is William Empson, Seven Types of Ambiguity: A Study of Its Effects on English Verse (New York: New Directions, 1966). Empson distinguishes his seven kinds of ambiguity by placing them on a scale of effectiveness from the coherent to the confusing and contradictory. Though offering many insights, Empson's focus on Western literature means that he contextualizes the latter part of the scale in negative terms—as inarticulate, incongruous, nonsensical, even accidental. Yet, as this study has shown, even the most enigmatic cases of ambiguity in Near Eastern texts, e.g., polysemy clusters, are deliberately formed to create enigmas that imbue a text with divine wonder. In addition, the social means of textual production that inform his corpus are not informed by the ontology of the spoken and written word. Moreover, Empson treats ambiguity generally as a major component of poetic language that provides readers with pleasure. Therefore, his

we find ourselves in a kind of thought loop that forces us to focus, rethink, and contemplate each alternative possibility. The engine that powers the looping process is the exegetical assumption that a text must have a single meaning and that proper training will compel a text to yield that meaning to the exegete's tools and skills. Even for some modern scholars, resigning themselves to the notion that ancient bards intended some of their signs, words, and passages to be polyvalent, may feel contrary to their training and the exegetical enterprise generally. Or they may even be unsettling, especially when perceived as the agenda of skeptics steeped in postmodern methodologies. Yet, at this point, the evidence for polysemy in ancient Near Eastern texts is beyond doubt. Indeed, while the existence of such devices remained too slippery to grasp for many scholars of earlier generations, contemporary scholarship increasingly has come to grips with it. Moreover, if we factor into our understanding of ancient polysemy and paronomasia the ancients' perceived ontology of the spoken language and written script, such cases of ambiguity take on added import, for they multiply the agency, effect, and perceived power of the living word or sign.

## 5.2.2. REPETITION AND VARIATION

Another principle informing cases of paronomasia and polysemy is that of repetition and variation. This is well in keeping on a larger scale with its appearance as a staple feature of ancient Near Eastern narrative and visual art. Robert Alter's observations with regard to the effect of repetition and variation in narrative are applicable here: they can "serve the purposes of commentary, analysis, foreshadowing, thematic assertion, with a wonderful combination of subtle understatement and dramatic force." Moreover, the repetition of signs, consonants, and similar sounding words represents an extension of the verbatim repetition of signs and lexemes (e.g., ploce, anaphora) and the repetition of the

classification of ambiguity is of limited help for understanding ancient Near Eastern texts. For a more recent attempt to refine our understanding of ambiguity in biblical texts, see David H. Aaron, *Biblical Ambiguities: Metaphor, Semantics and Divine Imagery*, BRLAJ 4 (Leiden: Brill, 2001).

<sup>14.</sup> Robert Alter, *The Art of Biblical Narrative* (New York: Basic Books, 1981), 91. On repetition and variation in biblical lists, see Meir Paran, *Forms of the Priestly Style in the Pentateuch: Patterns, Linguistic Usages, Syntactic Structures* (Jerusalem: Magness Press, 1989), 179–237, who refers to this as "concluding deviation." See also Stanley Gevirtz, "Evidence of Conjugational Variation in the Parallelization of Selfsame Verbs in the Amarna Letters," *JNES* 32 (1973): 99–104; Gevirtz, "On Canaanite Rhetoric: The Evidence of the Amarna Letters from Tyre," *Or* 42 (1973): 162–77. See also Gary A. Rendsburg, "Variation in Biblical Hebrew Prose and Poetry," in *Built by Wisdom, Established by Understanding: Essays on Biblical and Near Eastern Literature in Honor of Adele Berlin*, ed. Maxine L. Grossman (Bethesda, MD: University of Maryland Press, 2013),197–226.

same root in different verbal and nominal formations (e.g., metaphony, polyptoton, polyprosopon), devices not under consideration here.<sup>15</sup> The repetition creates a rhythm of expectations that variation subverts. Together they produce contrast.

A few cases from Hebrew and Aramaic texts are especially instructive in this regard. Hosea's prophecy against Israel is an excellent starting point: בְּרָבָּם כֵּן בִּּרֶבְּם בֵּן בִּּרְבָּם בַּן בּּרְבִּם בָּן בּּרְבִּם בַּן בּּרְבִּם בַּן בּּרְבִּם בַּן בּּרְבִּם בְּּרְלוֹן אָמִיר ka-rubām kēn hāṭ ʾu lī kabōdām bĕ-qālōn ʾāmīr "as they increased, the more they sinned against me; I shall turn their honor into shame" (Hos 4:7). The use of the proposition ka "as" before "they increased" encourages one to think at first that the same consonant starting the very next stich (i.e., in בְּבַּרְבָּם kabōdām) might also be the same preposition, especially because assonance abets the comparison between בְּרַבָּם kabōdām. However, the reader/listener soon realizes that the consonant is part of the noun "honor."  $^{16}$ 

Verbs also can play the trickster. Psalm 79:5 reads: עַד־מָה יְהוָה הָּאֲנֵף לְנֵעֵּח מֹם מִּבְּלֵּה מִּלְּשִׁר מִּלְּבִּת מְּבְּלֵּה מִבְּעֵר בְּמוֹ־אֵשׁ קִנְאָתֶּך יֹמַל מְּלַבְּת מִּלְּמִּה 'adַ-māh YHWH te 'ēnap lā-neṣaḥ tib 'ar kəmō- 'ēs qin 'atakā "How long, O Yahweh, will you be angry forever, will your jealousy burn like fire?" Since the first verb one encounters occurs in the second person masculine singular (i.e., אָאֵנֶן te 'ēnap "you will be angry"), one is encouraged by way of parallelism and grammatical form to hear the second verb, אַבְעֵּר בְּמִנְּעָּר בְּמֹר as a second person masculine form, that is, "you will burn." However, it is not until one hears the final words קּנְאָתֶּךְ qin atakā "your jealousy," that one realizes that it alone is the third person feminine singular subject of the verb "burn" (the second person masculine and third person feminine forms are identical in this conjugation).

A more sustained case involving prepositions occurs in Isa 24:2, a prophecy of doom that proceeds in merisms:

וְהָיָה כְעָם כַּכֹּהֵן כַּעֶבֶד כַּאדֹנִיו כַּשִּׁפְחָה כַּגְּבְרְתָּהּ כַּקוֹנֶה כַּמֹוֹכֵר כַּמַלְוֶה כַּלֹוֶה כַּנֹשֶׁה כַּאֲשֶׁר נשא בו

<sup>15.</sup> On the strict repetition of roots, see already Israel Eitan, "La répétition de la racine en hébreu," *JPOS* 1 (1920): 171–86. On anaphora in Ugaritic, see Yogev and Yona, "Visual Poetry in the Ugaritic Tablet KTU 1.4." See also Shamir Yona, "A Type of Expanded Repetition in Biblical Parallelism," *ZAW* 119 (2007): 586–601. See also the comment of Klein and Sefati, "Word Play in Sumerian Literature," 24: "The most important formal characteristic of Sumerian poetic language is the verbal repetition of one or more words, phrases, or whole sentences (i.e., poetic lines)—mostly in continuous lines, occasionally in alternate lines—to demarcate or emphasize a stanza or part of a poem." A representative example of this approach in Egyptology is Richter, *Theology of Hathor of Dendera*.

<sup>16.</sup> I thank my graduate student Corinna Nichols for this astute observation and for discovering another example in Deut 32:11: "As a vulture [קָּנֶשֶׁר kə-nešer] stirs up his nest, hovers over his chicks, spreads his wings [אָפָּר kənāp̄āw], takes them, carries them on his pinions." I suggest that it might be fruitful to look for the same device using other prepositions as well.

wə-hāyāh kā-ʿām kak-kōhēn ka-ʿeḇeḍ ka-ʾḍōnaw kaš-šipḥāh kag-gəḇīrtāh kaqqōneh kam-mōkēr kam-malweh kal-lōweh kan-nōšeh kaʾāšer nōšeʾ ḇō

It shall be, as with the people, so with the priest, as with the servant, so with his master, as with the maid, so with her mistress, as with the buyer, so with the seller, as with the lender, so with the borrower, as when the creditor becomes the debtor.

In this passage, we hear twelve successive repetitions of the consonant kaph (2, both as k and  $\underline{k}$ ). We must read the first eleven as the preposition "as, like" attached to nouns. When one arrives at the twelfth, one naturally expects a similar use. However, this time one encounters the compound relative pronoun "which, when." The repetition and variation catches readers/listeners off guard, forcing them to pause and contemplate the change. The pause allows one to realize that the last merism involves contronyms—the terms for  $\underline{\text{tigs}} n\bar{o}se$ " "creditor" and "debtor" are identical. In effect, the variation underscores the prophet's point that the fates of those in opposite social positions will be the same.

Repetition and variation lie at the very heart of paronomasia. At times they can support poetic themes. In the hymn to Sarai's beauty in the Genesis Apocryphon from Qumran (1QApGen XX, 2–8a), we find  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{n}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{n}$  repeated in every bicolon and tricolon, save for 4a, 8b, and 10b. 18

```
1a. How [ממה kmh] splen[di]d and beautiful is the image of her לה צלם lh \ slm] face.
```

```
1b. How [במא] and [fi]ne is לה] the hair of her head.
```

<sup>2</sup>a. How [ממא lhwn lh] her eyes,

<sup>2</sup>b. And how desirable is [הל lh] her nose,

<sup>2</sup>c. All [בול] the radiance of her face [ ].

<sup>3</sup>a. How [במא / fair is [h] her breast,

<sup>3</sup>b. And how וכמא wkm'] beautiful is all her לה כול] th kwl whiteness.

<sup>4</sup>a. Her arms how beautiful.

<sup>4</sup>b. And her hands how [במא perfect [ליליו klylyn],

<sup>4</sup>c. And [ ] is all [לו kwl] the appearance of her hands.

<sup>5</sup>a. How במא (במא lovely are her palms בפיהא kpyh'),

<sup>5</sup>b. And how long אריכן 'rykn] and delicate

<sup>5</sup>c. Are all [לול] the fingers of her hands.

<sup>17.</sup> The repetition, but not the variation, was noted by Glück, "Assonance in Ancient Hebrew Poetry," 78.

<sup>18.</sup> I adopt the transcription and translation of Peter Y. Lee, *Aramaic Poetry in Qumran* (PhD diss., Catholic University of America, 2011), 451–52. James C. VanderKam, "The Poetry of 1QApGen. XX, 2–8a," *RevQum* 10 (1979): 57–66, observes the repetition of the root שפר '-l-y in 7–9, and the juxtaposition of the repeated root שפר š-p-r, but not the repeated 2 /k/ and 5 /l/. See also Pereira, *Studies in Aramaic Poetry* (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.), 22–26.

6a. Her feet [רגליהא rglyh'] how ממא (ממא km') beautiful,

6b. And how ובמא wkm '] perfect [אל שלמה להן šlmh lhn lh] are her legs.

7a. And every [לכלאן] virgin [בתולן btwln] and bride [בלאן kl'n]

7b. Who enters into a bridal-chamber [לגנון lgnwn]

7c. Is not [לא] more beautiful than she.

8a. And above all ועל כול] w 'l kwl (other) women

8b. Her beauty is beautifulness.

9a. And her beautifulness is the highest [איליא w'ly'],

9b. Higher לעלא l[T] than all of them [בולהן kwlhn].

10a. And along with all [בול kwl] this beauty,

10b. Much wisdom is with her,

10c. And her handiwork [דל] is lovely.

The concatenation of the repeated consonants lends the poem cohesiveness while reinforcing its central theme of the בלילין "totality" of בלילין "perfection." Russell Cherry describes well the literary effect of the strategy.

When extended throughout an entire pericope or beyond, the use of repetition can achieve even more significant effects upon the literary unit. In such examples, the repeated words become structurally meaningful and serve to integrate the thought and direction of the passage. This integration creates continuity over a much broader spectrum, and causes the reader or hearer to look both backwards and forwards, and consequently to rethink previous perceptions and interpretations.<sup>19</sup>

## 5.2.3. DELAYED COMPREHENSION

Closely related to repetition and variation is the principle of delayed comprehension. Poets employing it create linguistic expectations that they later manipulate, exploit, or subvert, but they do so without relying on repetition. We have seen this already in divinatory texts and narratives that report omens that receive interpretations later. However, this also occurs generally with polysemy in poems and narratives. Illustrating this is the Egyptian Tale of the Shipwrecked Sailor, which twice employs the particle k = mk "behold" (Il. 2, 10), but then soon uses the same signs for k = k "in your hand" (I. 16). Then, in line 29, one encounters the adjective k = k "fierce," spelled k = k. Thus the author has twice set up the reader to see the words "in your hand" and "fierce" as "behold."

Ellen Davis has shown that Isaiah similarly manipulates expectations in a prophecy concerning Judah: אָתָּדְ עָלִיִדְ יָפּוֹל hēn gōr

<sup>19.</sup> Cherry, Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament, 130.

<sup>20.</sup> See 3.13.1, and Noegel, Nocturnal Ciphers; Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream."

yāgūr 'epēs mē-'ōtī mī gār 'ittāk 'ālayik yippōl (Isa 54:15).<sup>21</sup> The strategy involves three homophonous verbs: גוּר "dwell", גוּר I "quarrel, gather together," and III "fear." The use of these verbs is the "means by which the poet deliberately impedes understanding, causing the reader to reconsider phrases for which the intended meaning is not the only or the most obvious one."23 The context of Judah's future freedom from fear just prior to the verse encourages one to understand the start of the pronouncement as "if anyone fears, it is not from me."24 Yet, one also can read it: "there is nothing at all to fear apart from me." Equally ambiguous are the phrases מִיגֶר אָתָּד *mī ḡar ʾittākַ*, which can mean "whoever quarrels with you" or "whoever dwells with you," and עליך יפול 'ālayik vippōl, which can mean "will fall on account of you" or "will defect to you" (cf. 2 Kgs 25:11, Jer 21:9). The latter could imply the conversion of resident aliens or opponents in warfare. Nevertheless, the passages that follow promise an Israelite victory over violent contenders, thus forcing one to realize that מי־גר אתן mī gār *ittāk* must mean "whoever quarrels with you." Davis concludes that the prophet's use of polysemy:

delays the audience's comprehension, giving them pause to recall the promises and the power of Israel's God. Grappling to resolve the ambiguities resident in the verbal phrases, they are forced to set this divine word in the fuller context of the prophet's message, so that the injunction against fear echoes even through the warning of further conflict (liv 15a), and the assurance of vindication before their enemies (liv 15b) carries with it a reminder of the charge to draw into the sphere of God's salvation even the strangers among whom they now dwell. Through this strategy of delayed comprehension, the prophetic word provides for those who will attend to it a hedge against the opposite dangers of capitulation and vindictiveness.<sup>25</sup>

James Roberts espied another example in Isa 5:11.<sup>26</sup> The passage reads: הּוֹי hōy maškīmē bab-bōqer šēkār girdopū mə-ʾaḥărē ban-nešep̄ yayīn yadlīqēm "woe to those who rise early in the morning that they may chase strong drink; who tarry late into the evening that

<sup>21.</sup> Davis, "Strategy of Delayed Comprehension." Cf. David Toshio Tsumura, "Statement-Development-Twist-Denouement: The AA'XB Pattern in Biblical Hebrew Poetry," in *Prince of the Orient: Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Memory of H. I. H. Prince Takahito Mikasa*, ed. Ichiro Nakata et al., Orient Supplement 1 (Tokyo: The Society for Near Eastern Studies in Japan, 2019), 269–72, who cites several biblical poetic passages in which third line shifts expectations by dramatically altering the context.

<sup>22.</sup> HALOT, s.v. "גור I, II, III."

<sup>23.</sup> Davis, "Strategy of Delayed Comprehension," 218-19.

<sup>24.</sup> With Rashi.

<sup>25.</sup> Davis, "Strategy of Delayed Comprehension," 220.

<sup>26.</sup> Roberts, "Double Entendre in First Isaiah," 41-43.

Authors also can employ a strategy of delayed comprehension across narrative units. Duane Christensen's example from Jonah is representative. <sup>28</sup> As he informs us, Jonah's proclamation that humans and animals אַל־יִרְעּוּ 'al yir 'ū "shall not feed" (Jon 3:7), fits its immediate context of fasting, but it also suggests "shall not be evil," anticipating the references to turning from evil and the eventual repentance of the Ninevites (Jon 3:8, 3:10, 4:1, 4:2); a connection reinforced by the chiastic structure of the chapter as a whole. Christensen similarly points to the narrator's ambiguous comment in Gen 37:2 that Joseph 'צַּחַ 'צַּאַמְּ שְׁמִּרְיִּ בַּעַּאַרְ שָּׁהַ שְׁמִר בַּעַ 'צַּאַמְ שׁׁ שְׁמִּ-צָּסִ 'n, a phrase that one can read as "shepherding with his brothers among the flock" or "shepherding his brothers among the flock." The latter anticipates Joseph's later role as ruling over his brothers (cf. Ps 78:71) and sustaining them (Gen 45:8–11). From a functional standpoint, both cases of polysemy are referential in nature, whereas from a literary perspective, we might consider them examples of foreshadowing.

A final example, brought to our attention by Baruch Halpern and Richard Friedman, is the prophesy of Jonah to the Ninevites: "forty days more, and Nineveh will be overthrown [נְּהְפֶּבֶת nehpāket]" (Jon 3:4). Since the story begins with Yahweh commanding Jonah to go to Nineveh and speak against it on account of its evilness, one expects Jonah's message to refer to the city's destruction. However, when the people and king proclaim a fast even for the animals, and God repents of the evil he was going to bring upon the city, readers/listeners become aware that מְּבֶּהְפֶּבֶת nehpāket in Yahweh's prophecy does not mean "will be overthrown," but rather "will be turned around," as in "repent" (already b. Sanh 89b). Yet it is not until we reach the end of Jonah 3 that we realize this.

<sup>27.</sup> HALOT, s.v. "דְּלַק" I, II." The polysemy was discussed by Yellin, "Polysemy in the Bible," 6–7.

<sup>28.</sup> Christensen, "Anticipatory Paronomasia in Jonah 3:7-8 and Genesis 37:2."

<sup>29.</sup> Observed by Baruch Halpern and Richard Elliott Friedman, "Composition and Paronomasia in the Book of Jonah," *HAR* 4 (1980): 79–92; Jack M. Sasson, *Jonah: A New Translation with Introduction, Commentary, and Interpretation*, AB 24B (New York: Doubleday, 1990), 29.

Inherent in a strategy of delayed comprehension is an element of deception. The author deludes hearers/readers into accepting one interpretation only to destabilize that reading with another. The strategy creates an exegetical loop for interpreters who must rethink the previous reading in the light of a changed context. The process compels contemplation. Depending on the writing system, this contemplation inevitably focuses first on individual signs or words, but naturally extends to larger sections of text. Moreover, the sense of discovery that occurs when one comprehends polysemy instills in the reader/listener a feeling of owning the text, even as it enhances memory.

## 5.2.4. METAPHOR AND METONYMY

Also fundamental to the creation and use of polysemy and paronomasia are metaphor and metonymy. The study of metonymy and metaphor has undergone significant conceptual changes over the last several decades. For many years, metaphor and metonymy were considered figures of speech that occupy opposite poles in the nonliteral application of language: the former exploiting the similarity between signs and words, the latter underscoring their contiguity. The former was seen as substituting, while the latter was understood as associating. George Lakoff and Mark Turner then proposed that we understand metonymy as entailing ontological mappings within a single conceptual domain, but metaphor as operating across separate conceptual domains. 30 More recently, criticism of this approach has focused on the vagueness of what constitutes a domain, which since led Yves Peirsman and Dirk Geeraerts to argue that metonymy constitutes a prototypical category with derived/extended forms.<sup>31</sup> My interest here is not in explaining polysemy and paronomasia through the lens of various approaches to metaphor and metonymy, but simply to note that, regardless of how one perceives them to operate, we may view many ancient Near Eastern cases of polysemy as metaphoric and/or metonymic in nature.<sup>32</sup>

<sup>30.</sup> George Lakoff and Mark Johnson, *Metaphors We Live By* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1980); George Lakoff and Mark Turner, *More Than Cool Reason: A Field Guide to Poetic Metaphor* (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1989); Lakoff, "The Contemporary Theory of Metaphor," in *Metaphor and Thought*, ed. Andrew Ortony, 2nd ed. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992), 202–51.

<sup>31.</sup> Yves Peirsman and Dirk Geeraerts, "Metonymy as a Prototypical Category," *CL* 17 (2006): 269–316.

<sup>32.</sup> For a useful collection of essays on the topic of metaphor in biblical studies, see Pierre van Hecke, ed., *Metaphor in the Hebrew Bible*, BETL 187 (Leuven: Peeters, 2005). On the relationship between metaphor and multivalency in Mesopotamian and Ugaritic texts, see Simoneta Ponchia, "Some Reflections on Metaphor, Ambiguity and Literary Tradition," in *Of God(s), Trees, and Scholars: Neo-Assyrian and Related Studies in Honour of Simo Parpola*, ed. Mikko Luuko, Saana Svärd, and Raija Mattila, StudOr 106 (Helsinki: Finnish

One primarily sees this in cases of *double entendres* and riddles, which operate almost entirely within the world of metaphor, and in the polysemous clustering of body parts, which involves both the metaphoric use of idioms and the metonymic association of each member with the whole body. Metonymy also informs the use of parasonance, *notariqon*, and hendiadic paronomasia. Parasonance employs some, but not all, of the consonants that comprise one word in another. *Notariqon* literally creates a single word by combining the initial parts of several words or the sounds of the signs used to write them. Hendiadic paronomasia forms a single concept or action by combining two separate words. Loprieno's remark concerning the significance of metaphor and metonymy in the production of paronomasia and polysemy in Egyptian texts is equally applicable to the other languages in this study:

while in Western tradition the pun is a figure of ambiguity, in ancient Egypt it belongs to the domain of the metaphor, or perhaps more precisely of metonymy—since it established contiguity within the semantic continuum of (broadly speaking) homophones. One of the main intents of Egyptian word play, therefore, is the scientific classification of the world and its entities.<sup>33</sup>

While metaphor and metonymy do not inform all cases of Near Eastern polysemy and paronomasia, they do constitute two fundamental principles by which many forms operate.

## 5.2.5. CLUSTERING

A number of devices studied here generally involve the phenomenon of clustering. Whether based on the practice of memorizing lexica or not, there is no doubt that the ancient literati often felt compelled to gather like to like, whether sounds, devices, or lexical themes. Indeed, even paronomastic devices like homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, epanastrophe, and parasonance involve the clustering of similar sounds. Homonymic paronomasia and antanaclasis too rely on mirroring likeness to achieve their effects. Cases of numerical polysemy and numerical paronomasia often appear in pericopes that contain hyperbolic numerical references (e.g., of military troops) or in which the ordinary use of numbers otherwise abounds.<sup>34</sup> Polysemy and paronomasia on body parts similarly occur in accounts in which a particular member is central to the text or in which

Oriental Society, 2009), 399–407; Joseph Lam, "Metaphor in the Ugaritic Literary Texts," *JNES* 78 (2019): 37–57.

<sup>33.</sup> Loprieno, "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian," 13.

<sup>34.</sup> Biblical texts that feature twins or twinness also contain paronomasia on the number two and the doubling of consonants. See Vermeulen, "Two of a Kind"; Noegel and Nichols, "Seeing Doubles." On other kinds of clusters, see Noegel, "Shame of Ba'al."

bodily features appear unambiguously in other ways. Therefore, strategies of clustering amplify their literary contexts. This suggests that, when one encounters literary contexts in which some objects or themes appear in abundance, it would be prudent to look for polysemy and paronomasia clusters.

## 5.2.6. RARE WORDS

Another common strategy is the use of rare words and/or orthography. Whether for polysemy or paronomasia, poets often sought uncommon words or forms in order to achieve their desired effect. Certainly this is the case for Egyptian bards, for as The Complaint of Khakheperresonbe (BM 5645, rt. 2–3) informs us, Egyptian texts aim for *lpn.w lpmnj ts.w lpp.j m mdw.t m3.t tm.t sw3 śwy.t m wlpmm.jt* "unknown phrases, strange verses in a new speech which does not pass, free from repetition." An inscription in the temple of Hathor at Dendera is equally fascinating. It reads: *nb.t p.t lpnw.t ntr.w nb.w* "Lady of heaven, mistress of all the gods." Of particular interest are the nouns *nb* "lady" and *nb* "all," which are both written with the reclining bovine sign a rather than the more common sign in order to emphasize Hathor's manifestation as a cow. 36

However, the strategy of using rare words and forms is most pronounced among Mesopotamian scribes. Chaim Cohen and Jacob Klein have shown that Akkadian texts exhibit a more frequent use of *hapax legomena* than found in the Hebrew Bible, even though Akkadian texts constitute a much larger corpus.<sup>37</sup> The use of rare words in Mesopotamian texts cannot be divorced from the long-lived scribal tradition of creating exhaustive lexical lists, a practice deeply rooted in priestly and divinatory conceptions of secrecy and the guarding of divine knowledge. Cohen and Klein conclude that "the rationale for such massive usage of *hapax legomena* in the lexical lists (including the large percentage of foreign words therein) can only be the scribes' desire to take pride in and exhibit their vast erudition." Lexical lists embodied scribal knowledge and power and provided the raw materials for literary compositions and commentaries.<sup>39</sup>

The production of lexical lists at Emar and Ugarit, along with evidence for cuneiform culture further south at Apheq, Ashqelon, Hazor, and Megiddo in the Late Bronze Age, reveal that Mesopotamian educated elites transmitted their traditions well beyond their geographic borders.<sup>40</sup> Such texts also constitute part of the diplomatic archive at Amarna, Egypt, though Hittite and Babylonian

<sup>35.</sup> Translation by Parkinson, "Literary Form and the *Tale of the Eloquent Peasant*," 171, who also discusses the use of *hapax legomena* in the Egyptian Tale of the Eloquent Peasant.

<sup>36.</sup> Richter, Theology of Hathor of Dendera, 346-349, 545.

<sup>37.</sup> See Cohen and Klein, "Akkadian Hapax Legomena."

<sup>38.</sup> See Cohen and Klein, "Akkadian Hapax Legomena," 105.

<sup>39.</sup> Frahm, Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries, 88–94.

<sup>40.</sup> Veldhuis, History of the Cuneiform Lexical Traditions, 304.

intermediaries likely produced them. It is widely assumed that, after the disruptions that led to the collapse of Bronze Age palace societies, the practice of creating lexical lists ceased in the Northwest Semitic world. As evidence, scholars point out that excavations at Iron Age sites in the Levant have yielded no lexical lists. Nevertheless, the lack of lexical texts may be more apparent than real.

The widespread use of papyrus or wax writing boards as the writing medium of choice virtually ensured that many records would not survive from this time. Witness, for example, the entire Phoenician literary record, which no longer exists, except in fragments recorded in later textual traditions. Glenn Markoe explains:

The legacy of Phoenician as a language has been clouded by the almost complete loss of a literary record. Of the various Phoenician and Punic compositions alluded to by the ancient classical authors, not a single work or even a fragment has survived in its original idiom. An explanation may be sought in the dramatic transformation in writing medium that accompanied the introduction of the cursive alphabetic script. Perishable materials such as wood, ivory, papyrus, and parchment now replaced the durable baked clay medium of the cuneiform tradition. Very few have survived the humid environment of the coastal Levant. 41

This situation also explains the exiguous textual record of ancient Israel. The entire Hebrew Bible represents only a small portion of the Hebrew language in use, and the earliest surviving biblical texts we possess, the texts from Qumran, stand at the end of a thousand-plus year history of textual production in Israel. It is safe to assume that Israel's literary output was probably far greater than has survived today. This context might explain the lack of lexical lists.

Moreover, there is some evidence, though it is admittedly circumstantial, for the use of lexicons when creating some literary devices. In particular, it is reasonable to think that word pairs and rare words may have been culled or learned from lists. <sup>43</sup> Postulating the existence of lexical lists also might inform a number of the devices that involve clustering, like geminate forms and body parts (see 4.1.8.1). <sup>44</sup> Even if lexical lists were not transmitted in writing, some form of oral

<sup>41.</sup> Glenn E. Markoe, *Phoenicians* (Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 2000), 110.

<sup>42.</sup> See Edward Ullendorf, "Is Biblical Hebrew a Language?," BSOAS 34 (1971): 241-55.

<sup>43.</sup> Note that the two lexemes for "moth" found in parallelism in Isa 51:8, i.e., סְּס ֶ sās and שָׁשְׁ 'ãš, appear in cognate form in sequence in an Akkadian lexical list. Thus, sāsu "moth" follows ašāšu "moth" in HAR-ra = hubullu XIV, 268–269, and in two other copies replaces it. See Benno Landsberger, The Fauna of Ancient Mesopotamia. 2nd part. HAR-ra = hubullu. Tablet XIV and XVIII. MSL 8.2 (Rome: Pontificium Institutum Biblicum, 1962), 30.

<sup>44.</sup> Cf. the recent comment by Jeffrey L. Cooley, "Judean Scribalism, Documentary Epistemology, and the Name ישראל," in *The Scaffolding of Our Thoughts: Essays on Assyriology and the History of Science in Honor of Francesca Rochberg*, ed. C. Jay Crisostomo et al., AMD 13 (Leiden: Brill, 2018), 236, about the appellative paronomasia

thesaurus likely was in use.<sup>45</sup> The statement that Solomon's wisdom included the knowledge of all botanical life, near and far, as well as animals, birds, and fish, in addition to three thousand proverbs and one thousand and five songs (1 Kgs 5:12–13), suggests that some forms of wisdom were embodied in lists. While obviously one cannot prove this at present, I aver that the use of word pairs, rare words, and some clustering devices in the service of polysemy and paronomasia likely have their origins in list-making traditions.<sup>46</sup>

## 5.2.7. PHONEMES AND MORPHEMES: COUNTED AND UNCOUNTED

A close examination of each type of polysemy and paronomasia reveals that the literati entertained different strategies when it came to which features of their language were poetically meaningful to each device. This is particularly noticeable in consonantal texts, especially Hebrew and Aramaic, in which various linguistic features, such as the waw-copula, the definite article, and verbal and nominal affixes, do not count in the construction of some devices. Proverbs 1:33 demonstrates this well: אָר יִשְׁבְּן־בְּטֵח וְשַׁאֲנֵן מִפַּחַד רְשָׁאַנן מִפַּחַד (עִּישְׁבָּן we-šōmēa lī yiškān beṭaḥ we-ša ʾānan mip-paḥaḍ rā ʾāh "he who obeys me shall dwell securely, and shall be quiet without fear of evil." Note how the homoeopropheron between יְשֹׁמֵע מִישְׁרַ yiškān, and שַׁמֵע מִּשְׁרַ we-šā ʾānan does not take into account the waw-

informing the name Israel that the angel provides Jacob in Gen 32: "The interpretation of the moniker is not literal, in the sense the interpretation does not offer exclusively valid equivalencies for each of the name's components. It should, rather, be qualified as a process of synonymous interpretation, the kind we see in Mesopotamian word lists like *malku-šarru*. That is to say, the scribe chooses to identify the components of the name from a spectrum of possible equivalents, none of which are intrinsically prioritized."

<sup>45.</sup> On Mesopotamian school traditions of the Late Bronze Age as the ultimate influence on Israelite scribes, see William M. Schniedewind, *The Finger of the Scribe: The Beginnings of Scribal Education and How It Shaped the Hebrew Bible* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2019).

<sup>46.</sup> This tradition was continued into later times when the Masoretes marked and collected the Bible's hapax legomena, a practice that abetted their poetic needs, as observed by Gérard E. Weil, "Prolegomenon: Nehardea, Sura, Tiberias—From Rab Hamnuna's Masorah to the Masorah Magna," in *The Massorah Magna: Part One, Massoretic Dictionary or the Massorah in Alphabetic Order*, ed. S. Frensdorff (New York: Ktav, 1968), xxi: "I think I can affirm that the presentation of these rare or peculiar forms and the systematic search for them found their raison d'être in the service of a budding liturgical poetry ... at the period when the art of liturgical composition was beginning to develop, the Hebrew language had become substantially a fixed liturgical language, and evolved no further in its classical form. The language of the Bible was limited to the subjects treated in it, and the vocabulary at the sacred poets' disposition was necessarily scanty. For want of a rich and sacred vocabulary, the liturgical poets seized upon rare forms and utilized them freely, on this base the art of liturgical poetry was built."

copula attached to the first verb (שָׁמָע s̄āma ) and the noun (אַמָּבָּוֹן s̄āma), or the verbal prefix of the second verb (שָׁכִּוֹן s̄ākַan), because only the triliteral roots are meaningful to make the repetition of the first consonant s̄in (שׁ) effective. While such features are morphemically meaningful, they are poetically insignificant.

See similarly Prov 5:3: נְּבֶּח תִּטּבְּנָה שִּׁבְּחָ יִּדְה מִּבְּנָה שִּׁבְּחָ יִּדְה זֹּהָם מּסְהְּבָּח הֹסְהְּבַּּ מִּלְּבָּח הֹסְהְּבַּ מִּחְ יֹבֶּח הֹסְהַבּ מַּח וֹנְּבָּח הַח וֹבָּח הַסְּבּ מוּ מִּבְּח חִיי אַבְּּחִי אַבְּחָי אַבְּחָ יִּבְּח מוֹ מִּבְּח מוֹ מִּבְּּח מוֹ מִּבְּּחָ מִּח בּּבְּּח מוֹ מִּבְּּח מוֹ מִּבְּּח מוֹ מִּבְּּח מוֹ מִּבְּּחְ מִּח מִּבְּּח מוֹ מִּבְּּחְ מִּח מִּבְּּחְ מִּח מִּבְּּח מוֹ מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּח מוֹ עִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּח מִּבְּּחְ מִּח מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּחְ מִּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּרְ מִּבְּּחְ מִּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּּרְ מִּחְ מִּחְ מִּחְ מִּבְּיִרְ מִּתְּ מִבְּיִי מְּחְ מִּבְּּרְ מִּתְ מִּבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מִּתְ מִּבְּּיִם מְּתְ מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִם מִּתְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּתְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִם מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִם מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מְּיִבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מִּבְּיִים מְּיִבְּיְיִים מִּיְים מִּבְּיִים מִּיְם מִּבְּיִים מְּיִים מִּיְיִים מִּיְים מִּבְּיִי בְּיִים מְּיִים מְּבְּבְּיִים מְיִבּּיְיִים מְּבְּבְּיְים מְּבְּבְּבְּים מְּבְּבְּבְּים מְּבְּבְּבְּיבְּיְיִים מְּיּבְּיְים מְּבְּבְּיבְּיְים מְּבְּיּבְּיְים מְּבְּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְים מְּיּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְים מְיּבּּיְים מְּיּבּּיְים מְיּבּּיְים מְּיּבְּיְים מְּיּבְּיְים מְּיּבְּיְיְים מְּיְּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְיְים מְּבְּים מְּבְּיְיּבְּיְים מְיּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְיְים מְּבְּיְיִים מְּבְּיְיּבְּיְיּבְּיְים מְּבְּיְם מְיּבְּיְים מְּבְּּים מְּבְּיּים מְי

Isaiah's prophecy concerning the Valley of Vision also demonstrates this: אַבְּרָהִישִׁים שׁת שְׁתוּ הַשְּׁעְרָה wa-hap-pārāšīm šōṯ šāṯū haš-šā 'rāh "and the horsemen set themselves in array at the gate" (Isa 22:7). <sup>48</sup> Homoeopropheron between the infinitive absolute construction שׁת שׁתוּ "sōṯ šāṭū "set themselves in array" and haš-šā 'rāh "the gate" does not count the definite article. In addition, parasonance between הַשְּׁעְרָה hap-pārāšīm "the horsemen" and הַּשְּׁעָרָה haš-šā 'rāh "the gate" disregards the nominal plural ending  $\bar{b}$  -īm.

There is also the case of homoioteleuton in the description of Nebuchadnezzar's transformation: וְטִפְּרוֹהִי כְּצַפְּרִין wə-tip̄rōhī kੁə-ṣippərīn "and his nails (became) like birds' (talons)" (Dan 4:30). Note how neither the copula אַ wə, masculine suffix יִן סֿh̄t̄, preposition בְּ kַə, nor the masculine plural ending יִ  $\bar{l}n$  count towards the device.

Periodically in this study I have emphasized the importance of recognizing

<sup>47.</sup> Thus, contrary Arthur Keefer, "Sound Patterns as Motivation for Rare Words in Proverbs 1–9," *JNSL* 43 (2017): 38, who sees the passage as a challenge to the taxonomy of known devices. Indeed, anagrammatic paronomasia and/or parasonance describes the device.

<sup>48.</sup> Glück, "Assonance in Ancient Hebrew Poetry," 80, treats this passage as a case of assonance.

<sup>49.</sup> Waldburg, Methods of (Hermeneutical) Transformations, article 2, section 12.

that the ancients' understanding of etymology is not the equivalent of ours and that poets often sacrificed correct grammar to meet poetical needs. Yet, the examples above also reveal a general appreciation for the importance of the consonantal root structure underlying their grammar. Hallo similarly has noticed that when Israelite authors employ pejorative etymological paronomasia to foreign names, they do so always on the non-theophoric parts, suggesting that they were well aware of the proper etymologies of the names.<sup>50</sup> Whether poets elected in some cases to create false etymologies by connecting unrelated roots or in others to disregard morphemes in favor of root structures, depends entirely on the device they chose to employ. Indeed, some other devices do not disregard these morphemic features, but rely upon them. For instance, geminate parallels and clusters often take advantage of verbal affixes and nominal suffixes when they contribute to the doubling of sounds found in the root of a word.<sup>51</sup> This indicates that different devices had different rules and expectations or could be manipulated by poets for their own needs. In some cases, such as those devices that disregard the copula and definite article, it might suggest that the device originated from a language that does not possess such features. Alternatively, it might suggest that the tradents had an understanding of free versus bound morphemes, even if they did not preserve terms for such. At the very least, the evidence again demonstrates the flexibility of grammar in the service of poetics.

One also must acknowledge that some of the polysemous devices known to the ancients could not have been caught when the text was read aloud unless they involved homophony. Consider, for example, the many learned polysemous readings of Marduk's fifty names in Enuma Elish, for which the author recommends contemplation and instruction: "they (the names) should be remembered; a leading figure should expound them, the wise and learned should confer about them" (7.145–146). In fact, any pause and meaningful analysis of the names would frustrate a smooth recitation of the text. Thus, we must envision the learned discourse on erudite readings and cases of visual polysemy as taking place in educational circles, where masters could share their commentaries and transmit their hidden wisdom to pupils. In effect, the true depth of knowledge contained in polysemes had to be glossed over during recitation.<sup>52</sup>

# 5.2.8. RECITATION, POLYSEMY, AND AUTHORITY

Above, and in chapter 2, I noted that the smooth recitation of ancient texts precluded options for pausing and discussing any polysemous devices they might

<sup>50.</sup> Hallo, "Scurrilous Etymologies," 773.

<sup>51.</sup> Even particles and suffix formations could serve as tools of the craft in ancient Israel. See Michael B. Shepherd, "Is It 'To Him' or Is It 'Not'? Intentional Variation between לי in the Hebrew Bible," *JSOT* 39 (2014): 121–37.

<sup>52.</sup> The same can be said of some Ugaritic texts. See Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream."

contain, and that the very presence of such devices strongly suggests the existence of oral traditions. Alternative readings must have been explicated in the scribal academies, Houses of Life, and discipleship societies. In much the same way that we teach Akkadian, Egyptian, Ugaritic, or Hebrew texts today, rare words, grammatical curiosities, and other textual devices would have provided teaching moments for transmitting the deeper aspects of ancient Near Eastern learning. In essence, we may consider every text that contains polysemy as two overlapping texts: one as an object of study and another as an object of recitation.<sup>53</sup> The former was an erudite matter between masters and pupils, and sometimes committed to commentaries.<sup>54</sup> The latter constituted an authoritative interpretation of its ambiguities, one informed by the ideology of scholarly elites. Any dissonance between the two texts (unless homophony is involved) naturally points to a device whose function is meaningful primarily for the learned, for if it could not be espied and expounded during recitation, then its purpose must lie beyond the literary and rhetorical.

#### 5.3. FILLING THE GAPS

While the foregoing conclusions are preliminary and highlight a few areas where scholars might look for promising research directions, a number of desiderata strike me as particularly critical to the field. I offer them below.

## 5.3.1. COMPREHENSIVE FOCUSED STUDIES

Foremost among the immediate needs are exhaustive studies on all topics related to the phenomena of polysemy and paronomasia in ancient Near Eastern texts. Most texts, literary and otherwise (including the biblical books), have not been mined for their use of any one device, and very few studies exist of individual devices in any one language. Thus, the field lacks comprehensive data. Even relatively straightforward studies such as homoeopropheron in Job, or homoioteleuton in the Ugaritic texts, anastrophe in the Epic of Gilgamesh, or geminate clustering in the monumental inscriptions of Ramesses III, just to name a random few, would provide useful information for assessing issues of preference and distribution. Alternatively, one could select a particular text and examine it for each of the devices listed in the comparative taxonomy offered here. Much work remains.

<sup>53.</sup> See Noegel, "Kirtu's Allusive Dream."

<sup>54.</sup> Though evidence for oral traditions exists in Mesopotamia from very early times, commentaries as a genre do not appear until the late second millennium BCE. On the various kinds of commentaries and their relationship to oral traditions, see Frahm, *Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries*.

<sup>55.</sup> A welcome recent exception is that of Richter, Theology of Hathor of Dendera.

#### 5.3.2. DISTRIBUTION OF PHONEMES

It also would be useful to the field to look for patterns in the specific phonemes used in each paronomastic device.<sup>56</sup> The importance of this is perhaps most obvious in paronomasia that has an onomatopoeic function. In chapter 3, I showed how some Akkadian texts employed sibilants to mimic the sounds of drinking and kissing, whereas in Egyptian, the same sounds evoked the sound of the wind. In Hebrew, sibilants imitated the hissing of snakes. Isaiah resounded the tools of the blacksmith by hammering the sounds /t, t/, /h/, and /k/ again and again. Elsewhere the prophet characterizes the mutterings of necromancers as birds' chirping by repeating the emphatic affricate /s/ (Isa 8:19). Yet this is just a starting point. One might ask also whether some phonemes are preferred when rendering paronomasia in certain genres like laments, prayers, or love songs. One also could examine whether particular paronomastic devices show a preference for certain phonemes, or if certain phonemes are shared among various devices.<sup>57</sup> There certainly is evidence that some paronomastic relationships were desired enough to be repeated over a long period of time. We have seen how Sargon and his son Sennacherib both took advantage of the homonymic paronomasia between *kiššatu* "universe" and kiššūtu "strength" (4.2.6). Egyptian bards appear to have enjoyed using the sign ph "end" for paronomastic and polysemous ends (3.1, 3.3, 4.1.2). Several Egyptian texts also reveal an interest in marking poetic stanzas with numerical paronomasia (4.2.7). Some paronomastic preferences appear in biblical texts as well. Such is the case for the expression פחד ופחת ופח pahad wā-pahat  $w\bar{a}$ - $\bar{p}\bar{a}h$  "terror, pit, and a snare," which occurs in Isa 24:17, Jer 48:43, and in abbreviated form as מחד ופחת pahad wā-pahat in Lam 3:47. One also finds numerous cases of paronomasia between the roots משל m-š-l "rule" and משל m- $\check{s}$ -l "parable," the roots בוש <u>b</u>-w- $\check{s}$  "shame," ביש y-b- $\check{s}$  "wither," and לבש l-b- $\check{s}$ "clothe,"<sup>59</sup> and between the roots שוב \*w-b "turn, return," ישב "v-š-b "sit, dwell," and שבה š-b-h "take captive." Other common cases involve the use of גור g-w-r

<sup>56.</sup> Julia Puglisi, a PhD candidate at Harvard University, has informed me that she is employing computer programming to ascertain the existence of various paronomastic patterns in some Egyptian texts. Such work is a desideratum.

<sup>57.</sup> Eyre, "Performance of the Peasant," 16, observes the need for similar studies in Egyptian.

<sup>58.</sup> See, e.g., Joel 2:17 as discussed by Yellin, "Polysemy in the Bible," 2; Yellin, *Pesher to Habakkuk* (1QpHab XIII, 9) discussed above (3.13.3); also Isa 14:4–5, 14:10.

<sup>59.</sup> See, e.g., Johanna Stiebert, *The Construction of Shame in the Hebrew Bible: The Prophetic Contribution* (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2002), 126–27; Noegel, "Shame of Ba'al."

<sup>60.</sup> See, e.g., Hos 12:7–10 (see 4.2.9), the Tale of Kirtu, the Mesha stela (see 3.1), and Porten, "Root Pair ישב-שוב in Jeremiah," 381, who also notes the same paronomasia in the Aramaic Sefire inscription.

for both "dwell" and "fear," or גלה g-l-h for "reveal" and "exile," sometimes in a paronomastic relationship with g-y-l "rejoice." Greater attention to such patterns might yield insights into ancient conceptions of sound and language.

#### 5.3.3. MICRO AND MACRO CONSIDERATIONS

Future researchers also should consider whether the polysemous or paronomastic features they examine obtain on more than one level. I have shown how some devices operate at the level of signs, lexica, sentences, or even narratives, depending on the writing system, but it is well-recognized that many other kinds of devices and strategies found in ancient Near Eastern texts can occur on both micro and macro levels. Ambiguity, as we have seen, was fundamental to many of the devices. Yet in Sumerian, it was a generative force in the production of poetry, as Vanstiphout notes: it "was recognized and consciously used as a technical tool or even as a subject for poetic language." Repetition and variation, parallelism, and chiasmus can occur within and between lines, but also as part of larger narrative programs. Lists of ten that highlight the importance of the seventh and tenth items also can inform compositional structures. Authors can

<sup>61.</sup> Davis, "Strategy of Delayed Comprehension," 218-19; Landy, Hosea, 128.

<sup>62.</sup> Landy, Hosea, 128.

<sup>63.</sup> See H. L. J. Vanstiphout, "Ambiguity as a Generative Force in Sumerian Literature," in Vogelzang and Vanstiphout, *Mesopotamian Poetic Language*, 155–66.

<sup>64.</sup> The narrative reflection of chiasmus is called a "ring structure" (also called "envelope structure"). See Gershon Brin and Yair Hoffman, "The Use of Chiasmus in the Bible" [Hebrew], in *Moshe Zaidel Jubilee Volume: Studies in Biblical Research*, ed. E. Eliner et al. (Jerusalem: Israel Society for Biblical Research, 1962), 280–88; John S. Kselman, "Psalm 72: Some Observations on Structure," *BASOR* 220 (1975): 77–81; Jonah Fraenkel, "Chiasmus in Talmudic-Aggadic Narrative," in *Chiasmus in Antiquity: Structures, Analyses, Exegesis*, ed. John W. Welch (Hildesheim: Gerstenberg, 1981), 183–97; Murray H. Lichtenstein, "Chiasm and Symmetry in Proverbs 31," *CBQ* 44 (1982): 202–11; Robert H. O'Connell, "Isaiah XIV 4b–23: Ironic Reversal through Concentric Structure and Mythic Allusion," *VT* 38 (1988): 407–18; Michael G. Hasel, "Israel in the Merneptah Stela," *BASOR* 296 (1994): 45–61; Marian Broida, "Closure in Samson," *JHS* 10 (2012): 2–34; Gregory T. K. Wong, "Psalm 73 as Ring Composition." *Bib* 97 (2016): 16–40.

<sup>65.</sup> Compare the observations of Sasson above (4.2.9.1) with regard to the ten word line in Gen 6:9 that starts and ends with the name Noah, and which ends with consonants that provide a palindromic relationship between the names Noah and Enoch. This chapter also contains a literary structure that highlights the seventh and tenth names in the list, i.e., Enoch and Noah. On the seventh and tenth structure in Gen 6, see Jack M. Sasson, "A Genealogical 'Convention' in Biblical Chronography?," ZAW 90 (1978): 171–85. For the structure elsewhere, see Rendsburg, "Notes on Genesis XV"; Scott B. Noegel, "The Significance of the Seventh Plague," Bib 76 (1995): 532–39; Jonathan Grossman, "The Structural Paradigm of the Ten Plagues Narrative and the Hardening of Pharaoh's Heart," VT 64 (2014): 588–610.

demonstrate *lex talionis* on the lexical level or over the course of an entire story,<sup>66</sup> and antanaclasis can occur in Akkadian on the sign level, but Hebrew poets sustain it through variations over entire narratives. Polysemy too can take place on the sign and lexical levels and over several lines as polysemy clusters. Multidirectional polysemy has a macro counterpart in what Watson has called the pivot-pattern,<sup>67</sup> and on a macro level we find it operating as "narrative ambiguity."<sup>68</sup> Strategies that involve deception obtain on the lexical and line level, but also across narratives.<sup>69</sup> As research continues on these devices, I aver that it will be useful to consider whether they obtain on multiple levels.<sup>70</sup>

## 5.3.4. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN DEVICES

Another promising avenue for research is to determine whether there exist formal relationships between the various polysemous and paronomastic devices, and between them and other literary devices. For instance, Kselman has shown that chiasmus sometimes integrates cases of paronomasia to strengthen the parallel.<sup>71</sup> Such is the case in Esau's statement about Jacob in Gen 27:36:

<sup>66.</sup> Noegel, "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats," 163-80.

<sup>67.</sup> Wilfred G. E. Watson, "The Pivot Pattern in Hebrew, Ugaritic, and Akkadian Poetry," *ZAW* 68 (1976): 239–53; more recently described by Katharine Dell and Tova Forti, "Janus Sayings: A Linking Device in Qoheleth's Discourse," *ZAW* 128 (2016): 115–28, unfortunately, without reference to Watson's work.

<sup>68.</sup> See, e.g., Yellin, "Polysemy in the Bible"; Robert Gordis, "Rhetorical Usages in the Sacred Writings," 253–67; Paran, "Double Meanings in the Bible"; S. Molen, "The Identity of Jacob's Assailant: Wrestling with Ambiguity in Gen 32:23–32," *Shofar* 11 (1993): 16–29; Allen Mark Darnov, *Equivocal Narrative in the Hebrew Bible* (PhD diss., Jewish Theological Seminary, 2006); Grossman, *Ambiguity in the Biblical Narrative and Its Contribution to the Literary Formation*; Grossman, "Use of Ambiguity in Biblical Narratives of Deception and Deceit," 483–515; Eric Ortlund, "Intentional Ambiguity in Old Testament and Ugaritic Descriptions of Divine Conflict," *UF* 38 (2007): 543–56; Ingram, "Riddle of Qohelet and Qohelet the Riddler"; Gregory D. Cook, "Naqia and Nineveh in Nahum: Ambiguity and the Prostitute Queen," *JBL* 136 (2017): 895–904.

<sup>69.</sup> On ambiguity and deception, Scott B. Noegel, "Sex, Sticks, and the Trickster in Gen. 30:31–43," *JANES* 25 (1997): 7–17; Noegel, "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats"; Grossman, "Use of Ambiguity in Biblical Narratives of Deception and Deceit."

<sup>70.</sup> I also wonder whether there might be a conceptual overlap between the use of contronyms and merisms.

<sup>71.</sup> Kselman, "Semantic-Sonant Chiasmus in Biblical Poetry." See also his "A Note on Gen 7:11," *CBQ* 35 (1973): 491–93, and his "Psalm 72." Watson, *Traditional Techniques in Classical Hebrew Verse*, 326, 389–91, also finds a relationship between chiasm and "quasi-acrostics."

וַיַּעְקְבֵנִי זֶה פַּעֲמַיִם אֶת־בְּכֹרָתִי לְקָח וְהִנֵּה עַתָּה לָקַח בִּרְכָתִי

way-ya ʿqəḇēnī zɛh p̄a ʿămayīm ʾɛtႍ bək̤ōrāt̤ī lāqāḥ wə-hinnēh ʿattāh lāqah birkātī

He has deceived me these two times: he took away my birthright, and behold, now he has taken away my blessing.

Here בְּבֹרְתִי "my birthright" paronomastically (anagrammatically) and chiastically matches בְּבְבְתִי birk̄āt̄ı "my blessing" in the next stich. See similarly Ps 147:15:

הַשֹּׁלֵחַ אִמְרָתוֹ אָרֶץ עָד־מָהֵרָה יַרוּץ דְּבָרוֹ

haš-šōlēaḥ 'imrātō 'āreş 'ad məhērāh yārūş dəbārō

He sends out his commandment upon earth, his word runs swiftly.

Abetting the chiasmus here is homoioteleuton between אָרֶץ 'āreṣ "earth" in the first stich and יַרוּץ  $y\bar{a}r\bar{u}$ ṣ "runs" in the second.

Antanaclasis also can form an inclusio. Thus, in Hos 11:5, the verb שוּב אַּעּוֹב means "return" at the start of the first stich, and "repent" at the end of the second, forming a linguistic bookend: "No! They return [יִשׁוּב  $y\bar{a}\bar{s}\bar{u}b$ ] to the land of Egypt, and Assyria is their king, because they refuse to repent [יִשׁוּב  $l\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{u}b$ ]."  $l\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{u}b$ ]."  $l\bar{a}$ - $s\bar{u}b$ ]."

Guglielmi has noted the close relationship in Egyptian texts between numerical paronomasia and structural devices like inclusio and ring structures. As a tool of compositional order, he relates it to the acrostics of other ancient Near Eastern texts.<sup>73</sup> The numbering of poetic stanzas (*hw.t* lit. "house") and the paronomastic reflection upon the numbers does resemble the consonantal acrostics that paronomastically resound the name of the consonant and its sound in the line that follows. Indeed, it is useful to recall that when we read consonantal acrostics vertically, we may read them numerically or alphabetically, whereas when we read them horizontally, we can do so only alphabetically.

<sup>72.</sup> One finds several such inclusios in Deutero-Isaiah.

<sup>73.</sup> Guglielmi, "Wortspiel," col. 1289.

Moreover, some passages testify to the virtuosity of poets who employ multiple devices simultaneously. Such is the case with Prov 30:33: בי מיץ חלב יוציא ריב ומיץ אפּים וציא דם ומיץ אף ומיץ זעיא אוציא  $k\bar{\imath}$  m $\bar{\imath}s$   $h\bar{a}l\bar{a}b$   $v\bar{o}s\bar{\imath}$  ' $h\epsilon m$ ' $\bar{a}h$   $\bar{u}$ - $m\bar{\imath}s$ 'ap̄ yōṣī' dām ū-mīṣ 'appayīm yōṣī' rīb "for the churning of milk brings forth curd, and the wringing of the nose brings forth blood, and (so) the forcing of wrath brings forth strife."<sup>74</sup> Antanaclasis obtains in the three-fold use of מיץ  $m\bar{i}s$ , which means "churn" in the first case, "wring" in the second, and "force, oppress" in the last (cf. הָמֵץ ham-mēṣ in Isa 16:4). The repetition of מִיץ mīṣ also displays parasonance with the three-fold use of the verb יוֹצֵיא  $y\bar{o}s\bar{\iota}$  "bring forth." When used with blood, the repeated sounds /m/ and /s/ recall the verb מצה māṣāh "drain (blood)" (cf. Lev 1:15, 5:9). The passage's focus on the "source" of anger, also suggests that one hear מוֹצָא  $m\bar{o}s\bar{a}$  "source." More antanaclasis occurs between אָבּיִם "nose" and אָבּיִם "appayīm "anger" (lit. "noses")—also a clever use of a body part. The noun  $\Box \tau$  dam means both "blood" and "homicide." In addition, the noun חמאה hem'āh "curd" suggests חמה hamāh "anger" (Dan 3:13, 3:19 חמאן  $hem\bar{a}$  7). The result is a veritable potpourri of polysemy and paronomasia.

Some of the polysemous devices examined in this book depend upon parallelism to be effective. Others rely on repetition. Still others are achieved through the phenomenon of clustering.<sup>76</sup> It remains to be seen whether the ancient literati employed other devices in tandem, and if so, to what end.

# 5.3.5. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AURAL AND VISUAL REGISTERS

Most previous studies on polysemy and paronomasia in ancient texts typically focus only on their aural aspects and the effects they have on listeners. Yet, most aural devices simultaneously operate visually. Conversely, many visual devices also operate aurally. In some, the relationship between the aural and visual registers is especially close. Acrostics, for example, are perhaps more immediately apparent visually than aurally, especially in consonantal scripts and when read from a scroll. Some cases of paronomasia are as striking to the eye as they are to the ear. Akkadian texts can exploit the polysemy of signs to convey information that is not accessible when recited. Egyptian texts, too, often exploit the use of determinatives, which communicate information visually, but are not read aloud.

It is important to recognize that the aural and visual registers are not in competition in ancient texts, but rather exist in a symbiotic relationship. Demonstrating this well are geminate clusters. The geminate forms do not always

<sup>74.</sup> See Weiser, "Wordplay in the Book of Proverbs," 147.

<sup>75.</sup> Some of these observations belong to Schökel, Manual of Hebrew Poetics, 29.

<sup>76.</sup> On the cluster and its relationship to "wordplay," chiasmus, and half-line (internal) parallelism in Akkadian, Ugaritic, and Hebrew, see Watson, *Traditional Techniques in Classical Hebrew Verse*, 24, 113–26.

occur in perfect parallelism, but rather spice the text periodically in both nominal and verbal forms. The repetition of forms with doubled consonants achieves a balanced sonic effect that works within the confines of parallelism without being held to its conventions.

One also sees the symbiotic relationship between the aural and visual in the tablets and scrolls on which we find the texts. In most cases, poetic texts are not written stichometrically, as one might see them printed in modern editions, but in linear sequence without punctuation. Nevertheless, some devices are most visually apparent on the tablet and scroll when *not* laid out stichometrically. Therefore, when studying Near Eastern texts one must keep in mind that the ancients composed them with *both* the aural and visual in mind.

## 5.3.6. MUSICAL CONNECTIONS

A number of the devices appear to accentuate or emphasize rhythmic aspects of the texts in which they appear, and consequently, it is reasonable to think that the musical accompaniment might have influenced their use. Especially relevant here is the use of homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, rhyme, and geminate parallels and clusters. Insofar as the devices evince the influence of Mesopotamian or Egyptian scribal culture in the Levant, they also may reveal the prestige of Mesopotamian and Egyptian musical tastes.

# 5.3.7. RENDERING BIBLICAL HEBREW POLYSEMY AND PARONO-MASIA IN THE TEXTUAL WITNESSES

Another topic that requires attention is the ways in which the Bible's textual witnesses (LXX, Targumim, Vulgate, and Peshitta) handle cases of Hebrew polysemy and paronomasia.<sup>77</sup> Rendering literary devices of any text into another language is extremely difficult. One only can approximate paronomasia by using consonants with similar sounds in the target language (if they exist!) or by imitating the paronomasia with different consonants, but translating polysemy is virtually impossible unless one resorts to epexegesis (i.e., adding lines to capture the multiple meanings of a polyseme).<sup>78</sup> We have seen this in chapter 4 with the Akkadian translations of Marduk's polysemous Sumerian names. Of course,

<sup>77.</sup> Nearly fifty years ago Mathias Delcor, "Homonymie et interprétation de l'Ancien Testament," *JSS* 43 (1973): 40–54, drew our attention to the way that the witnesses treat homonyms, though his eye was trained upon the potential methodological problems they posed for exegesis.

<sup>78.</sup> In an important, but largely neglected study, Charles Fritsch, "Homophony in the Septuagint," in *Proceedings of the VIth World Congress of Jewish Studies* (Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1977), 115–20, shows that the LXX attempts to render some Hebrew terms with similar sounding Greek words.

translating a text into a related language (e.g., Hebrew to Aramaic) offers more opportunities to capture such devices, because the languages possess similar phonetic inventories and cognate vocabulary, but even then, difficulties exist. See, for example, the contronym faithfully rendered into Aramaic in the previous chapter (4.1.1). Though scholars have begun to examine the witnesses for what they can tell us about translation technique, <sup>79</sup> only a few studies pay attention to the treatment of Hebrew literary devices, and they focus almost entirely on the LXX. <sup>80</sup>

79. See Emanuel Tov, "The Septuagint," in *Mikra: Text, Translation, Reading and Interpretation of the Hebrew Bible in Ancient Judaism and Early Christianity*, ed. Martin Jan Mulder (Assen: Royal Van Gorcum; Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1988), 161–88; Tov, *The Text-Critical Use of the Septuagint in Biblical Research*, 3rd ed. (Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2015); Isaac L. Seeligmann, "Problems and Perspectives in Modern Septuagint Research," *Textus* 15 (1990): 169–232; Staffan Olofsson, *God Is My Rock: A Study of Translation Technique and Theological Exegesis in the Septuagint*, CBOT 31 (Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 1990); Olofsson, *The LXX Version: A Guide to the Translation Technique of the Septuagint*, CBOT 30 (Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 1990); Joachim Schaper, *Eschatology in the Greek Psalter*, WUNT 2/76 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1995), 69–70, 91. Less work has been done with regard to translation technique in the Syriac and Latin traditions.

80. These have come from the scholars focused entirely on the LXX. See Emanuel Toy, "Loan-Words, Homophony, and Transliteration in the Septuagint," Bib 60 (1979): 216-36; Jan de Waard, "Homophony' in the Septuagint," Bib 62 (1981): 551-61; Hans Ausloos, "LXX's Rendering of Hebrew Proper Names and the Characterization of the Translation Technique of the Book of Judges," in Scripture in Transition: Essays on Septuagint, Hebrew Bible, and Dead Sea Scrolls in Honour of Raija Sollamo, ed. Anssi Voitila and Jutta Jokiranta, JSJSup 126 (Leiden: Brill, 2008), 53-71; W. Edward Glenny, Finding Meaning in the Text: Translation Technique and Theology in the Septuagint of Amos, VTS 126 (Leiden: Brill, 2009); Hans Ausloos, "Judges 3:12-30: An Analysis of the Greek Rendering of Hebrew Wordplay," in Text-Critical and Hermeneutical Studies in the Septuagint, ed. Johann Cook and Hermann-Josef Stipp, VTSup 157 (Leiden: Brill, 2012), 53-68; Ausloos, "The Septuagint's Rendering of Hebrew Toponyms as an Indication of the Translation Technique of the Book of Numbers," in Textual Criticism and Dead Sea Scrolls Studies in Honour of Julio Trebolle Barrera. Florilegium Complutense, ed. Andrés Piquer Otero and Pablo A. Torijano Morales, JSJSup 158 (Leiden: Brill, 2012), 35–50; Ausloos, Bénédicte Lemmelijn, and Valérie Kabergs, "The Study of Aetiological Wordplay as a Content-Related Criterion in the Characterisation of LXX Translation Technique," in Die Septuaginta: Entstehung, Sprache, Geschichte, ed. Siegfried Kreuzer, Martin Meiser, and Marcus Sigismund, WUNT 286 (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2012), 273-94; Ausloos and Lemmelijn, "Etymological Translations in the Septuagint," in Die Sprache der Septuaginta, ed. Eberhard Bons and Jan Joosten, HSep 3 (Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlaghaus, 2016), 193–201; Marieke Dhont, "A Two-Faced Translation? The Greek Rendering of Hebrew Janus Parallelism in Job," ZAW 126 (2014): 111–16; Dhont, "Double Translations in Old Greek Job," in Die Septuaginta-Orte und Intentionen 5. Internationale Fachtagung veranstaltet von Septuaginta Deutsch (LXX.D), Wuppertal 24.–27. Juli 2014, ed. Siegfried Kreuzer, Martin Meiser, and Marcus Sigismund (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck,

Nevertheless, preliminary research on the topic is promising. In a previous publication, I showed that the various witnesses sometimes capture the polysemy of the Hebrew text.<sup>81</sup> See, for instance, Yahweh's rhetorical question from the whirlwind (Job 39:19–20):

Do you give the horse its strength?

Do you clothe his neck with רַעִמָה ra māh?

Do you make him quiver like locusts, his majestic snorting (spreading) terror?

On the one hand, the noun רְּעֶּמְה ra ˈmāh suggests the meaning "thunder," or by expansion "terror." On the other, the form appears to be the Hebrew equivalent of the Arabic ר בין ra im "mane." The former meaning anticipates the quivering in the next stich (cf. Isa 29:6, Ps 77:19), whereas the latter follows the horse in the previous line—another case of multidirectional polysemy. The Targum translates with vigar vigar

<sup>2016), 475–90;</sup> Dhont, "Stylistic Features in OG Job: An Example, Job 5:6–7," JNSL 42 (2016): 51–60; Dhont, "Literary Features in the First Cycle of Speeches in LXX Job," in XV Congress of the International Organization for Septuagint and Cognate Studies, ed. Wolfgang Kraus, Michaël van der Meer, and Martin Meiser, SCS 64 (Atlanta: SBL Press, 2016), 357–74; Valérie Kabergs, Creativiteit in het spel? De Griekse weergave van expliciet Hebreeuws woordspel op basis van eigennamen in de Pentateuch en Twaalf Profeten (PhD diss., Leuven, 2015); Elizabeth Backfish, "Transformation in Translation: An Examination of the Septuagint Rendering of Hebrew Wordplay in the Fourth Book of the Psalter," JBL 137 (2018): 71–86; Backfish, Hebrew Wordplay and Septuagint Translation Technique in the Fourth Book of the Psalter, LHBOTS 682 (London: T&T Clark, 2019). On the Vulgate, see Matthew A. Kraus, Jewish, Christian, and Classical Exegetical Traditions in Jerome's Translation of the Book of Exodus: Translation Technique and the Vulgate (Leiden: Brill, 2017).

<sup>81.</sup> See Scott B. Noegel, "Wordplay and Translation Technique in the Septuagint of Job," *AuOr* 14 (1995): 33–44.

<sup>82.</sup> See Marvin H. Pope, *Job* (Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1973), 311; Robert Gordis, *The Book of Job: Commentary, New Translation and Special Studies* (New York, NY: Jewish Theological Seminary, 1978), 461; *HALOT*, s.v. "בעמָה"."

<sup>83.</sup> Natalio Fernández Marcos, "The Septuagint Reading of the Samson Cycle," in *Samson: Hero or Fool?*, Erik Eynikel and Tobias Nicklas (Leiden: Brill 2014), 90–93.

the Old Greek does not render the repetition of consonants in מֵהְאֹכֵל יָצָא מַאֲכָל יִצָּא מַאֲכָל יִצָּא מַאַבְּל יִצָּא מַאַבּל יִצָּא מַאַבּל יִצָּא מַאַבּל יִצָּא מַאַבּל יִפָּא מַאַבּל יִפָּא מַאַבּל יִפָּא מַאַבּל יִפָּא מַאַבּל יִפָּא מַאַבּל יִפּא מַאַבּל יישנה אוֹם יוּשנה אוֹם מַבּיל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִיבָּא מַאַבּל יִיבָּא מַאַבּל יִיבָּא מַאַבּל יִיבָּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִיבָּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִיבָּא מַאַבּל יִבּא מַאַבּל יִבּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבְּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבּיּא מַאַבּל יִבְּיּא מַל יִבְּא מַאַבְּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַאַבּל יִבְּא מַבְּבּל יִבְּא מַא מַאָּבְל יִבְּא מַיּבּא מַיּבּל יִבּא מַיּבּל יִיבּא מַיּבּל יִיבּיא מַיּבּל יִבּיא מַיּבּל יִיבּא מַיּבּיל יִבּיא מַיּבּיל יִיבּא מַיּבּיל יִיבּיא מַיּבּיל יִבּיא מַיּבּיל יִבּיא מַיּבּיא מַיּבּיל יִבּיא מַיּבּיל יִבּיא מַיבּיל יִבּיל יִבּיא מַיבּיל יִבּיל יִבּיא מַיבּיל יִבּיל יִבּיל יִבּיא מַיבּיל יִבְיא מַבְּיל יִבְּיא מַיבּיל יִבְּיא מַיבּיל יִבְיא מַיבּיל יִבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיל ייבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיל ייבּיל ייבּיל ייבּיא מַבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיל ייביל ייבּיל ייבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיא מִיבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּיל ייבּיא מַיבּי

Recently, Elizabeth Backfish observed a number of cases in the Psalms in which the LXX translator was able to mimic the presence of paronomasia in the Hebrew text, though with different consonants in the Greek. For example, Ps 91:7 reads:  $η ≡ yipp\bar{o}l missidk\bar{a} εl\bar{p}$  "a thousand will fall from your side." The LXX responds in kind to the repeated  $\boxed{b}/p/$  and  $\boxed{b}/l/$  by translating "side" with κλίτους and "one thousand" with χιλίας. 84 See also the homoeopropheron created by the repeated  $\boxed{b}/p/$  in Ps 104:12: "The birds (η ≡ v = v) of heaven dwell with them, from among the foilage (v = v) = v opā v = v opā v = v opā v which the LXX faithfully renders with its own case of homoeopropheron by means of v = v opid" in v = v opā "rock," respectively. 85

It also has been observed that the authors of the LXX sometimes chose not to transliterate names, as is their usual practice, but translate them in order to retain appellative function of the Hebrew paronomasia in the passage. Gen 3:20 demonstrates this well: "Adam called the name of his wife Eve (πὶπ ḥawwāh), because she is the mother of all living (τὴ ḥāy)."86 Rather than transliterate Eve's name, the LXX translates it Zωή "Life" to connect it to all ζώντων "living things."87 See similarly Num 11:3: "the name of that place was called Taberah (πὶμμπ tab ἐρτāh), because the fire of Yahweh burned (πὶμμπ bā ἀμπ ham of the town and the verb "burn" by using Ἐμπυρισμός "Burning" and the verb ἐξεκαύθη "kindle," respectively. 88 This is the case also in Judg 2:4–5: "the people lifted up their voice, and they wept (πὶμπ ham ham ham ham ham ham ham of the town, the LXX has opted to translate it, employing ἔκλαυσαν "they wept" and Κλαυθμῶνες "Weepings" to capture the connection. 89

<sup>84.</sup> Backfish, "Transformation in Translation," 80. She also notes the presence of אַבֶּל 'ōp̄el "darkness" in the previous verse.

<sup>85.</sup> Backfish, "Transformation in Translation," 84. It is possible, as Backfish notes, that the translator chose the noun "rock" here, either because the *hapax legomenon* was unknown, or in order to achieve the paronomasia.

<sup>86.</sup> On the meaning of this name, see Jack M. Sasson, "The 'Mother of All ...' Etiologies," in 'A Wise and Discerning Mind': Essays in Honor of Burke O. Long, ed. Saul M. Olyan and Robert C. Culley, BJS 325 (Providence, RI: Brown Judaic Studies, 2000), 205–20.

<sup>87.</sup> Ausloos, "Judges 3:12–30," 54. However, see already, Zakovitch, "Explicit and Implicit Name-Derivations," 170–71 n. 5, not cited by Ausloos, who lists this passage and many other examples of the LXX translating, rather than transliterating, a name.

<sup>88.</sup> Ausloos, Lemmelijn, and Kabergs, "Study of Aetiological Wordplay as a Content-Related Criterion in the Characterisation of LXX Translation Technique," 289–90.

<sup>89.</sup> Ausloos, "LXX's Rendering of Hebrew Proper Names and the Characterization of the Translation Technique of the Book of Judges," 57–58.

Even this brief survey of examples illustrates that the translators of the early textual witnesses sought to preserve, wherever possible, the polysemy and paronomasia of the Hebrew text. The evidence from the witnesses reveals that the tradents recognized such devices long after they were authored. The evidence should give pause to textual critics, for some added glosses in the witnesses might not represent a different Hebrew *Vorlage*, but rather epexegesis in an effort to capture the text's multivalency. As research on translation technique in the witnesses continues, it is my hope that scholars will pay greater attention to the treatment of such devices.

## 5.3.8. THE NEED FOR GREATER PRECISION

The typology offered here aims to serve a comparative enterprise by establishing greater precision in terms of vocabulary so that future researchers can set aside the unhelpful practice of applying vague labels to the phenomena, such as "alliteration," "pun," "wordplay," and the like. We are at a stage in the field when it no longer suffices simply to label a device indistinctly. Indeed, even a casual perusal of previous publications on the subject (and I include my own!) shows that greater accuracy is possible. <sup>91</sup> Moreover, as we have seen, different polysemous and paronomastic devices have different effects on listeners and/or readers, and so grouping them all under vague rubrics only obscures this. Only by classifying the phenomena with greater exactitude and analyzing their aural and/or visual effects can we come to understand the full repertoire of devices employed by the ancient bards and the preferences for their use. Only then will we be able to appreciate their influence upon later cultures that were heirs to the literary legacy of the ancient Near East. <sup>92</sup>

\_

<sup>90.</sup> Efforts to render biblical paronomasia continued into much later times as well. See, for example, Isidore of Seville (636 CE), Origines sive Etymologiae, XI, who rendered the paronomasia between the nouns אַדְם 'āgām "human/Adam" and אַדְם 'āgāmāh "soil" (Gen 2:7) into Latin with homo and humus, respectively, thus capturing the linguistic tie that marks their shared essence. See William D. Sharpe, "Isidore of Seville: The Medical Writings; An English Translation with an Introduction and Commentary," TAPS 54 (1964): 38. On the meaning and implications of the man's connection to soil, see Noegel, "Scarlet and Harlots," 35–39. The implications of the witnesses' treatment of polyvalency for textual criticism has been observed also by Seow, "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job," 84, who notes double readings in the Old Greek (Job 9:3, 32:16), Syriac (Job 4:21, 15:26, 19:27), Vulgate (Job 20:25), and Targum (Job 20:10).

<sup>91.</sup> Most studies on alliteration are more accurately defined as addressing cases of homoeopropheron, homoioteleuton, parasonance, or anagrammatic paronomasia. Since each of these devices has a different effect on readers/listeners, we do well to distinguish them.

<sup>92.</sup> Indeed, a number of the devices studied here also made their way into Syriac, and medieval Hebrew and Arabic literature. See Andras Hamori, "Notes on Paronomasia in Abu Tammam's Style," *JSS* 12 (1967): 83–90; Hamori, *On the Art of Medieval Arabic* 

#### 5.4. FUNCTION

Finally, the research here reveals that though devices of sound and meaning can possess multiple functions, in many cases, these devices are less stylistic and rhetorical than performative. In this sense, we may think of the function of these devices in an etymological sense, as "the action of performing; discharge or performance of (something)." Naturally, these finds raise the question as to whether other so-called literary devices, such as chiasmus, inclusio, repetition, parallelism, hyperbaton (i.e., anastrophe, hypallage, hysteron proteron), merism, and ring structure, to name a few, also possess performative functions. As research on ancient Near Eastern texts continues to advance, it will be useful if scholars consider this possibility and ask whether our understanding of the rhetorical and literary has influenced the way we think of "literature" and its manifold "devices."

Literature (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1974); J. H. Charlesworth, "Paronomasia and Assonance in the Syriac Text of the Odes of Solomon," Semitics 1 (1970): 12–26; Suzanne Pinckney Stetkevych, "Sarah and the Hyena: Laughter, Menstruation, and the Genesis of a Double Entendre," HR 36 (1996): 13–41; Heinrichs, "Tajnīs"; Pereira, Studies in Aramaic Poetry (c. 100 B.C.E.-c. 600 C.E.); Pereira, "Word Play in the Hymns of Ephrem the Syrian," in Noegel, Puns and Pundits, 251–65; Fedwa Malti-Douglas, "The Names of the Blind in Al-Safadi: Towards an Onomastic Rhetoric," in Noegel, Puns and Pundits, 291–303; David S. Segal, "Pun and Structure in Medieval Hebrew Poetry: The Case of Shmuel Hanagid," in Noegel, Puns and Pundits, 307–24.

<sup>93.</sup> Oxford English Dictionary (2017), online.

FIGURE 2. POLYSEMOUS DEVICES

Polysemous Device	Sumerian	Akkadian	Egyptian	Ugaritic	Hebrew	Aramaic
Contronymic polysemy	X	X			X	X
Double entendre	X	X	X	X	X	X
Antanaclasis	X	X	X	X	X	X
Unidirectional polysemy	X	X	X	X	X	X
Multidirectional polysemy	X	X	X	X	X	X
Double polysemy	X	X	X	X	X	X
Bilingual polysemy	X	X		X	X	X
Polysemy cluster (other)	X	X			X	X
Polysemy cluster (body parts)		X	X	X	X	X
Numerical polysemy		X		X	X	
Isopsephy		X			X	X
Noṭariqon	X	X	X		X	X
Acrostic		X	X	X	X	X
Transposition		X			X	X
Amphiboly (mixed morphology)		X	X	X	X	
Amphiboly (ambiguous grammar)			X	X	X	
Amphiboly (infinitive absolute)					X	

FIGURE 3. PARONOMASTIC DEVICES

Paronomastic Device	Sumerian	Akkadian	Egyptian	Ugaritic	Hebrew	Aramaic
Homoeopropheron	X	X	X	X	X	X
Homoioteleuton	X	X	X	X	X	X
Anastrophe		X	X		X	X
Epanastrophe		X	X	X	X	X
Parasonance		X	X	X	X	X
Homonymic paronomasia	X	X	X	X	X	X
Numerical paronomasia			X	X	X	
Bilingual paronomasia	X	X			X	X
Anagrammatic paronomasia		X	X	X	X	X
Palindrome	X	X	X	X	X	
Hendiadic paronomasia	X	X	X	X	X	X
Rhyme	X	X	?	X	X	X
Geminate parallelism and clustering	X	X	X	X	X	X

FIGURE 4. DEVICES WITH GREEK TERMS AND THEIR ATTESTATION (FROM EARLIEST TO LATEST)

Greek Term	Source and Date				
πολύσημος "polysemy"	Democritus, Frag. 26 (sixth-fifth ca. BCE)				
άμφιβολία "amphiboly"	Aristotle, Poet. 1461a25 (fourth ca. BCE)				
όμοιοτέλευτον "homoioteleuton"	Aristotle, <i>Rhet.</i> 1410b1 (fourth ca. BCE) Demetrius Phalereus, <i>Demetrius on Style</i> 26 (fourth ca. BCE)				
δμωνυμία "homonymy"	Aristotle, Rhet. 1404b (fourth ca. BCE)				
ἀναστροφή "anastrophe"	Athenaeus of Naucratis, <i>Deipnosophistae</i> 11.493d (third ca. BCE)				
ἰσόψηφος "isopsephos"	Leonidas, Epigrams (third ca. BCE), found in Anthologia Graeca 6.321; Artemidorus Daldianus, Oneirocriticus 3.34, 4.24 (second ca. CE)				
παρονομασία "paronomasia"	Cicero, <i>Or.</i> 2.63.256 (first ca. BCE); Rutilius Lupus, <i>de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis</i> 1.3 (first ca. BCE–first ca. CE)				
ἀκρόστιχίς "acrostic"	Dionysius of Halicarnassus, <i>Ant. Rom.</i> 4.62 (first ca. BCE–first ca. CE); Cicero, <i>Div.</i> 2.54.111 (first ca. BCE)				
ἀναγραμματίζειν / ἀναγραμματισμός "anagram"	Artemidorus Daldianus, <i>Oneirocritica</i> 4.23 (second ca. CE); <i>PGM</i> XIII 107 (fourth ca. CE)				
ἐπαναστροφή "epanastrophe"	Hermogenes of Tarsus, <i>Peri Ideon Logou</i> 1.12 (second ca. CE)				
νοτάριον "noṭariqon"	Athanasius <i>Apologia ad Constantium imperatorem</i> 2035.011 (fourth ca. CE); Johannes Chrysostum, <i>Ad Innocentium papam</i> 2062.094 (fourth–fifth ca. CE)				
όμοιοπρόφερον "homoeopropheron"	Martianus Capella, <i>Grammaticus Latinus</i> 5.167 (fifth ca. CE)				
ἀντανάκλασις "antanaclasis"	Quintilian, uses "contraria significatio," Inst. 9.3.68 (first ca. CE). Greek occurs in the Scholiast to Apollonius Rhodius, Argonautica 1.746 (fifteenth ca. CE)				

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Aaron, David H. *Biblical Ambiguities: Metaphor, Semantics and Divine Imagery.* BRLAJ 4. Leiden: Brill, 2001.
- Abel, Carl. "Über den Gegensinn der Urworte." Pages 311–67 *Sprachwissenschaftliche Abhandlungen*. Leipzig: Verlag Wilhelm Friedrich, 1885.
- Abusch, Tzvi. "Ghost and God: Some Observations on a Babylonian Understanding of Human Nature." Pages 363–83 in *Self, Soul and Body in Religious Experience*. Edited by Albert I. Baumgarten, Jan Assmann, and Gedaliahu Stroumsa. Leiden: Brill, 1998.
- ——. The Witchcraft Series Maglû. WAW 37. Atlanta: SBL Press, 2015.
- Adkin, Neil. "Valerius Flaccus' *Laniabor*-Acrostic (*Argonautica* 4.177–84)." *CQ* 67 (2017): 327–28.
- Aharoni, Yohanan. "The Use of Hieratic Numerals in Hebrew Ostraca and the Shekel Weights." *BASOR* 184 (1966): 13–19.
- Ahl, Frederick. "Ars est celare artem (Art in Puns and Anagrams Engraved)." Pages 17–43 in On Puns: The Foundation of Letters. Edited Jonathan D. Culler. Oxford: Blackwell, 1988.
- ——. "The Art of Safe Criticism in Greece and Rome." *AJP* 105 (1984): 174–208.
- ——. *Metaformations: Soundplay and Wordplay in Ovid and Other Classical Poets.* Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 1985.
- Allen, James P. "From the 'Memphite Theology." COS 1:21–23.
- ——. *Middle Egyptian Literature: Eight Literary Works of the Middle Kingdom.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- Alster, Bendt. "An Aspect of 'Enmerkar and the Lord of Aratta." RA 67 (1973): 101–10.
- ——. "ilu awilum: we-e il-a, 'Gods: Men' versus 'Man: God': Punning and the Reversal of Patterns in the Atraḥasis Epic." Pages 35—40 in Riches Hidden in Secret Places: Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Memory of Thorkild Jacobsen. Edited by Tzvi Abusch. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2002.
- . The Instructions of Shuruppak: A Sumerian Proverb Collection. Mesopotamia 2. Copenhagen: Akademisk Forlag, 1974.

- ——. "Literary Aspects of Sumerian and Akkadian Proverbs." Pages 1–21 in *Mesopotamian Poetic Language: Sumerian and Akkadian*. Edited by Marianna E. Vogelzang and Herman L. J. Vanstiphout. CM 6. Groningen: Styx Publications, 1996.
- ——. "Paradoxical Proverbs and Satire in Sumerian Literature." *JCS* 27 (1975): 201–30.
- ——. Proverbs of Ancient Sumer: The World's Earliest Proverb Collections. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1997.
- ——. "Scribes, Sages, and Seers in Ancient Mesopotamia." Pages 47–63 in *Scribes, Sages, and Seers: The Sage in the Eastern Mediterranean World.* Edited by Leo G. Purdue. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2008.
- ------. Wisdom of Ancient Sumer. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2005.
- Alter, Robert. The Art of Biblical Narrative. New York: Basic Books, 1981.
- Anderson, John E. *Jacob and the Divine Trickster: A Theology of Deception and Yhwh's Fidelity to the Ancestral Promise in the Jacob Cycle*. Siphrut 5. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011.
- Androphy, Ronald L. *Paronomasia in the Former Prophets: A Taxonomic Catalogue, Description, and Analysis.* DHL diss., Jewish Theological Seminary, 2011.
- Andrzejewski, B. W., and I. M. Lewis. *Somali Poetry: An Introduction*. Oxford: Clarendon, 1964.
- Angenot, Valérie. "Rébus, calembours et images subliminales dans l'iconographie égyptienne." Pages 85–103 in *Rébus d'ici et d'ailleurs: écriture, image, signe*. Edited by Claire-Akiko Brisset, Florence Dumora, and Marianne Simon-Oikawa. Paris: hémisphères éditions, 2018.
- Armenteros, Victor M. "La Creatividad en el Reposo: La Sinagoga como Marco Hermenéutico en el Judaísmo Antiguo." *DL* 9 (2010): 69–102.
- Arnaud, Daniel. *Textes sumériens et accadiens*. Vol. 6.3 of *Emar: Recherches au pays d'Aštarta*. Paris: ADPD, 1985–1987.
- Arnold, Bill T. "The Use of Aramaic in the Hebrew Bible: Another Look at Bilingualism in Ezra and Daniel." *JNSL* 22 (1996): 1–16.
- ——. "Word Play and Characterization in Daniel 1." Pages 231–48 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- ------. "Wordplay and Narrative Technique in Daniel 5 and 6." *JBL* 112 (1993): 479–85.
- ——. "Wordplay on 'Hammurapi' in CH iv 54." *NABU* (2016): 72.
- Assis, Elie. "The Alphabetic Acrostic in the Book of Lamentations." *CBQ* 69 (2007): 710–24.
- ——. "Chiasmus in Biblical Narrative: A Rhetoric of Characterization." *Prooftexts* 22 (2003): 273–304.
- Assmann, Jan. Ägypten: Theologie und Frömmigkeit einer frühen Hochkultur. Stuttgart: Kohlhammer Taschenbücher, 1984.

- ——. "Creation through Hieroglyphs: The Cosmic Grammatology of Ancient Egypt." Pages 17–34 in *The Poetics of Grammar and the Metaphysics of Sound and Sign*. Edited by Sergio la Porta and David Shulman. JSRC 6. Leiden: Brill, 2007.
- ——. "Etymographie: Zeichen im Jenseits der Sprache." Pages 37–63 in *Hieroglyphen: Stationen einer anderen abendländischen Grammatologie*. Edited by Aleida Assmann and Jan Assmann. ALK 8. München: Fink, 2003.
- ——. "Kulturelle und Literarische Texte." Pages 59–82 in *Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms*. Edited by Antonio Loprieno. Probleme der Ägyptologie 10. Leiden: Brill, 1996.
- Attinger, Pascal. "Enki et Ninhursaĝa." ZA 74 (1984): 1-52.
- Auffret, Pierre. "Essai sur la structure littéraire de la stèle de Mésha." *UF* 12 (1980): 109–24.
- Ausloos, Hans. "Judges 3:12–30: An Analysis of the Greek Rendering of Hebrew Wordplay." Pages 53–68 in *Text-Critical and Hermeneutical Studies in the Septuagint*. Edited by Johann Cook and Hermann-Josef Stipp. VTSup 157. Leiden: Brill, 2012.
- ——. "LXX's Rendering of Hebrew Proper Names and the Characterization of the Translation Technique of the Book of Judges." Pages 53–71 in *Scripture in Transition: Essays on Septuagint, Hebrew Bible, and Dead Sea Scrolls in Honour of Raija Sollamo*. Edited by Anssi Voitila and Jutta Jokiranta. JSJSup 126. Leiden: Brill, 2008.
- ——. "The Septuagint's Rendering of Hebrew Toponyms as an Indication of the Translation Technique of the Book of Numbers." Pages 35–50 in *Textual Criticism and Dead Sea Scrolls Studies in Honour of Julio Trebolle Barrera. Florilegium Complutense.* Edited by Andrés Piquer Otero and Pablo A. Torijano Morales. JSJSup 158. Leiden: Brill, 2012.
- Ausloos, Hans, and Valérie Kabergs. "Paronomasia or Wordplay? A Babel-Like Confusion; Towards a Definition of Hebrew Wordplay." *Bib* 93 (2012): 1–20.
- Ausloos, Hans, and Bénédicte Lemmelijn. "Etymological Translations in the Septuagint." Pages 193–201 in *Die Sprache der Septuaginta*. Edited by Eberhard Bons and Jan Joosten. HSep 3. Gütersloh: Gütersloher Verlaghaus, 2016.
- Ausloos, Hans, Bénédicte Lemmelijn, and Valérie Kabergs. "The Study of Aetiological Wordplay as a Content-Related Criterion in the Characterisation of LXX Translation Technique." Pages 273–94 in *Die Septuaginta: Entstehung, Sprache, Geschichte*. Edited by Siegfried Kreuzer, Martin Meiser, and Marcus Sigismund. WUNT 286. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2012.
- Austin, Norman. "Name Magic in the *Odyssey*." Pages 91–110 in *Oxford Readings in Classical Studies: Homer's Odyssey*. Edited by Lillian E. Doherty. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Avishur, Yitzhak. Comparative Studies in Biblical and Ugaritic Languages and Literatures. Tel Aviv-Jaffa: Archaeological Center, 2007.

- ——. Phoenician Inscriptions and the Bible: Select Inscriptions and Studies in Stylistic and Literary Devices Common to the Phoenician Inscriptions and the Bible. Tel Aviv: Archaeological Center Publication, 2000.
- Backfish, Elizabeth H. P. *Hebrew Wordplay and Septuagint Translation Technique in the Fourth Book of the Psalter*. LHBOTS 682. London: T&T Clark, 2019.
- ——. "Transformation in Translation: An Examination of the Septuagint Rendering of Hebrew Wordplay in the Fourth Book of the Psalter." *JBL* 137 (2018): 71–86.
- Baines, John. Visual and Written Culture in Ancient Egypt. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Baker, Robin. "Double Trouble: Counting the Cost of Jephthah." *JBL* 137 (2018): 29–50.
- ——. "A Dream Carries Much Implication': The Midianite's Dream (Judges VII), Its Role and Meanings." *VT* 68 (2018): 349–77.
- ———. Hollow Men, Strange Women: Riddles, Codes, and Otherness in the Book of Judges. Leiden: Brill, 2016.
- ——. "Jeremiah and the *Balag*-Lament? Jeremiah 8:18–23 Reconsidered." *JBL* 138 (2019): 587–604.
- Bar-Ilan, Meir. *Numerology in Genesis* [Hebrew]. Rehovot: Association for Jewish Astrology and Numerology, 2003.
- Barazin, H., and Y. Zakovitch. "Name-Derivations and Word-Plays on Names in the Book of Chronicles" [Hebrew]. *BM* 13 (1968): 145–47.
- Barchiesi, Alessandro, ed. *Ovidio: Metamorfosi, Volume I (Libri I–II). Traduzione di Ludovica Koch.* Milan: Fondazione Lorenzo Valla/Arnoldo Mondadori Editore, 2005.
- Barr, James. *Comparative Philology and the Text of the Old Testament*. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1987.
- ——. "Did Isaiah Know About Hebrew 'Root Meaning.'" *ExpT* 75 (1964): 242.
- ——. "The Symbolism of Names in the Old Testament." *BJRL* 52 (1969–1970): 11–29.
- Barta, Winfried. Das Selbstzeugnis eines altägyptischen Künstlers (Stele Louvre C 14). MÄS 22. Berlin: Verlag Bruno Hessling, 1970.
- Basser, Herb. "Did Rashi Notice a Janus Parallelism in Ezek 20:37?" *JHS* 8 (2008): 2–4.
- Baumgartner, Antoine-Jean. "L'humour dans l'Ancien Testament." *RTP* 29 (1896): 497–535.
- Bazak, Jacob. "Numerical Devices in Biblical Poetry." VT 38 (1988): 333–37.
- Beatty, Mario H. "Translating Wordplay in the Eighth Petition of the Eloquent Peasant: A New Interpretation." *CCdE* 9 (2006): 131–41.
- Beaulieu, Paul-Alain. "Divine Hymns as Royal Inscriptions." *NABU* (1993): 69–71.

- ——. "An Excerpt from a Menology with Reverse Writing." *ASJ* 17 (1995): 1–14.
- Beck, Susanne. Exorcism, Illness, and Demons in an Ancient Near Eastern Context: The Egyptian Magical Papyrus Leiden I 343 +345. PALMA 18. Leiden: Sidestone, 2018.
- Beckman, Gary. "Proverbs and Proverbial Allusions in Hittite." *JNES* 45 (1986): 19–30.
- Beitzel, B. "Exodus 3:14 and the Divine Name: A Case of Biblical Paronomasia." *TrinJ* n.s. 1 (1980): 5–20.
- Bell, Ivan Jay. A Rhetorical Study of Zephaniah. Berkeley, CA: BIBAL Press, 1988.
- Bergler, Siegfried. "Threni V, Nur ein alphabetisierende Lied? Versuch Einer Deutung." VT 27 (1977): 304–20.
- Berlin, Adele. "Shared Rhetorical Features in Biblical and Sumerian Literature," *JANES* 10 (1978): 35–42.
- Berrin, Shani I. *The Pesher Nahum Scroll from Qumran: An Exegetical Study of 4Q169*. STDJ 53. Leiden: Brill, 2004.
- ——. "Qumran Pesharim." Pages 110–33 in *Biblical Interpretation at Qumran*. Edited by Matthias Henze. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2005.
- Bertholet, A. Wortanklang und Volksetymologie in ihrer Wirkung auf religiösen Glauben und Brauch. APAWPHK 6. Berlin: Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1940.
- Beta, Simone. *Il labirinto della parola: Enigmi, oracoli e sogni nella cultura antica*. Saggi 956. Torino: Giulio Einaudi editore, 2016.
- Betz, O. "Zungenreden und süsser Wein: Zur eschatologischen Exegese von Jesaja 28 in Qumran und im Neuen Testament." Pages 20–36 in *Bibel und Qumran: Beiträge zur Erforschung der Beziehungen zwischen Bibel- und Qumranwissenschaft: Hans Bartke zum 22.9.1966.* Edited by S. Wagner. Berlin: Evangelische Haupt-Bibelgesellschaft, 1968.
- Biggs, Robert D. "Pre-Sargonic Riddles from Lagash." *JNES* 32 (1973): 26–33.

  ——. ŠA.ZI.GA: Ancient Mesopotamian Potency Incantations. TCS 2. Locust Valley, NY: Augustin, 1967.
- Bilbija, J. "Interpreting the Interpretation: Protasis-Apodosis-Strings in the Physiognomic Omen Series Šumma Alamdimmû 3.76–132." Pages 19–27 in Studies in Ancient Near Eastern World View and Society Presented to Marten Stol on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday, 10 November 2005, and His Retirement from Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam. Edited by R. J. van der Spek. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2008.
- Bivin, David. "The Pun on Peter Works Better in Hebrew." *BARev* 19 (1993): 18–19.
- Blau, Joshua. *On Polyphony in Biblical Hebrew*. PIASH 6/2. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, 1982.

- ——. "Über Homonyme und Angeblich Homonyme Wurzeln." VT 6 (1956): 242–48.
- Blenkinsopp, Joseph. *Isaiah 1–39: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. AB 19. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2000.
- Block, Daniel I. "What Has Delphi to Do with Samaria? Ambiguity and Delusion in Israelite Prophecy." Pages 189–216 in *Writing and Ancient Near East Society: Essay in Honor of Alan Millard*. Edited by E. A. Slater, C. B. Mee, and Piotr Bienkowski. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 2005.
- Blumenthal, David R. "A Play on Words in the Nineteenth Chapter of Job." VT 16 (1966): 497–501.
- Boadt, Lawrence. "Intentional Alliteration in Second Isaiah." *CBQ* 45 (1983): 353–63.
- Bohak, Gideon. "Bereshit Reshit in Gematria: New Sources for the Study of the Jewish Esoteric Tradition in the Talmudic and Gaonic Periods" [Hebrew]. *Tarbiz* 83 (2015): 513–29.
- Bohl, Franz M. T. "Wortspiele im Alten Testament." *JPOS* 6 (1926): 196–212. ———. "Wortspiele im Alten Testament." *OM* (1953): 11–25.
- Bojowald, Stefan. "A Wordplay between the Egyptian Words *WBN* 'Arise' and *NBW* 'Gold?" *AOASH* 64 (2011): 357–62.
- ——. "Der ägyptische Lautwandel zwischen 3 und h." JAOS 136 (2016): 829–34.
- Boling, Robert G. *Judges: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. AB 6A. New York: Doubleday, 1975.
- Bommas, Martin. *Der Temple des Khnum der 18. Dyn. auf Elephantine*. PhD diss., Ägyptisches Institut, 2000.
- Bonebakker, S. A. "Tawriya (a.)." EncIs 10:395.
- Booth, Joan, and Robert Maltby, eds. What's in a Name? The Significance of Proper Names in Classical Latin Literature. Swansea, Wales: Classical Press of Wales, 2006.
- Borger, R. "Das Ende des Ägyptischen Feldherrn SIB'E = "JNES 19 (1960): 49–53.
- Die Inschriften Asarhaddons, Königs von Assyrien. Graz: Weidner, 1956. Böstrom, G. Paronomasi iden äldre Hebreiska Maschalliteraturen med särskild hänsyn till proverbial. Lund: Gleerup, 1928.
- Bottéro, J. "La Création de l'Homme et sa Nature dans le Poème d'Atrahasîs." Pages 24–32 in *Societies and Languages of the Ancient Near East: Studies in Honor of I. M. Diakonoff.* Edited by M. A. Dandamayev et al. Warminster, England: Aris & Phillips, 1982.
- ——. "Les noms de Marduk, l'écriture et la 'logique' en Mésopotamie ancienne." Pages 5–28 in *Memoirs of the Academy of Arts and Sciences: Essays on the Ancient Near East in Memory of Jacob Joel Finkelstein.* Edited by Maria de Jong Ellis. Vol. 19. Hamden, CT: Archon Books, 1977.

- ——. *Mesopotamia: Writing, Reasoning, and the Gods.* Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- Bourghouts, Joris F. "Magie." LÄ 2 (1980): cols. 1137–1151.
- Bowker, J. W. "Psalm CX." VT 17 (1967): 31-41.
- Boyarin, Daniel. "Inner Biblical Ambiguity, Intertextuality and the Dialectic of Midrash: The Waters of Marah." *Prooftexts* 10 (1990): 29–48.
- ——. "Thoughts on Midrashic Hermeneutics: Manna and Quails in the *Mekhilta*" [Hebrew]. Pages 41–52 in *Moshe Goshen—Gottstein-in Memoriam*. Vol. 3 of *Studies in Bible and Exegesis*. Edited by Moshe Bar-Asher et al. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1993.
- Boyd, Barbara Weiden. "Arms and the Man: Wordplay and the Catasterism of Chiron in Ovid, 'Fasti' 5." *AJP* 122 (2001): 67–80.
- ------. "Cydonea Mala: Virgilian Word-Play and Allusion." *HSCP* 87 (1983): 169–74.
- Breasted, James Henry. *Hieroglyphic Transliteration, Translation, and Commentary*. Vol. 1 of *The Edwin Smith Surgical Papyrus*. OIP 3. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1930.
- Bremmer, Jan N. *Greek Religion and Culture, the Bible and the Ancient Near East.* JSRC 8. Leiden: Brill, 2008.
- Breuer, Jonathan. "Dissonance between Masoretic Accentuation and Vocalization in Verse Division (of the Biblical Text)" [Hebrew]. Pages 191–242 in *Jubilee Book for Rabbi Mordechai Breuer*. Edited by M. Bar-Asher. Jerusalem: Academon, 1992.
- Brin, Gershon, and Yair Hoffman. "The Use of Chiasmus in the Bible" [Hebrew]. Pages 280–88 in *Moshe Zaidel Jubilee Volume: Studies in Biblical Research*. Edited by E. Eliner et al. Jerusalem: Israel Society for Biblical Research, 1962.
- Broida, Marian. "Closure in Samson." JHS 10 (2012): 2-34.
- ——. "Textualizing Divination: The Writing on the Wall in Daniel 5:25." *VT* 62 (2012): 1–13.
- Bronner, Yigal. Extreme Poetry: The South Asian Movement of Simultaneous Narration. New York: Columbia University Press, 2010.
- Brown, R. *The Enjoyment of Midrash: The Use of the Pun in Genesis Rabba*. PhD diss., Hebrew Union College, 1980.
- Brownlee, William H. "Biblical Interpretation among the Sectaries of the Dead Sea Scrolls." *BA* 14 (1951): 53–76.
- ——. *The Midrash Pesher of Habakkuk*. SBLMS 24. Missoula, MT: Scholars Press, 1979.
- Brug, John F. "Biblical Acrostics and Their Relationship to Other Ancient Near Eastern Acrostics." Pages 283–304 in *The Bible in the Light of Cuneiform Literature: Scripture in Context III*. Edited by William W. Hallo et al. ANETS 8. Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen Press, 1990.

- Brunner, Hellmut. "Änigmatische Schrift (Kryptographie)." Pages 52–58 in Ägyptologie: Ägyptische Schrift und Sprache. Edited by Bertold Spuler. HdO 1. Leiden: Brill, 1959.
- Bullinger, E. W. *Figures of Speech Used in the Bible*. London: Eyre & Spottiswoode, 1898. Repr. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1968.
- Burkard, Günter. Textkritische Untersuchungen zu ägyptischen Weisheitslehren des Alten und Mittleren Reiches. ÄgAbh 34. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1977.
- Burkert, Walter. *Greek Religion*. Translation by John Raffan. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1985.
- ——. "Homerstudien und Orient." Pages 155–81 in *Zweihundert Jahre Homer-Forschung: Rückblick und Ausblick*. Edited by Joachim Latacz. ColR 2. Stuttgart: de Gruyter, 1991.
- Burney, C. F. "Rhyming in the Song of Songs." JTS 10 (1908–1909): 554–57.
- Burnight, John. "Job 5:7 as Eliphaz's Response to Job's 'Malediction' (3:3–10)." *JBL* 133 (2014): 77–94.
- Burstein, Stanley M. "Greek Contact with Egypt and the Levant, ca. 1600–500 B.C.: An Overview." *Ancient World* 27 (1996): 20–28.
- Cagni, Luigi. *The Poem of Erra*. SANE 1.3. Malibu, CA: Undena Publications, 1977.
- Cairns, F. "Horace's First Roman Ode (3.1)." *PLLS* 8 (1995): 91–142.
- ——. "M. Agrippa in Horace Odes 1.6." *Hermes* 123 (1995): 211–17.
- Carasik, Michael. "Janus Parallelism in Job 1:20." VT 65 (2015): 1-6.
- ——. "Qohelet's Twists and Turns." *JSOT* 28 (2003): 192–209.
- Carmichael, C. M. "Some Sayings in Genesis 49." JBL 88 (1969): 435-444.
- Carr, David. Writing on the Tablet of the Heart: Origins of Scripture and Literature. New York: Oxford University Press, 2005.
- Carreira, J. N. "Kunstsprache und Weisheit bei Micha." BZ 26 (1982): 50-74.
- Casanowicz, Immanuel M. "Paronomasia in the Old Testament." *JBL* 12 (1893): 105–67.
- ——. *Paronomasia in the Old Testament*. PhD diss., Johns Hopkins University, 1892.
- Cassuto, Umberto. *A Commentary on the Book of Exodus*. Translation by Israel Abrahams. Skokie, IL: Varda, 1967.
- Castelletti, Cristiano. "A 'Greek' Acrostic in Valerius Flaccus (3.430–4)." Mnemosyne 65 (2012): 319–23.
- Cauville, Sylvie. "Entre exigance décorative et significations multiples: Les graphies suggestives du temple d'Hathor à Dendara." *BIFAO* 102 (2002): 91–135.
- Cavigneaux, Antoine. "Aux sources du Midrash: L'herméneutique babylonienne." AO 5 (1987): 243–55.
- ——. "Miettes de l'edubbâ." Pages 11–26 in *Tablettes et images aux pays de Sumer et d'Akkad: Mélanges offerts à Monsieur Limet*. Edited by Ö. Tunca and D. Deheselle. Liège: Université de Liège, 1996.

- ——. "A Scholar's Library in Meturan? With an Edition of the Tablet H 72 (Textes de Tell Haddad VII)." Pages 251–73 in *Mesopotamian Magic: Textual, Historical, and Interpretive Perspectives*. Edited by Tzvi Abusch and Karel van der Toorn. SAMD 1. Groningen: Styx, 1998.
- Cavigneaux, Antoine, et al., eds. *The Series Erim-huš* = anantu and An-ta-gál = šaqû. MSL 17. Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1985.
- Ceresko, Anthony R. "The ABCs of Wisdom in Psalm XXXIV." VT 35 (1985): 99–104.
- ——. "The Function of Antanaclasis (*mṣ*' "to Find"// *mṣ*' "to Reach, Overtake, Grasp") in Hebrew Poetry, Especially in the Book of Qoheleth." *CBQ* 44 (1982): 551–69.
- ——. "Janus Parallelism in Amos's 'Oracles against the Nations' (Amos 1:3–2:16)." *JBL* 113 (1994): 485–90.
- Charlesworth, J. H. "Paronomasia and Assonance in the Syriac Text of the Odes of Solomon." *Semitics* 1 (1970): 12–26.
- Charpin, Dominique. "Les archives du devin Asqudum dans la residence du 'Chantier A." *MARI* 4 (1985): 453–62.
- Cherry, Russell T., III. *Paronomasia and Proper Names in the Old Testament: Rhetorical Function and Literary Effect.* PhD diss., Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, 1988.
- Chisholm, Robert B. "Word Play in the Eighth-Century Prophets." *BSac* 144 (1987): 44–52.
- Christensen, Duane L. "The Acrostic of Nahum Once Again: A Prosodic Analysis of Nah 1, 1–10." *ZAW* 99 (1987): 409–14.
- ——. "Anticipatory Paronomasia in Jonah 3:7–8 and Genesis 37:2." *RB* 90 (1983): 261–63.
- Civil, M. "The Anzu-Bird and Scribal Whimsies." JAOS 92 (1972): 271.
- ——. "Enlil and Namzitarra." *AfO* 25 (1974–1975): 65–71.
- -----. "From Enki's Headaches to Phonology." JNES 32 (1973): 57–61.
- ——. "Sumerian Riddles: A Corpus." *AuOr* 5 (1987): 17–35.
- -----. "Sumerian Riddles, Additional Remarks." NABU (1988): 29–30.
- Civil, Miguel, Margaret W. Green, and Wilfred G. Lambert.  $Ea\ A = n\hat{a}qu$ ,  $Aa\ A = n\hat{a}qu$ , with Their Forerunners and Related Texts. MSL 14. Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1979.
- Clauss, James J. "An Acrostic in Vergil (*Eclogues* I 5–8): The Chance that Mimics Choice?" *Aevum Antiquum* 10 (1997): 267–87.
- ——. "The Near Eastern Background of Aetiological Wordplay in Callimachus." Pages 65–96 in *Callimachus Revisted: New Perspectives in Callimachean Scholarship.* Edited by J. J. H. Klooster et al. Leuven: Peeters, 2019.
- ——. "Theriaca: Nicander's Poem of the Earth." SIFC 4 (2006): 160–82.
- Clère, J. J. "Acrostiches et mots croisés des anciens égyptiens." *CdE* 13 (1938): 35–58.

- Clermont-Ganneau, C. "Mané, Thécel, Pharès, et le festin de Balthasar." *JA* 8 (1886): 36–67.
- Cody, Aelred. "A Palindrome in Isaiah 40:4b: Allowing Restoration of an Original Reading." *CBQ* 66 (2004): 551–60.
- Cohen, Chaim. "New Directions in Modern Biblical Hebrew Lexicography." Pages 441–73 in *Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday.* Edited by Chaim Cohen, et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008.
- Cohen, Chaim, and Jacob Klein. "Akkadian *Hapax Legomena*: Scribal Ego and Foreign Words." *Maarav* 21.1–2 (2014): 103–25.
- Cohen, David. "Addad et ambiguïté linguistique en arabe." Pages 79–100 in Études de linguistique sémitique et arabe. Paris: Mouton, 1970.
- ——. "Ambivalence, indifférence et neutralization de sèmes." Pages 101–4 in Études de linguistique sémitique et arabe. Paris: Mouton, 1970.
- Colborn, Robert. "Solving Problems with Acrostics: Manilius Dates Germanicus." *CO* 63 (2013): 450–52.
- Collins, Terence. "The Kilamuwa Inscription: A Phoenician Poem." *WdO* 6 (1971): 183–88.
- Contenau, George. Everyday Life in Babylon and Assyria. London: Edward Arnold, 1955.
- Cook, Gregory D. "Naqia and Nineveh in Nahum: Ambiguity and the Prostitute Queen." *JBL* 136 (2017): 895–904.
- Cooley, Jeffrey L. "Judean Scribalism, Documentary Epistemology, and the Name אישראל." Pages 207–52 in *The Scaffolding of Our Thoughts: Essays on Assyriology and the History of Science in Honor of Francesca Rochberg*. Edited by C. Jay Crisostomo et al. AMD 13. Leiden: Brill, 2018.
- Cooper, Jerrold S. "Puns and Prebends: The Tale of Enlil and Namzitara." Pages 39–43 in *Strings and Threads: A Celebration of the Work of Anne Draffkorn Kilmer*. Edited by Wolfgang Heimpel and Gabriella Frantz-Szabó. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011.
- ——. *The Return of Ninurta to Nippur: An-gim dim-ma*. AnOr 52. Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1976.
- ——. "Structure, Humor, and Satire in the Poor Man of Nippur." *JCS* 27 (1975): 163–74.
- Corley, Jeremy. "Rhyme in the Hebrew Prophets and Wisdom Poetry." *BN* 132 (2007): 55–69.
- Corré, Alan D. "'ēlle, hēmma = sic." Bib 54 (1973): 263-64.
- Coulon, L. "La rhétorique et ses fictions: Pouvoirs et duplicité du discours à travers la littérature égyptienne du Moyen et du Nouvel Empire." *BIFAO* 99 (1999): 103–32.
- Courtois, Jacques-Claude. "La maison du prêtre aux modèles de poumon et de foies d'Ugarit." *Ugaritica* 6 (1969): 91–119.

- Cowan, Robert. "Alas, Poor Io! Bilingual Wordplay in Horace *Epode* 11." *Mnemosyne* 65 (2012): 753–63.
- Coxon, Peter W. "A Note on 'Bathsheba' in 2 Samuel 12,1–6." *Bib* 62 (1981): 247–50.
- Crenshaw, James L. "Riddles." ABD 5:721-23.
- Cross, Frank Moore. "The Song of the Sea and Canaanite Myth." *JThC* 5 (1968): 1–25.
- Cunningham, Graham. "In the Company of *ni*<sup>2</sup> 'Self' and 'Fear(someness)." Pages 70–104 in *Analysing Literary Sumerian: Corpus-Based Approaches*. Edited by Jarle Ebeling and Graham Cunningham. London: Equinox, 2007.
- Curtis, John Briggs. "A Folk Etymology of 'Nābî'." VT 29 (1979): 491–93.
- ——. "Word Play in the Speeches of Elihu (Job 32–37)." *PEGLMBS* 12 (1992): 23–30.
- D'Agostino, Franco. "Some Considerations on Humour in Mesopotamia." *RdSO* 72 (1988): 273–78.
- ———. Testi umoristici babilonesi e assiri. TOA 2/4. Brescia: Paideia, 2000.
- Dahood, M. "Some Ambiguous Texts in Isaias: (30,15; 52,2; 33,2; 40;5; 45,1)." *CBQ* 20 (1958): 41–49.
- Dalix, Anne-Sophie. "Exemples de bilinguisme à Ougarit. Iloumilkou: La double identité d'un scribe." Pages 81–90 in *Mosaïque de langues, mosaïque culturelle: Le bilinguisme dans le Proche Oriente ancien, Actes de la table ronde du 18 novembre 1995 organisée par l'URA 1062*. Edited by Françoise Briquel-Chatonnet. AnS 1. Paris: Librairie d'Amérique et d'Orient, 1996.
- Dalley, Stephanie. *Myths from Mesopotamia: Creation, the Flood, Gilgamesh and Others*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1989.
- ... "Occasions and Opportunities: 1. To the Persian Conquest." Pages 9–33 in *The Legacy of Mesopotamia*. Edited by Stephanie Dalley. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Dalley, Stephanie, and A. T. Reyes. "Mesopotamian Contact and Influence in the Greek World: 1. To the Persian Conquest." Pages 85–106 in *The Legacy of Mesopotamia*. Edited by Stephanie Dalley. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Dalwood, David M. "Solomon, God, and Sharon Walk into a Song: Dialoguing Polysemy in the Song of Songs." *JHS* 17 (2017): 1–16.
- Dan, D. "Reflected Meaning, Sound Meaning and Sound in Song of Songs" [Hebrew]. *BM* 48 (2003): 207–14.
- Danielewicz, Jerzy. "One Sign after Another: The Fifth ΛΕΠΤΗ in Aratus' *Phaen.* 783–4?" *CQ* 65 (2015): 387–90.
- Danzig, David. Name Word Play and Marduk's Fifty Names in Enūma Eliš. Master's Thesis, Yale University, 2013.
- Darnell, John Coleman. The Enigmatic Netherworld Books of the Solar-Osirian Unity: Cryptographic Compositions in the Tombs of Tutankhamun, Ramesses

- VI and Ramesses IX. OBO 198. Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2004.
- Darnov, Allen Mark. *Equivocal Narrative in the Hebrew Bible*. PhD diss., Jewish Theological Seminary, 2006.
- David, Arlette. "Hoopoes and Acacias: Decoding an Ancient Egyptian Funerary Scene." *JNES* 73 (2014): 235–52.
- Davis, Ellen F. "A Strategy of Delayed Comprehension: Isaiah LIV 15." VT 40 (1990): 217–20.
- Davis, M. I. "The Tickle and Sneeze of Love." AJA 86 (1982): 115-18.
- Dawson, Warren R. "The Number 'Seven' in Egyptian Texts." *Aegyptus* 8 (1927): 27–107.
- Dawson, W. R., and T. E. Peet. "The So-Called Poem on the King's Chariot." *JEA* 19 (1933): 167–74.
- De Buck, Adriaan. Egyptian Readingbook. Chicago, IL: Ares Publishers, 1948.
- De Roche, Michael, "Zephanaiah I 2–3: The 'Sweeping' of Creation." VT 30 (1980): 104–9.
- Delcor, Mathias. "Homonymie et interprétation de l'Ancien Testament." *JSS* 43 (1973): 40–54.
- Dell, Katharine, and Tova Forti. "Janus Sayings: A Linking Device in Qoheleth's Discourse." *ZAW* 128 (2016): 115–28.
- Delnero, Paul. "Memorization and the Transmission of Sumerian Literary Compositions." *JNES* 71 (2012): 189–208.
- Demsky, Aaron. "Abecedaries." COS 1:362-65.
- ——. "A Proto-Canaanite Abecedary Dating from the Period of the Judges and Its Implications for the History of the Alphabet." *Tel Aviv* 4 (1977): 14–27.
- Derchain, Phillipe. "Theologie et Littérature." Pages 351–60 in *Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms*. Edited by Antonio Loprieno. PÄ 10. Leiden: Brill, 1996.
- Derovan, David, et al. "Gematria." EncJud 1:424-27.
- Deurloo, Karel A. "Psalm 19: Riddle and Parable." Pages 93–100 in *Goldene Apfel in silbernen Schalen: Collected Communications to the XIIIth Congress of the International Organization for the Study of the Old Testament, Leuven 1989*. Edited by Klaus-Dietrich Schunck and Matthias Augustin. BEATAJ 20. Frankfurt am Main: Lang, 1992.
- Dhont, Marieke. "Double Translations in Old Greek Job." Pages 475–90 in *Die Septuaginta–Orte und Intentionen 5. Internationale Fachtagung veranstaltet von Septuaginta Deutsch (LXX.D), Wuppertal 24.–27. Juli 2014.* Edited by Siegfried Kreuzer, Martin Meiser, and Marcus Sigismund. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2016.
- ——. "Literary Features in the First Cycle of Speeches in LXX Job." Pages 357–74 in XV Congress of the International Organization for Septuagint and Cognate Studies. Edited by Wolfgang Kraus, Michaël van der Meer, and Martin Meiser. SCS 64. Atlanta: SBL Press, 2016.

- ——. "Stylistic Features in OG Job: An Example, Job 5:6–7." *JNSL* 42 (2016): 51–60.
- ——. "A Two-Faced Translation? The Greek Rendering of Hebrew Janus Parallelism in Job." *ZAW* 126 (2014): 111–16.
- Dickson, Keith. "Enki and the Embodied World." JAOS 125 (2005): 499-515.
- Diem, Werner. "'Paronomasie': Eine Begriffsverwirrung." *ZDMG* 157 (2007): 299–51.
- Dietrich, Manfried. "'Armer Mann von Nippur': Ein werk der Krisenlitertur des 8. Jh. V. Chr." Pages 333–52 in *Of God(s), Trees, and Scholars: Neo-Assyrian and Related Studies in Honour of Simo Parpola*. Edited by Mikko Luuko, Saana Svärd, and Raija Mattila. StudOr 106. Helsinki: Finnish Oriental Society, 2009.
- Diez-Macho, Alejandro. "La Homonimia o Paronomasia = al-muyanasa = *lašon nofel 'al lašon.*" *Sefarad* 8 (1948): 293–321.
- ——. "La Homonimia o Paronomasia = al-muyanasa = *lašon nofel 'al lašon*." *Sefarad* 9 (1948): 269–309.
- Dijk, Jan J. van. *Texts of Varying Content*. Texts in the Iraq Museum 9. Leiden: Brill, 1976.
- Dokoui-Cabrera, Nadine. "La rhétorique dans le conte du Paysan Eloquent ou le Maître de Parole." *CCdE* 9 (2006): 143–53.
- Döller, Johann. *Rhythmus, Metrik und Strophik in der biblisch-hebräischen Poesie*. Paderborn: Druck & Verlag von Ferdinand Schöningh, 1899.
- Dori, Mazal. "The Ambiguity of Double Meaning" [Hebrew]. *Hagige Giva* 7 (1999): 11–23.
- Dorman, Peter F. "Creation on the Potter's Wheel at the Eastern Horizon of Heaven." Pages 83–99 in *Gold of Praise: Studies on Ancient Egypt in Honor of Edward F. Wente*. Edited by Emily Teeter and John A. Larson. SAOC 58. Chicago: Oriental Institute, 1999.
- Dornseiff, Franz. Das Alphabet in Mystik und Magie. Leipzig: Tübner, 1925.
- Doron, P. "Paronomasia in the Prophecies to the Nations." *HebAbst* 20–21 (1979–1980): 36–43.
- Doudna, G. "Wordplay in Pesher Nahum." Pages 253–65 in *4QPesher Nahum: A Critical Edition*. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 2001.
- Drioton, Étienne. "A propos du cryptogramme de Montouemhêt." *ASEA* 42 (1943): 177–81.
- -----. "Chawabtiou à inscriptions cryptographiques." ASEA 45 (1945): 72–81.
- -----. "Deux Cryptogrammes de Senenmout." ASEA 38 (1938): 231–46.
- ——. "L'écriture énigmatique du Livre du Jour et de la Nuit." Pages 81–121 in *Le Livre du Jour et de la Nuit*. Edited by A. Piankoff. Le Caire: Imprimerie de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1942.

- —. "La cryptographie de la chapelle de Toutânkhamon." *JEA* 35 (1949): 117–22. —. "La cryptographie du Papyrus Salt 825." ASEA 41 (1942): 100–134. —. "La cryptographie égyptienne." Revue lorraine d'anthropologie 6 (1934): 5-28.-----. "Le cryptogramme de Montou de Médamoud." *RdE* 2 (1936): 21–33. "Le cynocéphalie et l'écriture du nom de Thot." ASEA 45 (1945): 69–72. 8 (1935): 173-75. —. "Les principes de la cryptographie égyptienne." CRAIBL (1953): 355— 64. —. "Les protocols ornementaux d'Abydos." *RdE* 2 (1936): 1–20. (1938): 109–16. —. "Notes sur le cryptogramme de Montouemhet." AIPHO 3 (1935): 133– —. "Plaques bilingues de Ptolémée IV." Pages 97–112 in Discovery of the Famous Temple and Enclosure of Serapis at Alexandria with an Explanation of the Enigmatic Inscriptions on the Serapeum Plaques of Ptolemy IV By Alan Bowe. ASEAS 2. Le Caire: Imprimerie de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1946. —. "Procédé acrophonique ou principe consonantal?" ASEA 43 (1943): 319— 49. —. "Recueil de cryptographie monumentale." ASAE 40 (1940): 305–429. ternazionale degli Orientalisti, Roma, 23-29 settembre 1935-XIII. Roma: Tipografia del Senato, G. Bardi, 1938.
- ——. "Un rébus de l'ancien empire." *MIFAO* 46 (1935): 697–704.
- —. "Une figuration cryptographique sur une stèle du Moyen Empire." *RdE* 1 (1933): 203-29.
- Driver, G. R. "Confused Hebrew Roots." Pages 73-82 in Occident and Orient, Being Studies in Semitic Philology and Literature, Jewish History and Philosophy and Folklore in the Widest Sense, in Honour of Haham Dr. M. Gaster's Eightieth Birthday. Edited by B. Schindler. London: Taylor's Foreign Press, 1936.
- —. "Playing on Words." Pages 121–29 in Proceedings of the Fourth World Congress of Jewish Studies. Papers. Vol. 1. Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1967.
- Dyk, Petrus J. van. "The Function of So-Called Aetiological Elements in Narratives." ZAW 102 (1990): 19-33.
- Edzard, Dietz Otto. Sumerian Grammar. HOS 1, The Near and Middle East 71. Leiden: Brill, 2003.

- Eichler, B. L. "mar-URU5: Tempest in a Deluge." Pages 90–94 in *The Tablet and the Scroll: Near Eastern Studies in Honor of William W. Hallo*. Edited by M. E. Cohen et al. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1993.
- Eilberg-Schwartz, H. "Who's Kidding Whom? A Serious Reading of Rabbinic Word Plays." *JAAR* 55 (1988): 765–88.
- Eisenman, Robert. "Playing on and Transmuting Words—Interpreting 'Abeit-Baluto' in the Habakkuk Pesher." Pages 177–96 in *Mogilany 1989: Papers on the Dead Sea Scrolls Offered in Memory of Jean Carmignac. Part II: The Teacher of Righteousness, Literary Studies*. Edited by Z. J. Kapera. Krakow: The Enigma Press, 1991.
- Eitan, Israel. "La répétition de la racine en hébreu." JPOS 1 (1920): 171-86.
- El Hawary, A. Wortschöpfung. Die Memphitische Theologie und die Siegesstele des Pije—Zwei Zeugen kultureller Repräsentation in der 25. Dynastie. OBO 243. Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2010.
- Ellington, John. "Wit and Humor in Bible Translation." *The Bible Translator* 42 (1991): 301–13.
- Emerton, J. A. "Some Difficult Words in Isaiah 28:10 and 13." Pages 51–54 in *Biblical Hebrew, Biblical Texts: Essays in Memory of Michael P. Weitzman*. Edited by Ada Rapoport-Albert and Gillian Greenberg. JSOTSup 333. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 2001.
- ——. "The Translation and Interpretation of Isaiah vi.13." Pages 85–118 in *Interpreting the Hebrew Bible: Essays in Honor of E. I. J. Rosenthal.* Edited by J. A. Emerton and S. C. Reif. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- Empson, William. Seven Types of Ambiguity: A Study of Its Effects on English Verse. New York: New Directions, 1966.
- Enmarch, Roland. "Some Literary Aspects of the Kamose Inscriptions." *JEA* 99 (2013): 253–63.
- Epstein, Sheldon, Bernard Dickman, and Yonah Wilamowsky. "Symmetrically Designed Sifrei Torah: A Quantitative Analysis." *Ḥakira: The Flatbush Journal of Jewish Law and Thought* 5 (2007): 171–225.
- Ermidoro, Stefania. "Eating and Drinking in Dreams: Tablet A of the Assyrian 'Dream Book." Pages 161–79 in Libiamo ne' lieti calici: Ancient Near Eastern Studies Presented to Lucio Milano on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday by Pupils, Colleagues and Friends. Edited by Paola Corò et al. AOAT 436. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2016.
- Eskhult, Mats. "Hebrew Infinitival Paronomasia." OrSu 49 (2000): 27-32.
- Eybers, I. H. "The Use of Proper Names as a Stylistic Device." *Semitics* 2 (1971–1972): 280–81.
- Eyre, Christopher J. "Irony in the Story of Wenamun: The Politics of Religion in the Twenty-First Dynasty." Pages 235–52 in *Literatur und Politik im pharaonischen und ptolemäischen Ägypten. Vorträge der Tagung zum Gedenken an Georges Posener 5.–10. September 1996 in Leipzig.* Edited by Jan

- Assmann and Elke Blumenthal. BdE 127. Le Caire: Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 1999.
- ——. "Is Egyptian Historical Literature 'Historical' or 'Literary'?" Pages 415—33 in *Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms*. Edited by Antonio Loprieno. PÄ 10. Leiden: Brill, 1996.
- -----. "The Performance of the Peasant." *LingAeg* 8 (2000): 9–25.
- ——. "Yet Again the Wax Crocodile: P. Westcar 3, 123ff." *JEA* 78 (1992): 280–81.
- Fairman, H. W. "The Myth of Horus at Edfu-I." *JEA* 21 (1935): 26–36.
- Falkowitz, Robert Seth. *The Sumerian Rhetoric Collections*. PhD diss., University of Pennsylvania, 1980.
- Faraone, Christopher A. "From Magic Ritual to Semiotic Game: The Transformation of Neo-Assyrian Love Spells in Classical and Hellenistic Greece." Pages 61–74 in *Ideologies as Intercultural Phenomena: Proceedings of the Assyrian and Babylonian Intellectual Heritage Project, Chicago, October 27–31, 2000.* Edited by A. Panaino and G. Pettinato. MelS 3. Helsinki: Associazione Culturale Mimesis, 2002.
- Farber, Walter. "Associative Magic: Some Rituals, Word Plays, and Philology." *JAOS* 106 (1986): 447–49.
- ——. Schlaf, Kindlein, Schlaf!: Mesopotamische Baby-Beschwörungen und Rituale. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1989.
- Fecht, Gerhard. Der Habgierige und die Maat in der Lehre des Ptahhotep, 5. und 19. Maxime. ADAIK 1. Glückstadt: Augustin, 1958.
- Literarische Zeugnisse zur 'persönlichen Frömmigkeit' in Ägypten: Analyse der Beispiele aus den ramessidischen Schulpapyri. Heidelberg: C. Winter, 1965.
- ——. Stilistiche Kunst. HdO 1/2. Leiden: Brill, 1970.
- Feeney, Denis C., and Damien Nelis. "Two Virgilian Acrostics: *Certissima Signa*?" *CQ* 55 (2005): 644–46.
- Fichtner, J. Die altorientalische Weisheit in ihrer israelitisch-jüdischen Ausprägung. BZAW 62; Giessen: Töpelmann, 1933.
- ——. "Die Etymologische Ätiologie in den Namengebungen der Geschichtlichen Bücher des Alten Testaments." *VT* (1956): 372–96.
- Finkel, I. J., and M. Civil, eds. *The Series SIG*<sub>7</sub>.*ALAN* = *Nabnītu*. MSL 16. Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1982.
- Finley, T. J. "The Apple of His Eye' (*bābat 'ênô*) in Zechariah II 12." *VT* 38 (1988): 337–38.
- Firchow, O. *Grundzüge der Stilistik in den Altägyptischen Pyramidentexten*. UÄS 2. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1953.
- First, A. "Duplication in Our Language" [Hebrew]. Leshonenu 16 (1948–1949): 196–208.
- First, Mitchell. "Using the *Pe–Ayin* Order of the Abecedaries of Ancient Israel to Date the Book of Psalms." *JSOT* 38 (2014): 471–85.

- Fischer, H. G. "Hieroglyphen Cryptography." LÄ II (1977): col. 1196.
- Fischer-Elfert, Hans-Werner. *Die satirische Streitschrift des Papyrus Anastasi I:* Übersetzung und Kommentar. ÄgAbh 34. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 1986.
- ——. "The Hero of Retjenu: An Execration Figure (Sinuhe B 109–113)." *JEA* 82 (1996): 198–99.
- Fishbane, Michael. *Biblical Interpretation in Ancient Israel*. Oxford: Clarendon, 1985.
- ——. "Jeremiah IV.23–26: A Recovered Use of the Creation Pattern," *VT* 21 (1971): 161–62.
- ——. "The Qumran Pesher and Traits of Ancient Hermeneutics." Pages 97–114 in *Proceedings of the Sixth World Congress of Jewish Studies: Hebrew University of Jerusalem, 13–19 August, 1973*. Edited by Malka Jagendorf and Avigdor Shinan. Vol. 1. Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1977.
- Fisher, S. "How Many Angels Can Dance on the Head of a Pun?" *BARev* 19.3 (1993): 19, 76.
- Fitzgerald, A. "A Note on Psalm 29." BASOR 215 (1974): 61-63.
- Fitzmeyer, J. A. "Reply to Charles Abraham's 'The Pun on Peter." *BARev* 19 (1993): 68, 70.
- Fleming, Daniel. "Ugaritic Incantation against Sorcery." COS 1:301-2.
- Ford, James Nathan. "Ninety-Nine by the Evil Eye and One from Natural Causes': KTU<sup>2</sup> 1.196 in Its Near Eastern Context." *UF* 30 (1998): 201–78.
- ——. "On Some Readings and Interpretations in the Aramaic Incantation Bowls and Related Texts." *BSOAS* 80 (2017): 191–231.
- ——. "Wordplay in the Lamaštu Incantations." Pages 585–95 in Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday. Edited by Chaim Cohen et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008.
- Fordyce, C. J. "Puns on Names in Greek." CJ 28 (1932–1933): 44–46.
- Foster, Benjamin R. *Before the Muses: An Anthology of Akkadian Literature*. Vols. 1–2. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1993.
- ——. "Gilgamesh: Sex, Love, and the Ascent of Knowledge." Pages 21–42 in Love and Death in the Ancient Near East: Essays in Honor of Marvin H. Pope. Edited by John H. Marks and Robert M. Good. Guilford, CT: Four Quarters Publishing Company, 1987.
- -----. "Humor in Cuneiform Literature." JANES 6 (1974): 69–85.
- -----. "The Sargon Parody." NABU (2002): 79–80.
- Foster, John L. "Wordplay in *The Eloquent Peasant*: The Eighth Complaint." *BES* 10 (1989–1990): 61–76.
- Fowler, Don P. "An Acrostic in Vergil (*Aeneid* 7.601–4)?" *CQ* 33 (1983): 298. Fox, Michael V. "Ancient Egyptian Rhetoric" *Rhetorica* 1 (1983): 9–22.
- ——. "Gauging Egyptian Influences on Biblical Literature." Pages 228–41 in *Subtle Citation, Allusion, and Translation in the Hebrew Bible.* Edited by Ziony Zevit. Sheffield: Equinox, 2017.

- ——. *The Song of Songs and the Ancient Egyptian Love Songs.* Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 1985.
- Fraenkel, Jonah. "Chiasmus in Talmudic-Aggadic Narrative." Pages 183–97 in *Chiasmus in Antiquity: Structures, Analyses, Exegesis*. Edited by John W. Welch. Hildesheim: Gerstenberg, 1981.
- -----. "Paronomasia in Aggadic Narratives." ScrHier 27 (1978): 27–35.
- Frahm, Eckart. *Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries: Origins of Inter- pretation*. GMTR 5. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2011.
- ——. "The 'Exorcist's Manual': Structure, Language, 'Sitz im Leben." Pages 9–47 in *Sources of Evil: Studies in Mesopotamian Exorcistic Lore*. Edited by Greta Van Buylaere et al. AMD 15. Leiden: Brill, 2018.
- ——. "Humor in assyrischen Königsinschriften." Pages 147–62 in *Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East: Papers Presented at the 43<sup>rd</sup> Rencontre assyriologique internationale, Prague, July 1–5, 1996.* Edited by Jiri Prosecky. Prague: Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Oriental Institute, 1998.
- ——. "Reading the Tablet, the Exta, and the Body: The Hermeneutics of Cuneiform Signs in Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries and Divinatory Texts." Pages 91–141 in *Divination and Interpretation of Signs in the Ancient World*. Edited by Amar Annus. OIS 6. Chicago: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 2010.
- Frank, Carl. "Zu den Wortspielen *kukku* und *kibâti* in Gilg. Ep. XI." *ZA* 36 (1925): 218.
- Frank, Richard M. "The Description of the Bear in Dn. 7,5." *CBQ* 21 (1959): 505–7.
- Frank, Roberta. "Some Uses of Paronomasia in Old English Scriptural Verse." *Speculum* 47 (1972): 207–26.
- Frankfurter, David. "The Magic of Writing and the Writing of Magic: The Power of the Word in Egyptian and Greek Traditions." *Helios* 21 (1994): 189–221.
- Freedman, David Noel. "Acrostic Poems in the Hebrew Bible: Alphabetic and Otherwise." *CBQ* 48 (1986): 408–31.
- Freedman, David Noel, and David Miano. "Non-Acrostic Alphabetic Psalms." Pages 87–96 in *The Book of Psalms: Composition and Reception*. Edited by Peter Flint and Patrick D. Miller Jr. Leiden: Brill, 2005.
- Freund, Richard A. "Lying and Deception in the Biblical and Post-biblical Tradition," *SJOT* 5 (1991): 45–61.
- Friedman, Shamma. "Nomen est Omen: Dicta of the Sages Which Echo the Author's Name." Pages 51–77 in These Are the Names: Studies in Jewish Onomastics. Edited by Aaron Demsky. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1999.
- Frisch, Amos. "ועניתם" (I Reg 12,7): An Ambiguity and Its Function in the Context." ZAW 103 (1991): 415–18.

- -----. "Midrashic Name Derivations of Solomon's Name in the Book of Kings." *BM* 44 (2000): 84–96.
- ——. "On 'Word Play' in the Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature" [Hebrew]. *BM* 45 (2000): 374–77.
- -----. "Three Syntactical Discontinuities in I Regum 9–11." ZAW 115 (2003): 88–93.
- ——. "W'nth' (Hosea 2:17)—An Ambiguity" [Hebrew]. Tarbiz 69 (1999): 445–47.
- Fritsch, Charles. "Homophony in the Septuagint." Pages 115–20 in *Proceedings* of the VIth World Congress of Jewish Studies. Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1977.
- Frolov, Serge. "The Semiotics of Covert Action in 1 Samuel 9–10." *JSOT* 31 (2007): 429–50.
- Fuchs, Andreas. *Die Inschriften Sargons II. aus Khorsabad*. Göttingen: Cuvillier Verlag, 1993.
- Fullerton, K. "Double Entendre in the First Speech of Eliphaz." *JBL* 49 (1930): 320–74.
- Gabbay, Uri. "Akkadian Commentaries from Ancient Mesopotamia and Their Relation to Early Hebrew Exegesis." *DSD* 19 (2012): 267–312.
- Gabbay, Uri, and Claus Wilcke. "The Bilingual Gudea Inscriptions CUSAS 17, 22: New Readings and Interpretations." *NABU* (2012): 98–99.
- Gabelein, Paul W., Jr. "Psalm 34 and Other Biblical Acrostics: Evidence from the Aleppo Codex." Pages 127–43 in *Sopher Mahir: Northwest Semitic Studies Presented to Stanislav Segert*. Edited by Edward M. Cook. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1990.
- Gábor, Ignác. Der Hebräische Urrhythmus. BZAW 52. Giessen: Topfelmann, 1929.
- ——. "On Biblical Rhetoric" [Hebrew]. Pages 1–149 in vol. 2 of *Selected Writings*. Jerusalem: Kiryat Sepher, 1939.
- Gadd, C. J. "Omens Expressed in Numbers." JCS 21 (1967): 52-63.
- ——. "Two Sketches of from the Life of Ur." *Iraq* 25 (1963): 177–88.
- Gai, Amiqam. "'You Are a Garden Locked Up, My Sister, My Bride; You Are a Spring Enclosed, a Sealed Fountain" [Hebrew]. *BM* 42 (1996): 50–51.
- Gardiner, Alan H. "Hymns to Sobk in a Ramesseum Papyrus." *RdE* 11 (1957): 43–56, pls. 1–3.
- Garsiel, Moshe. *Biblical Names: A Literary Study of Midrashic Name Derivations and Puns*. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1991.
- ———. From Earth to Heaven: A Literary Study of the Elijah Stories in the Book of Kings. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2014.
- ——. "Homiletic Name Derivations as a Literary Device in the Gideon Narrative: Judges VI–VIII." *VT* 43 (1993): 302–17.

- ——. "Implicit Puns upon Names as Subtle Colophons in the Bible." Pages 15–22 in *Haim M. L. Gevaryahu Memorial Volume*. Edited by Joshua J. Adler. Jerusalem: World Jewish Bible Center, 1990.
- -----. "Name Midrashim on People and Places as a Literary Device in the Book of Judges" [Hebrew]. *BM* 53 (2008): 8–9\*, 59–82.
- ——. "Punning upon the Names of the Letters of the Alphabet in Biblical Acrostics" [Hebrew]. *BM* 39 (1994): 313–34.
- ——. "Puns upon Names as a Literary Device in 1 Kings 1–2." *Bib* 72 (1991): 379–86.
- ——. "Puns upon Names: Subtle Colophons in the Bible." *JBQ* 23 (1995): 182–87.
- ——. "Puns upon Proper Names and Place Names in the Book of Samuel" [Hebrew]. Pages 105–19 in *Moshe Goshen-Gottstein—in Memoriam*. Vol. 3 of *Studies in Bible and Exegesis*. Edited by Moshe Bar-Asher et al. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1993.
- ——. The Story and History of David and His Kingdom. Part 1 of The Book of Samuel: Studies in History, Historiography, Theology and Poetics Combined. Jerusalem: Rubin Mass, 2018.
- ——. "The Story of David, Nabal and Abigail (1 Samuel 25): A Literary Study of Wordplay on Names, Analogies, and Socially Constructed Opposites." Pages 66–78 in Abigail, Wife of David, and Other Ancient Oriental Women. Edited by Daniel Bodi. Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix, 2013.
- ——. "Wit, Words, and a Woman: 1 Samuel 25." Pages 161–68 in *On Humour and the Comic in the Hebrew Bible*. Edited by Athalya Brenner-Idan and Yehuda T. Radday. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1990.
- ——. "Word Play and Puns as a Rhetorical Device in the Book of Samuel." Pages 181–204 in Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- ——. "Wordplays, Puns and Puns upon Names as a Literary Rhetorical Device in the Book of Samuel" [Hebrew]. *BM* 42 (1998): 1–14.
- Garsiel, Moshe, and Robert Řehák. "Puns on Names as a Poetic Device in the Book of Judges." Pages 271–87 in *Ben Porat Yosef: Studies in the Bible and Its World: Essays in Honor of Joseph Fleishman.* Edited by Michael Avioz, Omer Minka, and Yael Shemesh. AOAT 458. Münster: Ugarit Verpag, 2019.
- Gelb, I. J. "The Name of Babylon." *JIAS* 1 (1955): 25–28.
- ——. "WA = aw, iw, uw in Cuneiform Writing." *JNES* 20 (1961): 194–96.
- Geller, Markham J. "Akkadian Healing Therapies in the Babylonian Talmud." *MPIW* 259 (2004): 1–60.
- ——. "The Concept of the Semitic Root in Akkadian Lexicography." Pages 299–306 in *Multilingualism, Lingua Franca and Lingua Sacra*. Edited by Jens Braarvig and Markham J. Geller. Studies 10. Berlin: Max Plank Institute for the History of Science, 2018.

- -----. "Discourse or Intercourse Revisited." *NABU* (2005): 86–87.
- ———. *Healing Magic and Evil Demons: Canonical UDUG-HUL Incantations*. BAM 8. Boston: de Gruyter, 2016.
- Geller, Stephen A. "Some Sound and Word Plays in the First Tablet of the Old Babylonian Atramhasis Epic." Pages 63–70 in *Frank Talmage Memorial Volume I*. Edited by B. Walfish. Haifa: University of Haifa Press, 1993.
- George, Andrew R. *The Babylonian Gilgamesh Epic*. Vols. 1–2. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.
- ------. "Babylonian Texts from the Folios of Sidney Smith, Part Two: Prognostic and Diagnostic Omens, Tablet I." *RA* 85 (1991): 137–67.
- -----. "The Gilgameš Epic at Ugarit." AuOr 25 (2007): 237–54.
- ------. "Ninurta-Pāqidāt's Dog Bite, and Notes on Other Comic Tales." *Iraq* 55 (1993): 63–75.
- Gevirtz, Stanley. "Evidence of Conjugational Variation in the Parallelization of Selfsame Verbs in the Amarna Letters." *JNES* 32 (1973): 99–104.
- ——. "Of Patriarchs and Puns: Joseph at the Fountain, Jacob at the Ford." *HUCA* 46 (1975): 33–54.
- ——. "On Canaanite Rhetoric: The Evidence of the Amarna Letters from Tyre." *Or* 42 (1973): 162–77.
- Gitay, Yehoshua. "Deutero-Isaiah: Oral or Written?" JBL 99 (1980): 185-97.
- Giusti, E. "Caesar Criss-Crossing the Rubicon: A Palindromic Acrostic in Lucan (1.218–22)." *CQ* 65 (2015): 892–94.
- Glenny, W. Edward. Finding Meaning in the Text: Translation Technique and Theology in the Septuagint of Amos. VTS 126. Leiden: Brill, 2009.
- Glück, J. J. "Assonance in Ancient Hebrew Poetry: Sound Patterns as a Literary Device." Pages 26–45 in *De Fructu Oris Sui: Essays in Honour of Adrianus van Selms*. Edited by Ian H. Eybers. PRS 9. Leiden: Brill, 1971.
- ———. "Paronomasia in Biblical Literature." *Semitics* 1 (1970): 50–78.
- Goedicke, Hans. "'Menna's Lament." RdE 38 (1987): 63–80.
- Golani, Shira J. "Swords That Are Plowshares: Another Case of (Bilingual) Wordplay in Biblical Prophecy?" *Bib* 98 (2017): 425–34.
- Goldwasser, O. "The Allure of the Holy Glyphs: A Psycholinguistic Perspective on the Egyptian Script." *GM* 123 (1991): 37–50.
- ——. From Icon to Metaphor: Studies in the Semiotics of the Hieroglyphs. OBO 142. Fribourg: University Press, 1995.
- Golinets, Viktor. "Considerations on Questions Philology Cannot Solve While Reconstructing the Text of the Hebrew Bible." Pages 45–69 in *Philology and Textual Criticism: Proceedings of the Second International Colloquium of the Dominique Barthélemy Institute held at Fribourg on 10–11 October, 2013.* Edited by Innocent Himbaza and Jan Joosten. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2020.

- Golka, Friedemann W. "The Aetiologies in the Old Testament: Part 1." *VT* 26 (1976): 410–28.
- -----. "The Aetiologies in the Old Testament: Part 2." VT 27 (1977): 36–47.
- Good, Edwin M. Irony in the Old Testament. Sheffield: Almond, 1981.
- Gordis, Robert. *The Book of Job: Commentary, New Translation and Special Studies*. New York, NY: Jewish Theological Seminary, 1978.
- ——. "Rhetorical Usages in the Sacred Writings" [Hebrew]. Pages 253–67 in Articles in Bible Research Presented to Dr. Moshe Seidel on the Occasion of His Seventy-Fifth Birthday. Edited by A. Eliner et al. Jerusalem: Kiryat Sefer, 1962.
- ——. "Studies in Hebrew Roots of Contrasted Meaning." *JQR* 27 (1936–1937): 33–58.
- Gordon, Cyrus H. "Asymmetric Janus Parallelism." EI 16 (1982): 80-81\*.
- ——. "His Name Is 'One." *JNES* 29 (1970): 198–99.
- -----. "New Directions." BASP 15 (1978): 59–66.
- -----. "The Seventh Day." UF 11 (1979): 299–301.
- Gordon, Robert P. "Word-Play and Verse-Order in 1 Samuel XXIV-5-8." VT 40 (1990): 139–44.
- Gore, Jeffrey, and Allan Kershaw. "An Unnoticed Acrostic in Apuleius *Metamorphoses* and Cicero *de Divinatione* 2.111–12." *CQ* 58 (2008): 393–94.
- Goswell, Greg. "Royal Names: Naming and Wordplay in Isaiah 7." WTJ 75 (2013): 97–109.
- Gottlieb, Isaac B. "*Mashal le-Melekh*: The Search for Solomon." *HS* 51 (2010): 107–27.
- Grabbe, Lester L. *Etymology in Early Jewish Interpretation: The Hebrew Names in Philo*. BJS 115. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1988.
- Grapow, Hermann. *Der Stilistische Bau der Geschichte des Sinuhe*. UÄS 1. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1952.
- ——. Sprachliche und schriftliche Formung ägyptischer Texte. LÄS 7. Glückstadt: J. J. Augustin, 1936.
- Gray, A. Kirk. "Murmuring in Mesopotamia." Pages 301–8 in *Wisdom, Gods, and Literature: Studies in Assyriology in Honour of W. G. Lambert.* Edited by Andrew R. George and Irving L. Finkel. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2000.
- Gray, G. B. Studies in Hebrew Proper Names. London: Black, 1896.
- Grayson, A. Kirk, and Jamie Novotny. *The Royal Inscriptions of Sennacherib, King of Assyria (704–681 BC)*. Part 1. RINP 3. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2012.
- Greaves, Sheldon W. "Ominous Homophony and Portentous Puns in Akkadian Omens." Pages 103–13 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.

- ------. "Wordplay and Associative Magic in the Ugaritic Snake-Bite Incantation RS 24.244." *UF* 24 (1994): 165–67.
- Greenberg, Nathan A. "Epanastrophe in Latin Poetry." RO 2 (1972): 1–17.
- Greenfield, Jonas C. "The 'Cluster' in Biblical Poetry." *Maarav* 55–56 (1990): 159–68.
- ——. "Early Aramaic Poetry." JANES 11 (1979): 45–51.
- ------. "Stylistic Aspects of the Sefire Treaty Inscriptions." AO 29 (1965): 1–18.
- Greenfield, Jonas C., Michael E. Stone, and Esther Eshel. *The Aramaic Levi Document: Edition, Translation, Commentary.* Studia in Veteris Testamenti Pseudepigrapha 19. Leiden: Brill, 2004.
- Greenstein, Edward L. "Features of Language in the Poetry of Job." Pages 81–96 in *Das Buch Hiob und seine Interpretationen. Beiträge zum Hiob-Symposium auf dem Monte Verità vom 14.19. August 2005.* Edited by Thomas Krüger et al. Zürich: Theologischer Verlag Zürich, 2007.
- ——. "Jethro's Wit: An Interpretation of Wordplay in Exodus 18." Pages 155–71 in *On the Way to Nineveh: Studies in Honor of George M. Landes*. Edited by S. L. Cook and S. C. Winter. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1999.
- -----. "The Language of Job and Its Poetic Function." *JBL* 122 (2003): 651–66.
- ——. "Mixing Memory and Design: Reading Psalm 78." *Prooftexts* 10 (1990): 197–218.
- ——. "On the Use of Akkadian in Biblical Hebrew Philology." Pages 335–53 in *Looking at the Ancient Near East and the Bible through the Same Eyes*. Edited by Kathleen Abraham and Joseph Fleishman. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2012.
- "Reanalysis in Biblical and Babylonian Poetry." Pages 499–510 in Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday. Edited by Chaim Cohen et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008.
   "The Riddle of Samson." Prooftexts 1 (1981): 237–60.
- ——. "Verbal Art and Literary Sensibilities in Ancient Near Eastern Context." Pages 457–75 in *The Wiley Blackwell Companion to Ancient Israel*. Edited by Susan Niditch. Malden, MA: Wiley Blackwell, 2016.
- ——. "Wordplay, Hebrew." *ABD* 6:968–71.
- Gregory, Bradley C. "Slips of the Tongue in the Speech Ethics of Ben Sira." *Bib* 93 (2012): 321–39.
- Griffith, Francis L. *The Petrie Papyri: Hieratic Papyri from Kahun and Gurob.* London: Bernard Quartich, 1898.
- Grishin, Alexei A. Acrostics in Virgil's Poetry: The Problem of Authentication. Master's Thesis, Harvard University, 2009.
- ——. "Ludus in undis: An Acrostic in Eclogue 9." HSCP 104 (2008): 237–40.

- Grossberg, Daniel. "Multiple Meaning: Part of a Compound Literary Device in the Hebrew Bible." *EAJT* 4 (1986): 77–86.
- -. "Pivotal Polysemy in Jeremiah XXV 10–11a." VT 36 (1986): 481–85.
- Grossfeld, Bernard. "The Translation of Biblical Hebrew פקד in the Targum, Peshitta, Vulgate, and Septuagint." ZAW 96 (1984): 83–101.
- Grossman, Jonathan. "The Abarbanel's Stance towards the Existence of Ambiguous Expressions in the Bible" [Hebrew]. BM 52 (2007): 126–38.
- -. Ambiguity in Biblical Narrative and Its Contribution to the Literary Formation [Hebrew]. PhD diss., Bar-Ilan University, 2006.
- -. "The Ambiguity of 'רחם' in Deuteronomy, Second Isaiah, and Enûma Eliš." Pages 253–69 in Ben Porat Yosef: Studies in the Bible and Its World: Essays in Honor of Joseph Fleishman. Edited by Michael Avioz, Omer Minka, and Yael Shemesh. AOAT 458. Münster: Ugarit Verlag, 2019.
- -. "The Double Etymology of Babel in Genesis 11." ZAW 129 (2017): 362-
- —. Esther: The Outer Narrative and the Hidden Reading. SLTHS 6. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011.
- —. Ruth: Bridges and Boundaries. ATD 9. Bern: Lang, 2015.
- ---. "Structural Ambiguity' in Ezekiel 33-38" [Hebrew]. BM 49 (2004): 194-224.
- —. "The Structural Paradigm of the Ten Plagues Narrative and the Hardening of Pharaoh's Heart." VT 64 (2014): 588-610.
- —. Text and Subtext: On Exploring Biblical Narrative Design [Hebrew]. Tel Aviv: Hakibbutz Hameuchad, 2015.
- —. "The Use of Ambiguity in Biblical Narratives of Deception and Deceit" [Hebrew]. Tarbiz 73 (2006): 483-515.
- Guglielmi, Waltrund. "Der Gebrauch rhetorischer Stilmittel in der ägyptischen Literatur." Pages in 465-97 in Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms. Edited by Antonio Loprieno. PÄ 10. Leiden: Brill, 1996.
- -----. "Probleme bei der Anwendung der Begriffe 'Komik,' 'Ironie,' und 'Humor' auf die altägyptische Literatur." GM 36 (1979): 69-85.
- ——. "Wortspiel." *LÄ* 6 (1986): cols. 1287–1291.
  ——. "Zu einigen literarischen Funktionen des Wortspiels." Pages 491–506 in Studien zu Sprache und Religion Ägyptens zu Ehren von Wolfhart Westendorf überreicht von seinen Freuden und Schülern. Edited by Friedrich Junge. Vol. 1. SAuK 1. Göttingen: Friedrich Junge, 1984.
- Guillaume, A. "Paronomasia in the Old Testament." JSS 9 (1964): 282–90.
- Guillaume, Philippe. "Lamentations 5: The Seventh Acrostic." JHS 9 (2009): 1-6.
- Guinan, Ann. "The Perils of High Living: Divinatory Rhetoric in Šumma Ālu." Pages 227–35 in *DUMU-E*<sub>2</sub>-*DUB-BA-A*: *Studies in Honor of Ake W. Sjöberg*.

- Edited by Hermann Behrens et al. OPSNKF 11. Philadelphia, PA: University of Pennsylvania Museum, 1989.
- Gzella, Holger. "Emphasis or Assertion? Remarks on the Patronomastic Infinite in Hebrew." *BiOr* 67 (2010): 488–98.
- Hallo, William W. "Individual Prayer in Sumerian: The Continuity of a Tradition." *JAOS* 88 (1968): 71–89.
- ——. "Isaiah 28,9–13 and the Ugaritic Abecedaries." *JBL* 77 (1958): 324–38.
- ——. "Nebukadnezar Comes to Jerusalem." Pages 40–57 in *Through the Sound of Many Voices: Writing Contributed on the Occasion of the Seventieth Birth-day of W. Gunther Plaut.* Edited by Jonathan V. Plaut. Toronto: Lester & Orpen Dennys, 1982.
- -----. "New Viewpoints on Cuneiform Literature." *IEJ* 12 (1962): 13–26.
- ——. "Scurrilous Etymologies." Pages 767–76 in *Pomegranates and Golden Bells: Studies in Biblical, Jewish, and Near Eastern Ritual, Law, and Literature in Honor of Jacob Milgrom*. Edited by David P. Wright et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1995.
- Hallo, William W., and J. J. A. van Dijk. *The Exaltation of Inanna*. YNER 3. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1968.
- Hallof, Jochen. "Esna." Pages 1–15 in *UCLA Encyclopedia of Egyptology*. Edited by Willeke Wendrich. Los Angeles, CA: UCLA, 2011.
- Halpern, Baruch. "The Excremental Vision': The Doomed Priests of Doom in Isaiah 28." *HAR* 10 (1986): 109–21.
- Halpern, Baruch, and Richard Elliott Friedman. "Composition and Paronomasia in the Book of Jonah." *HAR* 4 (1980): 79–92.
- Halton, Charles. "Samson's Last Laugh: Ś/ŠḤQ Pun in Judges 16:25–27." *JBL* 128 (2009): 61–64.
- Hamori, Andras. "Notes on Paronomasia in Abu Tammam's Style." *JSS* 12 (1967): 83–90.
- On the Art of Medieval Arabic Literature. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1974.
- Handy, Lowell K. "Sounds, Words and Meanings in Psalm 82." *JSOT* 47 (1990): 51–66.
- Hansen, Nicole B. "Still Laughing after All These Years: An Ancient Egyptian 'Joke' Survives the Millennia." *JSSEA* 38 (2011): 77–79.
- Hanses, Mathias. "The Pun and the Moon in the Sky: Aratus'  $\Lambda$ E $\Pi$ TH Acrostic." CQ 64 (2014): 609–14.
- Hanson, K. C. *Alphabetic Acrostics: A Form Critical Study*. PhD diss., The Claremont Graduate School, 1984.
- Hardie, Philip. *Ovid's Poetics of Illusion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- Harding, James E. "The Wordplay between the Roots שבל and שבל in the Literature of the Yahad." *RdQ* 19 (1999): 69–82.

- Hasan-Rokem, Galit. "An Almost Invisible Presence: Multilingual Puns in Rabbinic Literature." Pages 222–39 in *The Cambridge Companion to the Talmud and Rabbinic Literature*. Edited by Martin S. Jaffee and Charlotte Elisheva Fonrobert. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- ——. "'Spinning Threads of Sand': Riddles as Images of Loss in the Midrash on Lamentations." Pages 109–24 in *Untying the Knot: On Riddles and Other Enigmatic Modes*. Edited by Galit Hasan-Roken and David Shulman. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Hasan-Rokem, Galit, and David Shulman, eds. *Untying the Knot: On Riddles and Other Enigmatic Modes*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Hasel, Michael G. "Israel in the Merneptah Stela." BASOR 296 (1994): 45-61.
- ——. "The Structure of the Final Hymnic-Poetic Unit on the Merneptah Stela." *ZAW* 116 (2004): 75–81.
- Hayes, Jeff. "Intentional Ambiguity in Ruth 4:5: Implications for Interpretation of Ruth." *JSOT* 41 (2016): 159–82.
- Hays, Christopher B. "The Covenant with Mut: A New Interpretation of Isaiah 28:1–22." VT 60 (2010): 212–40.
- ———. "Damming Egypt/Damning Egypt: The Paronomasia of *skr* and the Unity of Isa 19, 1–10." *ZAW* 120 (2008): 612–17.
- Hecke, Pierre van, ed. *Metaphor in the Hebrew Bible*. BETL 187. Leuven: Peeters, 2005.
- Heeßel, Nils P. *Babylonisch-assyrische Diagnostik*. AOAT 43. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2000.
- Heidel, Alexander. "The Meaning of *Mummu* in Akkadian Literature." *JNES* 7 (1948): 98–105.
- Heimpel, Wolfgang. Letters to the King of Mari: A New Translation, with Historical Introduction, Notes, and Commentary. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2003.
- Heinrichs, W. "Tajnīs." EncIs 10:67-70.
- Heintz, J. G. "Myth(olog)èmes d'époque amorrite et amphibologie en ARMT XXVI, 419, ll.3'-21'?" *NABU* (1994): 59.
- Heinzerling, Rüdiger. "Bileams Rätsel: Die Zählung der Wehrfähigen in Numeri 1 und 26." ZAW 111 (1999): 404–15.
- Held, Moshe. "Marginal Notes to the Biblical Lexicon." Pages 93–103 in *Biblical and Related Studies Presented to Samuel Iwry*. Edited by Ann Kort and Scott Morschauser. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1985.
- ------. "Studies in Biblical Homonyms in the Light of Akkadian." *JANES* 3 (1970–1971): 46–55.

- Helttulla, Anne. "Epigraphical Laughter." Pages 145–59 in *Laughter Down the Centuries*. Edited by Siegfried Jäkel and Asko Tomonen. Vol. 2. Turku: Turun Yliopisto, 1994–1997.
- Henshke, David. "When One Sets Out on a Journey': On Double Meanings and Their Consequences" [Hebrew]. *Leshonenu* 67 (2004): 87–102.
- Hertog, C. G. den. "Ein Wortspiel in der Jericho-Erzählung (Jos. 6)?" ZAW 104 (1992): 99–100.
- Herzberg, W. *Polysemy in the Hebrew Bible*. PhD diss., New York University, 1979.
- Hess, Richard S. "Achan and Achor: Names and Wordplay in Joshua 7." *HAR* 14 (1989): 89–98.
- ——. Studies in the Personal Names of Genesis 1–11. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2009.
- Hillers, Delbert R. *Lamentations: Introduction, Translation, and Notes.* AB 7A. New York: Doubleday, 1992.
- Hintze, Fritz. *Untersuchungen zu Stil und Sprache neu-ägyptischer Erzählungen*. DAWB 6. Berlin: Akademie-Verlag, 1952.
- Hisamatsu, Shin'ichi. "A Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story." *ASJ* 13 (1991): 419–21.
- Hitzig, Ferdinand. Das Buch Hiob, übersetzt und ausgelegt. Leipzig: Winter, 1874.
- Hoch, James E. Semitic Words in Egyptian Texts of the New Kingdom and Third Intermediate Periods. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1994.
- Hodge, Carleton T. "Egyptian Beliefs about the Bull's Spine: An Anatomical Origin for ANKH." *AL* (1982): 445–79.
- ——. "Ritual and Writing: An Inquiry into the Origin of Egyptian Script." Pages 331–50 in *Linguistics and Anthropology: In Honor of C. F. Voegelin*. Edited by M. Dale Kinkade et al. Lisse, The Netherlands: Peter de Ridder Press, 1975.
- Hoffman, Yair. "The Use of Equivocal Words in the First Speech of Eliphaz (Job IV–V)." VT 30 (1980): 114–19.
- ——. "The Wandering Lament: Micah 1:10–16." Pages 86–98 in *Treasures on Camels' Humps: Historical and Literary Studies from the Ancient Near East Presented to Israel Eph'al*. Edited by Mordechai Cogan and Dan'el Kahn. Jerusalem: Hebrew University Magnes Press, 2008.
- Hoffmann, Friedhelm, and Joachim Friedrich Quack. *Anthologie der demotischen Literatur*. EAL 4. Münster: Münster Lit, 2007.
- Hoffner, H. A. "Enki's Command to Atraḥasis." Pages 241–45 in *Kramer Anniversary Volume*. Edited by B. L. Eichler et al. AOAT 25. Kevelaer: Verlag Butzon and Bercker; Neukirchener Verlag: Neukirchen-Vluyn, 1976.
- Hofstadter, Douglas R. *Gödel, Escher, Bach: An Eternal Golden Braid.* New York: Basic Books, 1979.

- Holbert, J. C. "The Skies Will Uncover His Iniquity': Satire in the Second Speech of Zophar (Job XX)." *VT* 31 (1981): 171–79.
- Holladay, William L. "The Covenant with the Patriarchs Overturned." *JBL* 91 (1972): 305–20.
- ——. "Form and Word-Play in David's Lament over Saul and Jonathan." VT 20 (1970): 153–89.
- -----. "Style, Irony, and Authenticity in Jeremiah." *JBL* 81 (1962): 44–54.
- Holter, Knut. "The Wordplay on אֵל ("God") in Isaiah 45, 20–21." SJOT 7 (1992): 88–98.
- Honeyman, Alexander M. "*Māgôr Mis-sābîb* and Jeremiah's Pun." VT 4 (1954): 424–26.
- Hornung, Erik. "Die Welt im Spiegel der Zeichen." *Eranos-Jahrbuch* 55 (1986): 403–38.
- Horowitz, W., and Sh. Paul. "Two Proposed Janus Parallelisms in Akkadian Literature." *NABU* (1995): 11–12.
- Horsfall, Nicholas M. "Style, Language, and Meter." Pages 217–48 in *A Companion to the Study of Virgil*. Edited by Nicholas M. Horsfall. MS 151. Leiden: Brill, 1995.
- Hospers, John H. "Das Problem der sogenannten semantischen Polarität im Althebräischen." *ZAH* 1 (1988): 32–39.
- ——. "Polysemy and Homophony." ZAH 6 (1993): 114–23.
- Houlihan, Patrick F. Wit and Humor in Ancient Egypt. London: Rubicon, 2001.
- Houtsma, M. Th. "לֶחֶם־לְחוּם־מִלְחָמֶה"." ZAW 22 (1902): 329–31.
- Huehnergard, John. *A Grammar of Akkadian*. HSS 45. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1997.
- ——. *Ugaritic Vocabulary in Syllabic Transcription*. HSS 32. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1987.
- Huffmon, H. B. "Name שׁם" DDD, 610–12.
- Hugger, Pirmin. "Die Alliteration im Psalter." Pages 81–90 in Wort, Lied, und Gottesspruch: Beiträge zu Psalmen und Propheten; Festschrift für Joseph Ziegler. Edited by Josef Schrenier. Würzburg: Katholisches Biblewerk, 1972.
- Hunger, Hermann. *Babylonische und assyrische Kolophone*. AOAT 2. Kevelaer: Butzon u. Bercker; Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag des Erziehungsvereins, 1968.
- . "Kryptographische Astrologische Omina." Pages 133–45 in *Lišān mithurti. Festschrift Wolfram Freiherr von Soden zum 19.4.1968 gewidmet von Schülern und Mitarbeitern*. Edited by Wolfgang Röllig. AOAT 1. Kevelaer: Verlag Butzon & Bercker; Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener Verlag, 1969.
- ——. "Playful Writings in Cuneiform Colophons." Pages 33–36 in *Haim M. I. Gevaryahu: Memorial Volume*. Edited by Joshua J. Adler. Vol. 2. Jerusalem: World Jewish Bible Center, 1990.

- Hunt, Patrick N. *Poetry in the Song of Songs: A Literary Analysis*. SBL 96. Bern: Peter Lang, 2008.
- ——. "Subtle Paronomasia in the Canticum Canticorum: Hidden Treasures of the Superlative Poet." Pages 147–54 in Goldene Apfel in silbernen Schalen: Collected Communications to the XIIIth Congress of the International Organization for the Study of the Old Testament, Leuven 1989. Edited by Klaus-Dietrich Schunck and Matthias Augustin. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 1992.
- Hurowitz, Victor A. "ABL 1285 and the Hebrew Bible: Literary Topoi in Urad-Gula's Letter of Petition to Assurbanipal." *SAAB* 7 (1993): 9–17.
- ——. "Additional Elements of Alphabetical Thinking in Psalm XXXIV." VT 52 (2002): 326–33.
- ------. "Advice to a Prince: A Message from Ea." SAA. Bulletin 12 (1998): 39–53.
- ——. "Alliterative Allusions, Rebus Writing, and Paronomastic Punishment: Some Aspects of Word Play in Akkadian Literature." Pages 63–87 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- ——. "As His Name Is, So Is He: Word Play in Akkadian Texts" [Hebrew]. Pages 69–88 in *Jubilee Volume for Avi Hurvitz*. Edited by Steven E. Fassberg and A. Maman. Jerusalem: Hebrew University, 2008.
- ——. Divine Service and Its Rewards: Ideology and Poetics in the Hinke Kudurru. Beersheva, Israel: Ben-Gurion University Press, 1997.
- ——. "Finding New Life in Old Words: Word Play in the Gilgamesh Epic." Pages 67–78 in Gilgamesh and the World of Assyria: Proceedings of the Conference Held at the Mandelbaum House, The University of Sydney, 21–23 July 2004. Edited by J. Azize and N. Weeks. ANESS 21. Leuven: Peeters, 2007.
- ------. "Healing and Hissing Snakes: Listening to Numbers 24:4–9." *Scriptura* 87 (2004): 278–87.
- ——. "An Heir Created by Aššur': Literary Observations on the Rassam Prism (A) of Ashurbanipal." Pages 223–68 in *Politics as Literature: Essays on the Ancient Near East in Honor of Peter Machinist.* Edited by David S. Vanderhooft and Abraham Winitzer. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2013.
- ——. "Literary Observations on 'In Praise of the Scribal Art." *JANES* 27 (2000): 49–56.
- ——. "Literary Structures in Samsuiluna A." *JCS* 36 (1984): 191–205.
- —. "Name *Midrashim* and Word Plays on Names in Akkadian Historical Writings." Pages 87–104 in *A Woman of Valor: Jerusalem Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Honor of Joan Goodnick Westenholz*. Edited by Wayne Horowitz, Uri Gabbay, and Filip Vukosavovic. PBOA 8. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, 2010.

- ——. "<sup>d</sup>Narru and <sup>d</sup>Zulummar in the Babylonian Theodicy (*BWL* 88:276–77)." *JAOS* 124 (2004): 777–78.
- -----. "Nursling, Advisor, Architect? אמון and the Role of Wisdom in Proverbs 8,22–31." *Bib* 80 (1999): 391–400.
- ——. "An Often Overlooked Alphabetic Acrostic in Proverbs 24:1–22." *RB* 107 (2000): 526–40.
- ------. "Proverbs 29:22–27: Another Unnoticed Alphabetic Acrostic." *JSOT* 92 (2001): 121–25.
- ——. *Proverbs: Introduction and Commentary* [Hebrew]. 2 Vols. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 2012.
- ——. "'Shutting Up' the Enemy–Literary Gleanings from Sargon's Eighth Campaign." Pages 104–20 in *Treasures on Camels' Humps: Historical and Literary Studies from the Ancient Near East Presented to Israel Eph'al.* Edited by Mordechai Cogan and Dan'el Kahn. Jerusalem: The Hebrew University Magnes Press, 2008.
- ——. "Some Literary Observations on the Šitti-Marduk Kudurru (BBSt. 6)." *ZA* 82 (1992): 39–59.
- Hurvitz, A. "Toward a Precise Definition of the Term 'Amon in the Book of Proverbs 8:30" [Hebrew]. Pages 647–50 in The Bible in the Light of Its Interpreters: Sarah Kamin Memorial Volume. Edited by Sara Japhet. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1994.
- Husain, Karrar. "An Asymmetrical Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story." *ASJ* 16 (1994): 307–8.
- Hvalvik, Reidar. "Barnabas 9.7–9 and the Author's Supposed Use of *Gematria*." *NTS* 33 (1987): 276–82.
- Ifrah, Georges. From One to Zero: A Universal History of Numbers. New York: Viking Penguin, 1985.
- Ikeda, Jun. "Another Janus Parallelism in the Atrahasis Epic." *ASJ* 17 (1995): 342–44.
- -----. "A Possible Case of Janus Parallelism in the Epic of Gilgamesh XI, 130." *ASJ* 17 (1995): 338–42.
- Ingram, Doug. *Ambiguity in Ecclesiastes*. LHBOTS 431. New York: T&T Clark International, 2006.
- ------. "The Riddle of Qohelet and Qohelet the Riddler." *JSOT* 37 (2013): 485–506.
- Irwin, William H. "Syntax and Style in Isaiah 26." CBQ 41 (1979): 240-61.
- Izre'el, Shlomo. *Adapa and the South Wind: Language Has the Power of Life and Death.* Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2001.
- Jacobowitz, J. "Paronomasia: Mic 1:9–16" [Hebrew]. Sinai 32 (1953): 196–208.
- Jacobs, Jonathan. *Measure for Measure in Biblical Storytelling* [Hebrew]. Beersheva: Ben-Gurion University-Kotar Publishing, 2006.
- Jacobsen, Thorkild. "Abstruse Sumerian." Pages 279–91 in Ah, Assyria: Studies in Assyrian History and Ancient Near Eastern Historiography Presented to

- *Hayim Tadmor*. Edited by Mordechai Cogan and Israel Eph'al. ScrHier 33 Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1991.
- Jacobson, Howard. "Violets and Violence: Two Notes." *CQ* 48 (1998): 314–15. Jaritz, Kurt. "Geheimschriftsysteme im alten Orient." *AM* 8 (1966): 11–15.
- Jasnow, Richard. "And Pharaoh Laughed...': Reflections on Humor in Setne I and Late Period Egyptian Literature." *Enchoria* 27 (2001): 62–81.
- ——. "'Caught in the Web of Words'—Remarks on the Imagery of Writing and Hieroglyphs in the Book of Thoth." *JARCE* 47 (2011): 297–317.
- Jasnow, Richard, and Karl-Th. Zauzich. *The Ancient Egyptian Book of Thoth: A Demotic Discourse on Knowledge and Pendant to the Classical Hermetica*. 2 vols. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2005.
- Jassen, Alex P. "The Pesharim and the Rise of Commentary in Early Jewish Scriptural Interpretation." *DSD* 19 (2012): 363–98.
- Jay, Jacqueline E. *Orality and Literacy in the Demotic Tales*. Leiden: Brill, 2016. Jess, Samuel W. *Hooked on Wordplays: Concatenation with Psalm Titles*. Master's Thesis, Acadia Divinity College, 2012.
- Jestin, Raymond-Riec. "La rime interne en sumérien." *RA* 63 (1969): 115–20. ———. "La rime sumérienne." *BiOr* 24 (1967): 9–12.
- Jeyes, Ulla. *Old Babylonian Extispicy: Omen Texts in the British Museum*. Istanbul: Nederlands Historisch-Archaeologisch Instituut te Istanbul, 1989.
- Jiménez, Enrique. "'As Your Name Indicates': Philological Arguments in Akkadian Disputations." *JANEH* 5 (2018): 87–105.
- Jobes, Karen H. "The Function of Paronomasia in Hebrews 10:5–7." *TrinJ* 13 (1992): 181–91.
- Johnson, J. Cale, and Markham J. Geller. *The Class Reunion—An Annotated Translation and Commentary on the Sumerian Dialogue Two Scribes*. CM 47. Leiden: Brill, 2015.
- Johnson, Janet H., and Robert K. Ritner. "Multiple Meaning and Ambiguity in the 'Demotic Chronicle." Pages 494–506 in vol. 1 of *Studies in Egyptology Presented to Miriam Lichtheim*. Edited by Sarah Israelit-Groll. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1990.
- Johnston, Robert K. *The Christian at Play*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1983. Jong Ellis, Maria de. "The Goddess Kititum Speaks to King Ibalpiel: Oracle Texts from Ishchali." *MARI* 5 (1987): 235–61.
- Joosten, Jan. "Hechadalti forma mixta?" ZAW 102 (1990): 96-97.
- Junge, Friedrich. *Elephantine XI. Funde und Bauteile*. DAIAK Archäologische Veröffentlichungen 49. Mainz am Rhein: Philipp von Zabern, 1987.
- ——. "Zur Sprachwissenschaft der Ägypter." Pages 491–506 in vol. 1 of Studien zu Sprache und Religion Ägyptens zu Ehren von Wolfhart Westendorf überreicht von seinen Freuden und Schülern. Edited by Friedrich Junge. SAuK 1. Göttingen: Friedrich Junge, 1984.

- Kabergs, Valérie. Creativiteit in het spel? De Griekse weergave van expliciet Hebreeuws woordspel op basis van eigennamen in de Pentateuch en Twaalf Profeten. PhD diss., University of Leuven, 2015.
- ———. "Lovely Wordplay in Canticles 8,6a." ZAW 126 (2014): 261–64.
- Kadari, Mehahem Zevi. "Homonymy and Polysemy in the New Modern Hebrew Lexicon of the Hebrew Bible." Pages 149–53 in *Biblical Hebrew in Its Northwest Semitic Setting*. Edited by Steven E. Fassberg and Avi Hurvitz. Jerusalem: Magnes Press; Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2006.
- Kalimi, I. *An Ancient Historian: Studies in the Chronicler, His Time, Place and Writing.* SSN 46. Assen: Van Gorcum, 2005.
- ——. "Human and Musical Sounds and Their Hearing Elsewhere as a Literary Device in the Biblical Narratives." *VT* 60 (2010): 565–70.
- ———. *Metathesis in the Hebrew Bible: Wordplay as a Literary and Exegetical Device.* Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2018.
- ———. "Paronomasia in the Book of Chronicles." *JSOT* 67 (1995): 27–41.
- ——. "Paronomasie im Buch der Chronik: Ein Beitrag zur literarischen Forschung an der Arbeitsweise des Chronisten." *BZ* 41 (1997): 78–88.
- ——. "Utilization of Pun/Paronomasia in the Chronistic Writing." Pages 67–82 in *An Ancient Israelite Historian: Studies in the Chronicler, His Time, Place, and Writing*. Edited by I. Kalimi. Assen, the Netherlands: Royal Van Gorcum Press, 2005.
- Kalvesmaki, Joel. Formation of the Early Christian Theology of Arithmetic Number Symbolism in the Late Second Century and Early Third Century. PhD diss., Catholic University of America, 2006.
- Kaminsky, Joel. "Reflections on Associative Word Links in Judges." *JSOT* 36 (2012): 411–34.
- Kaufman, Ivan Tracy. "New Evidence for Hieratic Numerals on Hebrew Weights." *BASOR* 188 (1967): 39–41.
- Kedar-Kopfstein, Benjamin. "Paronomasia in Biblical Hebrew-Logical and Psychological Aspects" [Hebrew]. Pages 383–400 in *Moshe Goshen-Gottstein—In Memoriam*. Vol. 3 of *Studies in Bible and Exegesis*. Edited by Moshe Bar-Asher et al. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1993.
- Keefer, Arthur. "Phonological Patterns in the Old Testament: A Century of Studies in Sound." *CBR* 15 (2016): 41–64.
- Keener, Craig S. *The Gospel of John: A Commentary*. Vol. 2. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2003.
- Keulen, W. H. "Significant Names in Apuleius: A 'Good Contriver' and His Rival in the Cheese Trade (Met 1,5)." *Mnemosyne* 53 (2000): 310–21.
- Key, Andrew F. "The Giving of Proper Names in the Old Testament." *JBL* 83 (1964): 55–59.

- Kidd, Stephen. "Dreams in Bilingual Papyri from the Ptolemaic Period." *BASP* 48 (2011): 113–30.
- Kiley, Mark. "Three More Fishes (John 21:11)." JBL 127 (2008): 529-31.
- Kilmer, Anne D. "Fugal Features of Atra-Hasis: The Birth Theme." Page 127–39 in *Mesopotamian Poetic Language: Sumerian and Akkadian*. Edited by Marianna E. Vogelzang and Herman L. J. Vanstiphout. CM 6. Groningen: Styx Publications, 1996.
- ——. "Les jeux de mots dans les rêves de Gilgamesh et d'Atrahasis." Paper read at the Universitaire des Sciences Humaines, Strasbourg, 1983.
- ——. "More Word Play in Akkadian Poetic Texts." Pages 89–101 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL, 2000.
- ——. "A Note on an Overlooked Word-Play in the Akkadian Gilgamesh." Pages 128–32 in *Zikir Šumim: Assyriological Studies Presented to F. R. Kraus on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday.* Edited by G. Van Driel, Th. J. H. Krispijn, M. Stol, and K. R. Veenhof. Leiden: Brill, 1982.
- ——. "The Symbolism of the Flies in the Mesopotamian Flood Myth and Some Further Implications." Pages 175–80 in *Language, Literature, and History: Philological and Historical Studies Presented to Erica Reiner*. Edited by Francesca Rochberg-Halton. AOS 67. New Haven, CT: American Oriental Society, 1987.
- ——. "Verse Translation of Adapa (Amarna Version)." Pages 111–14 in *Mesopotamian Poetic Language: Sumerian and Akkadian*. Edited by Marianna E. Vogelzang and Herman L. J. Vanstiphout. CM 6. Groningen: Styx Publications, 1996.
- ——. "Visualizing Text: Schematic Patterns in Akkadian Poetry." Pages 209–19 in *If a Man Builds a Joyful House: Assyriological Studies in Honor of Erle Verdun Leichty*. Edited by Ann K. Guinan et al. CM 31. Leiden: Brill, 2006.
- Kim, Hyun Chul Paul. *Ambiguity, Tension, and Multiplicity in Deutero-Isaiah*. SBL 52. New York: Lang, 2003.
- Kim, Koowon. *Incubation as a Type-Scene in the 'Aqhatu, Kirta, and Hannah Stories: A Form Critical and Narratological Study of KTU 1.14 I–1.15 III, 1.17 I–II, and 1 Samuel 1:1–2:11.* VTSup 145. Leiden: Brill, 2011.
- Kim, Yoo-Ki. *The Function of the Tautological Infinitive in Classical Biblical Hebrew*. HSS 60. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2009.
- Kitchen, Kenneth A., *Poetry of Ancient Egypt.* DMA 1. Jonsered: Paul Åströms Förlag, 1999.
- Klaus, N. "Plays of Language in the Bible" [Hebrew]. *BM* 129 (1991–1992): 170–181.
- Klein, Jacob. "A New Look at the 'Oppression of Uruk' Episode in the Gilgameš Epic." Pages 187–201 in *Riches Hidden in Secret Places: Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Memory of Thorkild Jacobsen*. Edited by Tzvi Abusch. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2002.

- ——. The Royal Hymns of Shulgi, King of Ur: Man's Quest for Immortal Fame. TAPS 71. Philadelphia, PA: American Philosophical Society, 1981.
- Klein, Jacob, and Yitschak Sefati. "Word Play in Sumerian Literature." Pages 23–61 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- Kline, Jonathan G. *Allusive Soundplay in the Hebrew Bible*. AIL 28. Atlanta, GA: SBL Press, 2016.
- ——. Transforming the Tradition: Soundplay as an Interpretive Device in Innerbiblical Allusion. PhD diss., Harvard University, 2014.
- Klouda, Sheri L. "The Dialectical Interplay of Seeing and Hearing in Psalm 19 and Its Connection to Wisdom." *BBR* 10 (2000): 181–95.
- Knohl, Israel. "Sacred Architecture: The Numerical Dimensions of Biblical Poems." *VT* 62 (2012): 189–97.
- Knowles, Michael P. "Serpents, Scribes, and Pharisees." *JBL* 133 (2014): 165–78.
- Kogan, Leonid. "Popular Etymology in the Semitic Languages." Pages 120–40 in *Studia Semitica*. Edited by Leonid Kogan. OPOI 3. Moscow: Russian State University for the Humanities, 2003.
- Kökeritz, H. "Rhetorical Word-Play in Chaucer." PMLA 69 (1954): 937-52.
- König, Eduard. *Stilistik, Rhetorik, Poetik in Bezug auf die Biblische Litteratur*. Leipzig: Dieterich'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung Theodor Weicher, 1900.
- Korpel, Marjo C. A. "Kryptogramme in Ezechiel 19 und im 'Izbet-Ṣarṭa-Ostra-kon." ZAW 121 (2009): 70–86.
- Köszeghy, M. "Zur ששׁך-Frage." ZAW 117 (2005): 616–20.
- Kozlova, Ekaterina E. "What Is in a Name? Rahab, the Canaanite, and the Rhetoric of Liberation in the Hebrew Bible." *OT* 6 (2020): 573–86.
- Kramer, Samuel Noah, and John Maier. *Myths of Enki, the Crafty God.* New York: Oxford University Press, 1989.
- Kraus, F. R. "Altmesopotamisches Lebensgefühl." JNES 19 (1960): 117–32.
- Kraus, Matthew A. Jewish, Christian, and Classical Exegetical Traditions in Jerome's Translation of the Book of Exodus: Translation Technique and the Vulgate. Leiden: Brill, 2017.
- Krebernik, Manfred. "Zur Entwicklung des Sprachbewusstseins im Alten Orient." Pages 39–61 in *Das geistige Erfassen der Welt im Alten Orient: Sprache, Religion, Kultur und Gesellschaft.* Edited by Claus Wilcke. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag, 2007.
- Kselman, John S. "Ambiguity and Wordplay in Proverbs XI." VT 52 (2002): 545–47.
- ——. "Double Entendre in Psalm 59." Pages 184–89 in *The Book of Psalms: Composition and Reception*. Edited by Peter Flint and Patrick D. Miller Jr. Leiden: Brill, 2005.
- ——. "Janus Parallelism in Psalm 75:2." *JBL* 121 (2002): 531–32.

- ——. "A Note on Gen 7:11." *CBQ* 35 (1973): 491–93.
- -----. "Psalm 72: Some Observations on Structure." BASOR 220 (1975): 77-81.
- —. "Semantic-Sonant Chiasmus in Biblical Poetry." Bib 58 (1977): 219– 223.
- Kubiak, D. P. "Piso's Madness (Cic. In Pis. 21 and 47)." AJP 110 (1989): 237– 45.
- Kwapisz, Jan. "Behaghel's Club." *CQ* 64 (2014): 615–22.
- Kwapisz, Jan, David Petrain, and Mikołaj Szymański, eds. The Muse at Play: Riddles and Wordplay in Greek and Latin Poetry. BA 305. Berlin: de Gruyter, 2013.
- Laboury, D. "Tradition and Creativity: Toward a Study of Intericonicity in Ancient Egyptian Art." Pages 229-58 in (Re)productive Traditions in Ancient Egypt: Proceedings of the Conference Held at the University of Liège, 6th— 8th February 2013. Edited by T. Gillen. AeLeo 10. Liège: Presses Universitaires de Liège, 2017.
- Labuschagne, Casper. "Significant Compositional Techniques in the Psalms: Evidence for the Use of Number as an Organizing Principle." VT 59 (2009): 583-605.
- Lachs, S. T. "Sexual Imagery in Three Rabbinic Passages." JSJ 23 (1992): 244–
- Lakoff, George. "The Contemporary Theory of Metaphor." Pages 202-51 in Metaphor and Thought. Edited by Andrew Ortony. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson. Metaphors We Live By. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1980.
- Lakoff, George, and Mark Turner. More Than Cool Reason: A Field Guide to Poetic Metaphor. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1989.
- Lam, Joseph. "Metaphor in the Ugaritic Literary Texts." JNES 78 (2019): 37–57. Lambert, W. G. Babylonian Creation Myths. MC 16. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2013.
- —. Babylonian Wisdom Literature. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1996.
- Edited by Richard J. Coggin and Jamie Leslie Houlden. London: SCM Press, 1990.
- in Sophisticated Polytheism." Pages 191–200 in *Unity and Diversity: Essays* on the History, Literature, and Religion of the Ancient Near East. Edited by Hans Goedicke and J. J. M. Roberts. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1975.
- —. "Literary Style in First Millennium Mesopotamia." *JAOS* 88 (1968): 123–32.

- ——. "A New Interpretation of *Enlil and Namzitarra*." *Or* 58 (1989): 508–9. ——. "The Sultantepe Tablets." *RA* 3 (1959): 119–38.
- Lambert, W. G., and A. R. Millard. *Atra-ḥasīs: The Babylonian Flood Story*. Oxford: Clarendon, 1969.
- Landsberger, Benno. *The Fauna of Ancient Mesopotamia. 2nd part. ḤAR-ra = hubullu. Tablet XIV and XVIII.* MSL 8.2. Rome: Pontificium Institutum Biblicum. 1962.
- ——. "Lexikalisches Archiv." ZA 42 (1934): 152–66.
- -----. " $s\bar{a}hu$  = 'lachen." ZA 40 (1931): 297–98.
- Landy, Francis. Hosea. 2nd ed. Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix, 2011.
- ——. "Humour as a Tool in Biblical Exegesis." Pages 101–17 in *On Humour and the Comic in the Hebrew Bible*. Edited by Athalya Brenner-Idan and Yehuda T. Radday. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1990.
- ——. Paradoxes of Paradise: Identity and Difference in the Song of Songs. 2nd ed. Sheffield: Sheffield Phoenix, 2011.
- Lane, William. *Arabic-English Lexicon: Supplement*. Vol. 8. Beirut: Librairie du Liban, 1968.
- Lang, T. J. "You Will Desire to See and You Will Not See [It]': Reading Luke 17.22 as Antanaclasis." *JSNT* 33 (2011): 281–302.
- Larkin, Katrina. The Eschatology of Second Zechariah: A Study of the Formation of a Mantological Wisdom Anthology. Kampen: Kok, 1994.
- Larsen, Mogens Trolle. "The Mesopotamian Lukewarm Mind: Reflections on Science, Divination, and Literacy." Pages 203–25 in Language, Literature, and History: Philological and Historical Studies Presented to Erica Reiner. Edited by Francesca Rochberg-Halton. New Haven, CT: American Oriental Society, 1987.
- Lear, Sheree E. "The Daughter of a Foreign God: Wordplay as an Interpretive Key in Malachi 2:11." *VT* 65 (2015): 466–73.
- Lee, Peter Y. *Aramaic Poetry in Qumran*. PhD diss., Catholic University of America, 2011.
- Leibel, D. "Variant Readings" [Hebrew]. BM 8 (1964): 187–97.
- Leichty, Erle. "The Colophon." Pages 147–54 in *Studies Presented to A. Leo Oppenheim, June 7, 1964*. Edited by Robert D Biggs. Chicago, IL: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 1964.
- Leithart, Peter J. "Nabal and His Wine." JBL 120 (2001): 525-27.
- Leitz, Christian. "Die beiden kryptographischen Inschriften aus Esna mit den Widdern und Krokodilen." *SÄK* 29 (2001): 251–76.
- Die Gaumonographien in Edfu und ihre Papyrusvarianten: Ein überregionaler Kanon kultischen Wissen im spätzeitlichen Ägypten. Vols. 1–2. Soubassementsudien 3; SSR 9. Weisbaden: Harrassowitz, 2014.
- Lenzi, Alan. "Scribal Hermeneutics and the Twelve Gates of *Ludlul bēl nēmeqi*." *JAOS* 135 (2015): 733–49.

- Lepper, Verena. "New Readings of an Old Text: Papyrus Westcar." Pages 1125–36 in *Proceedings of the Ninth International Congress of Egyptologists*. Edited by Jean-Claude Goyon and Christine Cardin. Vol. 2. OLA 150. Leuven: Peeters, 2007.
- Leuchter, Mark. "Jeremiah's Seventy-Year Prophecy and the ששך/לב קמי Atbash Codes." *Bib* 85 (2004): 503–22.
- Levenson, J. "The Paronomasia of Solomon's Seventh Petition." *HAR* 6 (1982): 135–38.
- Levine, Nachman. "On Midrash on Talmudic Names: A Literary Device and Its Significance" [Hebrew]. *JSIS* 11 (2012): 1–21.
- ——. "Samson the Riddle: Place Names, Wordplay, Structure, and Meaning" [Hebrew]. *Megadim* 45 (2007): 61–72.
- -----. "Sarah/Sodom: Birth, Destruction, and Synchronic Transaction in Gen. 18-19." *JSOT* 31 (2006): 131–46.
- ——. "Semantic-Sonant Chiasmus in the Torah: Reversed Sound and Reversed Sense" [Hebrew]. *BM* 183 (2005): 313–28.
- ——. "Suffering and Thought in Lamentations 3: Form and Content" [Hebrew]. *Megadim* 35 (2002): 93–99.
- ——. "The Tower of Babel Deconstructed: Linguistic Structure, Social Structure, and Structural Structure." *Nachalah: The Yeshiva University Journal for the Study of Bible* 2 (2001): 131–45.
- ——. "Twice as Much as Your Spirit: Pattern, Parallel, and Paronomasia in the Miracles of Elijah and Elisha." *JSOT* 85 (1999): 25–46.
- ——. "Vertical Poetics: Interlinear Phonological Parallelism in Psalms." *JNSL* 29 (2004): 65–82.
- Ley, Julius. Alliterierende Poesien der Hebräer. Leipzig, 1865.
- ——. "Über die Alliteration im Hebräischen." ZDMG 20 (1866): 180–83.
- Lichtenstein, Murray H. "Chiasm and Symmetry in Proverbs 31." *CBQ* 44 (1982): 202–11.
- Lichtheim, Miriam. *Ancient Egyptian Literature*. Vols. 1–3. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 1973.
- -----. "Destruction of Mankind." COS 1:36-37.
- ———. "The Songs of the Harpers." *JNES* 4 (1945): 178–212.
- Lieberman, Stephen J. "A Mesopotamian Background for the So-Called Aggadic 'Measures' of Biblical Hermeneutics?" *HUCA* 58 (1978): 157–225.
- ——. "The Names of the Cuneiform Graphemes in Old Babylonian Akkadian." Pages 147–54 in *Essays on the Ancient Near East in Memory of Jacob Joel Finkelstein*. Edited by Maria de Jong Ellis. Hamden, CT: Archon Books, 1977.
- Limet, Henri. "Le secret et les écrits: Aspects de l'ésotérisme en Mésopotamie ancienne." *Homo Religiosus* 13 (1986): 243–54.
- Lindars, Barnabas. "Is Psalm 2 an Acrostic Poem?" VT 17 (1967): 60–67.
- Lindenberger, James M. "Ahiqar." OTP 2:479–507.

- ——. *The Aramaic Proverbs of Ahiqar*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1983.
- Linville, James R. "Letting the 'Bi-word' 'Rule' in Joel 2:17." JHS 5 (2004): 1–15.
- ——. "Psalm 22:17b: A New Guess." *JBL* 124 (2005): 733–44.
- ——. "What Does 'It' Mean? Interpretation at the Point of No Return in Amos 1–2." *BI* 8 (2000): 400–24.
- Lipiński, Edward. *Studies in Aramaic Inscriptions and Onomastics II*. OLA 57. Leuven: Peeters, 1994.
- Lippert, Sandra L. "Komplexe Wortspiele in der Demotischen Chronik und im Mythus vom Sonnenauge." *Enchoria* 27 (2001): 88–100.
- Liverani, Mario. "Literary-Political Motifs in the Assyrian Royal Inscriptions: Measuring Continuity versus Change." Pages 269–84 in *Politics as Literature: Essays on the Ancient Near East in Honor of Peter Machinist.* Edited by David S. Vanderhooft and Abraham Winitzer. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2013.
- Livingstone, Alasdair. "'At the Cleaners' and Notes on Humorous Literature." Pages 175–87 in *Ad bene et fideliter seminandum: Festgabe für Karlheinz Deller zum 21. Febraur 1987.* Edited by Gerlinde Mauer and Ursula Magen. AOAT 220. Neukirchen-Vluyn: Verlag Butzon & Bercker Kevelaer Neukirchener Verlag, 1988.
- ——. "Babylonian Mathematics in the Context of Babylonian Thought." Pages 215–19 in *Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East: Papers Presented at the 43rd Rencontre assyriologique internationale, Prague, July 1–5, 1996.* Edited by Jiri Prosecky. Prague: Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Oriental Institute, 1998.
- ——. *Court Poetry and Literary Miscellanea*. SAA 3. Helsinki: Helsinki University Press, 1989.
- ——. Mystical and Mythological Explanatory Works of Assyrian and Babylonian Scholars. Oxford: Clarendon, 1986.
- Loesov, Sergey. "Marginalia on the Akkadian Ventive." Pages 101–32 in *Babel und Bibel: Annual of Ancient Near Eastern, Old Testament, and Semitic Studies*. Edited by Leonid Kogan. OC 3. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2006.
- Loisel, Anne-Caroline Rendu. Les Chants du monde: Le paysage sonore de l'ancienne Mésopotamie. Toulouse: Presses universitaires du Midi, 2016.
- Long, Burke O. *The Problem of Aetiological Narrative in the Old Testament*. BZAW 108. Berlin: Töpelmann, 1968.
- Loprieno, Antonio. "Defining Egyptian Literature: Ancient Texts and Modern Theories." Pages 39–58 in *Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms*. Edited by Antonio Loprieno. PÄ 10. Leiden: Brill, 1996.

- *International Congress of Egyptologists*. Edited by Z. Hawass. Cairo: American University in Cairo Press, 2003.
- ... "Le sign étymologique: le jeu de mots entre logique et esthétique." Pages 129–58 in *Le pensée et l'écriture pour une analyse sémiotique de la culture égyptienne: Quatre séminaires à l'École Practique des Hautes Études Section des Sciences religieuses 15–27 mai 2000*. Edited by Antonio Loprieno. Paris: Cybele, 2001.
- ——. "Puns and Word Play in Ancient Egyptian." Pages 3–20 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
  - ——. "Sprachtabu." *LÄ* V (1984): cols. 1211–1214.
- Loretz, Oswald. "Die Figur *Hysteron Proteron* in KTU 1.14 I 28–30." *UF* 33 (2001): 299–302.
- Lotman, Yury. *Analysis of the Poetic Text*. Translated by D. Barton Johnson. Ann Arbor, MI: Ardis, 1976.
- Louden, Bruce. "Categories of Homeric Wordplay." TAPA 125 (1995): 27-46.
- Lunn, N. "Paronomastic Constructions in Biblical Hebrew." *Notes on Translation* 10 (1996): 31–52.
- Lurson, Benoît. "Cryptography, the Full Moon Festivals of Min, and the King: Reading the Cryptographic Inscription of the Chapel of Min in the Temple of Ramses II at Abydos." *JARCE* 53 (2017): 223–41.
- Lys, D. "Notes sur le Cantique." Pages 170–78 in *Congress Volume: Rome, 1968*. Edited by J. A. Emerton et al. VTS 17. Leiden: Brill, 1969.
- Machiela, Daniel A. "The Qumran Pesharim as Biblical Commentaries: Historical Context and Lines of Development." *DSD* 19 (2012): 313–62.
- Machinist, Peter. "Assyria and Its Image in the First Isaiah." *JAOS* 103 (1983): 719–37.
- -----. "Rest and Violence in the Poem of Erra." *JAOS* 103 (1983): 221–26.
- Mahood, M. M. Shakespeare's Wordplay. London: Methuen, 1957.
- Mairs, Rachel. "Proclaiming It to Greeks and Natives, Along the Rows of the Chequer-board': Readers and Viewers of Greek, Latin and Demotic Acrostich Inscriptions." *Classical Quarterly* 67 (2017): 228–46.
- Malachi, Zvi. "'Creative Philology' as a System of Biblical and Talmudic Exegesis: Creating Midrashic Interpretations from Multi-Meaning Words in the Midrash and the Zohar." Pages 269–87 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- Malamat, Abraham. "The Conception of Ham and His Sons in the Table of Nations (Gen 10:6–20)." Pages 359–60 in *Egypt, Israel, and the Ancient Mediterranean World: Studies in Honor of Donald B. Redford.* Edited by Gary N. Knoppers and Antoine Hirsch. PÄ 20. Leiden: Brill, 2004.
- Mallon, E. D. "A Stylistic Analysis of Joel 1:10–12." CBQ 45 (1983): 537–48.

- Malti-Douglas, Fedwa. "The Names of the Blind in Al-Safadi: Towards an Onomastic Rhetoric." Pages 291–303 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- Malul, Meir. "Janus Parallelism in the Hebrew Bible: Two More Cases (Canticles 4,9.10)." *BZ* 41 (1997): 246–49.
- ——. "A Possible Case of Janus Parallelism." *ASJ* 17 (1995): 341–42.
- Marcos, Natalio Fernández. "The Septuagint Reading of the Samson Cycle." Pages 87–99 in *Samson: Hero or Fool?* Edited by Erik Eynikel and Tobias Nicklas. Leiden: Brill 2014.
- Marcus, David "David the Deceiver and David the Dupe." *Prooftexts* 6 (1986): 163–71.
- ——. "A Famous Analogy of Rib-Haddi." *JANES* 5 (1973): 281–86.
- ———. From Balaam to Jonah: Anti-prophetic Satire in the Hebrew Bible. BJS 301. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1995.
- ——. "Lifting up the Head': On the Trail of a Word Play in Genesis 40." *Prooftexts* 10 (1990): 17–27.
- ——. "Recovering an Ancient Paronomasia in Zechariah 14.5." Pages 130–43 in *Inspired Speech: Prophecy in the Ancient Near East. Essays in Honour of Herbert B. Huffmon.* Edited by John Kaliner and Louis Stulman. New York, NY: T&T Clark, 2004.
- ——. Scribal Wit: Aramaic Mnemonics in the Leningrad Codex. TS 10. Piscataway, NJ: Gorgias, 2013.
- Marcus, Ralph. "Alphabetic Acrostics in the Hellenistic and Roman Periods." *JNES* 6 (1947): 109–15.
- Margalit, Baruch. "Alliteration in Ugaritic Poetry: Its Role in Composition and Analysis." *UF* 11 (1979): 537–57.
- ——. "Alliteration in Ugaritic Poetry: Its Role in Composition and Analysis (Part II)." *JNSL* 8 (1980): 57–80.
- Marino, E. Etimologia o paronomasia? Il significato dei nomi del libro della Genesi. Lugio, 1993.
- Markoe, Glenn E. *Phoenicians*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press, 2000.
- Marks, Herbert. "Biblical Naming and Poetic Etymology." *JBL* 114 (1995): 21–42.
- Márquez-Rowe, Ignacio. "Scribes, Sages, and Seers in Ugarit and Syria." Pages 95–108 in *Scribes, Sages, and Seers: The Sage in the Eastern Mediterranean World.* Edited by Leo G. Perdue. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2008.
- Matskevich, Karalina. "Double-Plotting in the Garden: Stylistics of Ambiguity in Genesis 2–3." Pages 167–82 in *Doubling and Duplicating in the Book of Genesis: Literary and Stylistic Approaches to the Text.* Edited by Elizabeth R. Hayes and Karolien Vermeulen. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2016.

- Matthieu, Bernard. "Les formules conjuratoires dans les pyramides à textes: Quelques réflexions." Pages 185–206 in *La magie en Égypte: à la recherche d'une définition. Actes du colloque organisée par le musée du Louvre les 29 et 30 Septembre 2000*. Edited by Yvan Koenig. Paris: Musée du Louvre, 2002.
- Maul, Stefan M. "Das Wort im Worte, Orthographie und Etymologie als hermeneutische Verfahren babylonischer Gelehrter." Pages 1–18 in *Commentaries—Kommentare*. Edited by Glenn W. Most. AKSP 4. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1999.
- McCartney, Eugene S. "Puns and Plays on Proper Names." *CJ* 14 (1919): 343–58.
- McCreesh, Thomas P. *Biblical Sound and Sense: Poetic Sound Patterns in Proverbs 10–29*. JSOTSup 128. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1991.
- McEleney, Neil J. "153 Great Fishes (John 21,11)—Gematriacal Atbash." *Bib* 58 (1977): 411–17.
- Melamed, E. Z. "Break-Up of Stereotyped Phrases as an Artistic Device in Biblical Poetry." Pages 115–53 in *Studies in the Bible*. Edited by Chaim Rabin. ScrHier 8. Jerusalem: Magnes Press, 1961.
- ——. "Hendiadys (EN ΔΙΑ ΔΥΟΙΝ) in the Bible" [Hebrew]. *Tarbiz* 16 (1945): 173–89.
- Meltzer, E. S. "A Possible Word-Play in Khamuas I?" ZÄS 102 (1975): 78.
- Meshel, Naphtali S. "Dramatic Irony and Double Entendre in the Book of Job" [Hebrew]. *Shnaton* 25 (2017): 107–39.
- ——. "Whose Job Is This? Dramatic Irony and *Double Entendre* in the Book of Job." Pages 47–75 in *Aesthetics, Ethics, Hermeneutics*. Edited by Leora Batnitzky and Ilana Pardes. Berlin: de Gruyter, 2015.
- Metzler, Kai Alexander. "Perfekta im jungbabylonischen Weltschöpfungsepos." Pages 435–95 in *Ex Mesopotamia et Syria Lux: Festschrift für Manfried Dietrich zu seinem 65. Geburtstag.* Edited by Oswald Loretz, Kai Alexander Metzler, and Hanspeter Schaudig. AOAT 281. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2002.
- Meyer, Marleen de. "Some Ptolemaic Spielerei with Scribal Palettes." *JEA* 90 (2004): 221–23.
- Meyer, Rudolf. "Gegensinn und Mehrdeutigkeit in der althebr: Wort- und Begriffsbildung." *UF* 11 (1979): 601–12.
- Meynet, Roland. *Les huit psaumes acrostiches alphabétiques*. Rome: Gregorian & Biblical Press, 2015.
- Meynet, R., L. Pouzet, N. Farouki, and A. Sinno, *Rhétorique sémitique*. *Texts de la Bible et de la Tradition musulmane*. Paris: Cerf, 1998.
- Michalowski, Piotr. "Ancient Poetics." Pages 141–53 in *Mesopotamian Poetic Language: Sumerian and Akkadian*. Edited by Marianna E. Vogelzang and Herman L. J. Vanstiphout. CM 6. Groningen: Styx Publications, 1996.
- ———. "Presence at the Creation." Pages 381–96 in Lingering Over Words: Studies in Ancient Near Eastern Literature in Honor of William L. Moran.

- Edited by Tzvi Abusch, John Huehnergard, and Piotr Steinkeller. HSS 37. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1990.
- ——. "Where's Al? Humor and Poetics in the Hymn to the Hoe." Pages 195–200 in *Cuneiform Studies in Honor of David I. Owen on His Seventieth Birth-day*. Edited by Alexandra Kleinerman and Jack M. Sasson. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2010.
- Mieroop, Marc van de. "Sargon of Agade and His Successors in Anatolia." *Studia Micenei ed Egeo-Anatolici* 42 (2000): 133–59.
- Miglio, Adam. "Epic of Zimri-Lim." COS 4:231-34.
- Migsch, Herbert. "Jeremia XXXV 8b–9—Eine Indirekte Rede?" VT 54 (2004): 119–24.
- Milikowsky, Chaim. "Rabbinic Interpretation of the Bible in the Light of Ancient Hermeneutical Practice: The Question of the Literal Meaning." Pages 7–28 in "The Words of a Wise Man's Mouth are Gracious" (Qoh 10,12): Fest-schrift for Günter Stemberger on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday. Edited by M. Perani. SJ 32. de Gruyter: Berlin, 2005.
- Militarev, A. "Etimologija i interpretacija drevnepis'mennyh pamjatnikov: Biblejskie terminy 'sem'ja,' 'potomstvo,' 'plemja,' 'narod,' 'čelovečeskij rod.'" *Vestnik Eurejskogo Universiteta* 7 (2002): 7–58.
- Miller, Patrick D. "Poetic Ambiguity and Balance in Psalm XV." VT 29 (1979): 416–24.
- Mitsis, Philip, and Ioannis Ziogas, eds. *Wordplay and Powerplay in Latin Poetry*. TCSV 36. Berlin: de Gruyter, 2016.
- Moftah, Ramses. "Ära-Datierungen, Regierungsjahre und Zahlwortspiele." *CdE* 39 (1964): 44–60.
- Molen, S. "The Identity of Jacob's Assailant: Wrestling with Ambiguity in Gen 32:23–32." *Shofar* 11 (1993): 16–29.
- Moles, John. "Reconstructing Plancus (Horace, C. 1.7)." *JRS* 92 (2009): 86–109. Montet, Pierre. *Scènes de la vie privée dans les tombeaux égyptiens de L'ancien Empire*. Paris: Strasbourg University, 1925.
- Moore, James D. *The Common–Sense of Wordplay: A Sociolinguistic Study of the Function of Wordplay in the Book of Nahum*. Master's Thesis, Vanguard University of Southern California, 2007.
- Moran, William. "Notes on the Hymn to Marduk in *Ludlul Bēl Nēmeqi.*" *JAOS* 103 (1983): 255–60.
- Morenz, Ludwig D. Bild-Buchstaben und symbolische Zeichen: Die Herausbildung der Schrift in der hohen Kultur Altägyptens. OBO 205. Fribourg: Academic Press Fribourg, 2004.
- ——. "Der Erste sei Zweiter, oder: Der Leib als Kopf- ein Wortspiel als diffamierendes und also textkonstituierendes Mittel." *GM* 156 (1997): 81–84.
- ——. Sinn und Spiel der Zeichen: Visuelle Poesie im Alten Ägypten. PPISVLK 21. Köln: Böhlau Verlag, 2008.

- ——. "Visuelle Poesie als eine sakrale Zeichen-Kunst der altägyptischen hohen Kultur." *SÄK* 32 (2004) 311–26.
- ——. "Visuelle Poesie und Sonnen-'Mysterium: Von bild-textlicher Kohärenz und offener Intertextualität auf dem Schutzamulett des Butehamon." *DE* 56 (2003): 57–65.
- Morenz, Siegfried. "Wortspiele in Ägypten." Pages 23–32 in *Festschrift Johannes Jahn zum XXII. November MCMLVII.* Leipzig: Seemann, 1957.
- ——. "Wortspiele in Ägypten." Pages 328–42 in *Religion und Geschichte des alten Ägypten: Gesammelte Aufsätze*. Köln: Böhlau Verlag, 1975.
- Morgenstern, Matthew. "The Apostrophe to Zion—A Philological and Structural Analysis." *DSD* 14 (2007): 178–98.
- ——. "A Rather Risqué Pun in Jewish Babylonian Aramaic." Pages 881–90 in Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday. Edited by Chaim Cohen et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008.
- Morgenstern, Matthew, and James Nathan Ford. "On Some Readings and Interpretations in the Aramaic Incantation Bowls and Related Texts." *BSOAS* 80 (2017): 191–231.
- Morris, Gerald. *Prophecy, Poetry, and Hosea*. JSOTSup 219. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1996.
- Morrow, William. "To Set the Name' in the Deuteronomic Centralization Formula: A Case of Cultural Hybridity." *JSS* 55 (2010): 365–83.
- Moshavi, Adina. "On Distinguishing Polysemy from Generality in the Biblical Hebrew Verb: An Analysis of the Verb בקש" [Hebrew]. *Leshonenu* 67 (2004): 31–48.
- Muilenburg, James. "A Study in Hebrew Rhetoric: Repetition and Style." Pages 97–111 in *Congress Volume: Copenhagen 1953*. Edited by G. W. Anderson et al. VTSup 1. Leiden: Brill, 1953.
- Müller, Hans-Peter. "Polysemie im semitischen und hebräischen Konjugationssystem." *Or* 55 (1986): 365–89.
- Myers, K. Sara. "The Lizard and the Owl: an Etymological Pair in Ovid, *Metamorphoses* Book 5." *AJP* 113 (1992): 63–68.
- Natan-Yulzary, Shirly. "The Transgression and Punishment of the Goddess 'Anat in the 'Aqht Story: A Literary Perspective." *UF* 41 (2009–2010): 581–99.
- Neugebauer, Otto. "Unusual Writings in Seleucid Astronomical Texts." *JCS* 1 (1947): 217–18.
- Nichols, Dean Andrew. *The Trickster Revisited: Deception as a Motif in the Pentateuch*. New York: Lang, 2009.
- Niditch, Susan. *Underdogs and Tricksters*. San Francisco, CA: Harper & Row, 1987.
- Noegel, Scott B. "Another Janus Parallelism in the Atra-ḥasis Epic." *ASJ* 17 (1995): 342–44.

- —. "Another Look at Job 18:2,3." *JBQ* 23 (1995): 159–61. —. "Appellative Paronomasia and Polysemy in the *Tale of Sinuhe*." *Lingua* Aegyptia 26 (2018): 233–38. —. "An Asymmetrical Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story." ASJ 16 (1994): 10–12. -. "Atbash in Jeremiah and Its Literary Significance: Part 1." JBQ 24 (1996): 82–89. -. "Atbash in Jeremiah and Its Literary Significance: Part 2." JBQ 24 (1996): 160–66. -. "Atbash in Jeremiah and Its Literary Significance: Part 3." JBQ 24 (1996): 247–50. -. "Augur Anxieties in the Ancient Near East." Pages 17-43 in Ancient Divination and Experience. Edited by Lindsay G. Driediger-Murphy and Esther Eidinow. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2019. -. "Bodily Features as Literary Devices in the Hebrew Bible" [Hebrew]. Pages 509–31 in Studies in Bible and Exegesis Presented to Samuel Vargon. Edited by Moshe Garsiel, et al. SBE 10. Ramat-Gan: Bar Ilan University Press, 2011. -. "A Crux and a Taunt: Night-Time Then Sunset in Genesis 15." Pages 128-35 in The World of Genesis: Persons, Places, Perspectives. Edited by Philip R. Davies and David J. A. Clines. JSOTSup 223. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1998. -. "Dreams and Dream Interpreters in Mesopotamia and in the Hebrew Bible (Old Testament)." Pages 45-71 in Dreams and Dreaming: A Reader in Religion, Anthropology, History, and Psychology. Edited by Kelly Bulkeley. Hampshire, UK: Palgrave-St. Martin's Press, 2001. "Drinking Feasts and Deceptive Feats: Jacob and Laban's Double Talk." Pages 163-80 in Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- ——. "Evil Looms: Delilah—Wicked Weaver of Wiles." *CBQ* 79 (2017): 187–204.

  "A Flare for Style and Depth of Allusion: The Use of Fire and Water
- ——. "A Flare for Style and Depth of Allusion: The Use of Fire and Water Imagery in Enuma Elish Tablet I." *JAGNES* 5 (1995): 82–87.
- ——. "Fox on the Run: Catch a Lamassu by the Pun." *NABU* (1995): 101–2.
- ——. "Geminate Ballast and Clustering: An Unrecognized Literary Feature in Ancient Semitic Poetry." *JHS* 5 (2004): 1–18.
- ——. "Greek Religion and the Ancient Near East." Pages 21–37 in *The Blackwell Companion to Greek Religion*. Edited by Daniel Ogden. London: Blackwell, 1993.
- ——. "Janus Parallelism Clusters in Akkadian Literature." *NABU* (1995): 33–34.

—. "Janus Parallelism in Job and Its Literary Significance." *JBL* 115 (1996): 313-20. —. "A Janus Parallelism in the Baal and 'Anat Story." JNSL 21 (1995): 91– 94. —. Janus Parallelism in the Book of Job. JSOTSup 223. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1996. —. "A Janus Parallelism in the Gilgamesh Flood Story." ASJ 13 (1991): 419-21. —. "Jonah and Leviathan: Inner-Biblical Allusions and the Problem with Dragons." Henoch 37 (2015): 236-60. —. "Kirtu's Allusive Dream." AuOr 32 (2014): 299–316. Pages 19–38 in Approaches to Literary Readings of Ancient Jewish Texts. Edited by Karolien Vermuelen. Leiden: Brill, 2014. —. "More Geminate Ballast and Clustering." Pages 417-32 in History, Memory, and Hebrew Scriptures: Studies in Honor of Ehud Ben Zvi on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday. Edited by Ian Wilson and Diana Edelman. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2015. -. Nocturnal Ciphers: The Allusive Language of Dreams in the Ancient Near East. AOS 89. New Haven, CT: American Oriental Society, 2007. -. "On Puns and Divination: Egyptian Dream Exegesis from a Comparative Perspective." Pages 95–119 in Through a Glass Darkly: Magic, Dreams, and Prophecy in Ancient Egypt. Edited by Kasia Szpakowska. Swansea, Wales: The Classical Press of Wales, 2006. -. "Paronomasia." Pages 24-29 in vol. 3 of Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics. Edited by Geoffrey Khan. Leiden: Brill, 2013. —. "Polysemy." Pages 178–86 in vol. 3 of Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics. Edited by Geoffrey Khan. Leiden: Brill, 2013. —, ed. Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature (Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000). —. "Raining Terror: Another Wordplay Cluster in Gilgamesh Tablet XI (Assyrian Version, Il. 45–47)." NABU (1997): 39–40. —. "The Ritual Use of Linguistic and Textual Violence in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near East." Pages 33-46 in State, Power, and Violence Margo Kitts et al. RDSR 3. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz, 2010. —. "Scarlet and Harlots: Seeing Red in the Hebrew Bible." HUCA 87 (2017): 1–47. —. "Sex, Sticks, and the Trickster in Gen. 30:31–43." JANES 25 (1997): 7– —. "The Shame of Ba'al: The Mnemonics of Odium." JNSL 41 (2015): 69–

—. "Sign, Sign, Everywhere a Sign': Script, Power, and Interpretation in the Ancient Near East." Pages 143–62 in *Divination and Interpretation of* 

- Signs in the Ancient World. Edited by Amar Annus. OIS 6. Chicago: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 2010.
- —. "The Significance of the Seventh Plague." Bib 76 (1995): 532–39.
- vergent Finite Forms). Part I." JBQ 26 (1998): 12-19.
- —. "A Slip of the Reader and Not the Reed: (Infinitive Absolutes with Divergent Finite Forms). Part II." JBQ 26 (1998): 93-100.
- —. "Suffering Ambiguity in *Ludlul bēl nēmeqi*: On Erudition, Ideology, and Theology in Tablet I." BiOr 73 (2016): 613–36.
- ——. "When Animals Speak." *JANES* 34 (2020): 107–35.
  ——. "The Women of Asherah: Weaving Wickedness in 2 Kgs 23:7." *CBQ* 83 (2021): 208-19.
- —. "Word Play' in the Song of Erra." Pages 162–93 in Strings and Threads: A Celebration of the Work of Anne Draffkorn Kilmer. Edited by Wolfgang Heimpel and Gabriella Frantz-Szabó. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011.
- -. "Word Play in the Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur." ASJ 19 (1996): 169-86.
- —. "Wordplay and Translation Technique in the Septuagint of Job." AuOr 14 (1995): 33-44.
- ——. "Wordplay' in Qoheleth." *JHS* 7 (2007): 1–28.
  ——. "Yasîm-El's Sophisticated Rhetoric: A Janus Cluster in ARMT XXVI, 419, l. 10'." NABU (1995): 81-82.
- —. "The Zakkur Inscription." Pages 307–11 in The Ancient Near East: Historical Sources in Translation. Edited by Mark W. Chavalas. London: Blackwell, 2006.
- Noegel, Scott B., and Corinna E. Nichols. "Seeing Doubles: On Two of a Kind." JSOT 45 (2019): 1-12.
- Noegel, Scott B., and Gary A. Rendsburg, Solomon's Vineyard: Literary and Linguistic Studies in the Song of Songs. AIL 1. Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2009.
- Noegel, Scott B., and Kasia Szpakowska. "Word Play' in the Ramesside Dream Manual." SÄK 35 (2007): 193–212.
- Nöldeke, Theodor. Neue Beiträge zur semitischen Sprachwissenschaft. Strassburg: Trübner, 1910.
- Notarius, Tania. "Playing with Words and Identity: Reconsidering אַנַך , לָרִיב באָש, and קץ/קיץ in Amos' Visions." VT 67 (2017): 59–86.
- Noth, Martin. Die israelitischen Personnenamen im Rahmen der gemeinsemitischen Namengebung. Hildesheim: Olms, 1966.
- —. Numbers: A Commentary. Translated by James D. Martin. Philadelphia, PA: Westminster Press, 1968.
- Nougayrol, Jean. "Note sur la place des 'présages historiques' dans l'extispicine babylonienne." Annuaire EPHE (1944-1945): 5-41.

- O'Connell, Robert H. "Isaiah XIV 4b–23: Ironic Reversal through Concentric Structure and Mythic Allusion." *VT* 38 (1988): 407–18.
- O'Connor, Michael. "The Rhetoric of the Kilamuwa Inscription." *BASOR* 226 (1977): 15–29.
- Oberhuber, Karl. "Ein Versuch zum Verständnis von Atra-ḫasīs I 223 und I 1." Pages 279–81 in *Zikir Šumim: Assyriological Studies Presented to F. R. Kraus on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday*. Edited by G. van Driel et al. Leiden: Brill, 1982.
- Ofer, Yosef. "Acrostic Signatures in Masoretic Notes." VT 65 (2015): 230-46.
- Ohlert, Konrad. *Rätsel und Rätselspiele der alten Griechen*. Berlin: Mayer & Müller, 1912.
- Olmo Lete, Gregorio del. "(Bn) 'agptr / (Binu) Agaptari's House: A Functional Analysis of an Ugaritic 'Archive' (PH Room 10)." JAOS 137 (2017): 483–503.
- Olofsson, Staffan. God Is My Rock: A Study of Translation Technique and Theological Exegesis in the Septuagint. CBOT 31. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 1990.
- ——. The LXX Version: A Guide to the Translation Technique of the Septuagint. CBOT 30. Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell International, 1990.
- Ortlund, Eric. "Intentional Ambiguity in Old Testament and Ugaritic Descriptions of Divine Conflict." *UF* 38 (2006): 543–56.
- Orzech, C. D. "Puns on the Humane King: Analogy and Application in an East Asian Apocryphon." *JAOS* 109 (1989): 17–24.
- Oshima, Takayoshi. *Babylonian Poems of Pious Sufferers: Ludlul Bēl Nēmeqi and the Babylonian Theodicy*. ORA 14. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2014.
- Ottervanger, Baruch. *The Tale of the Poor Man of Nippur*. SAACT 12. Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project: Helsinki, 2016.
- Ouellette, Jean. "Le mur d'étain dans Amos VII.7-9." RB 80 (1973): 329-30.
- Pagis, Dan. A Secret Sealed: Hebrew Baroque Emblem Riddles from Italy and Holland [Hebrew]. Jerusalem: Magnes, 1986.
- ——. "Toward a Theory of the Literary Riddle." Pages 81–108 in *Untying the Knot: On Riddles and Other Enigmatic Modes*. Edited by Galit Hasan-Roken and David Shulman. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Panayotov, Strahil V. "A Ritual for a Flourishing Bordello." *BiOr* 70 (2013): 285–310.
- Paran, Meir. "Double Meanings in the Bible." [Hebrew]. *Beersheva* 1 (1973): 151–61.
- ——. Forms of the Priestly Style in the Pentateuch: Patterns, Linguistic Usages, Syntactic Structures. Jerusalem: Magness Press, 1989.
- Pardee, Dennis. "The Linguistic Classification of the Deir 'Allā Text Written on Plaster." Pages 100–105 in *The Balaam Text from Deir 'Alla Re-evaluated: Proceedings of the International Symposium Held at Leiden 21–24 August 1989.* Edited by I. Hoftijzer and G. van der Kooij. Leiden: Brill, 1991.

- -----. "Ugaritic Liturgy against Venomous Reptiles (RS 24.244)." COS 1:295–98.
- Parkinson, Richard B. "The Dream and the Knot: Contextualizing Middle Kingdom Literature." Pages 63–82 in *Definitely: Egyptian Literature.* Proceedings of the Symposium "Ancient Egyptian Literature: History and Forms," Los Angeles, March 24–26, 1995. Edited by Gerald Moers. LASM 2. Göttingen: Seminar für Ägyptologie und Koptologie, 1999.
- ——. "Imposing Words: The Entrapment of Language in The Tale of the Eloquent Peasant." *LingAeg* 8 (2000): 27–51.
- -----. "Literary Form and the *Tale of the Eloquent Peasant.*" *JEA* 78 (1992): 163–78.
- ——. The Tale of Sinuhe and Other Ancient Egyptian Poems, 1940–1640 BCE. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1997.
- ——. The Tale of the Eloquent Peasant: A Reader's Commentary. LASM 10. Hamburg: Widmaier Verlag, 2012.
- Parys, Laura. *Le récit du Papyrus Westcar: Texte, traduction et interpretation.* Textes égyptiens 1. Brussells: Safran, 2016.
- Paschalis, Michael. "Names and Death in Horace's Odes." CW 88 (1995): 181–90.
- Patterson, R. D., and M. E. Travers. "Literary Analysis and the Unity of Nahum." *GTJ* 9 (1988): 45–58.
- Paul, Shalom M. Amos. Hermeneia 30. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress, 1991.
- ——. "Decoding a 'Joint' Expression in Daniel 5:6, 16." *JANES* 22 (1993): 121–27.
- ——. "A *Double Entendre* in Job 15:32 in the Light of Akkadian." Pages 755–57 in *Emanuel: Studies in Hebrew Bible, Septuagint and Dead Sea Scrolls in Honor of Emanuel Tov.* Edited by Shalom M. Paul et al. Leiden: Brill, 2003.
- ———. "The Image of the Oven and the Cake in Hosea 7:4–1." *VT* 18 (1968): 114–20.
- ——. *Isaiah 40–66: Translation and Commentary*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2012.
- ——. "A Lover's Garden of Verse: Literal and Metaphoric Imagery in Ancient Near Eastern Love Poetry." Pages 99–110 in *Tehillah le-Moshe: Biblical and Judaic Studies in Honor of Moshe Greenberg*. Winona Lake: Eisenbrauns, 1997.
- ——. "An Overlooked *Double Entendre* in Jonah 2:5." Pages 155–57 in *The Honeycomb of the Word: Interpreting the Primary Testament with André LaCoque*. Edited by W. Dow Edgerton. Chicago: Exploration Press, 2001.
- ——. "משא מלך שרים: Hos 8:8–10 and Ancient Near Eastern Royal Epithets." ScrHier 31 (1986): 193–204.
- ——. "Plowing with a Heifer' in Judges 14:18—Tracing a Sexual Euphemism." Pages 163–67 in Sacred History, Sacred Literature: Essays on

- Ancient Israel, the Bible, and Religion in Honor of R. E. Friedman on His Sixtieth Birthday. Edited by Shawna Dolansky. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008.
- ——. "The 'Plural of Ecstasy' in Mesopotamian and Biblical Love Poetry." Pages 585–697 in *Solving Riddles and Untying Knots: Biblical, Epigraphic, and Semitic Studies in Honor of Jonas C. Greenfield.* Edited by Ziony Zevit, Seymour Gitin, and Michael Sokoloff. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1995.
- ——. "Polysemous Pivotal Punctuation: More Janus Double Entendres." Pages 369–74 in *Texts, Temples, and Traditions: A Tribute to Menehem Haran*. Edited by Michael V. Fox et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1996.
- ——. "Polysensuous Polyvalency in Poetic Parallelism." Pages 147–63 in "Sha`arei Talmon": Studies in the Bible, Qumran, and the Ancient Near East Presented to Shemaryahu Talmon. Edited by M. Fishbane and E. Tov. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1992.
- Payne, D. F. "Characteristic Word-Play in 'Second Isaiah:' A Reappraisal." *JSS* 12 (1967): 207–29.
- ------. "Old Testament Exegesis and the Problem of Ambiguity." *ASTI* 5 (1967): 48–68.
- Paz, Yakir. "From Encoding to Decoding: The AṬBḤ of R. Hiyya in Light of a Syriac, Greek and Coptic Cipher." *JNES* 74 (2015): 45–65.
- Pearce, Laurie E. Cuneiform Cryptography: Numerical Substitutions for Syllabic and Logographic Signs. PhD diss., Yale University, 1982.
- ——. "The Number-Syllabary Texts." *JAOS* 116 (1996): 453–74.
- Pedersén, Olof. Archives and Libraries in the City of Assur: A Survey of the Material from German Excavations. Part 2. SSU 8. Uppsala: Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis, 1986.
- Peeters, L. "Pour une interprétation du jeu de mots." *Semitics* 2 (1971–1972): 127–42.
- Peirsman, Yves, and Dirk Geeraerts. "Metonymy as a Prototypical Category." *CL* 17 (2006): 269–316.
- Pennant, David F. "Alliteration in Some Texts of Genesis." *Bib* 68 (1987): 390–92.
- ———. The Significance of Root Play, Leading Words and Thematic Links in the Book of Judges. PhD diss., Council for National Academic Awards, 1989.
- Pereira, Alphons S. Rodrigues. *Studies in Aramaic Poetry (c. 100 B.C.E.–c. 600 C.E.): Selected Jewish, Christian and Samaritan Poems*. SSN 34. Assen: Van Gorcum, 1997.
- ——. "Word Play in the Hymns of Ephrem the Syrian." Pages 251–65 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- Perry, Edmund Guthrie. Hymnen und Gebete an Sin. Leipzig: Hindrichs, 1907.
- Perry, Theodore A. "Cain's Sin in Gen. 4:1: Oracular Ambiguity and How to Avoid It." *Prooftexts* 25 (2005): 258–75.

- Pestman, Pieter Willem. "Jeux de déterminatifs en Démotique." *RdE* 25 (1973): 27–28.
- Peterson, Jeremiah. "A New Source for Diri Tablet 7." NABU (2007): 5-6.
- Petrain, David. "Hylas and 'silva': Etymological Wordplay in Propertius 1.20." *HSCP* 100 (2000): 409–21.
- Petrotta, Anthony J. *Lexis Ludens: Wordplay and the Book of Micah*. AUS 7; TR 105. New York: Lang, 1991.
- Peust, Carsten. *An Introduction to the Phonology of a Dead Language*. MoÄS 2. Göttingen: Peust & Gutschmidt Verlag, 1999.
- Pierret, Paul. Recueil d'inscriptions inédites du musée égyptien du Louvre. Vol. 2. Paris: F. Vieweg, 1878.
- Pinker, Aron. "Nahum 1: Acrostic and Authorship." JBQ 34 (2006): 97–103.
- Polak, Frank H. "The Discourse Structure of the Mesha Inscription: 'I-Style,' Intonation Units, and Oral Performance." Pages 407–27 in *Marbeh Ḥokmah: Studies in the Bible and the Ancient Near East in Loving Memory of Victor Avigdor Hurowitz*. Edited by Shamir Yona et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2015.
- ——. "Some Aspects of Literary Design in Ancient Near Eastern Epic." Pages 135–46 in *kinattūtu ša dārâti: Raphael Kutscher Memorial Volume*. Edited by Anson F. Rainey. TAUOP 1. Tel Aviv: Tel Aviv University, Institute of Archaeology, 1993.
- Ponchia, Simoneta. "Some Reflections on Metaphor, Ambiguity and Literary Tradition." Pages 399–407 in *Of God(s), Trees, and Scholars: Neo-Assyrian and Related Studies in Honour of Simo Parpola*. Edited by Mikko Luuko, Saana Svärd, and Raija Mattila. StudOr 106. Helsinki: Finnish Oriental Society, 2009.
- Pope, Marvin H. Job. AB 15. Garden City, NY: Doubleday, 1973.
- Porten, Bezalel. "The Root Pair שב-שוב in Jeremiah." Pages 375–82 in Hamlet on a Hill: Semitic and Greek Studies Presented to Professor T. Muraoka on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday. Edited by M. F. J. Baasten and W. Th. van Peursen. OLA 118. Leuven: Peeters, 2003.
- Porten, Bezalel, and Ada Yardeni. *Textbook of Aramaic Documents from Ancient Egypt*. Vol. 1. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1986.
- ——. *Textbook of Aramaic Documents from Ancient Egypt.* Vol. 3. Jerusalem: Magnes, 1993.
- Porter, J. Roy. "Samson's Riddle: Judges XIV, 18." JTS 13 (1962): 106-9.
- Posener, Georges. "Le mot égyptien pour désigner le 'nom magique." *RdE* 6 (1964): 214.
- Princes et pays d'Asie et de Nubie Textes hiératiques sur des figurines d'envoûtement du Moyen Empire, suivis de Remarques paléographiques sur les textes similaires de Berlin par B. can der Wall. Bruxelles: Fondation égyptologique Reine Élisabeth, 1940.
- Powell, J. Enoch. "Puns in Herodotus." CR 51 (1937): 103–5.

- Priskin, G. "Cryptic Numerals on Cubit Rods." GM 192 (2003): 61–66.
- Prouser, Ora Horn, *The Phenomenology of the Lie in Biblical Narrative*. PhD diss., Jewish Theological Seminary of America, 1991.
- Qedar-Kopfstein, Benjamin. "Paronomasia in Biblical Hebrew—Logical and Psychological Aspects" [Hebrew]. Pages 383–400 in *Moshe Goshen-Gottstein—in Memoriam*. Vol. 3 of *Studies in Bible and Exegesis*. Edited by Moshe Bar-Asher et al. Ramat Gan: Bar-Ilan University Press, 1993.
- Quack, Joachim Friedrich. *Anthologie der demotischen Literatur*. EAL 4. Münster: Münster Lit, 2007.
- ——. "The Interaction of Egyptian and Aramaic Literature." Pages 375–401 in *Judah and the Judeans in the Achaemenid Age. Negotiating Identity in an International Context*. Edited by Oded Lipschits, Gary N. Knoppers, and Manfred Oeming. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2011.
- ------. Studien zur Lehre für Merikare. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz, 1992.
- Raabe, Paul R. "Deliberate Ambiguity in the Psalter." JBL 110 (1991): 213–27.
- Rabinowitz, Isaac. "Pēsher/Pittārōn': Its Biblical Meaning and Its Significance in the Qumran Literature." *RdQ* 8 (1973): 219–32.
- ——. "The Qumran Hebrew Original of Ben Sira's Concluding Acrostic on Wisdom." *HUCA* 42 (1971): 173–84.
- ——. A Witness Forever: Ancient Israel's Perception of Literature and the Resultant Hebrew Bible. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 1993.
- Ragazzoli, Chloé. Scribes: Les artisans du texte en Égypte ancienne (1550–1000 BCE). Paris: Les Belles Lettres, 2019.
- Ramos, Melissa Dianne. *Spoken Word and Ritual Performance: The Oath and the Curse in Deuteronomy 27–28.* PhD diss., University of California, Los Angeles, 2015.
- Rankin, O. S. "Alliteration in Hebrew Poetry." JTS 31 (1930): 285–91.
- Rashwan, Hany. "Ancient Egyptian Image-Writing: Between the Unspoken and Visual Poetics." *JARCE* 55 (2019): 137–60.
- ——. "Arabic Jinās Is Not Pun, Wortspiel, Calembour, or Paronomasia: A Post-Eurocentric Approach to the Conceptual Untranslatability of Literary Terms in Arabic and Ancient Egyptian Cultures." *Rhetorica* 38 (2020): 335–70.
- ——. Comparing the Incomparable in Post-Eurocentric Poetics: Arabic Jinās in Ancient Egyptian Literature. Cairo: American University in Cairo Press, forthcoming.
- Read, Sophie. "Puns: Serious Wordplay." Pages 81–94 in *Renaissance Figures of Speech*. Edited by Sylvia Adamson, Gavin Alexander, and Katrin Ettenhuber. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- Reckendorff, Hermann. Über Paronomasie in den semitischen Sprachen: Ein Beitrag zur allgemeinen Sprachwissenschaft. Giessen: Töpelmann, 1909.
- Reckford, Kenneth J. "Horatius: The Man and the Hour." *AJP* 118 (1997): 583–612.

- Redfern, Walter. Puns. Oxford: Blackwell, 1984.
- Regt, Lénart J. de. "Wordplay in the OT." NIDB 5: 898-900.
- Řehák, Robert. Synchronní metody výkladu hebrejských proprií ve Starém zákoně a v rabínské literatuře [Synchronic Methods of Interpreting of Hebrew Proper Names in the Old Testament and Rabbinic Literature] PhD diss., Charles University, 2007.
- Reider, Joseph. "Itba' in Hebrew and Aramaic." JQR 24 (1934): 321–30.
- Reiner, Erica. *Astral Magic in Babylonia*. TAPS 85.4. Philadelphia, PA: American Philosophical Society, 1995.
- ——. "Deux cryptogrammes akkadiens." RA 63 (1969): 170–71.
- ------. "Why Do You Cuss Me?" *PAPS* 130 (1986): 1–6.
- Reis, Pamela Tamarkin. "Cupidity and Stupidity: Woman's Agency and the 'Rape' of Tamar." *JANES* 25 (1997): 43–60.
- Renaud, B. "La composition du livre de Nahum." ZAW 99 (1987): 198-218.
- Rendsburg, Gary A. "Alliteration." Pages 86–87 in vol. 1 of *Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics*. Edited by Geoffrey Khan. Leiden: Brill, 2013.
- ——. "Alliteration in the Book of Genesis." Pages 79–95 in *Doubling and Duplicating in the Book of Genesis*. Edited by Elizabeth R. Hayes and Karolien Vermeulen. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2016.
- ——. "Alliteration in the Exodus Narrative." Pages 83–100 in *Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday.* Edited by Chaim Cohen et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008.
- ——. "Bilingual Wordplay in the Bible." VT 38 (1988): 357–62.
- ———. "Confused Language as a Deliberate Literary Device in Biblical Hebrew Narrative." *JHS* 2 (1998–1999): 1–20.
- ——. "The Dialect of the Deir 'Alla Inscription." *BiOr* 50 (1993): 309–29.
- ——. "Double Polysemy in Genesis 49:6 and Job 3:6." *CBQ* 44 (1982): 48–51.
- ——. "Double Polysemy in Proverbs 31:19." Pages 267–74 in *Humanism, Culture, and Language in the Near East: Studies in Honor of Georg Krotkoff.*Edited by Asma Afsaruddin and A. H. Mathias Zahniser. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1997.
- -----. "The Egyptian Sun-God Ra in the Pentateuch." *Henoch* 10 (1988): 3–15.
- ——. "Hebrew Philological Notes (II)." *HS* 42 (2001): 187–95.
- -----. How the Bible Is Written. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 2019.
  - ——. "Janus Parallelism in Gen. 49:26." *JBL* 99 (1980): 291–93.
- ——. "Linguistic and Stylistic Notes to the Hazon Gabriel Inscription." *DSD* 16 (2009): 107–16.
- ——. "Literary and Linguistic Matters in the Book of Proverbs." Pages 111–47 in Perspectives on Israelite Wisdom: Proceedings of the Oxford Old Testament Seminar. Edited by John Jarick. LHBOTS 618. London: Bloomsbury T&T Clark, 2016.

- ——. "Literary Devices in the Story of the Shipwrecked Sailor." *JAOS* 120 (2000): 13–23.
- ——. "The Mocking of Baal in 1 Kings 18:27." CBQ 50 (1988): 414–17.
- -----. "Notes on Genesis XV." VT 42 (1992): 266–72.
- ——. "Phonology: Biblical Hebrew." Pages 101–9 in vol. 3 of *Encyclopedia of Hebrew Language and Linguistics*. Edited by Geoffrey Khan. Leiden: Brill, 2013.
- -----. "Talpiyyôt (Song 4:4)." JNSL 20 (1994): 13–19.
- ——. "Targum Onqelos to Exod 10:5, 10:15, Numb 22:5, 22:11." *Henoch* 12 (1990): 15–17.
- ——. "Variation in Biblical Hebrew Prose and Poetry." Pages 197–226 in *Built by Wisdom, Established by Understanding: Essays on Biblical and Near Eastern Literature in Honor of Adele Berlin*. Edited by Maxine L. Grossman. Bethesda, MD: University of Maryland Press, 2013.
- ----. "ואשב" in Mesha Stele, Line 12." Maarav 14 (2007): 9–25.
- "Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible: An Eclectic Collection." Pages 137–62 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature.* Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- Rendsburg, Gary A., and S. L. Rendsburg. "Physiological and Philological Notes to Psalm 137." *JQR* 83 (1993): 385–99.
- Renkema, J. "The Meaning of the Parallel Acrostics in Lamentations." VT 45 (1995): 379–82.
- Renz, Thomas. "A Perfectly Broken Acrostic in Nahum 1?" *JHS* 9 (2009): 2–26. Reymond, Eric D. "Sirach 51:13–30 and 11Q5 (=11QPsa) 21.11–22.1." *RdQ* 23 (2007): 207–31.
- ------. "The Wisdom of Words in the Wisdom of Ben Sira." *Bib* 95 (2014): 224–46.
- ——. "Wordplay in the Hebrew to Ben Sira." Pages 37–53 in *The Texts and Versions of the Book of Ben Sira: Transmission and Interpretation.* Edited by Jean-Sébastien Rey and Jan Joosten. JSJSup 150. Leiden: Brill, 2011.
- Richard, Earl. "Expressions of Double Meaning and Their Function in the Gospel of John." *NTS* 31 (1985): 96–112.
- Richardson, Scott. "The Devious Narrator of the *Odyssey*." *CJ* 101 (2006): 337–59.
- Richter, Barbara A. *The Theology of Hathor of Dendera: Aural and Visual Scribal Techniques in the Per-Wer Sanctuary*. WSEA 4. Atlanta, GA: Lockwood, 2016.
- Rick, Evelyn Patrick. "Cicero Belts Aratus: The Bilingual Acrostic at *Aratea* 317–20." *CQ* 69 (2019): 222–28.
- Rinsveld, Bernard van. "Un cryptogramme d'Amon." Pages 263–68 in *Individu, société et spiritualité dans l'Egypte pharaonique et copte: mélanges égyptologiques offerts au Professeur Aristide Théodoridès*. Edited by Christian Cannuyer and Jean-Marie Kruchten. Ath-Brüssel-Mons: Illustra, 1993.

- Ritner, Robert K. *The Mechanics of Ancient Egyptian Magical Practice*. SAOC 54. Chicago, IL: Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago, 1993.
- ——. "The Romance of Setna Khaemuas and the Mummies (Setna 1)." Pages 453–69 in *The Literature of Ancient Egypt: An Anthology of Stories, Instructions, Stelae, Autobiographies, and Poetry.* Edited by William Kelly Simpson. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2003.
- ——. "The Tale of Amasis and the Skipper." Pages 450–52 in *The Literature of Ancient Egypt: An Anthology of Stories, Instructions, and Poetry*. Edited by William Kelly Simpson. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 2003.
- Roaf, Michael, and Annette Zgoll. "Assyrian Hieroglyphs: Lord Aberdeen's Black Stone and the Prisms of Esarhaddon." *ZAW* 91 (2001): 264–95.
- Robbins, Ellen. *The Storyteller and the Garden of Eden.* Eugene, OR: Wipf & Stock, 2012.
- Roberson, Joshua. "An Enigmatic Wall from the Cenotaph of Seti I at Abydos." *JARCE* 43 (2007): 93–112.
- Roberts, J. J. M. "Double Entendre in First Isaiah." CBQ 54 (1992): 39–48.
- ——. First Isaiah: A Commentary. Hermeneia. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress, 2015.
- Robertson, O. Palmer. "The Alphabetic Acrostic in Book I of the Psalms: An Overlooked Element of Psalter Structure." *JSOT* 40 (2015): 225–38.
- Robinson, Matthew. "Looking Edgeways: Pursuing Acrostics in Ovid and Virgil." *CQ* 69 (2019): 290–308.
- Rofé, Alexander. "The Arrangement of the Laws in Deuteronomy." *ETL* 64 (1988): 265–85.
- Rollinger, Robert. "The Ancient Greeks and the Impact of the Ancient Near East: Textual Evidence and Historical Perspective (ca. 750–650 BCE)." Pages 233–64 in Mythology and Mythologies: Methodological Approaches to Intercultural Influences: Proceedings of the Second Annual Symposium of the Assyrian and Babylonian Intellectual Heritage Project Held in Paris, France, October 4–7, 1999. Edited by Robert M. Whiting. Helsinki: Neo-Assyrian Text Corpus Project, 2001.
- Rollston, Christopher. "Ad Nomen Argumenta: Personal Names as Pejorative Puns in Ancient Texts." Pages 367–86 in *In the Shadow of Bezalel: Aramaic, Biblical, and Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Honor of Bezalel Porten*. Edited by Alejandro F. Botta. Leiden: Brill, 2012.
- Römer, Willem H. P. "Der Spassmacher im alten Zweistromland, zum 'Sitz im Leben' altmesopotamischer Texte." *Persica* 7 (1975–1978): 43–68.
- ——. "Eine sumerische Hymne mit Selbstlob Inannas." *Or* 38 (1969): 97–114. Ron, Zvi. "Wordplay in Genesis 2:25–3:1." *JBQ* 42 (2014): 3–7.
- Rosenbaum, Michael. "'You Are My Servant': Ambiguity and Deutero-Isaiah." Pages 187–216 in *Bringing the Hidden to Light: Studies in Honor of Stephen A. Geller*. Edited by Kathryn F. Kravitz and Daniel M. Sharin. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2007.

- Rosenfeld, A. "An Acrostic in Lamentations 5" [Hebrew]. Sinai 110 (1992): 96.
- Rosen-Zvi, Ishay. "Measure for Measure as a Hermeneutical Tool in Early Rabbinic Literature: The Case of Tosefta Sotah." *JJS* 58 (2006): 269–86.
- Roth, Yosef. "The Intentional Double-Meaning Talk in Biblical Prose" [Hebrew]. *Tarbiz* 41 (1972): 245–54.
- Rubin, Aaron D. "Gen. 49:4 in Light of Arabic and Modern South Arabian." VT 59 (2009): 499–502.
- Rudolph, Wilhelm. "Eigentümlichkeiten der Sprache Hoseas." Pages 313–17 in Studia Biblica et Semitica: Theodoro Christiano Vriezen qui munere professoris theologiae per XXV annos functus est, ab amicis, collegis, discipulis dedicate. Edited by W. C. van Unnik and A. S. van der Woude. Wageningen: Veenman, 1966.
- Russell, E. A. *Paronomasia and Kindred Phenomena in the New Testament*. PhD diss., University of Chicago, 1920.
- ——. "Some Reflections on Humour in Scripture and Otherwise." *IBS* 13 (1991): 199–210.
- Rutz, Matthew T. "Textual Transmission between Babylonia and Susa: A New Solar Omen Compendium." *JCS* 58 (2006): 63–96.
- Sambursky, Shmuel. "On the Origin and Significance of the Term *Gematria*." *JJS* 29 (1978): 35–38.
- Sanchez, Magali De Haro, ed. Écrire la magie dans l'antiquité: Actes du colloque international (Liège, 13–15 octobre 2011). PL 5. Liège: Presses Universitaires de Liège, 2015)
- Sander-Hansen, Constantin Emil. "Die Phonetischen Wortspielen des ältesten Ägyptischen." *AO* 20 (1948): 1–22.
- Sappan, Raphael. "Literal Meaning and Metaphorical Meaning by Way of Ambiguity in Biblical Poetry" [Hebrew]. *BM* 30 (1985): 406–12.
- Sarna, N. M. "Acrostics." EncJud 1:368-69.
- Sasson, Jack M. "Another Wrinkle on Old Adapa." Pages 1–10 in *Studies in Ancient Near Eastern World View and Society Presented to Marten Stol on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*. Edited by R. J. van der Spek et al. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2008.
- ——. "The Divine Divide: re FM 2.71.5'." *NABU* (1994): 39–40.
- ——. Jonah: A New Translation with Introduction, Commentary, and Interpretation. AB 24B. New York: Doubleday, 1990.
- ——. "Literary Criticism, Folklore Scholarship, and Ugaritic Literature." Pages 81–98 in *Ugarit in Retrospect: Fifty Years of Ugarit and Ugaritic*. Edited by Gordon D. Young. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1981.
- ——. "The 'Mother of All ...' Etiologies." Pages 205–20 in 'A Wise and Discerning Mind': Essays in Honor of Burke O. Long. Edited by Saul M. Olyan and Robert C. Culley. BJS 325. Providence, RI: Brown Judaic Studies, 2000.

- ——. "Water Beneath Straw: Adventures of a Prophetic Phrase in the Mari Archives." Pages 599–608 in *Solving Riddles and Untying Knots: Biblical, Epigraphic, and Semitic Studies in Honor of Jonas C. Greenfield.* Edited by Ziony Zevit, Seymour Gitin, and Michael Sokoloff. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 1995.
- ——. "Word-Play in Genesis 6:8–9." *CBQ* 37 (1975): 165–66.
- Satzinger, Helmut. "A Pun in the Lansing Papyrus." JEA 59 (1973): 227–28.
- Sauneron, Serge. "La différenciation des langages d'après la tradition égyptienne." *BIFAO* 60 (1960): 31–41.
- Sawyer, John F. A. "The Place of Folk-Linguistics in Biblical Interpretation." Pages 109–13 in *Proceedings of the Fifth World Congress of Jewish Studies*. Edited by Pinchas Peli. Jerusalem: World Union of Jewish Studies, 1969.
- ——. "Root-Meanings in Hebrew." JSS 12 (1967): 37–50.
- Saydon, P. P. "Assonance in Hebrew as a Means of Expressing Emphasis." *Bib* 36 (1955): 36–50, 287–304.
- Schade, Aaron. "The Syntax and Literary Structure of the Phoenician Inscription of Yehimilik." *Maarav* 13 (2006): 119–22.
- ——. "A Text Linguistic Approach to the Syntax and Style of the Phoenician Inscription of Azatiwada." *JSS* 50 (2005): 35–58.
- Schäfer, G. "König der Könige"—"Lied der Lieder": Studien zum paronomastischen. Heidelberg: Abghandlungen der Hiedelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1974.
- Schaper, Joachim. *Eschatology in the Greek Psalter*. WUNT 2/76. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 1995.
- Schipper, Bernd U. *Die Erzählung des Wenamun: Ein Literaturwerk im Spannungsfeld von Politik, Geschichte und Religio.* Fribourg: Academic Press; Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 2005.
- Schipper, Jeremy. "Why Do You Still Speak of Your Affairs?' Polyphony in Mephibosheth's Exchanges with David in 2 Samuel." VT 54 (2004): 344–51.
- Schmalzl, P. "Der Reim in Hebräischen Texte des Ezechiel." *TQ* 79 (1897): 127–33.
- Schmidt, D. "Critical Note: Another Word-Play in Amos?" *Grace Theological Journal* 8 (1987): 141–42.
- Schmidt, Josef. "Die Rätselzahl 666 in Offb 13:18 Ein Lösungsversuch auf der Basis lateinischer Gematrie." *NT* 44 (2002): 35–54.
- Schmitt, Rüdiger. *Magie im Alten Testament*. AOAT 313. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2004.
- Schmitz, Philip C. "Phoenician KRNTRYŠ, Archaic Greek \*KOPYNHTHPIOΣ, and the Storm God of Aleppo." *KUSATU* 10 (2009): 119–60.
- Schneider, Thomas. "Contextualizing the Tale of the Herdsman." Pages 187–96 in *Egyptian Stories: A British Egyptological Tribute in Honour of Alan B.*

- Lloyd on the Occasion of His Retirement. Edited by Thomas Schneider and Kasia Szpakowska. AOAT 347. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2007.
- ——. "Die semitischen und ägyptischen Namen der syrischen Sklaven des Papyrus Brooklyn 35.1446 verso." *UF* 19 (1987): 255–82.
- ——. "Innovation in Literature on Behalf of Politics: The Tale of the Two Brothers, Ugarit, and 19th Dynasty History." *Ägypten und Levante* 17 (2008): 315–26.
- ——. "Mag.pHarris XII,1–5: Eine kanaanäische Beschwörung für die Löwenjagd?" *GM* 112 (1989): 53–63.
- ——. "Sinuhes Notiz über die Könige: Syrisch-anatolische Herrschertitel in ägyptischer Überlieferung." ÄL 12 (2002): 257–72.
- Schniedewind, William M. *The Finger of the Scribe: The Beginnings of Scribal Education and How It Shaped the Hebrew Bible*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2019.
- Schökel, Luis A. *A Manual of Hebrew Poetics*. SB 11. Roma: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1988.
- Schorch, Stefan. "Between Science and Magic: The Function and Roots of Paronomasia in the Prophetic Books of the Hebrew Bible." Pages 205–22 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- . Euphemismen in der Hebräischen Bibel. OBC 12. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz. 2000.
- Schott, Siegfried. Altägyptische Liebeslieder: Mit Märchen und Liebesgeschichten. Zürich: Artemis, 1950.
- . Mythe und Mythenbildung im alten Ägypten. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1945.
- Schreiner, David B. "Why ניר in Kings." *JSOT* 39 (2014): 15–30.
- Schulman, Alan R. "The So-Called Poem on the King's Chariot Revisited. Part I." *JSSEA* 16 (1986): 19–49.
- Schwemer, Daniel. "'Form Follows Function'? Rhetoric and Poetic Language in First Millennium Akkadian Incantations." *WdO* 44 (2014): 263–88.
- Scurlock, JoAnn. *Magico-Medical Means of Treating Ghost-Induced Illnesses in Ancient Mesopotamia*. AMD 3. Leiden: Brill/Styx, 2006.
- Scurlock, JoAnn, and Burton Andersen. *Diagnoses in Assyrian and Babylonian Medicine: Ancient Sources, Translations, and Modern Medical Analyses*. Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2005.
- Seeligmann, Isaac L. "Problems and Perspectives in Modern Septuagint Research." *Textus* 15 (1990): 169–232.
- Segal, David S. "Pun and Structure in Medieval Hebrew Poetry: The Case of Shmuel Hanagid." Pages 307–24 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature*. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- Seger, Nicolas. L'Utilisation de la polysémie des racines hébraïques chez Ben Sira. PhD diss., Université Strasbourg, 2005.

- Segert, Stanislav. "Aramaic Poetry in the Old Testament." *ArOr* 70 (2002): 65–79.
- -----. "Assonance and Rhyme in Hebrew Poetry." *Maarav* 8 (1992): 171–80.
- ——. "Paronomasia in the Samson Narrative in Judges XIII–XVI." VT 34 (1984): 454–61.
- Selms, J. van. "Isaiah 28, 9–13: An Attempt to Give a New Interpretation." ZAW 85 (1973): 332–39.
- Seow, Choon Leong. *Ecclesiastes: A New Translation with Introduction and Commentary*. AB 18C. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1997.
- ------. "Orthography, Textual Criticism, and the Poetry of Job." *JBL* 130 (2011): 63–85.
- Seri, Andrea. "The Fifty Names of Marduk in *Enūma eliš*." *JAOS* 126 (2006): 507–19.
- Servajean, F. *Les formules des transformations*. Le Caire: Institut français d'archéologie orientale, 2003.
- Sethe, Kurt. Die Ächtung feindlicher Fürsten, Völker und Dinge auf altägyptischen Tongefäßscherben des Mittleren Reiches: Nach den Originalen im Berliner Museum herausgegeben und erklärt, mit 33 Tafeln. Berlin: Verlag der Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1926.

- . *Urkunden der 18. Dynastie.* Vol. 1.4. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1905.
- -----. Urkunden der 18. Dynastie. Vol. 4.1. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1906.
- . Urkunden der 18. Dynastie. Vol. 4.3. Leipzig: Hinrichs, 1905.
- Sharp, Carolyn J. *Irony and Meaning in the Hebrew Bible*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2009.
- Sharpe, William D. "Isidore of Seville: The Medical Writings; An English Translation with an Introduction and Commentary." *TAPS* 54 (1964): 1–75.
- Shaviv, S. "nabi and nagid in 1 Samuel ix 1-x 16." VT 34 (1984): 108-19.
- Shea, William H. "The Carpentras Stele: A Funerary Poem." *JAOS* 101 (1981): 215–17.
- Shemesh, Yael. "Measure for Measure in Biblical Narrative" [Hebrew]. *BM* 158 (1999): 261–77.
- Shepherd, Michael B. "Is It 'To Him' or Is It 'Not'? Intentional Variation between לא and לא in the Hebrew Bible." *JSOT* 39 (2014): 121–37.
- Shirakawa, Emi. "Choice of Vocabularies: Wordplay in Ancient Egypt." Pages 133–37 in *Current Research in Egyptology 2004: Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Symposium*. Edited by R. Dann. Oxford: Oxbow Press, 2006.
- Shisha-Halevy, Ariel. "An Early North-West Semitic Text in the Egyptian Hieratic Script." *Or* 47 (1978): 145–62.
- ——. "A Shenoutean Pun and the Preservation of a Precoptic Lexemic Distinction." *JEA* 64 (1978): 141.

- Shubert, Steven Blake. "Double Entendre in the Stela of Suty and Hor." Pages 143–65 in Egypt, Israel, and the Ancient Mediterranean World: Studies in Honor of Donald B. Redford. Edited by Gary N. Knoppers and Antoine Hirsch. PÄ 20. Leiden: Brill, 2004.
- Shupak, Nili. "A Fresh Look at the Dreams of the Officials and of Pharaoh in the Story of Joseph (Genesis 40–41) in the Light of Egyptian Dreams" [Hebrew]. *JANES* 30 (2003): 103–38.
- Silberman, Lou H. "Unriddling the Riddle: A Study in the Structure and Language of the Habakkuk Pesher," *RdQ* 11 (1961): 323–64.
- Silverman, David. "Cryptographic Writing in the Tomb of Tutankhamun." *SÄK* 8 (1980): 233–36.
- Sivan, Daniel. *A Grammar of the Ugaritic Language*. HOS 1, The Near and Middle East 28. Leiden: Brill, 2001.
- Sjöberg, Ake W. *Der Mondgott Nanna-Suen in der sumerischen Überlieferung.* Stockholm: Almqvist & Wiksell, 1960.
- Skehan, Patrick W. "A Broken Acrostic and Psalm 9." CBQ 27 (1965): 1–5.
- ——. "Strophic Patterns in the Book of Job." *CBQ* 23 (1961): 125–42.
- Smith, Duane E. "The Divining Snake: Reading Genesis in the Context of Mesopotamian Ophiomancy." *JBL* 134 (2015): 31–49.
- Smith, Mark S. *Introduction with Text, Translation and Commentary of KTU 1.1–1.2.* Vol. 1 of *The Ugaritic Baal Cycle.* Leiden: Brill, 1994.
- Smith, S. H. "'Heel' and 'Thigh': The Concept of Sexuality in the Jacob-Esau Narratives." *VT* 40 (1990): 464–73.
- Sokoloff, Michael, and Joseph Yahalom, eds. *Jewish Palestinian Aramaic Poetry from Late Antiquity* [Hebrew]. Jerusalem: Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities, 1999.
- Soll, William Michael. "Babylonian and Biblical Acrostics." *Bib* 69 (1988): 305–22
- Sollberger, Edmond. "The Rulers of Lagaš." JCS 21 (1967): 279–91.
- Somerville, Ted. "Note on a Reversed Acrostic in Vergil *Georgics* 1.429–33." *CP* 105 (2010): 202–9.
- Sommer, Benjamin D. "Ambiguity and the Rhetoric of Turning in Isaiah." Pages 321–45 in *Birkat Shalom: Studies in the Bible, Ancient Near Eastern Literature, and Postbiblical Judaism Presented to Shalom M. Paul on the Occasion of His Seventieth Birthday.* Edited by Chaim Cohen et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2008.
- ——. *A Prophet Reads Scripture: Allusion in Isaiah 40–66.* Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press, 1998.
- Speiser, E. A. "Word Plays on the Creation Epic's Version of the Founding of Babylon." Pages 53–61 in *Oriental and Biblical Studies: Collected Works of E. A. Speiser*. Edited by L. J. Finkelstein and M. Greenberg. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1967.

- Spier, Jeffrey, Timothy Potts, and Sara E. Cole, eds. *Beyond the Nile: Egypt and the Classical World.* Los Angeles, CA: Paul Getty Museum, 2018.
- Spronk, Klaas. "Acrostics in the Book of Nahum." ZAW 110 (1998): 209–22.
- ——. "Shamgar ben Anat (Judg 3:31)—A Meaningful Name." *ZAW* 128 (2016): 684–87.
- Stager, Lawrence E. "Merneptah, Israel, and the Sea Peoples: New Light on an Old Relief." *EI* 18 (1985): 56\*–64\*.
- Stein, Dina. "A King, a Queen, and the Riddle Between: Riddles and Interpretation in a Late Midrashic Text." Pages 125–47 in *Untying the Knot: On Riddles and Other Enigmatic Modes*. Edited by Galit Hasan-Roken and David Shulman. New York: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Steiner, Richard C. "The Aramaic Text in Demotic Script: The Liturgy of a New Year's Festival Imported from Bethel to Syene by Exiles from Rash." *JAOS* 111 (1991): 362–63.
- ——. "Four Inner-Biblical Interpretations of Genesis 49:10: On the Lexical and Syntactic Ambiguities of מָד as Reflected in the Prophecies of Nathan, Ahijah, Ezekiel, and Zechariah." *JBL* 132 (2013): 33–60.
- ——. "Muqdam u-Me'uḥar and Muqaddam wa-Mu'aḥḥar: On the History of Some Hebrew and Arabic Terms for Hysteron Proteron and Anastrophe." JNES 66 (2007): 33–45.
- ——. "Northwest Semitic Incantations in an Egyptian Medical Papyrus of the Fourteenth Century B.C.E." *JNES* 51 (1992): 191–200.
- ——. "Papyrus Amherst 63: A New Source for the Language, Religion, and History of the Aramaeans." Pages 199–207 in *Studia Aramaica: New Sources and New Approaches*. Edited by M. J. Geller, J. C. Greenfield, and M. P. Weitzman. JJSSup 4. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1995.
- ——. "Poetic Forms in the Masoretic Vocalization and Three Difficult Phrases in Jacob's Blessing: יֶבֶא שִׁילֹה (Gen 49:3), יְצוּעִי עָלָה (Gen 49:4), and יְבּא שִׁילֹה (Gen 49:10)." *JBL* 129 (2010): 219–26.
- ——. "The Scorpion Spell from Wadi Hammamat: Another Aramaic Text in Demotic Script." *JNES* 60 (2001): 259–68.
- ——. "The Two Sons of Neriah and the Two Editions of Jeremiah in Light of the Two Atbash Code-Words for Babylon." *VT* 46 (1996): 83–84.
- Steiner, Richard C., and Charles F. Nims. "Ashurbanipal and Shamash-shum-ukin: A Tale of Two Brothers from the Aramaic Text in Demotic Script." *RB* 92 (1985): 60–81.
- ———. "You Can't Offer Your Sacrifice and Eat It Too: A Polemical Poem from the Aramaic Text in Demotic Script." *JNES* 43 (1984): 89–114.
- Stern, D. *Parables in Midrash: Narrative and Exegesis in Rabbinic Literature*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1991.
- Stetkevych, Suzanne Pinckney. "Sarah and the Hyena: Laughter, Menstruation, and the Genesis of a Double Entendre." *HR* 36 (1996): 13–41.
- Stewart, H. M. "A Crossword Hymn to Mut." JEA 57 (1971): 87–104.

- Stewart, Selina. "Apollo of the Shore': Apollonius of Rhodes and the Acrostic Phenomenon." *CQ* 60 (2010): 401–5.
- Stiebert, Johanna. *The Construction of Shame in the Hebrew Bible: The Prophetic Contribution*. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 2002.
- Stol, M. *Birth in Babylonia and the Bible: Its Mediterranean Setting.* CM 14. Groningen: Styx Publications, 2000.
- ——. "Malz." *RlA* 7 (1989): 322–29.
- Storøy, Solfrid. "On Proverbs and Riddles: Polar Word Pairs and Other Poetic Devices, and the Words for 'Poor and Needy' in the Book of Proverbs." *SJOT* 7 (1993): 270–84.
- Streck, Michael P., and Nathan Wasserman. "Dialogues and Riddles: Three Old Babylonian Wisdom Texts." *Iraq* 73 (2011): 117–25.
- Strong, S. A. "A Hymn of Nebuchadnezzar." PSBA 20 (1898): 154-62.
- ——. "On Some Babylonian and Assyrian Alliterative Texts-I." *PSBA* 17 (1895): 131–51.
- Strus, Andrzej. Nomen Omen. Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 1978.
- Sulzberger, Max. "ONOMA ΕΠΩΝΥΜΟΝ: Les noms propres chez Homère et dans la mythologie Grecque." *RdEG* 183 (1926): 381–47.
- Sweet, R. F. G. "A Pair of Double Acrostics in Akkadian." Or 38 (1969): 459–60.
- Tadmor, Hayim. "The Aramaization of Assyria: Aspects of Western Impact." Pages 449–70 in *Mesopotamien und seine Nachbarn: Politische und kulturelle Wechselbeziehungen im Alten Vorderasien vom 4. bis 1. 595 Jahrtausend v. Chr.* Edited by Hans-Jörg Nissen and Johannes Renger. BBVO 1. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer, 1982.
- Takeuchi, Shigeo. "The '*Kakekotoba*' in Hebrew Poetry: Janus Parallelism" [Japanese]. *BSNESJ* 31 (1988): 75–86.
- ——. "A Polysemous Phrase (*kakekotoba*) in Psalm 100:3" [Japanese]. *Exegetica* 10 (1999): 107–13.
- Tanghe, Vincent. "Dichtung und Ekel in Jesaja XXVIII 7–13." VT 43 (1993): 235–60.
- Tanos, Bálint. "The Polysemy and Productivity of the Formative Element *nam* in Old Babylonian Literary Sumerian." Pages 250–72 in *Analysing Literary Sumerian: Corpus-Based Approaches*. Edited by Jarle Ebeling and Graham Cunningham. London: Equinox, 2007.
- Tawil, Hayim. "Some Literary Elements in the Opening Sections of the Hadad, Zākir, and the Nērab II Inscriptions in the Light of East and West Semitic Royal Inscriptions." *Or* 43 (1974): 40–65.
- Thatcher, Thomas William. *The Riddles of Jesus in the Fourth Gospel*. PhD diss., Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, 1996.
- Thomas, D. W. "A Pun on the Name Ashdod in Zephaniah ii.4." *ExpT* 74 (1962–1963): 63.

- Tigay, Jeffrey H. "An Early Technique of Aggadic Exegesis." Pages 169–89 in *History, Historiography, and Interpretation: Studies in Biblical and Cuneiform Literatures*. Edited by Hayim Tadmor and Moshe Weinfeld. Jerusalem: Magnes, 1983.
- Tinney, Steve. "den-gi<sub>6</sub>-du-du: *muttarû rubē* A Note on Erra I 21." *NABU* (1989): 2–4.
- Toorn, Karl van der. "Echoes of Judaean Necromancy in Isaiah 28,7–22." ZAW 100 (1985): 199–217.
- Tov, Emanuel. "Loan-Words, Homophony, and Transliteration in the Septuagint." *Bib* 60 (1979): 216–36.
- ——. "The Septuagint." Pages 161–88 in Mikra: Text, Translation, Reading and Interpretation of the Hebrew Bible in Ancient Judaism and Early Christianity. Edited by Martin Jan Mulder. Assen: Royal Van Gorcum; Philadelphia, PA: Fortress, 1988.
- ——. The Text-Critical Use of the Septuagint in Biblical Research. 3rd ed. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2015.
- ——. *Textual Criticism of the Hebrew Bible*. 2nd ed. Assen: Royal Van Gorcum; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress, 2001.
- Treves, Marco. "Two Acrostic Poems." VT 15 (1965): 81-90.
- Trost, P. "Der paronomastiche-potenzierende Genitiv Pluralis." *ZSG* 10 (1935): 326–28.
- Trzaskoma, Stephen M. "Further Possibilities Regarding the Acrostic at *Aratus* 783–7." *CQ* 66 (2016): 785–90.
- Tsumura, David Toshio. "Janus Parallelism in Hab. III 4." *VT* 54 (2004): 124–28. ———. "Janus Parallelism in Nah 1:8." *JBL* 102 (1983): 109–11.
- ——. "Statement-Development-Twist-Denouement: The AA'XB Pattern in Biblical Hebrew Poetry." Pages 269–72 in *Prince of the Orient: Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Memory of H. I. H. Prince Takahito Mikasa*. Edited by Ichiro Nakata, et al. Orient Supplement 1. Tokyo: The Society for Near Eastern Studies in Japan, 2019.
- Tur-Sinai, N. H. "Metathesis in the Biblical Text" [Hebrew]. Pages 106–49 in vol. 2 of *The Language and the Book*. Jerusalem: Mosad Byalik, 1948–1955.
- Uhlig, Torsten. *The Theme of Hardening in the Book of Isaiah: An Analysis of Communicative Action.* FAT 2/39. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2009.
- Ullendorf, Edward. "Is Biblical Hebrew a Language?" *BSOAS* 34 (1971): 241–55.
- Ünal, Ahmet. "Word Play in Hittite Literature." Pages 377–88 in *Hittite Studies in Honor of Harry J. Hoffner Jr. on the Occasion of His Sixty-Fifth Birthday*. Edited by Gary Beckman, Richard Beal, and Gregory McMahon. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2003.
- Valeta, David M. "Polyglossia and Parody: Language in Daniel 1–6." Pages 91–108 in *Bakhtin and Genre Theory in Biblical Studies*. Edited by Roland Boer. Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2007.

- VanderKam, James C. "The Poetry of 1QApGen. XX, 2–8a." *RevQum* 10 (1979): 57–66.
- Vandorpe, Katelijn. *The Bilingual Family Archive of Dryton, His Wife Apollonia and Their Daughter Senmouthis (P. Dryton)*. CH 4. Brussels: Peeters, 2002.
- Vandorpe, Katelijn, and Sofie Waebens. *Reconstructing Pathyris' Archives: A Multicultural Community in Hellenistic Egypt*. CH 3. Brussels: Peeters, 2009.
- Vanstiphout, Herman L. J. "Ambiguity as a Generative Force in Sumerian Literature." Pages 155–66 in *Mesopotamian Poetic Language: Sumerian and Akkadian*. Edited by Marianna E. Vogelzang and Herman L. J. Vanstiphout. CM 6. Groningen: Styx Publications, 1996.
- ——. Epics of Sumerian Kings: The Matter of Aratta. WAW 20. Atlanta, GA: Society of Biblical Literature, 2003.
- ——. "Mihiltum, or the Image of Cuneiform Writing." Pages 152–70 in *The Image in Writing*. Edited by Hans G. Kippenberg, Van Den Bosch, L. Leertouwer, and Hazel A. Witte. VR 6. Leiden: Brill, 1988.
- ——. "Some Notes on 'Enlil and Namzitarra." RA 74 (1980): 67–71.
- Velde, Herman te. "The Egyptian God Seth as a Trickster." *JARCE* 7 (1968): 37–40.
- ——. "Egyptian Hieroglyphs as Linguistic Signs and Metalinguistic Informants." Pages 169–79 in *The Image in Writing*. Edited by Hans G. Kippenberg, Van Den Bosch, L. Leertouwer, and Hazel A. Witte. VR 6. Leiden: Brill, 1988.
- ——. "Egyptian Hieroglyphs as Signs, Symbols, and Gods." *VR* 4–5 (1985–1986): 63–72.
- Veldhuis, Nick C. A Cow of Sin. LOT 2. Groningen: Styx Publications, 1991.
- ——. "The Fly, the Worm, and the Chain." *OLP* 24 (1993): 41–64.
- ——. *History of the Cuneiform Lexical Traditions*. GMTR 6. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 2014.
- ------. "Kassite Exercises: Literary and Lexical Extracts." *JCS* 52 (2000): 67–94.
- ——. "The Poetry of Magic." Pages 35–48 in *Mesopotamian Magic: Textual, Historical, and Interpretive Perspectives*. Edited by Tzvi Abusch and Karel van der Toorn. SAMD 1. Groningen: Styx, 1998.
- Vermeulen, Karolien. "Eeny Meeny Miny Moe: Who Is The Craftiest To Go?" *JHS* 10 (2010): 1–13.
- ———. "Hands, Heads, and Feet: Body Parts as Poetic Devices in Judges 4–5." *JBL* 136 (2017): 801–19.
- . "The Intentional Use of Polysemy: A Case Study of דבר סתר (Judg 3:19)." Pages 115–36 in Approaches to Literary Readings of Ancient Jewish Texts. Edited by Karolien Vermuelen. Leiden: Brill, 2014.
- ——. "Mind the Gap: Ambiguity in the Story of Cain and Abel." *JBL* 133 (2014): 29–42.

- ——. To Play or Not to Play: The Role and Function of Wordplay in Genesis 1–11. PhD diss., University of Antwerp/Ghent University, 2013.
- -----. "To See or Not to See. The Polysemy of the Word עין in the Isaac Narratives (Gen 17–35)." JHS 9 (2009): 2–11.
- ———. "The 'Song' of the Servant—Gen 24:23." VT 61 (2011): 499–504.
- ------. "Two of a Kind: Twin Language in the Hebrew Bible." *JSOT* 47 (2012): 135–50.
- Vernus, Pascal. "Écriture du rêve et écriture hiéroglyphique." *Littoral* 7–8 (1983): 27–32.
- ——. "Name." LÄ 4 (1982): cols. 320–326.
- Vierros, Marja. Bilingual Notaries in Hellenistic Egypt: A Study of Greek as a Second Language. CH 5. Brussels: Peeters, 2012.
- Villard, Pierre. "Allusions littéraires et jeux de léttres dans les rapports des devins d'époque néo-assyrienne." Pages 427–37 in *Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East: Papers Presented at the 43rd Rencontre assyriologique internationale, Prague, July 1–5, 1996.* Edited by Jiri Prosecky. Prague: Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Oriental Institute, 1998.
- Vinson, Steve. "The Names 'Naneferkaptah,' 'Inhweret,' and 'Tabubue' in the 'First Tale of Setne Khaemwas." *JNES* 68 (2009): 283–303.
- ——. "Ten Notes on the First Tale of Setne Khaemwas." Pages 447–70 in *Honi soit qui mal y pense: Studien zum pharaonischen, grieschen-römischen und spätantiken Ägypten zu Ehren von Heinz-Josef Thissen*. Edited by Hermann Knuf, Christian Leitz, and Daniel von Recklinghausen. OLA 194. Leuven: Peeters, 2010.
- Vleeming, Sven Peter, and J. W. Wesselius. "An Aramaic Hymn from the Fourth Century B.C." *BiOr* 39 (1982): 502–9.
- Vogelzang, Marianna E. "Kill Anzu! On a Point of Literary Evolution." Pages 61–70 in *Keilschriftliche Literaturen Ausgewählte Vorträge der XXXII. Rencontre assyriologique internationale, Münster*, 8.–12.7.1985. Edited by Karl Hecker and Walter Sommerfeld. BBVO 6. Berlin: Dietrich Reimer Verlag, 1986.
- Volten, Aksel. *Demotische Traumdeutung (Pap. Carslberg XIII und XIV Verso*). AA 3. Copenhagen: Einar Munsgaard, 1942.
- Voogt, Alex de, and Irving Finkel, eds. *The Idea of Writing: Play and Complexity*. Leiden: Brill, 2010.
- Vorontsov, Illya. "Adapas Licht." Pages 795–804 in *Organization, Representation, and Symbols of Power in the Ancient Near East: Proceedings of the 54th Rencontre Assyriologique Internationale at Würzburg 20–25 July 2008*. Edited by Gernot Wilhelm. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns 2012.

- ——. "Bemerkungen zu einigen in der Komposition an.gim.dím.ma zu findenden Korrespondenzen zwischen einzelnen Trophäen von Ninurta und deren Verortung." *NABU* (2008): 31–33.
- Waard, Jan de. "Homophony' in the Septuagint." Bib 62 (1981): 551-61.
- Wainer, Zackary M. "Janus Parallelism in Šulgi V." *Bible Lands e-Review* (2013/S2): 1–7.
- Waldburg, Samuel. *Methods of (Hermeneutical) Transformations* [Hebrew]. Lemberg: Menkes, 1870.
- Waldman, N. "Some Aspects of Biblical Punning." Shofar 14 (1996): 38-52.
- Walker, Norman. "The Masoretic Pointing of Jeremiah's Pun." VT 7 (1957): 413.
- Walle, Baudouin van de. "Formules et poèmes numériques dans la littérature égyptienne." *CdE* 60 (1985): 371–78.
- . L'humour dans la littérature et l'art de l'ancienne Égypte. SABMD 4. Leiden: Nederlands Instituut voor Het Nabije Oosten, 1969.
- Walton, John H. "Vision Narrative Wordplay and Jeremiah XXIV." VT 39 (1989): 508–9.
- Wasserman, Nathan. *Style and Form in Old-Babylonian Literary Texts*. CM 27. Leiden: Brill; Boston: Styx, 2003.
- Watson, Wilfred G. E. "Allusion, Irony and Wordplay in Mic. 1, 7." *Bib* 65 (1984): 103–5.
- ——. Classical Hebrew Poetry: A Guide to Its Techniques. JSOTSup 26. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1984.
- -----. "An Example of a Multiple Wordplay in Ugaritic." *UF* 12 (1980): 443–44.
- ——. "Puns Ugaritic Newly Surveyed." Pages 117–34 in *Puns and Pundits:* Wordplay in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- ——. "Quasi-Acrostics in Ugaritic Poetry." UF 12 (1980): 445–47.
- -----. "Reversed Rootplay in Ps. 145." *Bib* 62 (1981): 92–95.
- -----. "The Pivot Pattern in Hebrew, Ugaritic, and Akkadian Poetry." ZAW 68 (1976): 239–53.
- . *Traditional Techniques in Classical Hebrew Verse*. JSOTSup 170. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic, 1994.
- ——. "Ugaritic Poetry." Pages 165–92 in *Handbook of Ugaritic Studies*. Edited by W. G. E. Watson and N. Wyatt. HdO 39. Leiden: Brill, 1999.
- Wazana, Nili. "Wordplays in the Visions of Amos" [Hebrew]. Pages 101–21\* in *Marbeh Ḥokmah: Studies in the Bible and the Ancient Near East in Loving Memory of Victor Avigdor Hurowitz*. Edited by Shamir Yona et al. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2015.
- Weber-Lehnherr, Beat. "'Nomen est omen.' Einige Erwägungen zu Gen 32,23–33 und seinem Kontext." *BN* 61 (1992): 76–83.

- Wee, John Z. "Pan-Astronomical Hermeneutics and the Arts of the Lamentation Priest." *ZAW* 107 (2017): 236–60.
- Weidner, Ernst F. "Der Zug Sargons von Akkad nach Kleinasien: Die älttesten geschichtlichen Beziehungen zwischen Babylonien und Hatti." *BS* 6 (1922): 5–99.
- ——. "Geheimschrift." *RlA* 3 (1957): 185–91.
- Weil, Gerard, ed. *Massorah Gedolah*. 2nd ed. Rome: Pontifical Biblical Institute, 2001.
- ——. "Prolegomenon: Nehardea, Sura, Tiberias—From Rab Hamnuna's Massorah to the Massorah Magna." In *The Massorah Magna: Part One, Massoretic Dictionary or the Massorah in Alphabetic Order*. Edited by S. Frensdorff. New York: Ktav, 1968.
- Weinstock, Leo I. Onomatopoeia and Related Phenomena in Biblical Hebrew: A Survey of Certain Correlations between Sound and Meaning in the Lexical and Phonological Levels of a Semitic Language. PhD diss., University of Pennsylvania, 1979.
- ——. "Sound and Meaning in Biblical Hebrew," *JSS* 28 (1983): 49–62.
- Weis, Pinkas R. "The Date of the Habakkuk Scroll." JQR 41 (1950): 125-54.
- Weiser, Asher. "Double Meanings in the Book of Isaiah" [Hebrew]. *BM* 20 (1964): 25–32.
- ——. "Letter Reversal as an Expression of Reversal of Meaning in the Bible" [Hebrew]. Pages 226–63 in *The Study of the Bible in Memory of Tzvi Karl*. Edited by A. Weiser and B. Z. Lourie. Jerusalem: Qiryat Sefer, 1960.
- ——. "Wordplay in the Book of Isaiah" [Hebrew]. BM 20 (1964): 25–32.
- -----. "Wordplay in the Book of Proverbs" [Hebrew]. PIBRS 7 (1959): 140–47.
- Weiss, Raphael. "Derivation of Names in the Book of Chronicles" [Hebrew]. Pages 90–91 in *Biblical Essays: The Bible in Qumran, the Samaritan Pentateuch*. Jerusalem: Rubenstein, 1976.
- ——. "Paronomasia in the Bible" [Hebrew]. Pages 162–89 in *Biblical Essays: The Bible in Qumran, the Samaritan Pentateuch*. Jerusalem: Rubenstein, 1976.
- Wente, Edward F. *Letters from Ancient Egypt*. WAW 1. Atlanta, GA: Scholars Press, 1990.
- Wernberg-Moller, P. "The Pronoun 'tmh and Jeremiah's Pun." VT 6 (1956): 315–16.
- Wesselius, Jan-Wim. "Language Play in the Old Testament and in Ancient North-West Semitic Inscriptions: Some Notes on the Kilamuwa Inscription." Pages 253–65 in The Old Testament in Its World: Papers Read at the Winter Meeting, January 2003, The Society for Old Testament Study and at the Joint Meeting, July 2003, The Society for Old Testament Study and Het Oudtestamentisch Werkgezelschap in Nederland en België. Edited by Robert P. Gordon and Johannes C. de Moor. OS 52. Leiden: Brill, 2005.

- West, Martin L. "Ancient Near Eastern Myths in Classical Greek Religious Thought." Pages 33–42 in *Civilizations of the Ancient Near East*. Edited by Jack M. Sasson. New York: Scribner, 1995.
- ——. "Magnus and Marcellinus: Unnoticed Acrostics in *The Cyranides*." *CQ* 32 (1982): 480–81.
- Westenholz, Joan Goodnick. "Thoughts on Esoteric Knowledge and Secret Lore," Pages 451–62 in *Intellectual Life in the Ancient Near East: Papers Presented at the 43rd Rencontre Assyriologique Internationale, Prague, July 1–5, 1996.* Edited by Jiri Prosecky. Prague: Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Oriental Institute, 1998.
- Westhuizen, J. P., van der. "Assonance in Biblical and Babylonian Hymns of Praise." *Semitics* 7 (1980): 81–101.
- Wilcke, Claus. "Formale Gesichtspunkte in der sumerischen Literatur." Pages 205–316 in *Sumerological Studies in Honor of Thorkild Jacobsen on His Seventieth Birthday, June 7, 1974*. Edited by Stephen J. Lieberman. AS 20. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1975.
- Williams, Michael James. *Deception in Genesis: An Investigation into the Morality of a Unique Biblical Phenomenon*. SBL 32. New York: Lang, 2001.
- Williams, R. J. "The Sages of Egypt in the Light of Recent Scholarship." *JAOS* 101 (1981): 1–19.
- Williamson, H. G. M. "Sound, Sense and Language in Isaiah 24–27." *JJS* 46 (1995): 1–9.
- Winand, Jean. "The *Report of Wenamun*: A Journey in Ancient Egyptian Literature." Pages 541–59 in *Ramesside Studies in Honour of K. A. Kitchen*. Mark Collier and Steven Snape. Bolton: Rutherford, 2011.
- Winitzer, Abraham. "Assyriology and Jewish Studies in Tel Aviv: Ezekiel among the Babylonian *Literati*." Pages 163–216 in *Encounters by the Rivers of Babylon: Scholarly Conversations between Jews, Iranians and Babylonians in Antiquity*. Edited by U. Gabbay, S. Secunda. TSAJ 160. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2014.
- ——. "Etana in Eden: New Light on the Mesopotamian and Biblical Tales in Their Semitic Context." *JAOS* 133 (2013): 441–65.
- Winter, Erich. "Die Rolle der Kryptographie in der ägyptischen Hieroglyphenschrift." *ADVGM* 8 (1966): 7–10.
- Wiseman, D. J. "A New Text of the Babylonian Poem of the Righteous Sufferer." *AnSt* 30 (1980): 101–7.
- Wolde, Ellen van. "A Text Semantic Study of the Hebrew Bible, Illustrated with Noah and Job." *JBL* 113 (1994): 19–35.
- Wolters, Al. "An Allusion to Libra in Daniel 5." Pages 291–306 in *Die Rolle der Astronomie in den Kulturen Mesopotamiens; Beiträge zum 3. Grazer Morgenländischen Symposium, 23.–27. September, 1991.* Edited by Hannes D. Galter. GMS 3. Graz: GrazKult, 1993.
- ——. "The Riddle of the Scales in Daniel 5." *HUCA* 57 (1991): 155–77.

- —. "Sopîyyâ (Prov 31:27) as a Hymnic Participle and Play on Sophia." JBL 104 (1985): 577–87.
- —. "Untying the King's Knots: Physiology and Wordplay in Daniel 5." *JBL* 110 (1991): 117-22.
- ----. "Wordplay and Dialect in Amos 8:1–2." *JETS* 31 (1988): 407–10.
  ----. "Wordplay in Zechariah." Pages 223–30 in *Puns and Pundits: Wordplay* in the Hebrew Bible and Ancient Near Eastern Literature. Edited by Scott B. Noegel. Bethesda, MD: CDL Press, 2000.
- Wong, Gregory T. K. "Psalm 73 as Ring Composition." Bib 97 (2016): 16–40.
- Worthington, Martin. Ea's Duplicity in the Gilgamesh Flood Story: The Ancient Word. London: Routledge, 2019.
- Wright, Addison G. "Additional Numerical Patterns in Qoheleth." CBQ 45 (1983): 32-43.
- —. "The Riddle of the Sphinx: The Structure of the Book of Qoheleth." *CBQ* 30 (1968): 313–34.
- —. "The Riddle of the Sphinx Revisited: Numerical Patterns in the Book of Qoheleth." CBO 42 (1980): 38-51.
- Wright, Richard M. "Egyptian np3p3: A Cognate for Ugaritic mpr 'convulsion." UF 26 (1994): 539–41.
- Wynkoop, J. D., and P. Van den Biesen. "A Peculiar Kind of Paronomasia in the Talmud and Midrash." JQR 2 (1911): 2-23.
- Yeivin, Israel. Introduction to the Tiberian Masorah. Translated by E. J. Revell. Missoula, MT: Scholars Press, 1980.
- Yeivin, Shemuel. "Studies in Comparative Egypto-Semitic." *Kêmi* 6 (1969): 63–
- Yellin, David. "The Full Controlym in the Bible" [Hebrew]. Leshonenu 5 (1938): 276–94.
- —. "On Biblical Rhetoric" [Hebrew]. Pages 1–149 in Selected Writings. Vol. 2. Jerusalem: Kiryat Sepher, 1939.
- —. "Paronomasia in the Bible" [Hebrew]. Leshonenu 5 (1933): 274–94.
- —. "Polysemy in the Bible" [Hebrew]. *Tarbiz* 5 (1933): 1–17.
- Yogev, Jonathan. "Visual Poetry in the Ugaritic Tablet KTU 1.2." UF 46 (2015): 447–53.
- Yogev, Jonathan, and Shamir Yona. "Opening Alliteration in Biblical and Ugaritic Poetry." ZAW 127 (2015): 108–13.
- —. "A Poetic Letter: The Ugaritic Tablet RS 16.265." SEL 31 (2014): 49– 56.
- —. "Visual Poetry in the Ugaritic Tablet KTU 1.4." JANES 33 (2018): 203–
- Yona, Shamir. "Rhetorical Features in Talmudic Literature." HUCA 77 (2006):
- -. "A Type of Expanded Repetition in Biblical Parallelism." ZAW 119 (2007): 586–601.

- Youngblood, Ronald. "Divine Names in the Book of Psalms: Literary Structures and Number Patterns." *JANES* 19 (1989): 171–81.
- Younger, K. Lawson. "Panammuwa and Bar-Rakib: Two Structural Analyses." *JANES* 18 (1986): 91–103.
- Zába, Zbynëk. Les Maximes de Ptahhotep. Prague: Éditions de l'Académie Tchécoslovatique des Sciences, 1956.
- Žabkar, Louis V. *A Study of the Ba Concept in Ancient Egyptian Texts*. SAOC 34. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 1968.
- Zakovitch, Yair. *Duplicated Midrashic Name Derivations* [Hebrew]. Master's thesis, Hebrew University, 1972.
- -----. "Explicit and Implicit Name-Derivations." HAR 4 (1980): 167–80.
- ——. "The Status of the Synonymous Word and the Synonymous Name in the Creation of Midrashic Name Derivations" [Hebrew]. *Shenaton* 2 (1977): 100–115.
- ——. "A Study of Precise and Partial Derivations in Biblical Etymology." *JSOT* 15 (1980): 31–50.
- ——. "Yabbok, Peniel, Mahanaim, Bethel: Name Midrashim as Reflections of Ideological Struggles" [Hebrew]. *Ariel* 100–101 (1994): 191–204.
- Zalcman, Lawrence. "Ambiguity and Assonance at Zephaniah II 4." VT 36 (1986): 365–71.
- -----. "Prov 5, 19c: שגיאות מי יבין." ZAW 115 (2003): 433–34.
- Zandee, Jan. An Ancient Egyptian Crossword Puzzle. Leiden: Ex Oriente Lux, 1966.
- ——. "Das Schöpferwort im alten Ägypten." Pages 33–66 in *Verbum: Essays on Some Aspects of the Religious Function of Words, Dedicated to Dr. H. W. Obbink.* Edited by T. P. van Baaren et al. STRT 6. Utrecht, Holland: Drukkerij en Uitgeverij, 1964.
- ——. *De Hymnen aan Amon van Papyrus Leiden I 350*. OudMed 28. Leiden: Rijksmuseum van Oudheiden, 1947.
- Zevit, Ziony. "Cognitive Theory and the Memorability of Biblical Poetry." Pages 199–212 in *Let Your Colleagues Praise You: Studies in Memory of Stanley Gevirtz*. Part 2. Edited by Robert J. Ratner et al. Rolling Hills Estates, CA: Western Academic Press, 1993.
- ——. Subtle Citation, Allusion, and Translation in the Hebrew Bible. Sheffield: Equinox, 2017.
- Zgoll, Annette. *Der Rechstfall der En-hedu-Ana im Lied nin-me-šara*. AOAT 246. Münster: Ugarit-Verlag, 1997.
- Zorzi, Nicla De. *La Serie Teratomantica Šumma Izbu: Testo, Tradizione, Orizzonti Culturali*. Vols. 1–2. HANEM 15. Padova: S.A.R.G.O.N. Editroce e Libreria, 2014.
- ——. "Literature as Scholarship: Some Reflections on Repetition with Variation and the Construction of Meaning in Šamas Hymn 112–117." *KASKAL*:

Rivista di storia, ambienti e culture del Vicino Oriente Antico 16 (2019): 159–82.

- Zurro, Eduardo. "Disemia de *brḥ* y paralelismo bifronte en Job 9,25." *Bib* 62 (1981): 546–47.

## **ANCIENT SOURCE INDEX**

Akkadian		Curse of Akkade	
Annals of Sargon II	186	20	80
_			
ARMT 26, Letter from	Mari	Cuthah Legend	
9–21	65–67	121	281
Atra-ḫasis Epic		Descent of Ishtar	
1.39-40	274	8–9	89
1.74–77	274	13–61	166
1.93	243	21	166
1.147	274	29	89
1.159-161	274	32	295
1.223-224	102	33	89
1.225-226	133-34	40	166
3.viii.9–17	177	50	166
		52	166
Babylonian Theodicy		66	166
140–142	220	75	166
		122	166
Code of Hammurapi			
4.53–54	111	Dialogue of Sag	gil-kinnam-ubbib
196–201	137		117
190 201	10,		11,
Contest between the T	amarisk and	Enuma Elish	197
the Palm		1.14	166
5–6	287–88	1.42-43	288
		1.101–102	270
Counsels of Wisdom		4.13–16	254
38	141	4.57	273
81–83	141	4.138–40	37–38
0. 00	1.1	6.7–8	254–55
		7	34

7.02.04	106	T	
7.83–84	186	Instructions of Amenemope	200
7.95–96	44	5.10	288
7.121	166		288–89
7.145–146	315	12.5	289
F ' 60'1 1		12.7	289
Epic of Gilgamesh	2.42	12.10	289
1.18	243	12.17	289
1.35–36	147	13.1	289
1.37–39	251–52	14.8	289
1.65–66	165–66	14.14	289
1.86	243	14.15	289
1.130–132	257	14.16	289
1.180	243	15.13	289
1.186	88	14.14	289
1.192, 195	88, 243		248–49
1.i.7–14	119–20	22.11	289
1.iv.37, 44	92	22.13	289
2.iii.23	92	22.17	289
2.133	287	22.20	289
2.222, 226	103	22.22	289
3.211	58		
5.134–135	103	Instructions of Shuruppak	
6.42-43	59	255	157
6.46-50	59		
7.141–144	207	Legend of Sargon, King of E	Battle
11.14	178	recto 16–17	262
11.25–27	182	verso 17–18	62
11.43-47	80, 197		
		Ludlul bēl nēmeqi	242
Epic of Zimri-Lim		colophon	219
1.24	92	1.55	248
		1.18–20	176–77
Hymn to the God Sham	nash	3.7–8	287
36–37	254	5.49	197–98
97–98	157, 171		
136–137	254	Maqlû	
163	233	1.126–130	147
165	248		
178–181	243–44	Marduk's Address to Demon	s 186
180	273–74		100
	_,_ , ,	King's Prism	
Inanna and Ebih		col. 1, 1. 10–11	54
41–44	79–80	1, 1, 10 11	<i>-</i> 1
	, , , , ,		

	Ancient Sc	ource Index	403
Oracle Text from Ishcali		92	271
1–26	202-3	93	92
	-0- 0		
Poem of the Righteous Suf	fferer	YOS 11:19	
1.2.4	135	13–14	148
1.9–13	135–36		
2.114	136	YOS 11:92	
		28–31	284
Sargon of Agade			
43	91	Aramaic	
a 1 "1 1 1 1		Aramaic Levi Document	110
Sennacherib's Annals	(2, 2(2	XI, 8	110
2.1–2	62, 262	XII, 4	110
2.24–25	138	XIII, 2	294
čivi sa 111 1	111	XIII, 11	260
Šitti-Marduk kudurru	111	1. (T	
C		b. 'Eruvin 65b	261
Song of Erra	102	030	201
1.21 1.58	103 58	b. Gittin	
	38 75	71a	217
1.132 1.150–152	271	/1a	217
1.170	75	b. Ḥagigah	
1.170	75 75	2b	217
4.76–77	75 75	20	217
4.123–125	62–63	b. Ketubbot	
5.53, 58	75	10b	96
III D 5–7	284	100	70
III B 0 ,	201	b. Nedarim	
Šumma Izbu		32a	213
6.42	118		
22.86	118	b. Sanhedrin	
		89b	308
Tale of Adapa			
B 29′–33′	80	b. Shabbat	
B 60′–62′	80-81	77b	217
Tale of the Poor Man from	n Nippur	Genesis Apocryphon	
	72–73	XX, 1–3	294
8	38, 277	XX, 2–10	305–6
29, 35	273		
67–68	138	MS 2053/278	
		9–10	232

The Moon Was Chosen 21–22	251	Autobiographical Eulogy of Intef- son-of-Min 44-45
Panammuwa	169	Autobiography of Ahmose
		1–11 64
Pesher Habakkuk		35 64
XIII, 9	124	
		Autobiography of Ankhtifi
Pesher Nahum		1a.2–3
3–4, I, 4–6	272	
3–4, III, 1–5	124	Book of the Cow of Heaven 104
3–4, III, 8–9	124	
		Book of the Dead
Proverbs of Ahiqar		Spell 99 266
1:89	164	
C1 1:126, 128–129	116, 140	Book of Thoth
C1 1:165	192–93	col. 3, l. 14–15
C1 1:177	46	
		Chronicle of Thutmosis III, Karnak
Sefire Treaty		86–87 289–90
1.A.22–23	265	90 67–68
3.A.4–6	260	
		Coffin Texts, Spell 80
Targum Pseudo-Jonathan		§33d 104
1 Sam 13:12	158–59	
2 Sam 22	286	Coffin Texts, Spell 1130
		§465a 104
The World Trembled		
6	110	Complaint of Khakheperresonbe
		2–3 311
Deir 'Alla		
Deir 'Alla Inscription		Contendings of Horus and Seth
7–8	265	recto 1.2 81
10	277	recto 3.7 262
		recto 4.2 83
Egyptian		recto 5.7, 10 81–82
Admonitions of Ipuwer		recto 6.8–12 82
3.12	172	recto 7.4–8 82
6.1	58	recto 9.9 82
12.5–6	263–64	recto 10.8, 9 82
12.13–14	268, 281	recto 11.3 82
14.2	275	recto 12.2–4 82–83
14.14–15.1	275	recto 13.9 83

Demotic Chronicle		Merneptah Stela	
2.11	172-73	1.26	187
4.21	104		
5.23-24	93	P.Anastasi I	
		17.7–8	187
Destruction of Mankind		19.7	188
14–15	105	20.4	188
14 15	103	26.1	188
Dispute between a Man a	nd His Ro	20.1	100
72–75	64	P.Chester Beatty I	266
78–80	64	1. Chester beatty 1	55–56
	-	2.10–3.1	
96–97	64		178
102–103	64–65	C 4.10–5.1	182–83
Edwin Smith Surgical Pa	ormic	P.Chester Beatty III	
	122	r.5.22	39
10.19–21	122	1.3.22	39
Harper Song		P.Chester IV, BM 10684	
7	257	verso 2.5–3.11	148
15	57	verso 8.6–7	148
13	37	verso 8.0–7	140
Hatshepsut's Expedition	to Punt	P.Harris 500	
49.6–7	281	1	114
50.6	281	2.2–3	257
52.9	281	5.3–5.4	233
32.)	201	В	127
Hymn to Amun	267		
,		P.Turin 1996	
Hymn to Amun-Ra		2.5	288
1.7	244	2.6	288
1.10	249	2.8	288
1.10	247	2.10	288
Hymn to Aton		2.13	288
Hymn to Aten	104		
col. 6	104	2.14	288
Instructions of Ptahhotep		P.Westcar	
90–98	235–37	2.22–23	172
281–297	141–42	3.13–14	167, 172
330	160–61	3.15	172
330	100-01	3.24	172
Memphite Theology 54-	55 148 40	4.2–5	257
14b-c	93	4.2–3 7.4–5	171
55			289
33	161	8.20–23	289

0.0.5		D 11.5 CD	' T G 11 440
8.25–9.1	171–72	Pyramid Texts of Pe	
10.16–17	105	§815a	167
10.20	244		
10.23–24	105	Pyramid Texts of Pe	
		§819a	105
Poem of Victory in	Honor of		
Ramesses III		Pyramid Texts of Ur	nas, Spell 217
3–4	263	§152a	167
1.2-2.3	105	§152d	167
3.8-3.9	105	§153a	167
	100	§155b–c	167
Poem on the King's Cha	ariot		
recto 6–14	271–72	Pyramid Texts of Ur	as, Spell 236
verso 1–3, 14–16	271–72	§240	278
verso 1–3, 14–10	2/1-/2	8240	270
Poetical Stela of Thutm	osis III	Pyramid Texts of Ur	nas, Spell 253
4	40, 290	§275	59–60
8	290	0	
9	60, 244	Pyramid Texts of Ur	nas Spell 273
10	105, 244	§506	275
18	*	§509	274–75
	290	v	
20	290	§511	275
22	39	§519	255
23	149		
23–24	290–91	Ramesside Dream M	·Ianual
24	138–39	recto 2.8–9	114
		recto 2.22	92
Prayer of Paheri		recto 3.4	120
15–16	138	recto 4.16	92
144–145	138		
111 110	130	Stela of Neferabu (B	kM 589)
Prophecy of Nefertiti		verso 6–7	244
13	32	VC130 0 /	211
24–25	40	Stela of Ramesses II	A by Cimab al
26	40	C 20 II. 9–10	105
51	244		
64–65	288	Tale of Setna I	20
		3.6	39
Pyramid Texts, Spell 58		5.9	39
§1543–1544	92–93	5.19	39, 161
		5.22	39
Pyramid Texts, Spell 67	70	5.23	161
§1978	266	5.25	161

	Ancient So	urce Index	407
Tale of Sinuhe		4.6, 8	263
8	106	7.8	263
24–25	275	10.7	263
56–59	63–64	11.1	263
110	40	12.4, 6–8, 10	263
110–112	268		
189	281	Tale of Wenamun	
252	63	1.10	166
276	106	1.13	166
B 310	236	1.15	106
		1.36–38	166
Tale of the Eloquent Peasa	nnt	1.39-40	93
85–86	257	1.42	93
122–123	274	2.15	166–67
167–168	67	2.52	167
199–201	172	2.69	167, 301
219	187	2.70	167
B1 272-273	76	2.72	288
B1 294-96	76		
B1 316	76	Temple of Esna	216
350	67	•	
		Theology of Hathor	of Dendera
Tale of the Shipwrecked S	ailor	204, 23	34, 237, 311
1	112		
2	306	Tomb of Qenamun	
9–10	57	11	263
10	306		
16	306	Greek	
28–30	258	Aristotle, Poetics	
29	306	1461a25	233
59–60	60		
66	63	Aristotle, Rhetorica	
72	60	1404b	261
103	204	1410b1	248
103–108	252–53		
106–107	204	Artemidorus Daldianus,	
124	63, 164	Oneirocritica	
181	63	3.34	211
183	112	4.23	273
188–189	111–12	4.24	211
Tale of Two Brothers			
1 are of 1 wo bromers	262		

263

1.2

Athanasius, Apologia ad Constantium imperatorem 2035.011	215	Genesis Rabbah 18:6 31:8	22 22
Demetrius Phalereus, <i>Demetri</i> Style 26	rius on 248	m. Kelim 21:2	191
20	240	Latin	
Democritus, Fragments		Cicero, De Divinatione	
26	25	2.54.111	218
Dionysius of Halicarnassus,		Cicero, De Oratore	
Antiquitates Romanae	•40	2.63.256	25
4.62	218	Hamma and afternoon On	
Johannas Chrysastam Ad		Hermogenes of Tarsus, On Invention	
Johannes Chrysostom, Ad Innocentium papam		4.3	29
2062.094	215	7.3	2)
2002.074	213	Hermogenes of Tarsus, Peri	i Ideon
Leonidas of Tarentum, Epigra	ams	1.12	254
Anthologia Graeca 6.321	211		
		Martianus Capella, Gram	ımaticus
Papyri Graecae Magicae		Latinus	
13.107	273	5.167	241
Scholiasts to Apollonius Rh	nodius,	Quintilian, <i>Institutio Orator</i>	.i
Argonautica		9.3.66	22
	165	9.3.66 9.3.68	22 164–65
Argonautica 1.746		9.3.66	22
Argonautica 1.746 Hebrew		9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77	22 164–65
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira	165	<ul><li>9.3.66</li><li>9.3.68</li><li>9.3.77</li><li>Rutilius Lupus, <i>de Figuris</i></li></ul>	22 164–65
Argonautica 1.746 Hebrew	165 259	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77 Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis	22 164–65
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21	165 259 239	<ul><li>9.3.66</li><li>9.3.68</li><li>9.3.77</li><li>Rutilius Lupus, <i>de Figuris</i></li></ul>	22 164–65 248
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21	165 259	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77 Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis	22 164–65 248
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21 6:22	259 239 74–75	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77  Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis 1.3  Moabite	22 164–65 248
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21 6:22 8:8	259 239 74–75 143	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77 Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis 1.3	22 164–65 248
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21 6:22 8:8 13:10	259 239 74–75 143 169 163 22	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77  Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis 1.3  Moabite Mesha Stele (KAI 181)	22 164–65 248 25 109 57
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21 6:22 8:8 13:10 15:13–30	259 239 74–75 143 169 163 22 259	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77  Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis 1.3  Moabite Mesha Stele (KAI 181) 1–4	22 164–65 248 25
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21 6:22 8:8 13:10 15:13–30 25:8 40:13 47:17	259 239 74–75 143 169 163 22 259 28	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77  Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis 1.3  Moabite Mesha Stele (KAI 181) 1–4 12–13 17 23–24	22 164–65 248 25 109 57 276–77 246
Argonautica 1.746  Hebrew Ben Sira 4:9 4:21 6:22 18:8 13:10 15:13–30 25:8 40:13	259 239 74–75 143 169 163 22 259	9.3.66 9.3.68 9.3.77  Rutilius Lupus, de Figuris sententiarum et elocutionis 1.3  Moabite Mesha Stele (KAI 181) 1-4 12-13 17	22 164–65 248 25 109 57 276–77

Phoenician		Shulgi P	404
Azitawadda (KAI 26)		b 38–39	101
A6–7	246		
B12–13	247	Song of the Hoe	71
B16–17	163	26–27	196
C1.7	109	61	196
		83–84	196–97
Kilamuwa (KAI 24)		98	196
2–5	170		
11–16	170	Sumerian Riddles	125–127
Sumerian		Tale of Enlil and Nam	zitarra
The Class Reunion	71	3	144
		12–18	144-45
Enheduanna, Ninmešarra			
-	4–35, 181	Tale of the Three Ox	Drivers from
1–5	283	Adab	71
16	262		
55–56	159	Ugaritic	
		CAT 1.1	
Gudea's Cylinder		ii.1	281
A 2.20	100	ii.21–22	281
B 6.21–22	100	iii.10	281
B 12.26–13.2	283	iii.18	281
B 12.20-13.2	263	iii.24–25	223
Hymn to Inanana		111.24-23	223
14–17	176	CATIO	
14-1/	1/0	CAT 1.2 i.17	0.4
I			94
Lugulbanda Epic	206.07	i.36–37	285
2.87	286–87	iii.5–6	223
26.1 00.1: 127.1	•	iii.15–16	250
Myth of Enki and Ninhurs	-	iv.7–27	100
250–268	101	iv.8–10	258
		iv.10	245, 285
Return of Ninurta		iv.15–17	224
92–93	248	iv.17	107
94–97	165		
		CAT 1.3	
Rulers of Lagash	71	i.4–7	275
		ii.6–7	255
Shulgi D (Self Praise of S	'hulgi)	iii.29	291
216–218	165	iv.r.1	291
		iv.11	281

CAT 1.4		iii.18	268
iv.1	291	iii.24	209
iv.14-18	41, 178, 291	iii.29	268
iv.23-25	223	iii.36	209
iv.38-39	162	iv.19-22	221
v.54–55	291	iv.21	76, 209
vi.4-5	255	iv.38-39	112
vii.45–59	292		
vii.49–52	179, 255–256	CAT 1.15	
	,	iv.14-16	222
CAT 1.5		v.19–21	107
i.7–15	167–68	,	
ii.6	234	CAT 1.16	
v.8–9	208	i.12–17	222–23
vi.15	234	i.41–42	205
vi.18–20	208	i.46–48	205
vi.28–30	77	i.48–49	291–92
V1.20 30	, ,	ii.35–39	223
CAT 1.6		iii.9–14	76–77
i.34–36	223	v.12, 15, 18	264
ii.30–35	221–22	v.21, 28, 50	264
iv.1–3	106	v.24–25	264
iv.12–14	106	vi.22–23	56–57
v.1–4	106–7	vi.35–36	90, 173
vi.14–16	223	V1.33 30	70, 175
vi.14-10 vi.16–22	223–224	CAT 1.17	
VI.10 22	223 221	ii.30–45	292
CAT 1.14		vi.30–32	258
i.40–42	112	V1.30 32	230
i.16–17	107	CAT 1.18	
ii.23–24	89–90, 173	i.13–15	107
ii.23–27	178–79	iv.14	107
ii.24–26	237	iv.17	107
ii.27–29	189	iv.22	292
ii.30–31	208	iv.23	292
ii.36–42	208–9	iv.25–26	168
ii.39, 40	268	11,20 20	100
ii.43	76	CAT 1.19	
ii.45–46	89, 173	i.36–42	183–84
ii.48	209	i.44–46	60, 184
iii.2–4	209	ii.1–5	94
iii.4	268	iii.5, 20, 34	281
iii.10–12, 14–15	209	111.0, 20, 0 1	201
	20)		

Ancient	Courses	Indox
ANCIPNI	NINTER	maex

CAT 1.22	
i.4–8	245
i.17	245
CAT 1.23	
33–35, 37	162
40	162
48-49	162
CAT 1.96	
5–13	249-50
12–13	278-79
CAT 1.100	
65–67	149-50
73–76	94
CAT 1.169	
1	249
1–6	150
5	249

## BIBLICAL SOURCE INDEX

	15:1	179
286	16:11	217, 234
134	17:4–5	217
134	18:5	180
83	19:21	121
45	19:32	109
83	19:34	109
83	19:36	109
83	19:37	109
83	25:1	84
61	25:26	108
325	25:34	280
282	27:1	86
84	27:11-12	86
114	27:36	86, 319–20
280	29:32	45
280	30:25-43	140
65	31:47	192
65	31:48	217
279-80	32	313
65	32:30	100
65	37:2	308
134	37:30	253
85	38	87, 238
190	38:5	87
190	38:7	279
263	38:14	87
258-59	38:28	269
84	40:13	168
213	40:19	168
180	40:20	168
	134 134 83 45 83 83 83 83 81 83 83 61 325 282 84 114 280 280 65 65 279–80 65 65 134 85 190 190 263 258–59 84 213	134       17:4-5         134       18:5         83       19:21         45       19:32         83       19:34         83       19:37         83       25:1         61       25:26         325       25:34         282       27:1         84       27:11-12         114       27:36         280       29:32         280       30:25-43         65       31:47         65       31:48         279-80       32         65       32:30         65       37:2         134       37:30         85       38         190       38:5         190       38:7         263       38:14         258-59       38:28         84       40:13         213       40:19

412	"Wordplay" in Ancient Near Eastern Texts
-----	--

45.0.11	200	12.10	220
45:8–11	308	13:19	228
46:16	214	17:11	134
49:6	184	NI 1	
49:10	238	Numbers	100
49:22	198	11:1	189
- 1		11:3	325
Exodus	100	11:26–27	282
2:9	180	12:6	28
2:10	190	12:8	130
3:13–14	100, 137	14:45	282
4:1–17	205	21:9	61
5:18	43	22–24	265
5:19	189	32:32	228
7:26–29	61		
8:1–10	61–62	Deuteronomy	
8:11	61	4:34	227
8:15	61	5:7	235
9:1	168	12:11	190
9:3–6	168	12:21	190
9:15	168	14:23	190
10:10	189	14:24	190
15:1–2	173	16:2	190
15:11–13	227	16:6	190
16:15	192	16:11	190
16:16	227	18:15	228
16:33	192	23:17-19	115
20:3	235	26:2	190
20:4	235	28:53	282
21:23-25	137	31:19	34
21:37	84	32	34
23:10	240	32:2	201, 273
23:28	129	32:5	43
25:27	58	32:11	304
26:28	246	32:30	210
32:12	189		
32:22	189	Joshua	
35:11	58	11:15	87
36:33	246	23:13	227
			,
Leviticus		Judges	
1:15	321	2:4–5	325
5:9	321	3:12-30	205

	Biblical So	urce Index	413
6:32	217	11:7	85
7:1–25	205–6	11:8	162
7:13–14	120–21	11:10	85
9:9	234	11:11	162
13:5	234	12:1-5	83-84
13:7	234	12:4	85
13:25	129	12:7	84
14:12	324	12:25	112
14:14	129, 325	19:25–31	174
18:29	129	22:21	43
19:5	180	22:49	228
Ruth		1 Kings	
4:5	84	5:12–13	313
		5:30	180
1 Samuel		9:1	231
1:20	108	10:1	129
5:1–6	205	17:1	273
13:12	158	18:4	95
18:7	226	20:33	28
19:13	87	20:40	142
21:3	282	2 IZ:	
25:2	77	2 Kings	97
25:3–5	78 78	4:28	87
25:10 25:14	78 78	4:39 6:32	227 227
25:14 25:19	78 78	7:8	227
25:25	78 78	10:18–19	85
25:37	78 77	10.16–19 14:7	268
28:3–25	108	14:12	228
20.3–23	106	21:12	121
2 Samuel		22:7	169
1:21	273	25:11	307
5:24	142	23.11	307
6:5	293	1 Chronicles	
6:16	293	3:5	85
7:2	169	4:36	228
7:5–7	169	10:13	109
7:11–16	169	12:2	228
9:6–8	174	28:9	109
10:3	121	- 4.2	107
11:3	85	2 Chronicles	
11:4	85	9:1	129

25:11	268	26:12-13	43, 158, 173
25:22	228	28:16	250
26:8-16	113	29:20-23	200-201
26:11	227	32:16	326
		32:17	28
Ezra		33:10	112
7:28	227	35:16	28
8:31	282	36:15	276
		36:27	201
Nehemiah		38:5	90
3:15	228	38:28	273
		39:12	240
Esther		39:19-20	324
3:13	227	41:20	246
5:4	217	42:6	201
Job		Psalms	
3:6	184	2	226
3:9	90	2:9	173
3:15	19	3:6	228
3:16	275	4:9	237
4:2-3	191	7:6	235
4:6	78, 90	7:12-17	238
4:12	28	9	226
4:15	60	10	226
4:21	326	17:14	238
5:7	137	18:8	282
6:6	279	18:35-36	180
6:16-19	95	19	129
7:6	90, 181	21:6	282
7:13	228	25	224
8:13-14	90	26:1	115
9:3	326	26:12	115
9:30-31	42	27:5	195
13:24	112	33:9	181
14:7	184	34	224–25
15:5	28	37	224
15:26	326	38:14	217
16:14	250	46:5	228
19:27	326	49:5	28, 129
20:10	326	50:1	96
20:25	326	59:7	163
22:30	43	59:15	163
	15	07.10	103

	Biblical So	urce Index	415
68:3	235	12:23	83
74:13–14	292	12:25	250
77:21	228	14:19-20	276
78:2	28	14:20	84
78:12	228	16:14–16	142
79:5	304	19:18	46
64:9–10	116	20:27	228
66:20	87	22:2-3	226–27
68:2	228	22:22	142
77:19	324	23:13	246
78:2	129	24:1–22	225
78:71	308	25:2	133
89:21-22	314	25:11	133
90:5-6	184	28:6	84
91:7	325	29:22-27	225
101:7-8	314	30:1	279
104:15	180	30:33	321
104:12	325	31:10-31	224, 226
107:33	151	31:21	213, 269
113:3	96	31:27	192
115:4-8	202		
119	225	Ecclesiastes	
119:161	225	3:18	256
119:162	225	4:1	168–69
119:166	225	4:8-12	209-10, 227
132:11	239	7:6	264
145	224–26		
145:11-13	225–26	Song of Songs	
147:15	320	1:2	228
		1:4	112
Proverbs		1:7	112, 180
1:5	28	2:9	112
1:6	28, 128–29	2:17	112
1:33	313	4:2	269
3:10	109	4:11	228
3:12	181	7:1	112
5:3	314	8:2	223
6:1–35	205	8:10	112
7:17	228	8:11	112
0.1.26	225	0.11	112

205

250

250

142

Isaiah

2:4

5:7

60, 191 19, 259

8:1–36

9:4

9:7

10:20

1	1	-
4	1	n

	1 2		
5:11	307	41:18	151
5:22	74	49:2	201
5:25	227	51:8	312
6:2	61	54:8	286
6:4	61	54:15	307
6:11–13	70, 237	59:3	234
7:15–22	70	59:5	90
8:1	235	59:6	90
8:11	191	65:4	190
8:19	317	65:11-12	139-40
10:8	190	66:17	227
10:22	142		
12:2-3	112–13	Jeremiah	
14:4–5	77	1:11-12	79, 120
16:4	321	7:4	217
17:11	228	8:12-13	239-40
18:2	199	8:17	61, 259
18:7	199	8:18	285, 296
22:4	296	18:1–17	114
22:5	285	18:2-4	231
22:7	314	19:3	121
23:1	253	20:8	231
24:2	304	21:9	307
24:17	245, 317	22:10	231
26:1	245, 282	23:30-31	28
27:10	180	25:20-26	231
28:1	198	25:26	231
28:4	198	25:30	231
28:8	198–99	25:38	231
28:9	199	34:14	231
28:10	199–200	40:10	240
28:11	28, 199–200	42:10	239
28:13	198	46:14–15	139
28:15	190	48:2	231
28:17	200	48:9	239
28:28	239	48:43	245, 317
29:1–24	70	48:45	231
29:6	60, 324	50:8	228
29:9	293	51:1	231
29:16-17	114–15	51:34–37	95, 151
31:1-5	70	51:41	231
34:11	199		
40:4	279		

Biblical	Source	Index

Lamentations		3:12	170
1	224	3:13	321
1:13	256	3:15	247
1:16	296	3:19	321
1:20	295–96	3:22	227
2	224	3:25	170, 185
2:8	282	3:29	170
3	224	3:31	282, 285, 294
3:47	245, 317	3:33	294
4	224	4:1	294
4:14	234	4:2	294
4:21	225	4:4	170
5:1-3	226	4:9	294
5:17-18	226	4:11	294
5:19-22	226	4:12	294
		4:13	294
Ezekiel		4:14	170, 294
4:8	213	4:16	256, 294
4:9	213	4:18	294
9:8	234	4:20	227, 294
14:1	228	4:22	170, 294
17:2	129	4:23	294
19:1-5	232	4:29	170, 277, 294
23:3	85	4:30	251, 314
23:8	85	4:31	294
23:21	85	4:34	294
30:17	95	5:1	247
30:19	228	5:2	253
		5:3	206, 253
Daniel		5:5	206, 253
2:14	170, 256, 282	5:6	164, 206, 253
2:17	256	5:7	206, 228
2:19	256	5:8	206
2:22	170, 185	5:9	206
2:24	247	5:10	206
2:33–34	192	5:11	185
2:41	192	5:12	164, 170, 185
2:44	251	5:13	206, 256
2:45	227	5:14	185
3:4	282, 285	5:16	170, 185, 206
3:5	247	5:17	206
3:7	247, 282, 285	5:19	206, 282, 286
3:10	170, 247	5:21	170, 206, 260, 273
5.10	1/0, 47/	J.41	170, 200, 200, 273

5:22	206	12:2	246
5:23	206	12:7	276
5:24	206	12:7–10	317
5:25–28	201, 206, 264	12:8–9	88
5:29	206	12:10	276
6:2	170	13:12	195
6:3	170		
6:4	247, 256	Joel	
6:5	170	4:10	191
6:6	247		
6:8	170	Amos	
6:12	170	3:1	28
6:14	170	4:10	314
6:20	170	6:7	246
6:22	247, 256	7:16	201
6:26	282, 285	8:1-2	120
6:29	247		
7:2	247, 294	Jonah	
7:3	294	1:4	253
7:5	294	2:3-10	205
7:7	206, 294	2:4	96
7:8	294	2:6	96
7:9	294	3:4	121, 308
7:10	247, 294	3:6	272
7:13	294	3:7	272, 308
7:14	282, 285, 294	3:8	308
7:17	294	3:10	308
7:19	227	4:1	308
7:20	294	4:2	308
7:23	256, 282		
7:25	294	Micah	
8:23	130	1:7	95
0.23	150	1:8	95
Hosea		2:6	201
2:9	84	4:3	191
2:18–19	170	7.5	171
4:1	28	Nahum	
4:7	304	1:2–8	225
6:2	208, 228	2:11	250
7:15	191	2:13	272
10:5	140	3:6	124
10:10	245	3:8	124
11:5	320	3.0	124
11.3	320		

Habakkuk 2:6 3:16	28, 124, 129 121
Zephaniah 1:2 2:4 3:8	239 108 227
Malachi 1:11–12	96
John 5:43	104
Revelation 13:18	214–15